

# An 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass: effects on the sport fisheries and populations of smallmouth bass and yellow perch in Nebish Lake, Wisconsin. No. 148 1984

Serns, Steven L. Madison, Wisconsin: Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 1984

https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/7CNPPHSMHYQUB8V

http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/

For information on re-use see: http://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/Copyright

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.



### ABSTRACT.

One of the more effective and most frequently used methods of regulating harvest to improve a fishery is the use of a minimum length limit. To determine the effects of an 8-inch minimum length limit on smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieui*) in Nebish Lake, Wisconsin, the sport fishery was studied for 5 years (1977-81) and contrasted to the previous 5-year period (1972-76) when there was no minimum length limit. The sport fishery included smallmouth bass and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). Population characteristics of smallmouth bass and yellow perch were compared for the 4-year periods of 1974-77 (pre-length limit) vs. 1978-81 (post-length limit), because the length limit imposed on 1 January 1977 would not have affected these parameters when measured in spring 1977.

After the imposition of the 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass in Nebish Lake, the following changes in the sport fishery occurred:

- 1) the average number of anglers per year was 33% higher.
- 2) the average number of hours fished per year was 27% higher.
- 3) the harvest (no.) of smallmouth bass was 8% lower, but the yield (lb) was 30% higher.
- 4) the mean length of smallmouth bass harvested increased from 8.2 inches to 9.4 inches.
- 5) the harvest rate of smallmouth bass declined 29% but the harvest rate of those > 8.0 inches increased 27%.
- 6) the harvest, yield, and harvest rate of yellow perch all declined substantially.

After the establishment of an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass in Nebish Lake, the following changes in population characteristics occurred:

- 1) the mean annual density and biomass of age III + smallmouth bass was 77% and 79% higher, respectively.
- 2) the production of fingerling smallmouth bass was 21% lower.
- 3) adult (ages III-V) smallmouth bass total annual mortality rates were slightly higher, because of higher rates of angler exploitation and possibly higher bass densities (increased intraspecific competition).
- 4) smallmouth bass growth increased slightly.
- 5) production of age III and IV smallmouth bass nearly doubled.
- 6) the mean annual density and biomass of adult yellow perch decreased substantially.
- 7) the angler exploitation rates of yellow perch increased, while the annual rates of total mortality decreased markedly.
- 8) yellow perch growth increased.

With the 8-inch length limit in effect, the trade-off of 8% fewer bass in the creel was more than offset by the 30% increase in yield and the 1.2-inch increase in average length of bass creeled.

An equilibrium yield model predicted that by increasing the entry level into the sport fishery from age III (8-inch length limit) to age IV (approximately an 11-inch length limit), yield would increase 5% and harvest would decrease by 45%, assuming no resultant changes in growth or natural mortality. To test this hypothesis, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources set the length limit at 10 inches beginning on 1 January 1982 and will monitor the fishery and populations of smallmouth bass and yellow perch through at least 1986.

KEY WORDS: Angler Harvest, Growth, Length Limit, Mortality, Population Density, Smallmouth Bass, Wisconsin, Yellow Perch. An 8-Inch Length Limit on Smallmouth Bass: Effects on the Sport Fisheries and Populations of Smallmouth Bass and Yellow Perch in Nebish Lake, Wisconsin

by Steven L. Serns

Technical Bulletin No. 148 Department of Natural Resources P.O. Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707

1984

### CONTENTS\_\_\_

ABSTRACT i

**INTRODUCTION 4** 

THE STUDY AREA 4

### METHODS 5

#### **RESULTS 6**

Fishing Pressure 6 Effects on Smallmouth Bass 6 Density and Biomass 6 Angler Harvest, Yield, and Harvest Rate 6 Mortality and Exploitation Rate 9 Growth 13 Effects on Yellow Perch 17 Density and Biomass 17 Angler Harvest, Yield, and Harvest Rate 17 Mortality and Exploitation Rate 17 Growth 17

#### **DISCUSSION 20**

Fishing Pressure 20 Effects on Smallmouth Bass 20 Density and Biomass 20 Angler Harvest, Yield, and Harvest Rate 21 Mortality Rate 21 Growth 22 Effects on Yellow Perch 22

SUMMARY AND MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS 23

LITERATURE CITED 24

### List of Tables.

- 4 TABLE 1. Chemical and physical characteristics of Nebish Lake during spring and summer, 1969.
- 7 TABLE 2. Annual fishing pressure before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 7 TABLE 3. Estimated number of adult smallmouth bass in the spring, before and after an 8inch length limit.
- 8 TABLE 4. Estimated biomass of smallmouth bass in the spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 8 TABLE 5. Smallmouth bass young-of-the-year densities and mean lengths in fall, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 9 TABLE 6. Harvest, yield, and harvest rate of smallmouth bass, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 9 TABLE 7. Size distribution of harvested smallmouth bass, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 10 TABLE 8. Angler harvest and yield of smallmouth bass  $\geq$  7.8 inches after an 8-inch length limit.
- 11 TABLE 9. Angler harvest and yield of smallmouth bass before an 8-inch length limit.
- 12 TABLE 10. Instantaneous (Z) and annual (A) mortality rates, survival rates (S), and correlation coefficient  $(\underline{r})$  for smallmouth bass, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 12 TABLE 11. Total annual mortality rates (A) and exploitation rates ( $\mu$ ) for smallmouth bass, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 14 TABLE 12. Mean total length at various ages of smallmouth bass collected in the spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 14 TABLE 13. Mean weight of smallmouth bass collected in spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 15 TABLE 14. Mean calculated weights and length-weight regression formulae of smallmouth bass collected in the spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.

- 15 TABLE 15. Annual instantaneous growth rates (G), mean biomass (B), and production (P) of age III and IV smallmouth bass, for selected years before and after an 8-inch length limit.
- 16 TABLE 16. Equilibrium yield calculations for smallmouth bass in relation to changing assumptions on instantaneous growth rates (G) and natural mortality rates (M).
- 17 TABLE 17. Estimated number of yellow perch, by 1/2-inch group, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 18 TABLE 18. Estimated spring biomass of yellow perch, by 1/2 inch group, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 18 TABLE 19. Harvest, yield, and harvest rate of yellow perch, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- **19** TABLE 20. Instantaneous (Z) and annual (A) mortality rates and survival (S) rates for yellow perch, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 19 TABLE 21. Annual angler exploitation rates  $(\mu)$  of yellow perch, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 19 TABLE 22. Mean total length and age of male yellow perch captured at ice-out, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 20 TABLE 23. Mean total length and age of female yellow perch captured at ice-out, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.
- 23 TABLE 24. Comparison of fishery and population statistics, before and after an 8-inch size limit on smallmouth bass.

# List of Figures\_

- 5 FIGURE 1. Location of Nebish Lake in the Northern Highland Fishery Research Area, Vilas County.
- 21 FIGURE 2. Smallmouth bass natural reproduction in relation to summer water temperature in Nebish Lake, 1974-81.

# INTRODUCTION\_

With the increase in fishing pressure nationally in recent years (Anonymous 1982) and angler sophistication and efficiency because of advanced technology and "how-to" clinics and magazines, many state natural resource agencies are investigating ways to regulate sport fishing to protect more vulnerable species from overharvest. Among natural resource agencies, there also appears to be a move away from the philosophy of maximum sustained yield (MSY) management toward one of optimum sustained yield (OSY), in which the "quality" (the definition can be quite varied) of the fish harvested is considered (Carlton 1975).

One of the more effective and most frequently used methods of regulating harvest in order to improve a fishery is the use of a minimum length limit (Fox 1975). The purpose of this study was to contrast the sport fishery and life history parameters for smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieui*) and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) before and after an 8-inch minimum length limit on smallmouth bass in Nebish Lake, Wisconsin. Another objective was to determine whether an 8-inch length limit would increase the angling yield of smallmouth bass by an estimated 22% (Kempinger 1978).

Nebish Lake was chemically treated in 1966 (Kempinger and Christenson 1978) and restocked in 1967 to compare angling quality before and after the manipulation (Christenson et al. 1982) and to describe the population development of reintroduced smallmouth bass and yellow perch (Kempinger et al. 1982). During the 1972-81 period, neither species was regulated by a bag limit or a closed season.

### THE STUDY AREA.

Nebish Lake, one of five lakes in the Northern Highland Fishery Research Area, is located on undeveloped, stateowned land in the Northern Highland State Forest in central Vilas County (Fig. 1). Access to the lake is provided at an unimproved boat landing with parking facilities for about 10 cars and boat trailers. The lake has a surface area of 94 acres, a shoreline of 3.2 miles, and a maximum depth of 50 ft. The bottom contour is irregular, with a sharp dropoff along most of the perimeter, which limits the abundance of rooted aquatic plants.

Nebish Lake is an infertile seepage lake with a total alkalinity of 8.0-16.0 ppm. Other water quality characteristics are shown in Table 1. **TABLE 1.** Chemical and physical characteristics of Nebish Lake during spring and summer, 1969.\*

	Spring**		Summ	ner**
Parameter	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
	10.0	11.0	00	16.0
Alkalinity $(mg/L CaUO_3)$	10.0	11.0	8.U 7.1	10.0
pH	6.9	6.8	7.1	6.1
Nitrite (mg/L)	0.005	0.003	0.0	0.002
Nitrate $(mg/L)$	0.1	0.1	< 0.1	0.1
Ammonia (mg/L)	0.0	0.0	< 0.03	0.46
Organic nitrogen (mg/L)	0.43	0.43	0.67	0.97
Dissolved phosphate (mg/L)	< 0.03	0.0	0.0	0.07
Total phosphate (mg/L)	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
Sulfate (mg/L)	$<\!2.0$	$<\!2.0$	8.0	9.0
Chloride (mg/L)	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Calcium $(mg/L)$	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.6
Magnesium (mg/L)	1.55	1.6	1.12	1.25
Sodium $(mg/L)$	0.42	0.45	0.45	0.55
Potassium $(mg/L)$	0.52	0.55	0.45	0.55
Dissolved oxygen $(mg/L)$	9.6	8.7	7.9	0.0
Specific conductance (µmhos/cm)	_		30	45
Temperature (C)	5.5	5.5	22.2	7.2
Secchi disk (m)	4.25		4.0	

\* From Kempinger et al. 1982.

\* Spring and summer sampling dates were 28 April 1969 and 28 July 1969, respectively.



FIGURE 1. Location of Nebish Lake in the Northern Highland Fishery Research Area, Vilas County.

# METHODS.

Smallmouth bass fingerlings were collected each fall using a 230-volt, 3,000-watt AC boom shocker. The entire shoreline was electrofished on each of 3 to 5 nights between early September and mid-October. Stunned fingerlings were captured with a dipnet and marked with a distinctive fin clip, and a sample was measured to determine first summer growth. Population size was estimated using the Schnabel method (Ricker 1975).

