

# Evaluation of NURE hydrogeochemical groundwater data for use in Wisconsin groundwater studies. [DNR-090] 1993

Mudrey, M. G.; Bradbury, K. R. Madison, Wisconsin: Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 1993

https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/A62W4VDLRTV7E8I

http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/

For information on re-use see: http://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/Copyright

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

232124 c.1

> 232124 c.1

Evaluation of NURE Hydrogeochemical Data for Use in Wisconsin Groundwater Studies

Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey Open-file Report 93-2 Evaluation of NURE hydrogeochemical data for use in Wisconsin groundwater M.G. Mudrey, Jr., and K.R. Bradbury

Water Resources Center University of Wisconsin - MSN 1975 Willow Drive Madison, WI 53706



## **University of Wisconsin–Extension**

Geological and Natural History Survey 3817 Mineral Point Road • Madison, WI 53705-5100 TELEPHONE 608/262.1705 • FAX 608/262.8086

232124

Water Resources Conter University of Wisconsin MSN 1975 William Entro Madicula WI 35700

June 28, 1993

MAN -

David E. Lindorff, Hydrogeologist Groundwater Management Section Bureau of Water Resources Management Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Box 7921 Madison, Wisconsin 53707

Dear Dave:

I attach a revised copy of our report on the conversion of the NURE dataset.

In your letter of February 10, 1993, you asked specific questions of the report and dataset.

- 1. An additional field, U-METHOD, has been added to the database to explain the analytical method used for uranium for each sample. The bulk of the samples were analyzed by fluorometric techniques, and a substantially smaller group of samples were analyzed by mass spectrometry.
- 2. A line has been entered into the text stating that all of the data therein reported are for groundwater.
- 3. The INSTALL.BAT and the README files from the diskette have been added into the text within Appendix 2.
- 4. The explanation of the data fields has been expanded and now identifies the data type and length of the text fields.
- 5. Explanation for fields UNIT and HUNIT have been expanded and now includes an expanded description of the geologic acronyms used by Oak Ridge.

D. Lindorff June 28, 1993 Page 2.

- 6. The explanation of the COMMENT field has been expanded and a new appendix (1) added. The number 1-38 is a comment written by the field sampler and refers to the field sample form card number and the question on that card. For example, 1-38 refers to card one, column 38 (location), and 3-20 refers to card 3, question 20 (casing type).
- 7. The ASCII field exported from our database was set to "" for null data. As a result, in ANALDAT.DEL, for instance, several commas in a row represent data fields for which there is no data in the original tape.
- 8. In the table ANALDAT.DEL, field WELLDEPT is occasionally represented by 1. This is NURE coding for a spring sample, or zero depth well.
- 9. A conclusions and recommendation section has been added.

Respectfully,

M.G. Mudrey, Jr. Geologist

K.R. Bradbury Hydrogeologist

Encl: Final Report: Evaluation of NURE hydrogeochemical groundwater data for use in Wisconsin groundwater studies: Wisconsin Geological Survey Open-file Report 93-2.

Title: Evaluation of NURE hydrogeochemical groundwater data for use in Wisconsin groundwater studies

Investigators: Principal Investigators - M.G. Mudrey Jr. and K.R. Bradbury, both associate professors, Geological and Natural History Survey, University of Wisconsin-Extension, 3817 Mineral Point Road, Madison, Wisconsin 53705

> Project Assistant - Kathy Shrawder, project assistant, Geological and Natural History Survey, University of Wisconsin-Extension, 3817 Mineral Point Road, Madison, Wisconsin 53705

Period of Contract:

July 1, 1991 to September 30, 1992

Objectives: Convert and evaluate the U.S. Department of Energy National Uranium Resources Evaluation hydrogeochemical data for Wisconsin into a PC-based dataset and incorporate that dataset into the U.S. Geological Survey WATSTORE database. Prepare statistical summaries of each constituent, plot maps showing the concentration distribution of various water quality parameters, determine the accuracy and adequacy of the data for incorporation into a Wisconsin groundwater database, and make appropriate recommendations thereto.

Background/ Need:

Knowledge of the natural distribution of trace constituents (arsenic, lead, cadmium, and so forth) in Wisconsin groundwater is currently poor, yet information on the "background" concentrations of such constituents is frequently needed for many different types of groundwater investigations. A large data set (the National Uranium Resources Evaluation - NURE data) exists, containing thousands of geochemical analyses for groundwater in Wisconsin, but these data were not in useable form prior to this project.

Methods:

Seven magnetic data tapes were acquired from the U.S. Geological Survey or the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. A combination of U.S. Geological Survey and University of Wisconsin-Madison Computer Center equipment was used to convert the original data tapes to ASCII files. These files were loaded onto IBMcompatible microcomputers, and by a combination of software routines and database manipulations, converted into a microcomputer database. The files were cleaned where possible, and compared to the microfilm copy of the original dataset.

Results:

Over 70 percent of the individual groundwater samples were below individual detection limits for about one-half of the 34 geochemical constituents reported by NURE. Some, such as arsenic, show a clearly defined hydrogeochemical anomaly along the Cambrian-Ordovician boundary in eastern Wisconsin. Other constituents, such as copper, do not show clearly defined regions, in part because of copper contamination from plumbing. In these cases, filtering the data to evaluate samples not having copper plumbing might define geochemical provinces. The extensive report briefly discusses each constituent.

Conclusions:

Hydrogeochemical parameters within the NURE groundwater dataset

have mixed utility because in many cases the detection limit was too large to show much variation. Elements that appear to have broad utility with the NURE detection limit include: arsenic, barium, boron, calcium, copper, lithium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, selenium, sulfate, strontium, uranium, yttrium, zinc. Constituents whose detection limit is clearly inadequate include: silver, aluminum, beryllium, cobalt, chromium, iron, molybdenum, niobium,nickel, phosphorus, scandium, thorium, titanium, vanadium, and zirconium. In some areas of Wisconsin evaluation of these constituents may prove use full as that region may have elevated concentrations well above detection limit.

## Recommendations/

Implications:

At the time the NURE program was cancelled, the Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey had developed a field protocol to continue the 3-mile sample grid into southern Wisconsin. Consideration should be given to extending the regional geochemical sampling concept of the NURE program. The present survey covers about the northern one-half of Wisconsin; similar data are lacking for southern Wisconsin.

Hydrogeochemical analysis should continue in order to understand and explain the regional variation of the chemical parameters.

During interrogation of the NURE data tapes, the stream and sediment data were also converted; however evaluation and analyses was not undertaken. A report similar to this, but using the surface water and stream sediment data could be undertaken at minimal cost.

## Availability of Report:

A copy of the final report including 5 1/4-inch compute diskette of the data is Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey Open-file Report 93-2, Evaluation of the NURE hydrogeochemical data for use in Wisconsin groundwater studies by M.G. Mudrey, Jr. and K.R. Bradbury and is available from the Map and Publications Sales Office, Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey, 3817 Mineral Point Road, Madison, WI 53705.

#### Related Publications:

MUDREY, M.G., Jr., Bradbury, K.R., and Kammerer, P., 1992, Progress towards rapid retrieval of hydrogeochemical data from Wisconsin's NURE dataset (abs.): 16th Annual Meeting, American Water Resources Association (LaCrosse), Wisconsin Section, Abstracts, p. 26.

Key Words: NURE data, hydrochemistry, trace element distribution, groundwater chemistry

Funding: The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources provided funding for this project through the Groundwater Management Practice Monitoring Program which receives appropriations from the Groundwater Account. This grant was matched by funds from the Geological and Natural History Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey. EVALUATION OF NURE HYDROGEOCHEMICAL GROUNDWATER DATA FOR USE IN WISCONSIN GROUNDWATER STUDIES M.G. Mudrey, Jr. and K.R. Bradbury Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey 3817 Mineral Point Road Madison, Wisconsin 53705 June 30, 1993 2 Introduction Project Goals 2 Methodology 4 5 Primary Statistics and Data Mapping Evaluation of Data 5 6 Constituents - Data Summary Constituents, analytical methods, detection limits 6 Mean, confidence intervals, deviation, skewness, kurtosis 7 Percentile distributions 8 9 Conclusions References 10 Appendix 1 - Oak Ridge Geochemical Sampling Form 11 Appendix 2 - Availability of data - Format 13 - Data Fields 14 Appendix 3 - Maps of Constituents 19 Aluminum 24 Potassium 43 Arsenic 25 Scandium 44 Barium 26 Selenium 45 Beryllium 27 Silicon 46 28 47 Boron Silver Calcium 29 Sodium 48 30 49 Cerium Strontium Chromium 31 Sulfate 50 32 51 Chloride Thorium Cobalt 33 Titanium 52 Copper 34 Uranium 53 35 Vanadium 54 Iron Lithium 36 Yttrium 55 37 Magnesium Zinc 56 Manganese 38 Zirconium 57 39 Molybdenum 58 Dissolved Oxygen Nickel 40 pН 59 Niobium 41 Total Alkalinity 60 42 Phosphorus Field Conductivity 61 Figure 1. Index of maps in the NURE project 3 Figure 2. Map showing location of groundwater samples in the NURE project 4

Funding: The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources provided funding for this project through the Groundwater Management Practice Monitoring Program which receives appropriations from the Groundwater Account. This grant was matched by funds from the Geological and Natural History Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey.

#### INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of the natural distribution of trace constituents (arsenic, lead, cadmium, and so forth) in Wisconsin groundwater is currently poor, yet information on the "background" concentrations of such constituents is frequently needed for many different types of groundwater investigations. A large data set (the National Uranium Resources Evaluation - NURE data) exists, containing thousands of geochemical analyses for groundwater in Wisconsin, but these data were not in useable form prior to this project. This project was undertaken to convert the data into a usable format and to evaluate the suitability of the data in defining the natural distribution of the approximately 50 constituents analyzed.

From 1974 to 1980, the United States Department of Energy and its predecessors systematically evaluated the uranium resources of the conterminous United States and Alaska. The earth-science research in the National Uranium Resource Evaluation (NURE) program sampled groundwater, lake water, and stream water on a 3-mile grid (one sample per 10 square miles) north of 44<sup>0</sup> latitude (figure 1 and figure 2). Sampling was undertaken on contract by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The data set contains analyses of groundwater samples from approximately 4000 sites.

Summary reports were prepared for the uranium-related variables (see reference list). Each report contains microfiche of the all the data. Geochemical parameters measured include: uranium by three methods, arsenic, selenium, silver, aluminum, boron, barium, beryllium, calcium, cerium, chloride, cobalt, chromium, copper, iron, lithium, magnesium, manganese, molybdenum, potassium, sodium, niobium, nickel, phosphorus, scandium, strontium, thorium, titanium, vanadium, yttrium, zinc, zirconium, sulfate, conductivity in lab, conductivity in field, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, total alkalinity, M alkalinity, P alkalinity, location in latitude and longitude, topographic quadrangle, surface geologic unit, type of well, casing, depth, use of well, frequency of pumping, owner of well, among several other variables. Map quadrangles at 1:250,000-scale include Green Bay, Eau Claire, Rice Lake, Iron Mountain, Ashland, Iron River, Escanaba (figure 1). Not all of these parameters were reported for each map area.

Subsequent studies by the Department of Energy included airborne radiometric and magnetic surveys, detailed uranium-based geochemical sampling, and detailed uranium endowment estimation.

#### PROJECT GOALS

The objectives of this project were to evaluate the utility of the NURE hydrogeochemical data set for use in groundwater studies in Wisconsin, and to demonstrate how this data set could be incorporated into a statewide groundwater data base.

Because Department of Energy policy did not permit refined analysis, Oak Ridge Laboratory was not permitted to prepare maps and statistical evaluations of the non-uranium related variables. At the time of data collection, Mudrey acted as liaison to Oak Ridge National Laboratory, the responsible organization for the collection and analysis of the data, and was able to request analysis of a few selected constituents. Areal differences in concentrations of some of the constituents were evident, suggesting that analysis of the data may not only



Figure 1 Index of quadrangle maps in NURE project

define ambient trace constituent water quality, but also define hydrogeologic provinces having significantly different ambient quality.

There is no other collection of ground-water quality data for Wisconsin that contains trace element data that are geographically well distributed over a large area (30,000 square miles) for which the data were collected and analyzed over a relatively short period of time using consistent sampling and analytical protocols. Samples were analyzed within a week of sampling, and all data in a map quadrangle were sampled within two months. This report will help to guide groundwater monitoring programs by assisting in the definition of ambient water quality, and defining the extent of naturally elevated values. More sophisticated evaluation of the data will permit the determination of whether or not these data should be incorporated into a larger Wisconsin groundwater quality data set.



Figure 2 Map showing location of groundwater samples in Wisconsin in the NURE project.

#### METHODOLOGY

Seven magnetic data tapes were acquired from the U.S. Geological Survey or the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. A combination of U.S. Geological Survey and University of Wisconsin-Madison Computer Center equipment was used to convert the original data tapes to ASCII files. These files were loaded onto IBM-compatible microcomputers, and by a combination of software routines and database manipulations, converted into a microcomputer database. The files were cleaned where possible, and compared to the microfilm copy of the original dataset.

#### PRIMARY STATISTICS AND DATA MAPPING

Field samples were not generally acidified nor filtered, and as a result there may be differences with other survey data. As a consequence, each constituent must be evaluated separately, and consideration given to individual geochemical mobility, and details of the well from which the sample was taken. With these basic caveats in mind, basic statistical parameters for the ungrouped data were calculated and include mean (average), 95 percent confidence limit, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis, maximum value (100th percentile), 90th percentile, median (50th percentile), 25th percentile, 10th percentile and minimum (0th percentile) and reported by the NCSS statistical package. NCSS (Number Cruncher Statistical System) is a copyrighted package of integrated statistical programs from NCSS, Kaysville, Utah. A refined analysis of data will require grouping the original data into sets with commonality, such as all wells producing from a particular horizon.

The mean is the arithmetic sum of all values for a constituent divided by the number of determinations. Samples at detection limit are assumed to have a value equal to the detection limit. The 95 percent confidence limit indicates that 95 percent of the data were within those values. Standard deviation is the square root of the variance which is the sum of the squares of the differences between each individual measurement and the mean divided by one less than the number of measurements. Kurtosis describes the degree to which the measured data distribution resemble a normal distribution. A normal distribution has a kurtosis value of zero; distributions with short tails have negative distributions; distributions with a lot of extreme values have a positive kurtosis. Skewness describes the symmetry of the natural distribution around the mean; positive values indicate an abundance high values compared to low values, and a negative skewness an abundance of low values compared to high values. The percentile values indicates what percent of the measurement s are less than the reported number. The 100th percentile is the maximum, and the 0th percentile is the minimum. The 50th percent is the value about which there is an equal number of measurements greater than and lesser than.

#### EVALUATION OF DATA

Usefulness of data varies considerably. For some constituents, the detection limit was sufficiently low that an acceptable data range was realized, and a geographic plot of the data has hydrogeologic meaning. For example, the arsenic geochemical map illustrates the geographic distribution of arsenic. Clearly, a naturally occurring arsenic province occurs in northern Outagamie County. The significance of that province is not known. For other data, detection limits were too high, for example scandium where only 49 measurements were above detection.

Values below detection in the original NURE dataset were designated by a negative value at the detection limit. For example, -40 represented that 40 ppb (parts per billion) was the detection limit, and that constituent for that sample was below detection. In our dataset, a flag column was created such that (in two columns) a value below a detection limit of 40 is coded as "<",40. In the original NURE dataset, missing data were coded as exceedingly large numbers (99999). In our dataset, such information is coded as null or missing.

### CONSTITUENTS - DATA SUMMARY

The chemical constituents, analytical methods, detection limits, and first order statistical parameters are given below.

Constituents, analytical methods, detection limits

			<numb< th=""><th>er of sampl</th><th>Les&gt;</th></numb<>	er of sampl	Les>
Constituent	Method	Detection	Above	Below	Total
		Limit	Detection	Detection	
Ag	PSES	2 ррЪ	106	2629	2735
Al	PSES	10 ppb	528	2202	2730
As	AA	0.5 ppb	742	1996	2738
В	PSES	8 ppb	2630	105	2735
Ba	PSES	2 ppb	2620	115	2735
Ве	PSES	1 ppb	108	2623	2731
Са	PSES	0.1 ppm	2733	2	2735
Се	PSES	30 ppb	32	123	155
C1	SPEC	10 ppm	56	212	268
Со	PSES	2 ppb	442	2293	2735
Cr	PSES	4 ppb	122	2613	2735
Cu	PSES	2 ppb	1523	1212	2735
Fe	PSES	10 ppb	225	2509	2734
K	PSES	0.1 ppm	666	6	672
Li	PSES	4 ppb	1717	1018	2735
Mg	PSES	0.1 ppm	2728	7	2735
Mn	PSES	2 ppb	1810	925	2735
Мо	PSES	4 ppb	613	2122	2735
Na	PSES	0.1 ppm	2735	0	2735
Nb	PSES	4 ppb	456	2279	2735
Ni	PSES	4 ppb	353	2382	2735
Р	PSES	40 ppb	345	2390	2735
Sc	PSES	1 ppb	49	2686	2735
Se	AA	0.2 ppb	1013	1725	2738
Si	PSES	0.1 ppb	667	0	667
SO4	SPEC	5 ppm	1364	1366	2730
Sr	PSES	2 ppb	667	0	667
Th	PSES	2 ppb	472	2263	2735
Ti	PSES	2 ppb	55	2680	2735
U	FL	0.2 ppb	2007	727	2734
V	PSES	4 ppb	246	2489	2735
Y	PSES	1 ppb	764	1971	2735
Zn	PSES	4 ppb	2594	141	2735
Zr	PSES	2 ppb	98	2637	2735

