

Minutes of the special meeting of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin: April 10, 1958. 1958

Madison, Wisconsin: Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, 1958

https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/ZAOLYFQ6AKUOX87

Copyright 2008 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

Madison, Wisconsin

Held in the President's Office

Thursday, April 10, 1958, 8 P.M.

President Renk presiding

PRESENT: Regents Gelatt, Jensen, Jones, Konnak, Renk, Rennebohm, Steiger, Watson, Werner. (Regent Steiger entered the meeting at 8:25 P.M.)

ABSENT: Regent Laird. (Regent Laird was absent due to absence from the state.)

At the request of President Renk, the Secretary reported that this special meeting of the Regents had been called at the request of Regents Renk, Jensen and Werner and that a notice of the meeting had been issued at least five days prior to the date of the meeting, in accordance with the provisions of the By-Laws of the Regents for calling special meetings of the Board.

Copies of the following recommendation were distributed to the Regents relating to a proposed change in the facilities to be provided for the Law School and the Departments of Sociology, Anthropology and Economics; and the adoption of the recommendation was moved by Regent Rennebohm and seconded by Regent Gelatt:

That, upon recommendation of the University Campus Planning Commission, and subject to the approval of the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education and of the State Building Commission, and within the present budget of \$2,305,000 for the combined Law-Sociology Building, and in lieu of constructing the combined Law-Sociology Building as previously authorized, authority be granted to construct a Law Library Wing approximately as planned for the combined Law-Sociology Building, at an estimated cost of \$645,000 including the

cost of continuing preliminary studies for the separate Law facility, and to construct a five-story-plus basement Social Studies Wing attached to the Commerce Building at an estimated cost of \$1,660,000, with the understanding that consideration shall not be given to placing the balance of the Law Building in the present biennial building list, but that it can be placed without prejudice in any later list of priorities.

Dean Wendt briefly explained the above recommendation and showed sketches of floor plans for the Law Library Wing and for the Social Studies Wing to be attached to the Commerce Building, and a possible floor plan for the future completion of the Law Building. He reported that there would have to be a distribution made, between the two proposed projects, of the cost incurred on the plans and specifications for the Law-Sociology Building.

Regent Watson stated that he was having some difficulty following the recommendation of the University Campus Planning Commission, since the recommendation presented seemed to be somewhat different than that contained in the minutes of the University Campus Planning Commission. He inquired whether the proposal presumes that there will eventually be a separate Law School facility. Dean Wendt replied in the affirmative. Regent Watson inquired as to what departments would be housed in the proposed Social Studies Wing to the Commerce Building, and Dean Wendt reported that it would provide space for the Departments of Sociology, Anthropology and Economics, as had originally been contemplated when the Commerce Building was first planned. Regent Watson inquired why it would be satisfactory to house these three departments in the same building while it was not desirable to house the Law School and Sociology and Anthropology in the previously proposed joint Law-Sociology Building. President Fred replied that the three departments to be housed in the Social Studies Wing are all in the same college. He pointed out that this proposal would also provide the Physics Department with more space in Sterling Hall and at the same time provide adequate space for the three departments to be housed in the Social Science Wing. Regent Watson inquired whether this proposal, as it would ultimately be developed, would result in the Law School classroom facilities being restricted to use only by the Law School. President Fred replied in the negative, stating that the Law School facilities would be under the direction of the Regents and the University administration, and that, as in the case of other University buildings, every effort would be made to make the best use of the classrooms. He stated that, when the classrooms were not required for use by the Law School, they would be made available for use by University departments. Regent Watson inquired what the difference would be between having a joint Law-Sociology Building and a Law School Building if, in the latter case, the facilities were used by both the Law School and other departments. Dean Wendt explained that under the previous proposal for a joint building entire floors would be designated for, and under control of, the Departments of Sociology and Anthropology, whereas, under the proposed plan for a separate Law School facility, the Law School would have the immediate control of the facilities, with other departments being allotted space as it is available. Regent Watson inquired as to the additional cost in plans and specifications because of this proposed change. Dean Wendt reported that much of the work on the plans for the combined facility can be translated to the plans and specifications for the proposed separate facilities, and stated that about \$25,000 had been spent to date on plans and specifications, of which somewhat over \$10,000 could be recovered. He also explained that little time would be lost in beginning construction of the Law Library Wing, but that some additional time would be required in preparing plans and

specifications for the Social Studies Wing to the Commerce Building. Regent Watson inquired whether the State Bar Association had ever officially asked for a separate facility for the Law School. President Fred read the following resolution which had been adopted by the Executive Committee of the State Bar of Wisconsin and transmitted to President Renk for the attention of the Regents:

"RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of the State Bar of Wisconsin that the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin be respectfully requested to designate the new Law School building to be erected on the campus at Madison as the LAW BUILDING."

Dean George Young reported that quite a number of letters had been received from Wisconsin attorneys recommending that the University provide separate facilities for the University Law School.