Adult smallmouth bass and yellow perch were captured during the spawning periods using 4-ft fyke nets (3/8and 3/4-inch square mesh). Four 3/8inch mesh nets were fished for approximately 4 to 6 days after ice-out to capture the yellow perch, and 8 or 10 (4 or 5, 3/8-inch mesh and 4 or 5, 3/4-inch mesh) nets were fished for approximately one week in late May to collect smallmouth bass. In addition to using fyke nets, the entire shoreline was electrofished on 2 or 3 nights in late May and early June to capture smallmouth.

Scale samples were collected below the lateral line at the tip of the pectoral fin from all smallmouth bass and from 10 yellow perch of each sex in each 1/2inch size group. All bass were measured (to nearest 0.1 inch) and weighed (to the nearest 0.01 lb), and a sample of perch (contents of one fyke net) was measured. Yellow perch and smallmouth bass less than 6 inches were marked by fin removal; bass 6 inches and larger were marked with numbered Floy® FD-67C anchor tags.

The population and biomass of adult fish were calculated by age group for smallmouth bass and by 1/2-inch group (usually greater than 5.5 inches total length) for yellow perch. Petersen population estimates (Ricker 1975) were determined from the recapture of marked and unmarked fish by anglers during the open water season following the marking period (the entire season for smallmouth bass and usually the period through 30 June for yellow perch). A complete mandatory permittype creel census (described in detail by Christenson et al. 1982) provided data on fishing pressure and number, length, and weight of fish harvested each year (from ice-out one year to ice-out the following year).

Exploitation rates were calculated as the proportions of marked fish harvested by anglers during that year. Estimates of total instantaneous mortality (Z), annual rates of mortality (A), and annual rates of survival (S) were determined from catch curve analyses of aged smallmouth bass and yellow perch caught in fyke nets in spring. Estimates of annual mortality rates (A) of smallmouth bass were also made by dividing the population estimate of fish of age N+1 in one year by the estimated number in age N the previous year. For the various age groups, mean lengths (for smallmouth bass and yellow perch) and mean weights (for smallmouth bass) were calculated from data collected during the spring fyke netting and electrofishing periods. Length-weight regression formulae were calculated for smallmouth bass captured in fyke nets in spring.

Equilibrium yield calculations (Ricker 1975) were made using smallmouth bass data during 1980 and 1981, the last two years of the 8-inch length limit. Estimates of smallmouth bass production (ages III and IV) were made for the last three years with no length limit (1974-76) and the last three years with an 8-inch length limit (1979-81).

When possible in this report, comparisons were made between data from 1972-76 and data from 1977-81. Much of the data from 1972-76 have been published in two reports (Christenson et al. 1982 and Kempinger et al. 1982). These describe the smallmouth bass and yellow perch sport fishery and populations in Nebish Lake after they had stabilized following chemical treatment in fall 1966 and reintroduction in 1967. (These data were collected before the length limit on smallmouth bass harvest was in effect.) The data from 1977-81 describe the fishery for these two species under an 8-inch minimum



This sign on County Trunk Highway "M" points to the permanent year-round research station in the Northern Highland State Forest.

length limit on smallmouth bass. Because the length limit (which began on 1 January 1977) would not have affected the smallmouth bass and yellow perch populations when sampled in spring 1977\*, comparisons of data on population density, biomass, mortality, and growth were made for the 4year periods 1974-77 vs. 1978-81, respectively.

\*Only 5 smallmouth bass were caught during the 1977 ice fishing period.

### **RESULTS**.

### FISHING PRESSURE

Annual fishing pressure during 1977-81, with an 8-inch minimum length limit on smallmouth bass, was higher than during 1972-76 when there was no length limit (Table 2). The mean number of anglers/year fishing Nebish Lake after the length limit was 1,459 compared with 1,099 anglers before the length limit. Mean annual pressure, both in total number of hours fished and hours fished/acre. was higher after the length limit (5,343 hours; 57 hours/acre) than before the length limit (4,214 hours; 45 hours/ acre). The mean hours fished/angler was 3.86 before the length limit compared to 3.66 after the length limit.

### EFFECTS ON SMALLMOUTH BASS

#### **Density and Biomass**

6

The estimated density of smallmouth bass age III + varied from 7.3-24.6/acre (mean = 12.9) during the period with the 8-inch length limit (Table 3). When there was no minimum length limit (1972-76), the range was 4.5-39.2/acre (mean = 15.1). Because the length limit (which began on 1 January 1977) would have had little effect on the density of bass in spring 1977, a comparison of the densities of bass from 1978-81 with those of 1974-77 was considered to yield a better comparison of the density of age III + bass. This comparison indicated a 77% increase in the mean annual density of age III + bass during 1978-81 (14.6/ acre) compared to 1974-77 (8.2/acre). (See Table 3.)

Mean annual biomass estimates of adult smallmouth bass were 96% higher at age III, 87% higher at age IV, and 124% higher at age V during 1977-81 (Table 4). Mean total biomass estimates (ages III +) were 79% higher during 1978-81 (Table 4).

Estimated densities of fingerling smallmouth bass in fall were more variable during 1974-77 than during 1978-81, and the mean densities were also highest before the length limit (Table 5). The overall mean lengths of fingerlings in fall were identical during both periods, indicating similar first season growth.

### Angler Harvest, Yield, and Harvest Rate

After the 8-inch minimum length limit, the mean annual harvest of smallmouth bass decreased 8% (1,436 to 1,326) while yield increased by 30%(4.7 to 6.1 lb/acre). (See Table 6.) The harvest rate for smallmouth was lower when the limit was in effect (24.9/100)angler hours compared to 35.0/100 angler hours). However, the harvest rate for bass > 8 inches was higher with the length limit (23.9 vs. 18.8/100 angler)hours). (See Table 6.) There were no significant ( $\underline{P}$  < 0.05) correlations between fishing pressure (see Table 2) and the angler harvest of smallmouth bass (Table 6) during the 5-year periods of 1972-76 (r = -0.103) and 1977-81  $(\underline{r} = 0.161)$ , or the 10-year period of 1972-81 ( $\underline{r} = -0.162$ ).

Year	Total No. Anglers	Total Hours of Fishing	Angler Hours/ Acre
Refore Limit			
1972	907	3 320	35
1972	1 034	4 087	12
1974	081	3 069	40
1075	1 999	3,502 1 616	42
1076	1 220	5 095	43
1570	1,009	0,000	54
After Limit			
1977	1.387	5.170	55
1978	1.514	4.542	58
1979	1.392	5.076	54
1980	1.558	5.927	63
1981	1,436	5,092	54
Means ± SI	)		
1972-76	$1.099 \pm 180$	$4.214 \pm 671$	$45 \pm 7$
1977-81	$1,459~\pm~74$	5,343 ± 360	$57 \pm 4$

TABLE 2. Annual fishing pressure before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.

TABLE 3. Estimated number of adult smallmouth bass in the spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.\*

	Age					Total (A	$Age \ge III)$
Year	III	IV	V	VIa	VII <sup>a</sup>	( <b>no.</b> )	(no./acre)
Before Limit							
1972	745 (545-1,049)*	85 (23-840)	40 (14-200)			870	9.3
1973	<b>3,350</b> (2,874-3,921)	250 (166-398)	60 (23-238)	25 (8-117)		3,685	39.2
1974	475 (162-2,380)	470 (330-699)	75 (41-149)	25 (9-125)		1,045	11.1
1975	250 (143-484)	55 (24 <b>-</b> 175)	115 (4 <b>5-459</b> )			420	4.5
1976	880 (672-1,152)	120 (64-251)	15 ( <b>3-160</b> )	20 (3-56)	$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ (7\text{-}245) \end{array}$	1,060	11.3
After Limit							
1977	<b>529</b> (**)	116 (56-290)	5		16 (4 <b>-160</b> )	568	6.0
1978	<b>838</b> (**)	155 (71-422)	72 ( <b>20-720</b> )	9 (2-90)	10 3-50)	1,084	11.5
1979	<b>1,216</b> (**)	115 (80-160)	9 (5-35)	11 (5-35)		1,401	14.9
1980	<b>1,708</b> (**)	495 (352-720)	105 (19-1,050)			2,308	24.6
1981	<b>436</b> (**)	172 (128-237)	75 ( <b>32-234</b> )			683	7.3
Means ± SE	)						
1974-77 1978-81	$\begin{array}{r} 534 \ \pm \ 261 \\ 1,050 \ \pm \ 542 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}190\ \pm\ 189\\234\ \pm\ 175\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$			$\begin{array}{r} 773 \ \pm \ 328 \\ 1,369 \ \pm \ 692 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.2\ \pm\ 3.5\\ 14.6\ \pm\ 7.4\end{array}$

\* 95% confidence intervals are shown in parentheses.

\*\* Confidence intervals are shown in parentneses.
 \*\* Confidence intervals not available because the total number of age III bass was estimated by expanding the estimated number of bass 7.8 inches and greater by the percentage of all age III fish that were 7.8 inches and larger in the spring net catch.
 <sup>a</sup> Blank spaces in column indicate insufficient sample size for population estimate or mean and

standard deviation calculations.

	Age Total (Age $\geq$ III)								
Year	III	IV	v	VI**	VII**	( <b>lb</b> )	(lb/acre)		
Before Limit									
1972	164	122	78			364	3.9		
1973	469	150	79	61		759	8.1		
1974	67	122	40	40		269	2.9		
1975	53	20	78			151	1.6		
1976	211	43	12	<b>24</b>	37	327	3.4		
After Limit									
1977	164	86	5		35	290	3.1		
1978	210	84	93	17	30	434	4.6		
1979	280	74	14	26		394	4.2		
1980	376	247	104			727	7.7		
1981	105	103	92			300	3.2		
Means ± SD	)								
1974-77	$124 \pm 76$	$68 \pm 45$	$34~\pm~33$			$259 \pm 76$	$2.8 \pm 0.8$		
(lb/acre)	( <b>1.3</b> )	( <b>0.7</b> )	( <b>0.4</b> )						
1978-81	$243 \pm 114$	$127 \pm 81$	$76 \pm 42$			$464~\pm~184$	$4.9~\pm~1.9$		
(ID/acre)	(2.6)	(1.4)	(0.8)						

**TABLE 4.** Estimated biomass of smallmouth bass in the spring, before and afteran 8-inch length limit.\*

\* Units in lb.

\* Blank spaces in column indicate insufficient sample size for biomass estimate.

<b>TABLE 5.</b> Smallmouth bass young-of-the-year	densities	and mean	ı lengths in
fall, before and after an 8-inch length limit.			