#### Explanation

AA Atomic absorption

FL Fluorometry

PSES Plasma source emission spectrometry

SPEC Spectrophotometry

ppm Parts per million

ppb Parts per billion

## Mean, confidence intervals, deviation, skewness kurtosis

t

		Mean	Confidence Interval		Standard	Skewness	Kurtosis
		(Average)	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Deviation		
Ag	ppb	2.03	2.02	2.04	0.25	10.16	110.75
A1	ppb	15.93	10.91	20.94	133.72	47.63	2384.68
As	ppb	0.90	0.83	0.97	1.89	17.75	465.68
В	ppb	41.32	35.57	47.07	153.38	14.27	287.45
Ba	ppb	27.04	24.87	29.21	57.88	14.94	380.04
Be	ppb	1.02	1.00	1.03	0.31	35.59	1510.95
Ca	ppm	34.16	33.18	35.13	26.01	3.97	42.36
Ce	ppb	30.12	29.97	30.27	0.95	8.76	82.79
C1	ppm	16.55	11.05	22.04	45.89	13.88	209.44
Co	ppb	2.94	2.36	3.52	15.49	38.02	1629.36
Cr	ppb	4.09	4.05	4.14	1.16	22.34	584.57
Cu	ppb	27.45	21.63	33.28	155.49	16.75	363.58
Fe	ppb	55.45	38.18	72.71	460.50	17.82	415.67
Κ	ppm	2.18	1.84	2.53	4.57	8.23	93.10
Li	ppb	4.35	3.81	4.90	14.48	29.45	1143.26
Mg	ppm	16.14	15.60	16.68	14.48	1.86	5.86
Mn	ppb	50.53	41.33	59.73	245.46	20.34	632.67
Mo	ppb	7.46	3.92	11.00	94.53	36.89	1361.47
Na	ppm	8.77	7.93	9.62	22.55	15.24	365.50
Nb	ppb	4.52	4.38	4.67	3.95	40.62	1932.08
Ni	ppb	5.26	4.49	6.02	20.32	41.49	1935.17
Ρ	ppb	62.49	56.86	68.11	149.98	27.01	1055.37
Sc	ppb	1.01	1.00	1.01	0.16	36.17	1486.61
Se	ppb	0.29	0.27	0.30	0.40	12.32	211.22
Si	ppb	6.56	6.35	6.78	2.82	0.52	0.42
$SO_4$	ppm	14.15	11.73	16.57	64.42	30.68	1182.95
Sr	ppb	152.81	118.78	186.84	450.10	9.11	115.48
$\mathbf{Th}$	ppb	9.92	9.73	10.11	5.03	6.51	71.55
Ti	ppb	7.97	1.02	14.92	185.57	42.91	2018.95
U	ppb	0.76	0.65	0.87	3.06	13.98	266.76
V	ppb	4.30	4.22	4.39	2.27	21.23	611.52
Y	ppb	1.09	1.05	1.13	1.00	26.50	834.89
Zn	ppb	281.69	254.71	308.68	720.03	9.97	173.60
Zr	ppb	2.58	1.75	3.41	22.11	50.00	2566.34



## Percentile distributions

¢

	10	00-%tile	90-%tile	75-%tile	50-%tile	25-%tile	10-%tile	0-%tile
	()	(aximum)			(Median)		()	(inimum)
Ag	ppb	5	2	2	2	2	2	2
A1	ppb	6768	15	10	10	10	10	1.659
As	ppb	59.2	1.4	0.5	1	1	1	0.5
В	ppb	4229	63	24	12	8	6	4
Ba	ppb	1826	56	28	14	6	3	2
Be	ppb	15	1	1	1	1	1	1
Ca	ppm	413.2	62.2	47.4	30.0	16.5	8.0	0.1
Ce	ppb	40	30	30	30	30	30	30
C1	ppm	720	22	10	10	10	10	10
Со	ppb	708	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cr	ppb	40	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cu	ppb	4295	35	9	2	2	2	2
Fe	ppb	14140	10	10	10	10	10	0.729
К	ppm	69.5	3.8	1.8	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.1
Li	ppb	610	7	4	2	2	2	2
Mg	ppm	147.1	34.7	23.1	11.5	5.7	2.7	0.1
Mn	ppb	8841	92	18	3	2	2	2
Mo	ppb	3499	7	4	4	4	4	4
Na	ppm	694.9	16.7	8	4	2	2	0.2
NЪ	ppb	194	5 .	4	4	4	4	4
Ni	ppb	978	4	4	4	4	4	4
Р	ppb	6234	58	40	40	40	40	40
Sc	ppb	8	1	1	1	1	1	1
Se	ppb	10	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Si	ppb	17.4	10.4	8.2	6.4	4.7	3.0	0.6
$SO_4$	ppm	2705	20	10	5	5	5	5
Sr	ppb	7387	269	98	49	28	20	2
Th	ppb	98	11	10	10	10	5	2
Ti	ppb	8983	2	2	2	2	2	2
U	ppb	74.53	3 1.46	0.44	4 0.12	2 0.02	2 0.02	2 0.02
V	ppb	82	4	4	4	4	4	4
Y	ppb	35	1	1	1	1	1	1
Zn	ppb	18182	663	242	80	24	8	4
Zr	ppb	1140	2	2	2	2	2	2

#### CONCLUSIONS

Hydrogeochemical parameters within the NURE groundwater dataset have mixed utility because in many cases the detection limit was too large to show much variation. Elements that appear to have broad utility with the NURE detection limit include: arsenic, barium, boron, calcium, copper, lithium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, selenium, sulfate, strontium, uranium, yttrium, zinc. Constituents whose detection limit is clearly inadequate include: silver, aluminum, beryllium, cobalt, chromium, iron, molybdenum, niobium,nickel, phosphorus, scandium, thorium, titanium, vanadium, and zirconium. In some areas of Wisconsin evaluation of these constituents may prove use full as that region may have elevated concentrations well above detection limit.

At the time the NURE program was cancelled, the Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey had developed a field protocol to continue the 3-mile sample grid into southern Wisconsin. Consideration should be given to extending the regional geochemical sampling concept of the NURE program. The present survey covers about the northern one-half of Wisconsin; similar data are lacking for southern Wisconsin.

Hydrogeochemical analysis should continue in order to understand and explain the regional variation of the chemical parameters.

During interrogation of the NURE data tapes, the stream and sediment data were also converted; however evaluation and analyses was not undertaken. A report similar to this, but using the surface water and stream sediment data could be undertaken at minimal cost. REFERENCES

- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1978, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Green Bay NTMS Quadrangle, Wisconsin: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-93 (78).
- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1978, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Eau Claire NTMS Quadrangle, Wisconsin, Minnesota: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-94 (78).
- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1978, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Rice Lake NTMS Quadrangle, Wisconsin: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-95 (78).
- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1978, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Iron Mountain NTMS Quadrangle, Michigan, Wisconsin: DOE Open-File Report GJBX-97 (78).
- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1979, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Ashland NTMS Quadrangle, Wisconsin, Michigan, Minnesota: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-61 (79).
- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1980, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Iron River NTMS Quadrangle, Michigan, Wisconsin; DOE Open-file Report GJBX-115 (80).
- Arendt, J.W., and others, 1981, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data for Escanaba Quadrangle, Michigan; Wisconsin: DOE open-file Report GJBX-218 (81).
- Ferguson, R.B., Price, V., and Baucom, E.E., 1977a, Field manual for ground water reconnaissance: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-26(77), 72 p.
- Ferguson, R.B., Price, V., and Baucom, E.E., 1977b, Field manual for stream sediment reconnaissance: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-30(77), 56 p.
- Ferguson, R.B., Price, V., and Baucom, E.E., 1977cb, Field manual for stream water and sediment reconnaissance: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-80(77), 81 p.
- Kammerer, P.A., Jr., Ground-water-quality atlas of Wisconsin: Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey Information Circular 39, 39 p.
- Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant, 1980, Hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance basic data reports compute program requests manual: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-21(80), 131 p.
- Zinkl, R.J., D'Andrea, R.F., Jr., and Shettel, D.L., Jr., 1981, OREDIT: A Fortran computer program to process Oak Ridge HSSR data: DOE Open-file Report GJBX-10(81), 39 p.