President Renk stated that he felt somewhat as Regent Watson did, and that he disliked losing the money that had been spent on plans and specifications for the joint building, but felt that a mistake had been made and should be corrected. Regent Werner stated that, if a mistake had been made, it had not been made by the Regents. Regent Watson stated that it should be understood that the Regents preferred to follow along with recommendations of the University Campus Planning Commission and other faculty committees, but that he did not like to have to reverse himself too often in such matters. He stated that, in spite of being faced with increasing enrollments, this recommendation would seem to indicate that the Regents are adopting a policy of providing separate facilities for each separate group no matter how small the group. He raised the question as to what the Regents are to believe with respect to University Campus Planning Commission recommendations if the previous recommendation of the Commission with respect to the Law-Sociology Building was not sound.

Regent Gelatt stated that, in his opinion, it would be a great forward step to put the Departments of Sociology, Anthropology and Economics together in a Social Studies Center, as proposed, and stated that if a mistake had been made, he was pleased that it was no more expensive than estimated.

Regent Jones stated that although he had voted in favor of the previous recommendation of the University Campus Planning Commission for a joint building, he now felt that, from what he had heard from members of the legal profession and others, it would be better to provide a facility on the campus for the exclusive use of Law students with the exception of space being used by other departments as available. He stated that, in voting for the above recommendation, he did not want to infer that the University Campus Planning Commission had previously made a mistake. He stated that the Commission has had to consider dollars and cents matters, or how to get the most for the funds available. He stated that he believed that the University Campus Planning Commission had acted sincerely and intelligently and should not be criticized in this matter.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

Director Newell J. Smith presented the final plans and specifications for the proposed dormitories to be constructed West of Elm Drive to house 818 students and to provide dining facilities. He explained a plot plan showing the location of the buildings, and stated that the cost per student, exclusive of storage facilities, is estimated at \$4400. Regent Jensen inquired whether these dormitories would be entirely self-supporting, and Director Smith replied in the negative, stating that some revenue from other dormitories would be required to amortize the cost of these dormitories.

It was explained that since the University Campus Planning Commission and the Steering Committee of the Commission had not had an opportunity to review these final plans and specifications, and since it would be desirable to advertise for bids prior to the next meeting of the Regents, it would be desirable for the Regents to authorize the Executive Committee to act for the Board in approving the final plans and specifications and authorizing advertising for bids as soon as the final plans and specifications are recommended by the University Campus Planning Commission.

Regent Gelatt moved the adoption of the following resolution, and the motion was seconded by Regent Werner:

That, subject to the recommendation of the University Campus Planning Commission, the Executive Committee be authorized to act for the Board in approving the final plans and specifications and authorizing advertising for bids for the proposed Dormitories West of Elm Drive (HHFA Project Wis. 47-CH-41).

Regent Jensen stated that there appeared to be a time limit involved, but that he did not believe that the Executive Committee should act on this matter in the event the University Campus Planning Commission made any substantial changes in the plans and specifications. Dean Wendt reported that there was little probability of any substantial changes being recommended by the University Campus Planning Commission, and that the plans for these proposed dormitories follow very closely the plans for the Dormitories South of Kronshage. It was agreed by the Regents present that, in the event the University Campus Planning Commission should recommend any major changes in the plans and specifications, they should be presented to the full Board for approval instead of to the Executive Committee. With that understanding, the question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

The recommendation of the Faculty of the College of Engineering for the award of distinguished service citations on Engineers' Day was presented. It was explained that this recommendation was presented at this time because the awards are to be made before the next meeting of the Regents. Upon motion of Regent Watson, seconded by Regent Rennebohm, it was

VOTED, That, upon the recommendation of the Faculty of the College of Engineering, distinguished service citations be awarded on Engineers' Day, May 2,

1958, to the following individuals:

(Cont.)

Howard Aiken

- Cambridge, Massachusetts

Harry C. Brockel

- Milwaukee, Wisconsin

George H. Johnson

- Madison, Wisconsin

William Beverly Murphy - Camden, New Jersey

Arthur F. Peterson - Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.

Dean Wendt repeated a previous invitation to the Regents and their wives to attend the Engineers' Day Dinner on May 2, 1958.

Copies of recommended resolutions approving Schedule of Costs and award of contracts for the Second Addition to Service Memorial Institutes (copy attached) were distributed to the Regents. The Secretary explained that the bids received on March 27, 1958, for this project exceeded the estimates presented to the Regents when the final plans and specifications were approved. He reported that the proposed Schedule of Costs for this project had been increased by \$100,000 on the basis of a transfer of \$50,000, of National Institutes of Health funds allotted for remodelling of the existing building, to the allotment for construction of the new building, to be matched by a like transfer of University funds. He pointed out that the National Institutes of Health had informally approved such a transfer, and that the proposed action by the Regents would be subject to official confirmation of the approval of the National Institutes of Health for the proposed transfer of funds. It was also explained that, to keep the cost of this project within the total of funds available, all of the alternate bids received would be used to reduce the cost and, in addition, the Medical School had agreed to the deduction of a substantial amount of equipment items.