Year	Total Number	95% Confidence Interval	Density (no./acre)	Mean Lei Stand. (inch	ngth ± Dev. es)
Refore Limit					
1972	2 482*		26.4*	$27 \pm 04$	(33)**
1973	1 495	208-7475	15.9	$2.1 \pm 0.1$ $2.8 \pm 0.3$	(86)
1974	1 174	705-14 483	12.5	$2.0 \pm 0.0$ $2.7 \pm 0.4$	(56)
1975	5.718	3.185-17.241	60.8	$3.0 \pm 0.3$	(72)
1976	7,764	5,405-11,236	82.6	$3.2 \pm 0.3$	(253)
After Limit					
1977	3.410	2.212- 6.667	36.3	$2.8~\pm~0.3$	(125)
1978	2.168	1.560- 4.655	23.1	$2.8 \pm 0.3$	(70)
1979	4.183	3.202- 5.627	44.5	$2.8 \pm 0.3$	(63)
1980	3.006	2.882- 3.366	32.0	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	(100)
1981	4,952	4,454- 5,510	52.7	$3.1 \pm 0.3$	(100)
Means ± SI	)				
1974-77	$3,727 \pm 2,886$		$39.6~\pm~30.7$	2.9	
1978-81	$3,544 \pm 1,071$		$37.7~\pm~11.4$	2.9	

\* Calculated from regression formula developed for relationship between catch/unit effort and density from 1967-76 (Kempinger et al. 1982); therefore, confidence interval data could not be determined.

\*\* Sample size in parentheses.

The mean total length of harvested smallmouth bass was 1.2 inches longer during the period with the length limit (9.4 inches vs. 8.2 inches), and the mean number of bass  $\geq 8$  inches in the annual creel was higher (1,278 vs. 783). (See Table 7.)

The mean annual harvest of smallmouth bass that were  $\geq 10.0$  and  $\geq 12.0$  inches was also higher in the years with an 8-inch length limit. The percentage of bass  $\geq 8$  inches that were > 10 inches was also higher with the length limit in effect (26% vs. 22%). However, the percentage of bass  $\geq 8$  inches that were  $\geq 12$  inches was slightly higher during the period without the length limit (7% vs. 5%). (See Table 6.)

When the length limit was in effect, the harvest of smallmouth bass from Nebish Lake was dominated in both number and weight by fish in age groups II and III (Table 8). Age II fish comprised an average of 48% (by number) and 34% (by weight) of the total yield, while age III fish comprised an average of 43% (by number) and 44% (by weight) of the total yield (Table 7). Only one yearling (1977) was harvested during the period of the 8inch minimum length limit.

With no length limit in effect (1972-76), anglers began harvesting bass at age I. An average of 4% (by number) and 1% (by weight) of the entire smallmouth yield during this period were age I. Age groups II and III comprised the majority of the yield. From 1972-

TABLE 6. Harvest, yield, and harvest rate of	smallmouth bass,	before and o	after an 8-inch
length limit.*			

Year	Harv	vest Weight (lb)	(no./acre	ield ) (lb/acre)	Harvest Rate (no./100 angler hours)	No. $\geq 8.0$ Inches Caught/100 angler hours
						-
Before Limit						
1972	1,617	439	17	4.7	48.7	19.5
1973	2,106	568	22	6.0	51.5	29.2
1974	808	268	9	2.9	20.4	11.8
1975	1.228	371	13	4.0	26.6	21.6
1976	1,420	549	15	5.8	27.9	12.1
After Limit						
1977	1,332	568	14	6.0	25.8	25.2
1978	1.599	701	17	7.5	29.3	28.7
1979	1.460	673	16	7.2	28.8	27.2
1980	1,301	543	14	5.8	22.0	21.3
1981	.938	357	10	3.8	18.4	17.2
Means ± SD						
1972-76	$1.436 \pm 479$	$439~\pm~125$	$15 \pm 5$	$4.7 \pm 1.3$	$35.0~\pm~14.1$	$18.8 \pm 7.3$
1977-81	$1,326~\pm~247$	$568~\pm~136$	$14~\pm~3$	$6.1~\pm~1.5$	$24.9~\pm~4.6$	$23.9~\pm~4.7$

\* Smallmouth bass 7.8 inches and larger were considered "legal" when brought into the checking station to allow for differences in measurement between angler and creel clerk and to allow for possible length loss due to shrinkage.

 TABLE 7. Size distribution of harvested smallmouth bass, before and after an 8-inch length limit.

Year	Total Number	Mean Length (inches)	No. and % $\geq 8.0$ inches	No. and % $\geq$ 10.0 inches	No. and % $\geq$ 12.0 inches
Before Limit					
1972	1.617	8.0	648 (40)*	156 (24)*	<b>45</b> (7)*
1973	2,106	8.2	1,193 (57)	144 (12)	<b>55</b> ( <b>5</b> )
1974	808	8.4	466 (58)	141 (30)	35 (8)
1975	1.228	8.2	<b>997</b> (81)	231 (23)	<b>49</b> ( <b>5</b> )
1976	1,420	8.1	<b>613</b> ( <b>43</b> )	119 (19)	<b>52</b> (9)
After Limit					
1977	1,332	9.4	<b>1,304</b> (98)	297 (23)	71 (5)
1978	1,599	9.4	1,562 (98)	<b>360</b> (23)	<b>103</b> (7)
1979	1,460	9.5	1,382 (95)	402 (29)	54 (4)
1980	1,301	9.6	1,264 (97)	<b>392</b> ( <b>31</b> )	<b>66</b> (5)
1981	938	9.2	878 (94)	<b>213</b> (24)	51 (6)
Means $\pm$ SD					
1972-76	$1,436 \pm 479$	$98.2 \pm 0.2$	$783 \pm 301 \ (56 \pm 1)$	6) $158 \pm 43 \ (22 \pm 7)$	$47 \pm 8 (7 \pm 2)$
1977-81	$1,326 \pm 247$	$79.4 \pm 0.1$	$1,278 \pm 251 \ (92 \pm 251)$	$2)  333 \pm 79 \ (26 \pm 4)$	$69 \pm 21 \ (5 \pm 1)$

\* Percent is shown in parentheses.

76, age II fish comprised an average of 53% (by number) and 38% (by weight) of the total smallmouth harvest. Both these figures were higher than during 1977-81, the period with the length limit. Age III fish comprised 32% (by number) and 34% (by weight) of the harvest during 1972-76. These values were lower than during 1977-81 (Table 9).

Of the 6,630 smallmouth bass caught by anglers during 1977-81, only 43 (0.6%) were caught during the period of ice cover (usually mid-November to mid-late April), indicating low vulnerability to angling during the winter months (5.6% of the anglers fishing Nebish Lake from 1977-81 fished during the period of ice cover).

### Mortality and Exploitation Rate

Estimates of instantaneous and annual mortality rates from catch curve analyses of the age frequency distribution in the spring fyke net catches indicated higher mortality rates for age III + bass when the length limit was in effect (Table 10). Estimates of total annual mortality calculated for ages III, IV, and V from successive population estimates were higher for both periods than those calculated from catch curves (Table 10). The estimates determined from successive population estimates of the same year class also indicated slightly lower rates at ages III-V when the length limit was in effect (Table 11).

Mean annual angler exploitation rates ( $\mu$ ) of smallmouth averaged 0.43, 0.49, and 0.43 for ages III-V during 1978-81 (Table 11). The rates were similar to those for smallmouth of age III during 1974-77. However, the rates for ages IV and V smallmouth before the length limit were lower (0.37 and 0.21, respectively) than after the length limit (0.49 and 0.43, respectively). There was a trend toward reduced angler exploitation with increasing age during 1974-77. However, this trend was not evident during 1978-81 (Table 11).

		Harv	est		Yield			
					(% of		(% of	
Year	Age	( <b>no.</b> )	(lb)	(no./acre)	total)	(lb/acre)	total)	
1977	I	1	*					
	Ī	1,036	360	11.0	77	3.8	63	
	III	223	123	2.4	17	1.3	22	
	IV	62	64	0.7	5	0.7	12	
	V	4	5	*	**	0.1	1	
	VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	VII	5	14	*	1	0.1	1	
	VIII	2	5	*	**	*	**	
Total		1,332	568	14.2		6.0		
1978	II	965	296	10.3	61	3.1	41	
	III	536	268	5.7	34	2.9	39	
	IV	61	62	0.6	4	0.6	8	
	V	23	37	0.2	1	0.4	5	
	VI	3	6	*	**	*	**	
	VII	<b>2</b>	6	*	**	*	**	
	VIII	4	11	*	**	0.1	1	
	IX	5	15	*	**	0.2	3	
Total		1,599	701	16.9		7.5		
1979	II	398	115	4.2	27	1.2	17	
	III	973	456	10.4	67	4.9	68	
	IV	72	61	0.8	5	0.6	8	
	v	8	15	0.1	1	0.2	3	
	VI	6	14	0.1	1	0.1	1	
	VII	2	7	*	**	*	**	
	Х	1	5	*	**	*	**	
Total		1,460	673	15.5		7.2		
1980	II	288	75	3.1	22	0.8	14	
	III	768	287	8.1	59	3.0	52	
	IV	223	149	2.4	17	1.6	28	
	v	20	26	0.2	1	0.3	5	
	VI	2	6	*	**	0.1	2	
Total		1,301	543	13.8		5.8		
1981	II	501	126	5.3	53	1.4	37	
	III	331	135	3.6	36	1.4	37	
	IV	89	68	0.9	9	0.7	18	
	V	17	28	0.2	2	0.3	8	
Total		938	357	10.0		3.8		
Means	II				48		34	
	III				43		44	
	IV				8		15	
	V				1		4	

**TABLE 8.** Angler harvest and yield of smallmouth bass  $\geq$  7.8 inches after an 8-inch length limit.

\* Less than 0.1. \*\* Less than 1.0%.



Year         Age         (no.)         (lb)         (no./acre)         (% of total)         (% of total)         (% of total)           1972         I         49         5         0.5         3         0.1         2           11         1,1556         286         14.4         84         3.0         64           111         171         83         1.8         11         0.9         19           V         28         39         0.3         **         0.4         9           V         13         26         0.1         **         0.3         **           1973         I         11         1         0.1         **         *         **           1973         I         11         1.556         387         16.3         73         4.1         68           1V         112         260         1.2         5         0.6         10           V1         12         26         0.1         **         0.3         5           Total         2,106         568         22.4         6.0         13         3         3.3         10           V1         12         26			Harve	est		Yield			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-			(% of		(% of	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Year	Age	(no.)	(lb)	(no./acre)	total)	(lb/acre)	total)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1972	I	49	5	0.5	3	0.1	2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		II	1,356	286	14.4	84	3.0	64	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		III	171	83	1.8	11	0.9	19	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		IV	28	39	0.3	**	0.4	9	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		v	13	26	0.1	**	0.3	6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total		1,617	439	17.1		4.7		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1973	I	11	1	0.1	**	*	**	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		II	401	55	4.3	19	0.6	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		III	1,536	387	16.3	73	4.1	68	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		IV	112	60	1.2	5	0.6	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		V	34	39	0.4	2	0.4	7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VI	12	26	0.1	**	0.3	5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total		2,106	568	22.4		6.0		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1974	I	85	9	0.9	10	0.1	3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		II	264	52	2.8	33	0.6	21	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		III	209	62	2.2	26	0.7	24	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		IV	212	96	2.3	27	1.0	34	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		V	29	30	0.3	3	0.3	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VI	7	14	0.1	1	0.1	3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VII	2	5	*	**	0.1	3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total		808	268	8.6		2.9		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1975	Ι	21	2	0.2	2	*	**	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		II	905	198	9.6	73	2.1	53	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		III	209	69	2.2	17	0.7	18	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		IV	26	18	0.3	2	0.2	5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		V	43	36	0.5	4	0.4	10	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VI	5	2	0.1	1	<u> </u>	++ 0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VII	13	28	0.1	1	0.0	0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>m</b> 1	V111	1 000	18	0.1	1	0.2	5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total		1,228	371	13.1		4.0		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1976	I	102	13	1.1	7	0.1	2	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		II	758	225	8.1	54	2.4	41	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		III	470	220	5.0	33	2.3	40	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		IV	60	41	0.6	4	0.4	1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		V	5	5	0.1	1	0.1	2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VI	13	19	0.1	1	0.2	ა ი	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VII	9	17	0.1	1 **	0.2	ა ი	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total	VIII	3 1.420	9 549	15.1		0.1 5.8	2	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10000	Ŧ	1,120	• ••		A		1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Means	1				4 53		38	
$\begin{array}{cccc}  & 11 \\  & IV \\  & V \\  & V \\  & V \\  & V \\  & 1 \\  & 2 \\  & 1 \\  & 1 \\  & 2 \\  & 1 \\  & 2 \\  & 1 \\  & 1 \\  & 1 \\  & 2 \\  & 1$		111				32		34	
V 2 7 VI 1 2		IV				8		13	
VI 1 2		v				$\tilde{2}$		7	
		vi				1		2	

**TABLE 9.** Angler harvest and yield of smallmouth bass before an 8-inch lengthlimit.

\* Less than 0.1. \*\* Less than 1.0%.