## OAK RIDGE GEOCHEMICAL SAMPLING FORM SHOWING FIELD DATA RECORDED ON MICROFICHE

1

.

STREAM OR LAKE SEDIMENT   isanification of Producing Morizon (Geologic Unit Code)     Supple Condition   isanification     Image: Supple Transment   /     Image:		
STREAM OR LAKE SEDIMENT     Sample Condition     Dr	line of Well	9 I
Sample Condition   Itemple Currit Cools     Image: Sample Treatment /   Image: Sample Treatment /     Image: Sa	Use of Well	•
Image: Control of Producing Horizon Mentification     Image: Producing Horizon Mentific	26	
Dry   Confidence of Focularing Marian Manification     Sample Treatment   High Degree     Probable   Probable     Sived   Other     Siv	M Municipal	- 14 A
Ummeric   Arrestant   High Degree     22   Possible   Possible     23   Possible   Possible     23   Passible   Passible     23   Passible   Passible     24   Passible   Passible     25   Passible   Passible     25   Passible   Passible     25   Passible   Passible     25   Passible   Passible     26   Order   User     27   None   Passible     28   Passible   Passible     29   Passible   Passible     21   Passible   Passible     22   Passible   Passible     22   Passible   Passible     22   Passible   Passible </td <td>H Household</td> <td></td>	H Household	
Image: Some internation	S Stock	· .
Sample Treatment   Image Deputed Vision Meetification     Image Deputed Vision Vision Meetification   Image Deputed Vision Meetification     Image Deputed Vision Vision Meetification   Image Deputed Vision Meetification     Image Deputed Vision Meetification   Image Deputed Vision Meetification	1 Irrigation	
Submet   Productive     Passible   Productive     Nome   Productive     Diver   Productive     Passible   Passible     Passible   Productive     Passible   Passible	All of shows	
32   None     5   Sived     3   Siv	A All of above	· · · ·
None   Source of Producting Horizon Identification     23   23   24     23   24   24     24   25   24     25   24   25     25   24   25     25   25   25     25   25   25     25   25   25     25   25   25     25   25   25     25   25   25     26   Creat Musterial (Field Estimate)     27   None   25     27   None   25     28   27   None     27   None   25     28   27   0     29   21   21     20   0   0     21   22   21   21     22   22   22   22     21   22   21   21     22   22   22   22     24   25   25   24     25   25   24   24 </td <td>H and S</td> <td></td>	H and S	
Seved   production     2212   Number of Grabs     2212   None     2212   None     2212   Actidition do Titlered     2212   Conductivity     2212   Conductivity     2212   Conductivity     2212   Conductivity     2212   Temperature (*C)     2212   Toral Alkalinity (pon)     2212   P Alkalininity (pon) <td>Y H and I</td> <td></td>	Y H and I	
Q   Other     22   Number of Grabs     25   35     25   35     25   35     25   35     25   35     26   36     27   None     28   Card Humber     29   Other     20   Other     21   Scillation     22   Scillation     23   Conductivity     24   Obj     25   Scillation     21   Scillation     22   Scillation     21   Scillation     22   Scillation     22   Scillation     22   Scillation     23   Scillation     24   Scillation     25   Scillation	Z Sand I	
22   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   3   0 conser   User   0 conser   0 conser   0 conser   0 conservation   0 con	N None	
23   24   24   25   25     25   25   25   25   25   26     25   25   25   26   26   27     None   27   None   27   None   27     P   Filtered Only   26   26   27     Other   Other   Dug   0   0     28   29   26   0   0     29   7   None   7   0   0     20   0   0   0   0   0   0     21   0   0   0   0   0   0   0     21   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0     22   0	0 Other	
Image: Subject of Grabs	Frequency of Pumping	
Image: Structure Sample Treatment     Image: Sample Treatment	27	5 M # 5 12 1
2212   X Organic Material (Field Estimate)     37   None     27   None     20   Other     Depth of Visibility (m)   Total Alkalinity (ppm)     21   22     22   1     21   22     22   1     22   1     22   1     22   1     22   1     22   1     22   1     23   1     24   1     25   1     26   1     27   1     28   1     29   1     20   1     21   1     22   1     23 <td>C Constant (hourly)</td> <td></td>	C Constant (hourly)	
* & Organic Material (Field Estimate)     Set RERAL WATER SAMPLES     Water Sample Treatment     ************************************	F Frequent (daily)	
3   Cord Number     4   Actidited and Filtered     0   Other     0   Conser     1   Cord Number     1   Cord Number <td< td=""><td>I infrequent (weekly)</td><td></td></td<>	I infrequent (weekly)	
3   Card Handberg     3   None     3   None     1   Partitive Conly     Accidited Conly   Accidited Conly     Accidited Conly   Card Handberg     Station   Card Conly	R Rare (no recent use)	
Water Sample Treatment   Water Sample Treatment     22   None     Filtered Only   Drive Point     A cidified and Filtered   Dug     0   Other     21 22 100   C = Clear     11 42 12 1415   Conductivity     12 122 1415   Conductivity     14 141   Conductivity     15 150 151   Temperature (*C)     14 141   Temperature (*C)     15 150 151   Temperature (*C)     15 150 152   Temperature (*C)     15 150 151   Temperature (*C)     15 150 152   Temperature (*C)     15 150 152   Temperature (*C)     15 150 152   Total Alkalinity (ppm)     15 150 152   Total Alkalinity (ppm)     15 150 152   P Alkalinity (ppm)     15 150 150   P Alkalinity (ppm)     15 150 150   P Alkalinity (ppm)     15 150 150   P Alkal	Depth to top of Producing Horizon	
WELL WATER Type of Well   27 Pritered Only A - Actified and Filtered C Other Drilled D Dug Dushown C = Clear Drilled D Dug Dushown C = Clear   1 22 32 4 CO C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 4414 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 4414 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 4414 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 4414 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 4414 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Conductivity C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Conductivity C = Clear Clear   1 24 43 441 Clear Mutxy C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Clear Mutxy C = Clear   1 24 43 441 Clear Mutxy C = Clear   1 25 20 27 17 172 172 Clear   1 26 20 171	28 29 30 31	
32   None     None   Filtered Only     Cardified Only   Drive Point     Cardified and Filtered   Duy     Depth of Visibility (n)   Phome Classification     21   22     Cardified Conly   Cardified Conly     Card Conly   Cardified Conly		
None   Prove Classification     C = Clear   C = Clear     1 = 22 = 20   C = Clear     1 = 21 = 20   P = Plastic     1 = 21 = 21   C = Clear     1 = 21 = 21   P = Plastic     1 = 21 = 21   S = Elocation     2 = 21 = 21   P = Plastic     1 = 21 = 21   Placensore Tonk (Use Remarks)	(Meters)	
Filtered Only   Drive Point     Acidified and Filtered   Due     Other   Due     Depth of Visibility (m)   P     2# 33 ± C = Clear   Terperature (*C)     1** 42 ± 2*******************************	Confidence of Producing Death	
Acidified Only   Discourse     Acidified and Filtered   Discourse     Depth of Visibility (m)   Discourse     2   C = Clear     1   2 = Clear     1   Artesian Flow     1   E = Electric     3   30 = C     1   Conductivity     1   Artesian Flow	Curricence or Producing Depth	
A clidified and Filtered Other   Dig     Depth of Visibility (m)   Dig     28 133 is 0   C = Clear     1 122 42 44 165   Conductivity     1 124 44 164   Conductivity     1 127 44   Conductivity     1 128 46   Conductivity     1 129 44   Conductivity     1 129 44   Conductivity     1 129 44   Conductity     1 129 44   Condu	the local structure	
C   Other     Depth of Visibility (m)   Depth of Visibility (m)     2   C = Clear     1   2 = 27 = 44 = 15     Conductivity   C = Clear     1   Artesian Flow     1   E = Electric     3   2 = 27 = 44 = 15     Conductivity   G = Gasoline     4   H     4   Artesian Flow     E = Electric   G = Gasoline     3   2 = 27 = 44     Dissolved O2 (ppm)   Casing     3   P +     2   Steel     3   2 = 28 = 10     2   Galvanized     P   P +     0   Other     3   P +     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =     1   P =	rign	