Regent Gelatt objected to this item being on the agenda for this meeting. He also questioned the other items presented, with the exception of the first one, being on the agenda of this meeting, since he had understood that this special meeting of the Regents had been called only to consider the first item presented. He stated that this item should have come to the Regents through a committee, and that he did not want to vote on it until he had had an opportunity to consider it further. It was explained that this item was presented at this meeting because it is necessary for the contracts to be awarded by April 30, which is prior to the next Regent meeting. Regent Jones agreed with Regent Gelatt, and stated that he could not vote on this item at this time because he would want to study it further before passing upon it.

Upon motion of Regent Werner, seconded by Regent Steiger, it was VOTED, That the recommendations relating to the Second Addition to Service Memorial Institutes be referred to the Executive Committee for action.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, it was VOTED, That the meeting adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 8:50 P.M.

Clarke Smith, Secretary

RESOLUTIONS APPROVING SCHEDULE OF COSTS AND AWARD OF CONTRACTS FOR SECOND ADDITION TO SERVICE MEMORIAL INSTITUTES

(a) That, subject to the approval of the National Institutes of Health of the transfer of \$50,000, of NIH funds allotted for remodelling of the existing building, to the allotment for construction of the new building, to be matched by a like transfer of University funds, the following Schedule of Costs be approved for constructing and equipping the Second Addition to Service Memorial Institutes:

Schedule of Costs Second Addition To Service Memorial Institutes

second Francisco 1.0 Del Alce I.0	emortar institutes
1. Contracts: A. General Construction B. Heating, Ventilating and Air Cond C. Plumbing, Process Piping, Fire Prand Sewerage D. Electrical (Includes \$10,000 allofor service to old building) E. Laboratory Equipment Contract Sub-total	rotection,
늘 등 등 등 살이 보고 있다면 하는 것이 없는 그리는 것이 없다.	φ±,490,)44.)0
2. Architects' Fee (6% of A.B.C.D.&E.) 3. Owner's equipment, furniture, apparatus 4. Bureau of Engineering services, Surveys	s, and moving expense (1) 50,000.00 s. Superintendent of
Construction, materials testing, etc	18,000.00
Sub-total	\$157,792.66
5. Contingencies Allowance (for above item item 3. above)	ms except for65,662.98
Total	\$1,720,000.00
Sources of Funds:	
NIH Grant for Construction and Remodelli NIH Grant for Moveable Equipment WARF Grant State Appropriation	\$975,000.00 (1) 30,000.00 750,000.00 255,000.00
	\$2,010,000.00
Less:	다. 그는 사람이 있는 것 같아 보다면 하는 것이 하나요? 그는 남자가 하는 것 같아 되는 것이 없는 모르겠다면 하다 것을
Reserve of NIH Grant for Moveable Equipment in Old Building Reserve of WARF Grant for Moveable Equipment in Old Building Reserve of NIH Grant for Remodel- ling Old Fuilding Reserve of WARF Grant for Remodel- ling Old Building	(1) \$5,000
	(1) 5,000
	(2)140,000
	(2)140,000 290,000.00
Funds Available	\$1,720,000.00

Grant

- (1) Not available for commitment until NIH of \$30,000 for moveable equipment is appropriated on or about July 1, 1958.
- (2) After transfer of \$50,000 to new construction allotment.
- (b) That, subject to the approval of the Governor and of the State Chief Engineer, and subject to the approval of the transfer of the necessary additional funds from the allotments for remodelling referred to in (a) above, the following contracts for constructing and equipping the Second Addition to Service Memorial Institutes be awarded to the low bidders listed, based on the low base bids submitted adjusted by the alternate bids and other deductions listed; and that the Vice President Business and Finance be authorized to sign the contracts:

General Construction - J. P. Cullen & Son Janesville, Wis. Base Bid \$749,190.00 *Deduct Alternate Bids 107,365.00 *Deduct Negotiated and Unit Price Deductions 507.84	\$641,317.16	
Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning - Pharo Heating Company, Madison, Wis.		
Base Bid No. 3 \$394,298.00 *Deduct net Alternate Bids 21,634.00 *Deduct Negotiated and Unit Price Deductions 22.00	372,642.00	
Plumbing, Process Piping, Fire Protection, and Sewerage Pharo Heating Co., Madison, Wis.		
Base Bid No. 1 \$169,719.00 *Deduct Alternate Bids 13,026.00 *Deduct Negotiated and Unit Price Deductions 3,540.00	153,153.00	
Electrical - H & H Electric Co., Madison, Wis.		
Base Bid \$184,368.00 *Deduct Alternate Bids 3,693.76 *Deduct Negotiated and Unit Price Deductions 248.00	180,426.24	
Laboratory Equipment - Hamilton Mfg. Co. Two Rivers, Wis.		
Base Bid \$247,276.00 *Deduct Alternate Bids 69,033.33 *Deduct Equipment Omitted on Unit Price		
Basis 29,236.71	149,005.96	

^{*} To be itemized in contracts as awarded.