**TABLE 10.** Instantaneous (Z) and annual (A) mortality rates, survival rates (S), and correlation coefficient ( $\underline{r}$ ) for smallmouth bass, before and after an 8-inch length limit.\*

Year	Age	Z	А	s	<u>r</u>
Before Limit					
1972	$III \leq$	1.322	0.734	0.266	-0.776
1973	$\underline{>}$ III	0.994	0.630	0.370	-0.915
1974	$\geq$ IV	0.854	0.574	0.426	-0.999
1975	$\geq$ II	0.792	0.547	0.453	-0.824
1976	$\ge$ III	0.405	0.333	0.667	-0.660
After Limit	\$				
1977	$\geq$ III	0.676	0.491	0.509	-0.602
1978	$\underline{>}III$	0.500	0.393	0.607	-0.841
1979	$\geq$ III	1.062	0.653	0.347	-0.910
1980	$\geq$ III	1.490	0.775	0.225	-0.960
1981	>III	1.441	0.763	0.237	-0.955
Means					
1974-77	≥age III	0.692	0.486	0.514	
1978-81	$\geq$ age III	1.123	0.646	0.354	

\* Based on catch curve analyses of the age distribution of the spring fyke net catches.

**TABLE 11.** Total annual mortality rates  $(A)^*$  and exploitation rates  $(\mu)$  for smallmouth bass before and after an 8-inch length limit.

	II	Ι	Г	V	,	V	VI	VII+	Mean	III-V
Year	A	μ	Α	μ	Α	μ	μ	μ	А	μ
<b>Before Limit</b>										
1972	0.66	0.23	0.29**	0.29	0.38	0.25			0.52	0.26
1973	0.86	0.45	0.70	0.47	0.59	0.58			0.72	0.50
1974	0.88	0.43	0.74	0.38		0.25			0.81	0.35
1975	$0.52^{**}$	0.80	0.73	0.36	0.83	0.13			0.78	0.43
1976	0.87	0.51	0.96	0.50		0.25	0.56	0.29	0.92	0.42
After Limit										
1977	0.71	0.46	0.38	0.25				0.38	0.55	0.44
1978	0.86	0.21	0.94	0.38	0.85	0.25	0.33	0.60	0.88	0.28
1979	0.61	0.59	0.09**	0.63		0.80	0.67		0.61**	0.67
1980	0.90	0.42	0.85	0.45					0.88	0.44
1981 <sup>a</sup>	0.84	0.50	0.74	0.51	0.80	0.23			0.79	0.41
Means										
1974-77	0.82	0.47	0.70	0.37	0.83	0.21			0.77	0.41
1978-81	0.80	0.43	0.84	0.49	0.83	0.43			0.85	0.45

\* Mortality for age group calculated using estimates (Table 2) from spring of one year to spring the following year. \*\* Total annual mortality (A) not included in calculation of mean when  $\mu$  is equal to or greater than A, an impossible situation probably the result of small sample size and/or sampling variation.

<sup>a</sup> Population estimates in spring 1982 used to calculate total annual mortality from spring 1981 to spring 1982 are presented in Serns, 1983.

### Growth

For each age except age I, the mean lengths of smallmouth bass captured in Nebish Lake by fyke netting and electrofishing were higher when the length limit was in effect (1978-81) than for the previous four years (1974-77). (See Table 12.)

Mean weights for smallmouth captured by fyke netting and electrofishing from 1978-81 were higher at ages II-IX than the mean weights of smallmouth collected in the springs of 1974-77 (Table 13). Although the 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass began on 1 January 1977, there was little fishing prior to spring ice-out and only 5 smallmouth bass were caught through the ice in 1977. Therefore, the mean weight of bass collected in the spring of 1977 would not have been influenced by the length limit. Mean calculated weights for a given length, using length-weight regression formulae for smallmouth collected in spring during two years with no length limit (1974 and 1975) and two years with the length limit (1979 and 1980), indicated no obvious differences (Table 14).

Annual smallmouth bass reproduction (lb/acre) was more than twice as high during 1979-81 than during 1974-76 (Table 15). Annual production of age III and IV bass combined ranged from 0.6-1.5 lb/acre (mean = 1.17) from 1974-76 and from 1.7-4.3 lb/acre (mean = 2.9) during 1979-81 (Table 15).

Equilibrium yield calculations for Nebish Lake smallmouth bass indicated that if instantaneous rates of growth, natural mortality, and annual angler exploitation rates remain unchanged from 1980 and 1981, the highest yield of bass would occur if bass were protected until age IV (Table 16). This increased protection from age III (approximately 8 inches total length) to age IV (approximately 11 inches) would increase the theoretical yield per given weight of recruits by slightly more than 5%. The total number of fish harvested, however, would decrease by 45% (from 2,232 to 1,235).

Protection until age V (about 13 inches) would cause a reduction in yield of about 19% and a reduction in harvest of 75% (compared to protection up to age III). With slight decreases in the instantaneous growth rate or increases in the natural mortality rate, yield would increase less than 5% by increasing the protection of smallmouth bass from age III to age IV, and in some cases yield would decline with increased protection.



Biologist measures an adult smallmouth bass during spring netting and tagging operations.

TRANSPORT										
Year	0**	Ι	II	III	IV A	ge V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
<b>Before Limit</b>										
1972	$\frac{2.8}{(25)^a}$	<b>3.4</b> (7)	5.9 (652)	7.8 (169)	13.5 (7)	15.2 (6)		_		
1973	2.7 (33)		<b>4.7</b> ( <b>40</b> )	7.1 (336)	10.0 (47)	13.5 (6)	16.0 (6)		—	
1974	2.8 (86)	·	<b>5.1</b> ( <b>3</b> )	7.1 (7)	8.6 (79)	<b>10.5</b> ( <b>37</b> )	14.4 (15)	16.6 (6)		
1975	2.7 (56)	<b>3.3</b> (8)	5.5 (179)	<b>8.0</b> (14)	9.7 (15)	11.5 (28)	14.7 (1)	15.5 (3)		
1976	<b>3.0</b> (72)	<b>3.1</b> (4)	<b>5.6</b> ( <b>56</b> )	8.5 (108)	9.3 (21)	11.9 (4)	13.3 (9)	14.5 (7)	15.6 (10)	17.0 (1)
After Limit										
1977	3.2 (253)	$3.8 \\ (557)^{\prime}$	6.5 (697)	9.1 (105)	11.8 (28)	12.2 (1)		16.3 (8)	—	_
1978	2.8 (125)	<b>3.4</b> (73)	6.2 (1,015)	8.4 (146)	10.5 (24)	<b>13.6</b> (10)	14.8 (5)			17.8 (4)
1979	<b>2.8</b> (70)	<b>3.6</b> (2)	5.9 (172)	8.4 (522)	11.3 (59)	14.4 (5)	<b>16.4</b> (9)	16.9 (1)	16.9 (2)	—
1980	<b>2.8</b> (63)	3.3 (142)	6.1 (297)	8.2 (407)	10.4 (75)	13.1 (5)		17.6 (1)		
1981	<b>3.1</b> (100)	<b>3.3</b> (55)	5.5 (547)	8.5 (155)	11.0 (86)	13.4 (22)	<b>16.4</b> (1)	17.6 (1)	<u> </u>	
Means										
1974-77 1978-81	2.9 2.9	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4\\ 3.4\end{array}$	5.7 5.9	$\begin{array}{c} 8.2\\ 8.4\end{array}$	9.9 10.8	$\begin{array}{c} 11.5\\ 13.6\end{array}$	14.1 15.9	$\begin{array}{c} 15.7\\17.4\end{array}$	15.6 16.9	17.0 17.8

TABLE 12. Mean total length at various ages of smallmouth bass collected in the spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.\*

\* Units in inches.
\*\* Fingerlings were captured in previous fall using an electroshocker.
a Sample size in parentheses.

					Age				
Year	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
Before Limit									
1972	0.01 (7)**	<b>0.09</b> (652)	<b>0.22</b> (169)	1.43 (7)	<b>1.96</b> (12)		_	—	_
1973		<b>0.04</b> ( <b>39</b> )	<b>0.14</b> (334)	<b>0.46</b> (49)	1.31 (5)	<b>2.43</b> (6)		—	_
1974	—	0.05 (3)	<b>0.14</b> (7)	<b>0.26</b> (80)	<b>0.53</b> (37)	1.58 (15)	2.59 (60)		_
1975	<b>0.04</b> (62)	0.07 (242)	<b>0.21</b> (15)	<b>0.37</b> (15)	<b>0.68</b> (27)	<b>1.02</b> (1)	1.69 (3)		_
1976	<b>0.01</b> (4)	<b>0.06</b> (56)	<b>0.24</b> (108)	<b>0.36</b> (21)	0.78 (4)	<b>1.20</b> (9)	1.48 (7)	2.03 (10)	<b>2.81</b> (1)
After Limit									
1977	0.03 (557)	<b>0.13</b> (697)	<b>0.31</b> (105)	<b>0.74</b> (28)	<b>0.93</b> (1)	—	2.20 (8)	_	—
1978	0.02 (73)	<b>0.09</b> (977)	0.25 (140)	<b>0.53</b> (24)	1.27 (10)	1.80 (5)			$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.97} \\ \textbf{(4)} \end{array}$
1979	0.04 (2)	<b>0.08</b> (172)	<b>0.23</b> (522)	<b>0.66</b> (59)	1.59 (5)	<b>2.40</b> (6)	<b>2.88</b> (1)	2.88 (2)	—
1980	0.01 (142)	<b>0.09</b> (298)	<b>0.22</b> (410)	0.50 (77)	<b>0.99</b> (4)		1.85 (1)		
1981	0.01 (55)	0.06 (549)	<b>0.24</b> (156)	0.60 (85)	1.22 (22)	<b>2.69</b> (1)	<b>3.00</b> (1)		
Means									
1974-77 1978-81	0.03 0.02	0.08 0.08	$\begin{array}{c} 0.23 \\ 0.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43 \\ 0.57 \end{array}$	$0.73 \\ 1.27$	$1.27 \\ 2.30$	$1.99 \\ 2.58$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.03 \\ 2.88 \end{array}$	2.81 2.97

**TABLE 13.** Mean weight of smallmouth bass collected in spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.\*

\* Units in lb.\*\* Sample size in parentheses.