Depth of Visibility (m)   U <td>R Probable</td> <td></td>	R Probable	
Depth of Visibility (m)   Image: Conductivity   Image: Conductivity     Image: Conductivity	S Possible	
38   30   C = Clear     10   C = Clear     11   C = Clear     12   C = Clear     13   Alter     14 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
C = Clear   Image: Section of Constraints     Image: Section of Constraints   Conductivity (Image: Section of Constraints)     Image: Section of Constraints   Conductivity (Image: Section of Constraints)     Image: Section of Constraints   Constraints     Image: Section of Constraints	Source of Producing Depth Informa	tion
Image: Section of the section of th	٤١ -	
11   42 <td< td=""><td>P Publication</td><td></td></td<>	P Publication	
1   1	W Owner	
image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr arr arr are persature (*C)   image: set arr are persature (*C)     image: set arr arr arr arr arr arr arr arr arr ar	UUSer	
Image: state intermeter	G Geologic Inference	
40   47   49     40   Dissolved O2 (ppm)   Casing     40   0   Casing     40   0   F     52   52   54   F     55   52   54   F     55   52   54   F     55   52   54   F     55   55   55   F     56   52   52   54     56   52   55   70     56   52   52   57     56   52   52   58     56   52   52   57     56   52   52   7     56   52   52   7     56   52   52   7     7   7   52   52     64   65   66   7     7   7   7   7     7   7   7   7     7   7   7   7     7   7   7   7	O Other	
Image: solution of the second seco		
Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)     Image: solution of generature (*C)   Image: solution of generature (*C)	Terral Well Dearth	
4 9 50 51 Temperature (°C)	Iotal Well Depth	
Image: Section of the section of th	34 3' 36 37	
Image: Section   Steel     S2   53   54     P   P     S6   55     P   P     S6   55     P   P     S6   55     P   P     S6   55     P   P     S6   57     S6   57     P   P     S6   57     S6   56     S7   58     S6   56     S7   58     S6   66     S7   74     A1   57     S7   74     S7   75     S8   70     S7		
52   53   pH     55   pH by Lo-Ion Paper   Q   Other     56   57   58   58   Total Alkalinity (ppm)     C0   64   65   66   67     M   Alkalinity (ppm)   Q   Other     64   65   66   67   M Alkalinity (ppm)     Appearance of Water   Sample Location   21   23     C   Cepter   Meters from Woll Head   H = Holding Tank (Use Remarks)     M   Aigal   Z   Sample Location     C   Ciear   Where Sample Taken   With Respect To Pressure Tank     Sis   70   71   72   73     Discharge (liters/min)   Discharge (liters/min)   No Pressure Tank     REMARKS (Card 4)   E   From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	(Meters)	
PH   Plastic     U   Uknown     St   St		
Image: prime state stat	Confidence of Total Depth	
55   PH by Lo-Ion Paper     56157   58153     56157   58153     Color   62163     Color   62163     P   Alkalinity (ppm)     Color   62163     P   Alkalinity (ppm)     Color   64165     Color   P     Abpearance of Water   Sample Location     22133   Meters from Woll Head     Morky   Metry     Algai   Algai     O   Other     Ses   Clear     Murky   Where Sample Taken     Where Sample Taken     With Respect To Pressure Tank     25   Before     A   Alter     No Pressure Tank     E   From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)     REMARKS (Card 4)	38	
P   pH by Lo-Ion Paper     S6 1 57 5 8 59   Total Alkalinity (ppm)     C0 61 62 623   P Alkalinity (ppm)     C0 61 62 63 66 63   M Alkalinity (ppm)     C0 64 65 66 66   M Alkalinity (ppm)     C0 67 71 72 73   Discharge (liters/min)     C1 71 72 73   Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)   Discharge (liters/min)	H High	
P   pf by Lo-Ion Paper     56157   56     1   1     60   61     62   63     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     65   66     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     64   65     65   70     71   72     72   71     71   72     72   71     72   72     73   Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)	R Probable	
56   57   58   58     60   61   62   63     60   61   62   63     60   61   62   63     61   62   63   62     62   63   62   63     64   65   66   67     M Alkalinity (ppm)   0   0     64   65   66     64   65   66     65   67   M Alkalinity (ppm)     64   65   66     65   67   M Alkalinity (ppm)     65   70   M Alkalinity (ppm)   22     7   9   Metry   Meters from Well Head     7   1   1   1   1     7   1   1   1   1     1   1   1   1   1     1   1   1   1   1     1   1   1   1   1     1   1   1   1   1     1   1   1   1	S Possible	
36 157 158 159   Total Alkalinity (ppm)     Color 162 63   P Alkalinity (ppm)     Color 171 72 73   Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)   P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		
Image: Constant of the second seco	Source of Total Depth Information	
Copper   Copper     Copper   P Alkalinity (ppm)     Set 65 66 67   M Alkalinity (ppm)     Appearance of Water   Clear     Murky   Murky     A Algal   Clear     O   Other     Set 70 [71] 72 [72]   Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)   Discharge (liters/min)	[39]	
col 61 62 63   P Alkalinity (ppm)     64 65 66 67   M Alkalinity (ppm)     Appearance of Water   22 23 24     M   Murky     Algal   25     0 Other   25     65 701 71 72 73   Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)   Discharge (liters/min)	P   Publications	
Image: Participation of the second state of the second	W Owner	
64   65   66   67     Appearance of Water   22   23   24     Ciear   Metry   Meters from Woll Head     Murky   Where Sample Location     Algal   25     B   Before     A Algal   25     B   Before     A Alter   No Pressure Tank     EMARKS (Card 4)   Discharge (litera/min)		
64   65   65     Appearance of Water   22   23   24     Appearance of Water   22   23   24     M   Murky   Meters from Woll Head   H = Holding Tank (Use Remarks)     M   Murky   Where Sample Location   22     M   Murky   Where Sample Taken   With Respect To Pressure Tank     A   Algal   25   Before     A   After   No Pressure Tank   E     MARKS (Card 4)   Discharge (liters/min)   From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	G Gastran Information	
Appearance of Water   22 23 24   Meters from Woll Head     22   23 24   Meters from Woll Head     C   Clear   Where Sample Taken     Murky   With Respect To Pressure Tank     A Algal   25     C   Other     Series (20171172727)   Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)   Discharge (liters/min)	Geologic Inference	
Appearance of Water   Image: Construction of the sector	Other	
Appearance of Water Meters from woil Head   Ce Clear   Murky Where Sample Taken   A Algal 25   O Other B   69 7 20 71 72 73 Discharge (liters/min)   REMARKS (Card 4) From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	LAKE WATER	
Clear Where Sample Taken   M Murky   A Algal   O Other   69 70 71 72 72 Discharge (liters/min)   REMARKS (Card 4)	LARE WATER	
C Clear Where Sample Taken   M Murky With Respect To Pressure Tank   A Algal 25   Other B Before   A After N   No Pressure Tank From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	Type of Lake	
M Murky Mintre gample failed   A Algal 25   O Other B   B Before   A Atter Atter   N No Pressure Tank   E From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	55	
A Algal   C Other   65 70171172773   Discharge (litera/min) No Pressure Tank   REMARKS (Card 4)	N Natural	
Other B Before   69 70 71 72 73 Discharge (litera/min) No Pressure Tank   E From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	M: Manmade	
Best offer Description   69 70   71 72   1 1   Discharge (liters/min) Image: Discharge (liters/min)     REMARKS (Card 4)		
69 70 71 72 73   Alter     Discharge (liters/min)   No Pressure Tank     REMARKS (Card 4)   From Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)	Lake Area	
Discharge (liters/min) No Pressure Tank (Use Remarks)   REMARKS (Card 4)	56 57 58 59	
Image (root control of the second of the		
REMARKS (Card 4)	(sq km)	
		-
		-
		-
		-
		-
		-
		- •
•		

#### APPENDIX 2 - AVAILABILITY OF DATA

#### FORMAT

The data are available on a single 5 1/4-inch double density computer disk. The information has been compressed, and a batch file on the disk expands the compressed data set into a comma-delimited file.

The procedure on the disk expands and recreates the ASCII data for the NURE hydrogeological groundwater data. It creates the following files:

ANALDAT	DEL	593938	06-23-93	14:13a				
COUMAP	DEL	62566	06-23-93	14:12a				
WELLPARM	DEL	376367	06-23-93	14:13a				
COMMENT	DEL	467017	06-23-93	14:12a				
NURDATA	EXE	277086	06-23-93	14:22a	(compressed	file	for	disk)
README		16282	06-28-93	14:43a				

Table ANALDAT.DEL consists of the chemical analytical data in comma-delimited form as follows:

SAMPLENO, AGFLAG, AG, ALFLAG, AL, ASFLAG, AS, BFLAG, B, BAFLAG, BA, BEFLAG, BE, CAFLAG, CA, CEFLAG, CE, CLFLAG, CL, COFLAG, CO, CRFLAG, CR, CUFLAG, CU, FEFLAG, FE, KFLAG, K, LIFLAG, LI, MGFLAG, MG, MNFLAG, MN, MOFLAG, MO, NAFLAG, NA, NBFLAG, NB, NIFLAG, NI, PFLAG, P, SCFLAG, SC, SEFLAG, SE, SO4FLAG, SO4, SRFLAG, SR, SIFLAG, SI, THFLAG, TH, TIFLAG, TI, U\_METHOD, UFLAG, U, VFLAG, V, YFLAG, Y, ZNFLAG, ZN, ZRFLAG, ZR

Table WELLPARM.DEL consists of the general well parameters in comma-delimited form as follows:

SAMPLENO, TYPE, UNIT, CONTAM, DATE, LATITUDE, LNGITUDE, LABCOND, H2OTEMP, pHMETER, FIELDCND, TOTALALK, PALK, MALK, HUNIT, CONFUNIT, SRCEUNIT, DO, WELLTYPE, WELLPOWR, CASING, PIPECOMP, SAMPLOC, WELLUSE, DEPTWPH, CFDEPWPH, SRCEDWPH, CONFWLDP, SRCEWLDP, WELLDEPT

Table COMMENTS.DEL consists of the comments in comma-delimited form as follows:

#### SAMPLENO, COMMENTS

COMMENTS include information on the specific sample location (feet from a reference features), name and address of well owner, place within the plumbing system from which the sample was taken, and so forth. In addition, we determined the two-degree map sheet and county in which the measurements were made.

Table COUMAP.DEL consists of the comments in comma-delimited form as follows:

SAMPLENO, QUADNAME, COUNTY

#### FIELDS

The variables and the acronyms used in the original NURE dataset and our database are presented below.

Column Column description name AG Concentration of silver in ppb AGFLAG If set indicates that silver was below detection of 2 ppb ALConcentration of aluminum in ppb If set indicates that aluminum was below detection of 10 ppb ALFLAG Concentration of arsenic in ppb AS If set indicates that arsenic as below detection of 0.5 ppb ASFLAG Concentration of boron in ppb В Concentration of barium in ppb ΒA If set indicates that barium was below detection of 2 ppb BAFLAG Concentration of beryllium in ppb BE BEFLAG If set indicates that beryllium was below detection of 1 pbb BFLAG If set indicates that boron was below detection of 8 ppb Concentration of calcium in ppm CA CAFLAG If set indicates that calcium was below detection of 0.1 ppm CASING Primary material of the well casing: N, none; S, steel; G, galvanized; P, plastic; U, unknown; O, other CE Concentration of cerium in ppb CEFLAG If set indicates that cerium was below detection of 30 ppb CFDEPWPH Confidence which the field personnel had in the recorded depth from which the well was producing: (H) high, (R) probable, (S) possible CĹ Elemental concentration of chlorine in ppm If set indicates that chlorine was below detection of 10 ppm CLFLAG CO Concentration of cobalt in ppb COFLAG If set indicates that cobalt was below detection of 2 ppb COMMENTS Any comments on sample, may include name and address of owner, topographic quadrangle, additional notes on sample location COUNTY Name of county in which sample was taken Confidence in the identification of the hydrogeology unit as the site: CONFUNIT H, high; R, probable; S, possible CONFWLDP Confidence that field personnel has in the recorded depth of the well: H, high; R, probable, S, possible CONTAM Classes of contaminants in the vicinity of the sample site: (N) none; (M) mining; (A) agriculture; (I) industry; (U) urban; (O) other CR Concentration of chromium in ppb CRFLAG If set indicates that chromium was below detection of 4 ppb CU Concentration of copper in ppb CUFLAG If set indicates that copper was below detection of 2 ppb DATE Date on which the sample was taken: YYMMDD Depth to the top of the producing horizon DEPTWPH DO Concentration of dissolved oxygen in ppm FE Concentration of iron in ppb FEFLAG If set indicates that iron was below detection of 10 ppb FIELDCND Conductivity of the sample, measured in the field in micromhos per centimeter H2OTEMP Temperature in degrees celsius of the water sample at the time of sampling HUNIT Identification of the hydrogeologic unit from the sample well: CS, Cambrian St.Croixan sandstone; OG, Sinnipee Group; OP, Ordovician

Prairie du Chien Group; ORS, Ordovician Bay de Noc Dolomite, Ogontz Dolomite, Bill Hill Dolomite, Bills Creek Dolomite; OS Ordovician Ancell Group; OSP, Ordovician St. Peter Formation; PCA, Proterozoic Amberg gray quartz monzonite; PCAN, Proterozoic anothosite; PCAP, Proterozoic aplite; PCAT, Proterozoic Athelstane pink quartz monzonite; PCBG, Proterozoic Belongia granite; PCBV, Proterozoic Beecher felsic metavolcanic rock; PCCV, Middle Proterozoic Chengwatana Volcanic Group; PCDG, Proterozoic Dunbar gneiss; PCDI, Proterozoic mafic intrusive, diorite and quartz diorite; PCET, Archean granite gneiss; PCFD, Middle Proterozoic Fond du Lac Formation; PCFV, Proterozoic felsic metavolcanic and metavolcaniclastic rock; PCGA, Archean magmatic gneiss and amphibolite; PCGB, undifferentiated Early Proterozoic mafic rock; PCGH, Proterozoic Granite Heights granite; PCGN, Early Proterozoic gneiss; PCGR, Archean granite; PCGU, Proterozoic granitic to dioritic gneiss; PCHG, Proterozoic Hogarty hornblende granite; PCHL, Proterozoic Hoskins Lake granite; PCHR, Proterozoic Hager rhyolite; PCHY, Proterozoic Hager syenite; PCIB, Proterozoic intrusive breccia complex; PCIM, undifferentiated Early Proterozoic felsic rock; PCKA, Middle Proterozoic rock; PCKM, Proterozoic Kalinke quartz monzonite; PCLG, Protrozoic leucogranite; PCMC, Middle Proterozoic Mellen Intrusive Complex; PCMD, Proterozoic Marinette quartz diorite; PCMM, undifferentiated Proterozoic mafic metavolcanic rock; PCMS, Proterozoic Michigamme Slate; PCMV, Proterozoic mafic metavolcanic rock; PCMY, Proterozoic mylonite; PCND, Proterozoic Newingham granodiorite; PCNG, Proterozoic Ninemile Granite; PCPL, Middle Proterozoic Portage Lake Volcanic Group; PCPR, Proterozoic Riverton Iron-formation; PCPU, Proterozoic Paint River Group; PCQM, Archean Quinnesec mafic metavolcanic rock; PCQS, Proterozoic quartz syenite; PCQT, undifferentiated Early Proterozoic sedimentary rock; PCRF, Proterozoic metavolcanic rock; PCRK, undifferentiated Precambrian granite and undifferentiated igneous and metamorphic rock; PCRL, Precambrian red lithic sandstone; PCRM, Proterozoic Red River porphyritic quartz monzonite; PCSC, undifferentiated Proterozoic metasedimentary rock and schist; PCSG, Archean Stevens Point gray granite; PCSL, Early Proterozoic slate; PCSV, Precambrian metamorphosed sedimentary and metavolcanic rock; PCSY, Proterozoic syenite and nepheline syenite; PCTF, Proterozoic Twelve Foot Falls quartz diorite; PCTY, Proterozoic Tyler Formation; PCWM, Proterozoic Waupaca quartz monzonite; PCWR, Proterozoic Wolf River hornblende granite and quartz monzonite; QAL, Quaternary alluvium; QGO, Quaternary glacial outwash, gravel and sand; QGT, Quaternary till, stratified moraine deposits; QPG, undifferentiated glacial deposits; SND, Silurian dolomite Alphanumeric identifier (equals M + sample number) Concentration of potassium in ppm If set indicates that potassium was below detection of 0.1 ppm Conductivity of the sample measured in the laboratory in micromhos per centimeter Latitude of the specific sample site Concentration of lithium in ppb If set indicates that lithium was below detection of 4 ppb

LIFLAG LNGITUDE MALK

LATITUDE

ID

Κ

LI

KFLAG LABCOND

> Longitude of the specific sample site Amount of reagent B required to neutralize the acid in a water sample that has first been treated with phenolphthalein and titrated with reagent B (PALK). It should be approximately equal to the total alkalinity (TALK) of the sample