	Weight Befo	re Limit (lb)	Weight Aft	er Limit (lb)
Total Length	1974	1975	1979	1980
60	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08
8.0	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19
10.0	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.39
12.0	0.78	0.74	0.75	0.69
14.0	1.31	1.22	1.24	1.12
16.0	2.03	1.89	1.94	1.69
Length-Weight Relationship N r	$ \begin{matrix} \log_{10} W &= -3.682 \\ +3.314 \ \log_{10} L \\ 151 \\ 0.991 \end{matrix} $	$log_{10}W = -3.629 + 3.243 log_{10}L 245 0.991$		$\begin{array}{r} \log_{10} \mathrm{W} = -3.532 \\ + 3.123 \log_{10} \mathrm{L} \\ 601 \\ 0.981 \end{array}$

**TABLE 14.** Mean calculated weights and length-weight regression formulae of smallmouth bass collected in the spring, before and after an 8-inch length limit.

**TABLE 15.** Annual instantaneous growth rates (G), mean biomass (B), and production (P) of age III and IV smallmouth bass, for selected years before and after an 8-inch length limit.

Voor	III	IV	Total	Total	$\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{\overline{R}}$
<u></u>	111	1 1	10041	(ID/dele)	1/0
<b>Before Limit</b>					
1974					
G	0.97	0.60			
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	44	100	144	1.5	0.72
Р	43	60	103	1.1	
1975					
G	0.54	0.75			
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	86	18	84	1.0	0.64
Р	46	14	60	0.6	
1976					
G	0.38	0.49			
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	254	89	343	3.6	0.41
Р	87	44	141	1.5	
After Limit					
1979					
G	0.78	0.41			
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	276	97	373	4.0	0.68
Р	97	40	255	2.7	
1980					
G	1.00	0.89			
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	257	170	427	4.5	0.96
Р	257	151	408	4.3	
1981					
G	1.15	0.85			
$\overline{\mathbf{B}}$	79	83	162	1.7	0.98
Р	91	71	162	1.7	

Age of				Mean Weight	
Recruits to	Approx. Size		Harvest	of Harvest	Population
Fishery	Limit (inches)	Yield (lb)	(no.)	(lb)	Biomass (lb)
	/		· · /		
		G and M u	inchanged		
Ι	3	766	4,706	0.2	1,090
II	6	831	3,888	0.2	1,232
III	8	1,078	2,232	0.5	2,205
IV	11	1,136	1,235	0.9	3,309
V	13	872	569	1.5	4,283
		G decreas	ed by 5%		
T	3	655	4.308	0.2	948
ц	6	708	3,481	0.2	1.071
	8	889	1 899	0.5	1 881
IV	11	910	1,011	0.9	2,771
v	13	683	453	1.5	3,536
•	10	0.00	JL. 100/	1.0	0,000
т	9	G decrease	a by 10%	0.1	000
I TI	ა ი	26Z 672	3,900 9,951	0.1	909 1 000
	6	672	3,301	0.2	1,026
	8	827	1,780	0.5	1,785
IV	11	829	924	0.9	2,600
V	13	610	404	1.5	3,286
	G decreas	sed by 5%,	M increas	sed by 5%	
Ι	3	623	4,175	0.1	909
II	6	672	3,351	0.2	1,026
III	8	827	1,781	0.5	1,785
IV	11	829	924	0.9	2,600
V	13	610	404	1.5	3,286
	G decreas	ed by 5%.	M increas	ed by 10%	
I	3	594	4.048	0.1	872
п	Ğ	639	3.227	0.2	985
ш	8	769	1.670	0.5	1.696
īv	11	755	844	0.9	2,442
v	13	544	361	1.5	3.057
•		d hr 100/	M :	and her 100/	3,301
т	G decrease	u by 10%, 510	vi increas	sed by 10%	764
1	о С	547	0,124 9,000	0.1	104
11	o o	041 695	2,099 1 494	0.4	1 455
111	ð 11	030 606	1,424	0.4	1,400
1 V	11	000	09Z	0.9	2,000
v	13	426	287	1.5	2,940
_	G decrease	ed by 15%,	M increas	sed by 10%	
Ι	3	483	3,642	0.1	724
II	6	518	2,803	0.2	816
III	8	606	1,379	0.4	1,380
IV	11	585	678	0.9	1,960
V	13	419	287	1.5	2,433

TABLE 16. Equilibrium yield calculations for smallmouth bass in relation to changing assumptions on instantaneous growth rates (G) and natural mortality rates (M).\*

\* Assumes 100 lb of annual recruits. Total annual mortality for age I fish was set at 40% and exploitation was 10%. For age II and older bass, annual mortality rate was 77% and annual rate of exploitation 47%. Instantaneous growth rates (G) were calculated from mean weight-at-age data for 1980 and 1981, as listed in Table 13.

# EFFECTS ON YELLOW PERCH

### **Density and Biomass**

Mark-recapture estimates of the number of adult yellow perch in Nebish Lake in spring indicated a much higher density during the 4-year period before the length limit on smallmouth bass (Table 17). The mean density of perch  $\geq$ 5.5 inches was over 2 times greater during the 1974-77 period (514/acre) than the subsequent 5 years (219/acre). The density of perch  $\geq$ 7.0 inches during 1974-77 was more than triple (415/acre) the density observed when the length limit was in effect (128/acre). (See Table 17.)

Spring biomass estimates of yellow perch in Nebish Lake were also much greater during the period before the length limit. The estimated mean annual biomass of perch  $\geq$  5.5 inches during 1974-77 was 86 lb/acre compared with 38 lb/acre during the subsequent 4-year period (Table 18). Also, the mean biomass for perch  $\geq$  7 inches during the 4 years before the length limit (78 lb/acre) was more than double the biomass of perch of equal length after the length limit (28 lb/acre).

### Angler Harvest, Yield, and Harvest Rate

The harvest, yield, and harvest rate of yellow perch was higher during 1972-76 than during the subsequent 5 years (Table 19). The annual harvest of yellow perch averaged 4,167 fish weighing 810 lb during 1972-76, compared with 3,036 fish weighing 678 lb from 1977-81. The mean annual yield of perch before the length limit was 44/acre and 8.6 lb/acre compared to 32/acre and 7.2 lb/acre after the length limit (Table 19). No significant ( $\underline{P} < 0.05$ ) correlations were found for the relationship between fishing pressure (Table 2) and the angler harvest of yellow perch (Table 19) during 1972-76 (r = 0.534) and 1977-81 (r = -0.333), or the 10-year period of 1972-81 (r = -0.019).

Mean annual harvest rates were nearly twice as high (96 vs. 58 per 100 angler hours) in the 5 years before the length limit (Table 19). Harvest rates for yellow perch ranged from 42-184 per 100 angler hours from 1972-76 and from 29-96 during the subsequent 5 years.

Of the 15,180 yellow perch harvested by anglers during 1977-81, 32% were caught when the lake was ice-covered. The annual percentage of perch harvested during the winters of 1977-81 ranged from a low of 13% in 1980 to a high of 60% in 1981. Of the 7,287 anglers fishing Nebish Lake from 1977-81, only 408 (5.6%) fished during the period of ice cover.

### Mortality and Exploitation Rate

Instantaneous and annual mortality rates were calculated for yellow perch from catch curves of fish captured in fyke nets in spring. Mortality rate estimates for adult (age III +, IV +, and VI +) perch were considerably higher during 1974-77 than during 1978-81 (Table 20).

Annual exploitation rates for adult yellow perch were higher generally during 1978-81 than 1974-77 (Table 21). The mean annual exploitation rates for perch from 1974-77 were 0.13 (perch  $\geq$ 5.5 inches) and 0.31 (perch  $\geq$ 7 inches) compared with the annual rates of 0.20 (perch  $\geq$ 6 inches) and 0.24 (perch  $\geq$ 7 inches) for 1978-81.

### Growth

Mean total lengths were greater at each age for both male and female yellow perch collected during 1978-81 than during 1974-77. Mean lengths were over 1 inch greater for males ages III and IV collected from 1978-81, compared with mean lengths of those collected from 1974-77 (Table 22). Mean lengths of females at each of ages IV-VII were also over 1 inch greater during 1978-81 than during 1974-77 (Table 23).

**TABLE 17.** Estimated number of yellow perch, by 1/2-inch group, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.\*

Total Length			Before Limi	t			A	fter Limit		
(inches)	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981
5.5	17,400	72.200	600			2.700	4.400			
6.0	11.900	39,100	3.900	3.900	2,400	2.900	3,600		3.100	16.200
6.5	11,900	13,900	15,100	1,500	2,500	1,500	900		1,500	4,500
7.0	3,600	2,500	26,200	1,600	3,600	1,400	2,000	4,400	400	4,100
7.5	2,900	1,200	29,100	3,800	3,500	2,100	1,500	5,900	1,200	1,600
8.0	800	300	27,900	8,500	5,500	1,900	1,600	8,000	1,200	1,100
8.5	200	100	9,000	5,800	4,100	1,800	1,300	4,000	800	1,200
9.0	100	80	3,100	5,000	1,400	2,600	700	1,200	800	1,700
9.5	100	10	1,600	2,800	1,600	400		500	500	
10.0			80	1,000	300	200	300	400	500	
10.5				100	200		300		200	
11.0							500		100	
Total										
> 5.5 (no.)	48,900	129,390	116,580	34,000	25,100	17,500	17,100	24,400	10,300	30,400
>7.0 (no.)	7,700	4,190	96,980	28,600	20,200	10,400	8,200	24,400	5,700	9,700
> 5.5 (no./acre)	520	1,376	1,240	362	267	186	182	260	110	323
<u>&gt;</u> 7.0 (no./acre)	82	46	1,032	304	215	111	87	260	61	103
Means										
1974-77										
SEE 49 905 (	514/0 ano)									

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} &\geq 5.5 & 48,295 \; (514/acre) \\ &\geq 7.0 & 39,045 \; (415/acre) \\ 1978-81 & \\ &\geq 5.5 & 20,550 \; (219/acre) \\ &\geq 7.0 & 12,000 \; (128/acre) \end{array}$ 

\* Rounded to the nearest 100 if >100 or 10 if <100.

Total Longth		F	Roforo Limit				Δ	fter Limit		
(inches)	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981
5.5	1,118	6,868	47			163	443			
6.0	1,010	4,883	310	164	110	229	360		312	1,787
6.5	1,234	2,129	1,509	80	157	166	103		198	539
7.0	455	505	3,467	149	282	202	304	667	67	567
7.5	449	296	5,121	488	376	377	269	1,060	198	267
8.0	298	82	5,958	1,652	723	427	339	1,674	252	212
8.5	51	46	2,265	1,078	633	460	317	970	198	287
9.0	30	33	841	1,137	275	833	198	335	237	499
9.5	35	8	559	672	388	162		168	154	231
10.0			31	382	75	105	112	134	197	
10.5				62	69		154		95	
11.0							<b>241</b>		58	
Totals										
<u>&gt;5.5</u> (lb.)	4,680	14,850	20,108	5,864	3,088	3,124	2,840	5,008	1,966	4,389
<u>&gt;</u> 7.0 (lb.)	1,318	970	18,242	5,620	2,821	2,566	1,934	5,008	1,456	2,063
<b>&gt;5.5</b> (lb/acre)	50	158	214	62	33	33	30	53	21	47
$\geq$ 7.0 (lb/acre)	14	10	194	60	30	27	21	53	15	22
Means										
1974-77										
>5.5 8,046 lb	; 86 lb/acre									
$\overline{>}7.0$ 7,312 lb	; 78 lb/acre									
1978-81										
> 5.5 3,551 lb	; 38 lb/acre									
$\ge 7.0$ 2,615 lb	; 28 lb/acre									

**TABLE 18.** Estimated spring biomass of yellow perch, by 1/2 inch group, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.\*

\* Units in lb.

	Harv	vest	Y	ield	Harvest Rate (no./100 angler hours)	
Year	Number	$Weight \ (lb)$	(no./acre	) (lb/acre)		
Before Limit						
1972	1,918	266	20	2.8	57	
1973	1,724	227	18	2.4	42	
1974	4,708	893	50	9.5	119	
1975	8,505	1.716	90	18.3	184	
1976	3,981	947	42	10.1	78	
After Limit						
1977	3,596	896	38	9.2	71	
1978	3,074	746	33	7.9	56	
1979	1,898	469	20	5.0	38	
1980	1,748	427	19	4.5	29	
1981	4,864	881	52	9.4	96	
Means ± SI	)					
1972-76	$4,167 \pm 2,746$	$810~\pm~609$	$44 \pm 29$	$8.6 \pm 6.5$	$96 \pm 57$	
1977-81	$3.306 \pm 1.286$	$678 \pm 217$	$32 \pm 14$	$7.2 \pm 2.3$	$58 \pm 27$	

**TABLE 19.** Harvest, yield, and harvest rate of yellow perch, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.

**TABLE 20.** Instantaneous (Z) and annual (A) mortality rates and survival (S) rates for yellow perch, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.\*

TABLE 21. Annual angler exploitation
rates $(\mu)$ of yellow perch, before and
after an 8-inch length limit on
smallmouth bass.

Year	Age	Z	А	S	<u>r</u>	Leng Gro	gth up Annual Rate of
<b>Before Limit</b>						Year (inch	es) Exploitation $(\mu)$
1972	$\geq$ III	1.703	0.818	0.182	-0.949	Defens Limit	
1973	$\geq$ III	2.679	0.931	0.069	-0.976		0 0.15
1974	> IV	2.272	0.897	0.103	-0.938	1972 27	0.15
1975		3.173	0.959	0.041	-0.900	1973 27	.0 0.14
1976	>VI	3 023	0.956	0.044	-0.980	1974 <u>&gt;</u> 5	.5 0.02
1510	<u>~</u> 11	0.020	0.000	0.011	0.000	1975 <u>&gt;</u> 5	.5 0.15
After Limit		0.004	0.000	0.074	0 000	1976 $\geq 5$	.5 0.12
1977	<u>&gt;</u> 111	0.994	0.626	0.374	-0.990	After Limit	
1978	$\underline{>}$ III	0.761	0.533	0.467	-0.963	1977 > 6.0:	>7.0 0.23. 0.31
1979	$\geq$ III	1.110	0.670	0.330	-0.993	1078 > 6.0:	$\geq 7.0$ 0.25, 0.31
1980	$\geq$ III	0.630	0.467	0.533	-0.992	1978 - 60;	$\geq 7.0$ 0.37, 0.35
1981	>III	0.808	0.554	0.446	-0.941	$\frac{1979}{2000} \xrightarrow{>} 6.0;$	$\geq$ 7.0 0.07; 0.09
	—					$1980 \ge 0.0;$	$\geq 7.0$ 0.16; 0.25
Means 1074 77		2 366	0.860	0 140		1981 $\geq 6.0;$	$\geq 7.0$ 0.20; 0.27
19/4-//	<u>~111, 1V</u>	2.000	0.000	0.140		Means $\pm$ SD	
1077 81	and VI	0 827	0.556	0 1 1 1		1974-77 <u>&gt;</u> 5.5,	$\geq 6.0$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.09
1977-01	<u></u>	0.021	0.000	0.111			$\geq$ 7.0 0.31
* Based on o	catch curve	analyses	of the age	distribu	tion	1070.01	
of perch in	the spring	fyke net	catches.			1978-81	-0.0 0.20 ± 0.13
• • • • •		-					$\leq 0.24 \pm 0.11$

**TABLE 22.** Mean total length and age of male yellow perch captured at ice-out,before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.\*

				А	ge			
Year	Ι	II	III	IV	<u> </u>	VI	VII	VIII
Before Limit	_	_	4 4	67	87			
1012			(52)**	(33)	(42)			
1973	<b>3.2</b> (8)**	<b>3.8</b> (18)	<b>4.9</b> (55)	6.7 (48)	8.3 (12)	9.1 (16)	_	—
1974	3.3 (17)	<b>4.2</b> (19)	<b>5.1</b> (16)	6.5 (56)	8.5 (34)	9.7 (4)	—	—
1975	_	3.4 (10)	4.8 (35)	<b>5.8</b> (8)	6.8 (28)	8.4 (27)	9.6 (2)	
1976	3.0 (3)	3.7 (40)	5.1 (28)	6.5 (17)	6.7 (19)	7.8 (52)	8.7 (13)	9.4 (2)
After Limit								
1977	3.5 (44)	4.9 (18)	6.0 (51)	7.7 (34)	8.6 (17)	9.1 (13)	9.5 (7)	
1978	3.3 (16)	4.4 (37)	6.3 (32)	7.1 (24)	8.3 (12)	8.8 (9)	<b>9.3</b> (16)	10.1 (12)
1979	3.6 (31)	5.1 (34)	6.7 (33)	8.2 (27)	8.7 (10)	9.2 (6)	9.5 (6)	9.8 (2)
1980	3.3 (19)	<b>4.7</b> ( <b>40</b> )	6.6 (51)	7.5 (37)	8.5 (31)	9.0 (34)	9.6 (15)	10.0 (9)
1981	3.9 (20)	5.0 (48)	6.8 (25)	8.2 (23)	8.8 (16)	10.0 (6)	10.2 (4)	9.5 (8)
Means								
1974-77 1978-81	3.3 3.5	4.1 4.8	5.3 6.6	6.6 7.8	7.7 8.6	8.8 9.3	9.3 9.7	9.4 9.9

\* Units in inches.

\*\* Sample size in parentheses.

**TABLE 23.** Mean total length and age of female yellow perch captured at ice-out, before and after an 8-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.\*

				Age			
Year	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Roforo Limit							
1079	19	5 9	79	0.1			
1972	4.0	0.0	(24)	9.1 (10)		_	·
1079	$(14)^{++}$	(19)	(34)	(10)			
1973		5.5	6.8	8.Z			
		(21)	(40)	(13)			
1974	_		7.0	8.0	9.6		
			<b>(46)</b>	(15)	(1)		
1975		·	5.8	6.9	8.4	9.7	
			(21)	(17)	(15)	<b>(5</b> )	
1976		6.5	7.3	8.1	9.0	8.9	10.1
		(6)	(22)	<b>(6</b> )	(34)	(8)	(2)
After Limit							
1977		7.2	8.5	9.6	9.6	10.6	11.2
		(42)	(34)	(15)	(5)	(23)	(7)
1978		6.9	7.9	9.4	9.9	10.5	10.9
		(35)	(31)	(3)	(5)	<b>(6)</b>	(5)
1979	5.8	7.7	9.4	9.7	11.6	11.9	
	(2)	(45)	(18)	(2)	(2)	(2)	
1980	( <b>-</b> )	73	82	9 9	10 6	11 0	
1000		(45)	(18)	(2)	(2)	(2)	
1981	56	79	85	97	97	(2)	
1501	(15)	(40)	(26)	(10)	(2)		
	(13)	(40)	(20)	(10)	(4)		
Means							
1974-77		6.9	7.2	8.2	9.2	9.7	10.7
1978-81		7.3	8.5	9.7	10.5	11.1	10.9

\* Units in inches.

\*\* Sample size in parentheses.

# DISCUSSION\_

#### FISHING PRESSURE

Mean annual fishing pressure was higher during the period with the 8inch length limit on smallmouth bass than during the previous 5-year period. The mean annual number of anglers was 33% higher and the mean annual hours fished was 27% higher.

Ming and McDannold (1975) reported that fishing pressure (hours/ acre) and number of fishermen increased after a minimum length limit was imposed on largemouth bass in Pony Express Lake, Missouri. However, fishing pressure at Limpp Lake, Missouri changed little after a 12-inch length limit on largemouth bass was implemented (Rasmussen and Michaelson 1974). Surber (1968) reported a decrease in fishing pressure on the Shenandoah River, Virginia after the implementation of a 12-inch length limit on smallmouth bass.

Mean annual fishing pressure (45 and 57 hours/acre before and after the 8-inch length limit, respectively) was considerably higher than during the 21 years before chemical reclamation in 1966 (mean = 21 hours/acre) and is indicative of the increase in the quality and quantity of the fishery after chemical treatment (Christenson et al. 1982). Fishing pressure on nearby Escanaba Lake (mostly a walleye and yellow perch lake) averaged 49 hours/acre during 1972-81 (unpublished DNR files).

There was no correlation between fishing pressure and the number of smallmouth bass and yellow perch harvested in Nebish Lake during 1972-76, 1977-81, or for the entire period of 1972-81. Fleener et al. (1974) reported similar results for smallmouth bass in the Big Piney River, Missouri for the period 1951-58. However, data collected from Murphy Flowage, Wisconsin indicated a significant ( $\underline{P} < 0.05$ ) positive relationship between fishing pressure and largemouth bass harvest (Snow 1971).

#### EFFECTS ON SMALLMOUTH BASS

#### **Density and Biomass**

The mean annual density and biomass of age III + smallmouth bass increased 77% and 79%, respectively, after the length limit. By delaying harvest of the smallmouth until they were 8 inches (attained during the 3rd summer of life), the length limit positively influenced the density and biomass of age III + bass. Surber (1968) reported an increase in the density of intermediate-sized smallmouth in the Shenandoah River after a 12-inch length limit.