MG Concentration of magnesium in ppm MGFLAG If set indicates that magnesium was below detection of 0.1 ppm Concentration of manganese in ppb MN MNFLAG If set indicates that manganese was below detection of 2 ppb MO Concentration of molybdenum in ppb MOFLAG If set indicates that molybdenum was below detection of 4 ppb NA Concentration of sodium in ppm NAFLAG If set indicates that sodium was below detection of 0.1 ppm Concentration of niobium in ppb NB NBFLAG If set indicates that silver was below detection of 4 ppb Concentration of nickel in ppb NI NIFLAG If set indicates that nickel was below detection of 4 ppb Ρ Concentration of phosphorus in ppb PALK Phenolphthalein alkalinity measured by titration with 0.02 N sulfuric acid to a phenolphthalein indicator endpoint (pH=8.3). Reported as an equivalent amount of CaCO3 in ppm; minimum detection 20 ppm PFLAG If set indicates that silver was below detection of 40 ppb PHMETER pH of the sample as read from a meter PIPECOMP Composition of the pipe from which the sample was taken: F, steel; Z, galvanized; C, copper; P, plastic; U, unknown; O, other QUADNAME Name of the NTMS 2-degree map sheet that includes the sample site SAMPLENO Oak Ridge sample identification number Point in the well system at which the sample was collected with respect SAMPLOC to the storage or pressure tank: B, before; A, after; N, no pressure tank; F, from pressure tank SC Concentration of scandium in ppb SCFLAG If set indicates that scandium was below detection of 1 ppb SE Concentration of selenium in ppb SEFLAG If set indicates that selenium was below detection of 0.2 ppb SI Concentration of silicon in ppm SIFLAG If set indicates that silicon was below detection of 0.1 ppm Concentration of sulfate in ppm S04 SO4FLAG If set indicates that sulfate was below detection of 5 ppm SR Concentration of strontium in ppb SRCEDWPH Identifies the source of information about the depth to the top of the producing horizon for a well from which a sample has been collected: P, publication; W, owner; U, user; G, geologic inference; O, other SRCEUNIT Indicates the source of the information for the hydrogeologic unit at the sample site: P, publication; W, owner; U, user; G, geologic inference; 0, other SRCEWLDP Identifies the source of information about the depth of the well: P, publication; W, owner; U, user; G, geologic inference; O, other SRFLAG If set indicates that strontium was below detection of 2 ppb Concentration of thorium in ppb TH THFLAG If set indicates that thorium was below detection of 2 ppb TI Concentration of titanium in ppb TIFLAG If set indicates that titanium was below detection of 2 ppb TOTALALK Measure of the substances in the water that neutralize acid. total alkalinity expressed as m of 0.02 N sulfuric acid required (milli-equivalents per liter) to titrate to a pH of 4.5 (endpoint for bromocresol-green/methyl-red indicator solution) TYPE Type of sample: W, well water; P, spring water U Concentration of uranium in ppb UFLAG If set indicates that uranium was below detection of 0.2 ppb

U-METHOD

UNIT

samples); 1, fluorometry (2536 samples); 2, mass spectrometry (195 samples); 5, fluorometry (3 samples) - note samples originally described as sediment are actually spring samples Hydrogeologic bedrock unit at the sample site: CS, Cambrian St.Croixan sandstone; OG, Sinnipee Group; OP, Ordovician Prairie du Chien Group; ORS, Ordovician Bay de Noc Dolomite, Ogontz Dolomite, Bill Hill Dolomite, Bills Creek Dolomite; OS Ordovician Ancell Group; PCA, Proterozoic Amberg gray quartz monzonite; PCAN, Proterozoic anothosite; PCAP, Proterozoic aplite; PCAT, Proterozoic Athelstane pink quartz monzonite; PCBG, Proterozoic Belongia granite; PCBM, Proterozoic Michigamme Slate; PCBV, Proterozoic Beecher felsic metavolcanic rock; PCBX, Proterozoic Baraga Group; PCCV, Middle Proterozoic Chengwatana Volcanic Group; PCDG, Proterozoic Dunbar gneiss; PCDI, Proterozoic mafic intrusive, diorite and quartz diorite; PCFD, Middle Proterozoic Fond du Lac Formation; PCFV, Proterozoic felsic metavolcani and metavolcaniclastic rock; PCGA, Archean magmatic gneiss and amphibolite; PCGB, undifferentiated Early Proterozoic mafic rock; PCGH, Proterozoic Granite Heights granite; PCGN, Early Proterozoic gneiss; PCGR, Archean granite; PCGU, Proterozoic granitic to dioritic gneiss; PCHG, Proterozoic Hogarty hornblende granite; PCHL, Proterozoic Hoskins Lake granite; PCHR, Proterozoic Hager rhyolite; PCHY, Protrozoic Hager syenite; PCIB, Proterozoic intrusive breccia complex; PCIM, undifferentiated Early Proterozoic felsic rock; PCKA, Middle Proterozoic rock; PCKM, Proterozoic Kalinke quartz monzonite; PCLG, Protrozoic leucogranite; PCMC, Middle Proterozoic Mellen Intrusive Complex; PCMD, Proterozoic Marinette quartz diorite; PCME, Proterozoic metagabbro; PCMM, undifferentiated Proterozoic mafic metavolcanic rock; PCMQ, McCaslin Quartzite; PCMS, Proterozoic Michigamme Slate; PCMT, Proterozoic mafic tuff; PCMV, Proterozoic mafic metavolcanic rock; PCMY, Proterozoic mylonite; PCND, Proterozoic Newingham granodiorite; PCNG, Proterozoic Ninemile Granite; PCPL, Middle Proterozoic Portage Lake Volcanic Group; PCPM, Proterozoic Peshtigo Monzonite; PCPU, Proterozoic Paint River Group; PCQM, Archean Quinnesec mafic metavolcanic rock; PCQS, Proterozoic quartz syenite; PCQT, undifferentiated Early Proterozoic sedimentary rock; PCRF, Proterozoic metavolcanic rock; PCRK, undifferentiated Precambrian granite and undifferentiated igneous and metamorphic rock; PCRL, Precambrian red lithic sandstone; PCRM, Proterozoic Red River porphyritic guartz monzonite; PCSC, undifferentiated Proterozoic metasedimentary rock and schist; PCSG, Archean Stevens Point gray granite; PCSL, Early Proterozoic slate; PCSV, Precambrian metamorphosed sedimentary and metavolcanic rock; PCSY, Proterozoic syenite and nepheline syenite; PCTF, Proterozoic Twelve Foot Falls quartz diorite; PCTY, Proterozoic Tyler Formation; PCWM, Proterozoic Waupaca quartz monzonite; PCWR, Proterozoic Wolf River hornblende granite and quartz monzonite; PCWV. Proterozoic Waupee metavolcanic and volcaniclastic rock; QAL, Quaternary alluvium; QGO, Quaternary glacial outwash, gravel and sand; QGT, Quaternary till, stratified moraine deposits; QPG, undifferentiated glacial deposits; SND, Silurian dolomite Concentration of vanadium in ppb If set indicates than vanadium was below detection of 4 ppb Depth of the well

Describes the method used to analyze for uranium: 0, not analyzed (4

v

VFLAG WELLDEPT WELLPOWR

R Source of energy used at the well: A, artesian flow; E, electricity; G, gasoline; W, wind; H, hand pump; O, other

Type of well: D, driller; P, driven point; G, dug; U, unknown; O, other WELLTYPE Most typical use of the well from which a water sample was obtained: M, WELLUSE municipal; H, household; S, stock; I, irrigation; A, all of above; X, H and S;Y, H and I; N, none; O, other Y Concentration of Yttrium in ppb YFLAG If set indicates that yttrium was below detection of 1 ppb Concentration of zinc in ppb ZN If set indicates that zinc was below detection of 4 ppb ZNFLAG Concentration of zirconium in ppb ZR ZRFLAG If set indicates that zirconium was below detection of 2 ppb

#### AVAILABILITY

The diskette is available as Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey Open-file Report WOFR 93-2 from the Map and Publications Section, Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey, 3817 Mineral Point Road, Madison, Wisconsin 53705.

#### APPENDIX 3 - MAPS OF CONSTITUENTS

To assist in the preliminary interpretation of the information, maps of selected constituents are presented. In general, the lower 50 percent of measurements are not plotted, and increasing sized symbols are used to depict the 50th to 70th percentile, 70th to 85th percentile, 85th to 95th percentile, and the 95th to 100th percentile divisions. For some constitutes, 98 percent of the data were below detection (beryllium), whereas for others all data where above detection (pH).

Histograms were prepared for each of the geochemical parameters. In all cases, 20 bins or intervals were chosen, generally each with the size of the detection limit. The number of samples below the detection limit was not plotted, and if bins great than 20 times the detection limit were occupied, they were not plotted. Instead the number of measurements in those bins were tabulated in the histogram. The user is invited to replot the data from the database for more precise histograms.

#### Aluminum

Only 20 percent of the data were above detection limit of 10 ppb, and do not appear at this level of analysis to define any significant geochemical provinces.