Mean densities of fingerling smallmouth bass in the fall during the 4-year period before the length limit (1974-77) were 21% higher than the densities after the length limit (1978-81). Summer water temperatures (June-August) averaged 72.3 F during 1974-77 and 71.4 F during 1978-81 (Serns 1982).

20



FIGURE 2. Smallmouth bass natural reproduction in relation to summer water temperature in Nebish Lake, 1974-81.

(See Fig. 2.) Previous research on Nebish Lake indicated that summer water temperature was the major determinant of young-of-the-year densities in fall, and no apparent correlation between adult ( $\geq$  age III) densities and year class strength was found (Serns 1982).

### Harvest, Yield, and Harvest Rate

The angler harvest (no.) of smallmouth was 8% less during the years with the length limit than during the previous 5-year period, but the yield (lb) was 30% greater. The length limit prevented the harvest of smallmouth until they reached age II, and most of the age II fish probably did not recruit into the legal length range until midway through their 3rd growing season. During the period without a length limit, some age I fish were harvested each year, while age II smallmouth contributed the highest percentage to both the mean total annual harvest (number) and yield (weight). After the length limit, age III smallmouth bass comprised the highest percentage of the mean annual yield.

The increased yield of smallmouth after the length limit was imposed cannot be attributed to the higher fishing pressure during those years, as there was no correlation between fishing pressure and smallmouth angling yield  $(\underline{r}=0.49, df=8, \underline{P} > 0.10)$ . Jones (1968) reported that the harvest (no.) of smallmouth bass from Elkins Creek, Kentucky declined after an 11-inch minimum length limit, but the mean weight of bass harvested increased substantially.

The mean length of smallmouth harvested before the length limit was 8.2 inches contrasted with 9.4 inches during the period with the length limit. During the period with no length limit, an average of 44% of the bass harvested by anglers were less than 8 inches, while during the period with the length limit only 4% of the creeled bass were less than 8 inches (fish > 7.8inches were considered legal). However, the length limit did not result in a marked increase in the percentage of 10- and 12-inch fish in the angler's creel. The percentage of bass > 8 inches that were >10 inches was slightly higher during the years with the length limit, but the percentage of bass  $\geq 8$ inches that were > 12 inches was slightly higher before the length limit. Most of the increased harvest of bass > 8.0 inches after the length limit was comprised of fish in the 8.0-9.9 inch range.

The harvest rate of smallmouth (all sizes) declined 29% after the length limit. There was, however, a 27% increase in the angler harvest rate of bass  $\geq 8$  inches after the length limit. After a 12-inch length limit on smallmouth bass in the Big Piney River in Missouri, Fleener (1974) reported an increase of 25% in the harvest of bass  $\geq 12$  inches. Unfortunately, data on harvest rates were not reported.

The length limit protected bass from harvest until late in their 2nd or early in their 3rd year of life. The percentage of age III bass in the creel increased from 32% with no length limit to 43% after the length limit, and the total yield of age III bass increased from 34% before the length limit to 44% after the length limit.

### **Mortality Rate**

Bass mortality rates as indicated by catch curves and population estimates by age group in successive years were higher during the years with the length limit than the period without the length limit. Mean estimated total annual mortality (A) from 1978-81 for age III + bass was 61.5% (calculated from catch curves) compared with 48.6% for age III + bass from 1974-77. Mean annual mortality rates for bass between ages III and V determined from population estimates of age groups in successive years also were higher with the length limit in effect.

Mortality rates calculated from the population estimates were higher in

each period than those determined from catch curves, perhaps because of the biases inherent in using catch curves (influence of large year classes and incomplete vulnerability of all year classes included in the calculations) or because of the wide confidence intervals on some of the population estimates. Nonetheless, because both methods of calculation indicated that the total annual mortality of bass was higher with the length limit, those results appear real. A possible reason for the higher total mortality rates with the length limit in effect is the higher mean annual rate of exploitation during that period (45% vs. 41%). Another reason could be the higher density and biomass of age III + bass after the length limit was imposed. The higher density of these older, mature fish may have increased competition for food and space, although these effects were not evident in reduced growth of smallmouth bass after the length limit was imposed.

### Growth

For smallmouth bass, mean lengths and weights at the various ages collected before and after the length limit indicated that growth improved slightly when the length limit was in effect. The growth of smallmouth during that period may have been influenced by a reduction in adult yellow perch during this period. Food habit studies of smallmouth bass and yellow perch in Nebish Lake (Serns and Hoff 1984) suggested some interspecific competition for various aquatic insects. Also, few yellow perch were found in smallmouth stomachs, indicating that they were not a major food item for smallmouth.

The mean annual production (lb) of smallmouth was higher after the length limit. The higher biomass of age III and IV bass along with higher instantaneous growth rates were responsible for this greater production.

Equilibrium yield calculations indicate that the length limit, given the instantaneous rates of growth and natural mortality exhibited by the population in 1980 and 1981, may be the best regulation for maximizing yield of Nebish Lake smallmouth bass. Indications are that yield would increase about 5% by protecting the bass from harvest until age IV (about 11 inches); however, with decreases in the instantaneous rate of growth or increases in natural mortality, yield would increase less than 5% and may actually decline from yield levels that assume entry to the fishery at age III (about 8 inches). Large reductions in the bass harvest would also result from increased protection from age III to age IV.

Greater production of age III and IV bass during 1979-81 than during 1974-76 was probably due to higher mean biomass estimates and greater instantaneous rates of growth.

### EFFECTS ON YELLOW PERCH

The lower density and biomass of yellow perch during the length limit period was probably a reflection of the extremely large 1969 year class influencing perch densities and biomass in the years before the length limit (Kempinger et al. 1982). After the effect of this large cohort had passed (1973 and 1974), the density and biomass of adult yellow perch remained fairly stable throughout the ensuing years (through 1981). The increase in age III + bass during the years with the length limit probably had little impact on the population density or biomass of adult yellow perch. Food habit studies of adult smallmouth bass from Nebish Lake indicated they ate few yellow perch (Serns and Hoff 1984).

Before the length limit, the angler harvest, yield, and harvest rate for yellow perch were 27%, 16%, and 40%higher, respectively. These factors were probably influenced by the large 1969 year class of yellow perch, which affected the sport fishery at the time, particularly in 1973 and 1974.

Total instantaneous and annual mortality rates for adult yellow perch were considerably higher during 1974-77 when compared with 1978-81. Values of total annual mortality of adult yellow perch range "normally" between 45% and 70% (Thorpe 1977). Rates for adult yellow perch were within this range during 1978-81, but considerably higher during 1974-77.

Thorpe (1977) reported that the survival of adult yellow perch was inversely related to density. The higher rates of mortality for yellow perch before the length limit were quite possibly the result of the high densities and increased intraspecific competition for food and space among yellow perch during 1974-77.

The exploitation of yellow perch was lower before the length limit, even though densities were higher during that time. Higher fishing pressure during 1977-81 may have resulted in increased exploitation rates for adult perch when contrasted with 1972-76.

The growth of yellow perch of both sexes was better during the years with the length limit. These results may be a function of the lower densities of adult yellow perch during 1978-81. Other authors (Schneberger 1935, Eschmeyer 1938) reported the growth of yellow perch was inversely related to abundance. The higher growth rate of females than males in Nebish Lake both before and after the length limit is typical of yellow perch populations in other waters (Scott and Crossman 1973).



### SUMMARY AND MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

An analysis of the smallmouth bass and yellow perch population and sport fishery in Nebish Lake before and after the 8-inch minimum length limit on smallmouth bass indicates the following changes occurred during the period after the length limit:

- 1) annual number of anglers increased 33% and fishing pressure increased 27%.
- the density and biomass of age III + smallmouth bass were 77% and 79% higher, respectively, while biomass increased slightly.
- smallmouth bass reproductive success was 21% lower, presumably because of lower mean annual summer water temperatures.
- 4) the smallmouth bass harvest decreased by 8% but the yield (lb) increased by 30%.
- 5) the harvest rate of all smallmouth bass declined 29%, but the harvest rate of smallmouth bass  $\geq 8.0$  inches increased 27%.
- 6) the age of smallmouth bass contributing the greatest percent of both total harvest (no.) and yield (lb) increased from age II to age III.
- the average total length of angler-caught bass increased from 8.2 to 9.4 inches.
- 8) the rate of total mortality of age III+ bass increased and was attributed to higher angler exploitation rates and possibly higher bass densities, which resulted in increased intraspecific competition.
- 9) the growth rate of smallmouth bass increased slightly.
- 10) production of age III and IV bass nearly doubled.
- 11) the average density of adult yellow perch decreased 3-fold and biomass decreased about 2fold, because of the impact of the large 1969 year class on densities before the length limit.
- 12) angler harvest (no.), yield (lb), and harvest rate of yellow perch decreased 27%, 16%, and 40%, respectively.

TABLE 24.	Comparison (	of fishery and	l population	statistics,	before	and $\langle$	after	an	8-inch	size
limit on sm	allmouth bas	s.								

	Smallmo	uth Bass		Yellow Perch		
Parameter	Before Limit	After Limit	Parameter	Before Limit	After Limit	
Fishing Pressure (hours/acre)	45	57	Fishing Pressure (hours/acre)	45	57	
Harvest	15	14	Harvest No /acre	44	32	
Lb/acre Mean length	4.7	6.1	Lb/acre Mean Length	8.6	7.2	
(inches)	8.2	9.4	(inches)	7.5	8.0	
Harvest $\geq 8$ inches $\% \geq 10$ inches $\% \geq 12$ inches	783 22 7	1,278 26 5				
$\frac{Harvest/100 \text{ hours}}{(\geq 8 \text{ inches})}$	18.8	23.9	Harvest/100 hours (all sizes)	96	58	
Standing Crop Age III +			Standing Crop $\geq 5.5$ inches			
No./acre Lb/acre Age 0	8.2 2.8	14.6 4.9	No./acre Lb/acre	514 86	219 38	
no./acre	48.1	38.1				

- 13) the annual rate of total mortality of yellow perch declined substantially even though exploitation rates increased (natural mortality declined markedly), probably as a result of decreased intraspecific competition related to decreased density.
- 14) growth of yellow perch increased.

With the 8-inch length limit in effect for Nebish Lake smallmouth bass, the trade-off of 8% fewer bass in the creel was more than offset by the 30%increase in weight harvested and the 1.2-inch increase in the average length of bass creeled. It was estimated that vield of smallmouth bass would increase by 22% if an 8-inch length limit was implemented and if there were no resultant changes in instantaneous rates of growth and natural mortality of smallmouth (Kempinger 1978). However, during the 5-year period after the length limit, the smallmouth bass yield was actually 30% higher than during the 5 years before the length limit. Actual yield was probably higher than predicted yield because smallmouth bass growth was better

during the period of the 8-inch length limit.

An equilibrium yield model was again used to estimate harvest and yield of smallmouth bass using various assumptions on length or age at entry to fishery, as well as instantaneous growth rates, natural mortality rates, and annual exploitation rate of the population in 1980 and 1981. The model predicted that by increasing the entry level from age III (8-inch length limit) to age IV (approximately an 11inch length limit), yield (lb) would increase 5% and harvest (no.) would decrease by 45%, assuming no resultant changes in growth or natural mortality. However, if growth rates decreased or natural mortality increased, the increase in yield would be less than 5% and may actually decline, while the harvest would decrease by more than 45%.

To test the hypothesis that yield would increase by raising the length limit above 8 inches, the smallmouth bass length limit in Nebish Lake was set at 10 inches in 1982. The Department of Natural Resources will monitor both the smallmouth bass and yellow perch populations and sport fishery through at least 1986 to determine the impact of this higher length limit.

# LITERATURE CITED

ANONYMOUS

CARLTON, F. E.

- 1975. Optimum sustainable yield as a management concept in recreational fisheries. pp. 45-9 in P. M. Roedel, ed. Optimum sustainable yield as a concept in fisheries management. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. No. 9. 89 pp.
- Christenson, L. M., A. M. Forbes, and J. J. Kempinger
  - 1982. Improved angling quality following chemical treatment of Nebish Lake and reintroduction of smallmouth bass and yellow perch. Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour. Res. Rep. No. 115. 16 pp.

ECHMEYER, R. W.

1938. Further studies on perch populations. Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. 23:611-31.

FLEENER, G. G.

- 1974. Harvest of fish from the Big Piney River. Mo. Dep. Conserv. Final Rep. Study 5-2. Dingell-Johnson Proj. F-I-R-22. 10 pp.
- FLEENER, G. G., J. L. FUNK AND P. E. ROBINSON
  - 1974. The fishery of Big Piney River and the effects of stocking fingerling smallmouth bass. Mo. Conserv. Dep. Aquatic Ser. No. 9. 32 pp.

Fox, A. C.

1975. Effects of traditional harvest regulations on bass populations and fishing. pp. 392-8 in H. Clepper, ed. Black bass biology and management. Sport Fishing Inst., Washington, D. C. 534 pp.

JONES, A. R.

1968. Changes in the black bass population of Elkhorn Creek following the establishment of a size limit. Kentucky Dep. Fish and Wildl. Resour. Fish Bull. No. 45. 34 pp.

- KEMPINGER, J. J.
- 1978. Equilibrium yield model applied to a smallmouth bass population. Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour. Perf. Rep. Study No. 220. Dingell-Johnson Proj. F-83-R. 20 pp.
- KEMPINGER, J. J. AND L. M. CHRISTENSON 1978. Population estimates and standing crops of fish in Nebish Lake. Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour. Res. Rep. No. 96. 12 pp.

KEMPINGER, J. J., A. M. FORBES, S. L. SERNS, AND H. E. SNOW

1982. Population development of re-introduced smallmouth bass and yellow perch in Nebish Lake. Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour. Res. Rep. No. 119. 20 pp.

MING, A. AND W. E. MCDANNOLD

- 1975. Effects of length limit on an overharvested largemouth bass population. pp. 416-24 in H. Clepper, ed. Black bass biology and management. Sport Fishing Inst., Washington, D. C. 534 pp.
- RASMUSSEN, J. L. AND S. M. MICHAELSON 1974. Attempts to prevent largemouth bass overharvest in three Northwest Missouri lakes. pp. 69-83 in J. L. Funk, ed. Symposium on overharvest and management of largemouth bass in small impoundments. North Cent. Div. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. No. 3. 116 pp.

RICKER, W. E.

- 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull. 191. 382 pp.
- SCHNEBERGER, E.
- 1935. Growth of the yellow perch in Nebish, Silver and Weber lakes, Vilas

County, Wisconsin. Trans. Wis. Acad. Sci. Arts & Lett. 29:103-30.

- SCOTT, W. B. AND E. J. CROSSMAN
- 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull. 184. 966 pp.

SERNS, S. L.

- 1982. Relation of temperature and population density to first-year recruitment and growth of smallmouth bass in a Wisconsin lake. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 111:570-74.
- 1983. Effects of a 10-inch minimum length limit on smallmouth bass on the sport fishery of Nebish Lake, Prog. Rep. Study 232. pp. 207-26 in Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Fishery research projects annual reports 1983. Dingell-Johnson Project F-83-R-16. Vol. 1. 241 pp.

SERNS, S. L. AND M. H. HOFF

1984. Food habits of smallmouth bass and yellow perch in Nebish Lake, Wisconsin—with special reference to zooplankton density and composition. Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour. Tech. Bull. No. 149.

SNOW, H. E.

1971. Harvest and feeding habits of largemouth bass in Murphy Flowage, Wisconsin. Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour. Tech. Bull. No. 50, 25 pp.

SURBER, E. W.

1968. Effects of a 12-inch size limit on smallmouth bass populations and fishing pressure in the Shenandoah River, Virginia. Proc. Annu. Conf. Southeast Assoc. Game Fish Comm. 22:300-311.

THORPE, J.

1977. Synopsis of biological data on the perch. F.A.0. Fish Synop. No. 113. 138 pp.

<sup>1982.</sup> Fishing license sales up in fiscal 1981. Sport Fishing Inst. Bull. No. 335:5-7.

#### ENGLISH-METRIC MEASURE AND WEIGHT EQUIVALENTS

1 inch = 2.54 cm 1 ft = 30.48 cm or 0.3048 m 1 mile = 1.609 km 1 cfs = 0.028 cms 1 acre = 0.405 ha or 4.047 m<sup>2</sup> 1 oz = 31.103 g 1 lb = 0.373 kg 1 cm<sup>2</sup> = 0.155 inch<sup>2</sup> 1 g = 0.035 oz 1 liter = 33.83 oz

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Jim Kempinger under whose direction the data reported in this manuscript were collected prior to 1979. I appreciate the fine field support provided during the entire study period by Ollie Baccus and by Mike Hoff, Al Braun, and Paul Kanehl during the years of 1979-81. The manuscript was critically reviewed by Lyle Christenson, Howard Snow, Gene Lange, Kent Klepinger, and John Klingbiel; Bob Carline assisted with the equilibrium yield calculations.

The research reported in this manuscript was supported in part by the Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Act under Dingell-Johnson Project F-83-R. This final report represents partial fulfillment of the requirements for Study No. 603 (formerly Study No. 220).

### **PRODUCTION CREDITS**

Charmaine Daniels, Editor Gloria Wienke and Donna Mears, Copy Editors Richard Burton, Graphic Artist Susan J. Hoffman, Word Processor Georgine Price, Cover Artwork

### **ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

Steven L. Serns is project leader at the Northern Highland Fishery Research Area near Woodruff. He holds B.S. and M.S. degrees from Texas A&M University.

### **TECHNICAL BULLETINS (1981-84)**

- No. 119 A successful application of catch and release regulations on a Wisconsin trout stream. (1981) Robert L. Hunt
- No. 120 Forest opening construction and impacts in northern Wisconsin. (1981) Keith R. McCaffery, James E. Ashbrenner, and John C. Moulton
- No. 121 Population dynamics of wild brown trout and associated sport fisheries in four central Wisconsin streams. (1981) Ed L. Avery and Robert L. Hunt
- No. 122 Leopard frog populations and mortality in Wisconsin, 1974-76. (1981) Ruth L. Hine, Betty L. Les, and Bruce F. Hellmich
- No. 123 An evaluation of Wisconsin ruffed grouse surveys. (1981) Donald R. Thompson and John C. Moulton
- No. 124 A survey of Unionid mussels in the Upper Mississippi River (Pools 3 through 11), (1981) Pamella A. Thiel
- No. 125 Harvest, age structure, survivorship, and productivity of red foxes in Wisconsin, 1975-78. (1981) Charles M. Pils, Mark A. Martin, and Eugene L. Lange
- No. 126 Artificial nesting structures for the double-crested cormorant. (1981) Thomas I. Meier
- No. 127 Population dynamics of young-ofthe-year bluegill. (1982) Thomas D. Beard
- No. 128 Habitat development for bobwhite quail on private lands in Wisconsin. (1982) Robert T. Dumke
- No. 129 Status and management of black bears in Wisconsin. (1982) Bruce E. Kohn
- No. 130 Spawning and early life history of yellow perch in the Lake Winnebago system. (1982) John J. Weber and Betty L. Les
- No. 131 Hypothetical effects of fishing regulations in Murphy Flowage, Wisconsin. (1982) Howard E. Snow
- No. 132 Using a biotic index to evaluate water quality in streams. (1982) William L. Hilsenhoff
- No. 133 Alternative methods of estimating pollutant loads in flowing water. (1982) Ken Baun

- No. 134 Movement of carp in the Lake Winnebago system determined by radio telemetry. (1982) Keith J. Otis and John J. Weber
- No. 135 Evaluation of waterfowl production areas in Wisconsin. (1982) Le-Roy R. Petersen, Mark A. Martin, John M. Cole, James R. March, and Charles M. Pils
- No. 136 Distribution and relative abundance of fishes in Wisconsin. I. Greater Rock river basin. (1982) Don Fago
- No. 137 A bibliography of beaver, trout, wildlife, and forest relationships with special reference to beaver and trout, (1983) Ed Avery
- No. 138 Limnological characteristics of Wisconsin lakes. (1983) Richard A. Lillie and John W. Mason
- No. 139 A survey of the mussel densities in Pool 10 of the Upper Mississippi River (1982). Randall E. Duncan and Pamella A. Thiel
- No. 140 Distribution and relative abundance of fishes in Wisconsin. II. Black, Trempealeau, and Buffalo river basins. (1983) Don Fago
- No. 141 Population dynamics of wild trout and associated sport fisheries in two northern Wisconsin streams. (1983) Ed L. Avery
- No. 142 Assessment of a daily limit of two trout on the sport fishery at Mc-Gee Lake, Wisconsin. (1984) Robert L. Hunt
- No. 143 Distribution and relative abundance of fishes in Wisconsin. III. Red Cedar river basin. (1984) Don Fago
- No. 144 Population ecology of woodcock in Wisconsin. (1984) Larry Gregg
- No. 145 Duck breeding ecology and harvest characteristics on Grand River Marsh Wildlife Area. (1984) William E. Wheeler, Ronald C. Gatti, and Gerald A. Bartelt
- No. 146 Impacts of a floodwater-retarding structure on year class strength and production by wild brown trout in a Wisconsin coulee stream. (1984) Oscar M. Brynildson and Clifford L. Brynildson
- No. 147 Distribution and relative abundance of fishes in Wisconsin. IV. Root, Milwaukee, Des Plaines, and Fox River basins. (1984) Don Fago

**Department of Natural Resources** 

Madison, Wisconsin 53707

Box 7921

Copies of the above publications and a complete list of all technical bulletins in the series are available from the Bureau of Research, Department of Natural Resources, Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707.

U.S. POSTAGE PAID MADISON, WI PERMIT 906

B J X R F

Address Correction Requested DO NOT FORWARD