#### Arsenic

A little more than 27 percent of data were above detection limit of 0.5 ppb, and clearly define a naturally occurring arsenic province along the Cambrian-Ordovician boundary in eastern Wisconsin. Areas of significantly elevated values occupy northwestern Outagamie County and adjacent areas. Data suggest that these anomalies originate in the lower part of the Ordovician section.

#### Barium

Most barium samples were above detection of 2 ppb and define two areas of elevated values, west of Lake Winnebago and in Taylor and Clark Counties.

#### Beryllium

About 4 percent of the beryllium samples were above detection limit of 1 ppb, and do not appear to define any large beryllium-rich geochemical provinces.

#### Boron

Most samples for boron were above detection limit of 8 ppb, and appear to define a boron-rich province east of Lake Winnebago. Boron is commonly associated with saline water, and helps to define the saline water province in Calumet and Brown Counties. The hydrochemical position of this anomaly coincides with project distribution of Saline Formation units between the Ordovician and Silurian rock units.

#### Calcium

Only 2 samples were below the detection limit of 0.1 ppm for calcium. Calcium-rich water is defined by dolomite bedrock aquifers in eastern Wisconsin and along with Mississippi River in western Wisconsin. The elevated band of calcium determinations in western Wisconsin through Taylor and adjacent counties is explained by well documented calcareous till and other glacial material. Calcium-rich till from the Green Bay lobe in northeastern Wisconsin does not appear to have significant calcium-geochemical anomalies, in part because of the greater degree of leaching of that till unit.

#### Cerium

Cerium was only determined in the Escanaba map area, and does not appear to define a cerium province.

#### Chromium

About 4 percent of the samples were above the detection limit of 4 ppb for chromium. No significant geochemical trends were defined.

#### Chloride

Chloride analyses were reports only for the Ashland, Iron River, and Escanaba map area. No clear trends were defined.

#### Cobalt

About 16 percent of the samples were above the cobalt detection limit of 2 ppb, and no clear geochemical trends were defined.

#### Copper

A little over half of the copper determinations were above the detection limit of 2 ppb. Because composition of the plumbing was not used as a data filter in this analysis, trends are not interpreted to have hydrogeochemical significance.

#### Iron

About 8 percent of the samples were above detection of 10 ppb for iron. There appears to be a clustering of elevated values in southeastern Shawano County and adjacent area.

There is a gross discrepancy between the NURE iron data and the summary prepared by Kammerer in which he reports 90 percent of samples exceeded 10 micrograms per liter (10 ppb). The NURE sample were not acidified, and precipitation and flocculation of hydrous iron oxides may well have occurred prior to filtration in the laboratory. The effect of possible sequestering of other heavy metals by the iron flocculant must be evaluated on a metal by metal basis.

#### Lithium

About 63 percent of the samples were above detection of 4 ppb for lithium. Lithium is geochemically similar to boron is distribution, and reflect the saline province east of Lake Winnebago.

#### Magnesium

Almost all samples where above detection limit of 0.1 ppm for magnesium. Most elevated magnesium data are probably related to dissolution of dolomite in bedrock or dolomite clasts in glacial material. Comparison of magnesium molality with calcium molality would help to define a magnesium versus a magnesium-calcium (dolomite) province.

#### Manganese

About 66 percent of samples were above detection limit of 2 ppb. There are no clearly defined manganese provinces at this level of data analysis.

#### Molybdenum

About 22 percent of the samples were above detection limit of 4 ppb for

molybdenum. A molybdenum province that coincides with the arsenic province in Outagamie and adjacent counties is clearly defined.

#### Nickel

About 13 percent of the samples were above detection limit of 4 ppb for nickel. A clearly defined nickel province that spatially corresponds to the Outagamie arsenic province suggest that a polymetallic (As, Mo, Ni, Th, V) hydrogeochemical province exists in eastern Wisconsin and may relate to documented faults.

#### Niobium

About 17 percent of the samples were above detection limit of 4 ppb for niobium. There is no clearly defined geochemical trend in the data at this level of analysis.

#### Phosphorus

About 13 percent of the samples were above detection limit of 40 ppb for phosphorus, and almost all of those samples clearly define a natural phosphorus province in western Wisconsin. The producing horizon for these samples appears to be the Tunnel City Group, a well known phosphorus-bearing body of rock. This information suggests that elevated phosphorus may well occur in southern Wisconsin were Tunnel City units are the producing aquifer.

#### Potassium

Potassium was analyzed for only in the Ashland, Iron River, and Escanaba map areas, and almost all samples were above detection limit of 0.1 ppm. No trends appear evident.

#### Scandium

Only 49 of 2735 samples reported scandium above detection of 1 ppb.

#### Selenium

About 37 percent of samples were above detection of 0.2 ppb for selenium. There appears to be no clearly defined selenium province at this level of data analysis.

#### Silicon

Silicon was analyzed by plasma source emission spectrometry. Multiply Si by 2.1 to convert silicon (Si) to silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>). Silicon was only determined in the Ashland, Iron River and Escanaba map area. There are no clearly defined trends.

#### Silver

About 4 percent of the samples were above detection of 2 ppb for silver. There appears to be no geochemical trends.

#### Sodium

All sodium determinations were above detection limit of 0.1 ppm. The largest sodium geochemical province corresponds with the saline province in eastern Wisconsin.

#### Strontium

Strontium was determined only for the Ashland, Iron River and Escanaba map area. There are no clearly defined geochemical trends.

#### Sulfate

Half of the sulfate determinations were above detection limit of 5 ppm. A large sulfate province is clearly defined around Lake Winnebago, and corresponds in part with the saline water province in Calumet County, but also is define west of Lake Winnebago in Winnebago and Outagamie Counties.

#### Thorium

About 17 percent of the samples were above detection limit of 2 ppb. A weak anomaly is defined in southeastern Shawano County.

#### Titanium

Only 55 samples where above the detection limit of 2 ppb for titanium. No clearly define hydrogeochemical provinces are evident.

#### Uranium

About 73 percent of the data were above detection limit of 0.2 ppb for uranium. A large, clearly defined uranium hydrogeochemical province is evident in the Shawano-Waupaca County area centers about Split Rock in Shawano County. This area has been of uranium exploration interest since the late 1940s and numerous bedrock occurrences of uranium are known. A weak uranium hydrogeochemical band is seen trending northwest to southeast from Rusk to Marathon County, and corresponds with the calcareous till of the most recent glaciation.

#### Vanadium

About 9 percent of the samples are above the detection limit of 4 ppb for vanadium. The clearly defined vanadium hydrogeochemical province in Shawano County is slightly north of the arsenic province in Outagamie County.

#### Yttrium

About 28 percent of the samples are above the detection limit of 1 ppb for yttrium. A weak hydrogeochemical province that corresponds with the vanadium and arsenic provinces in Shawano and Outagamie County is defined.

#### Zinc

Almost all samples were above detection limit of 4 ppb for zinc. Because composition of the plumbing was not used as a data filter in this analysis, trends are not interpreted to have hydrogeochemical significance.

#### Zirconium

Only 98 samples were above the detection limit of 2 ppb for zirconium. There is no clearly defined hydrogeochemical trends defined.

#### Dissolved Oxygen

Most groundwater dissolved oxygen is below 6 ppm with no clearly defined trends at this level of data analysis.

#### рH

Very acidic groundwater (less than 5.7 pH units,  $CO_2$  saturation) is preponderant in northern and western Wisconsin. Low pHs appear to correspond with non-reactive aquifer material of quartz sandstone (southern part of the survey area) and with quartzose surficial material (northern Wisconsin). Slightly basic to basic ph (greater than 8.4 pH units, calcite saturation) is preponderant in eastern Wisconsin in area of dolomite or calcareous till.

#### Field Conductivity

Low conductivity (below 130 micromhos per cm) are preponderant in western and northern Wisconsin, and correspond with non-reactive aquifer material of quartz sandstone and quartzose till. High conductivity (greater than 500 micromhos per cm) dominate the southeastern part of the survey area and correspond with calcareous parent material or saline water.

#### Alkalinity

Very low alkalinity water (below 20 ppm) is evident in the southwestern part of the survey area and generally corresponds to phosphorus-rich water. High alkalinity water (greater than 325 ppm) is evident in the southeastern part of the survey area and corresponds to calcareous aquifer material.















































































































































Evaluation of NURE 232124 Hydrogeochemical Data for c.1 Use in Wisconsin Groundwater Studies

Water Resources Center MSN Water Resources Wiscansin - MSN University of Wiscansin - MSN 1975 Willow Drive 1975 Willow 53706 Madison, WI 53706

DEMCO

