

Educational uses of scientific areas, 1977. Report 97 1978

Wisconsin. Dept. of Natural Resources Madison, Wisconsin: Dept. of Natural Resources, 1978

https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/D5UX2N2JRT6WL8Q

http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/

For information on re-use see: http://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/Copyright

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

EDUCATIONAL USES OF SCIENTIFIC AREAS, 1977

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Doc





John W



INTRODUCTION

Dept. of Natural Recources Technical Library 3911 Fish Hatchery Road Fitchburg, WI 53711 - 5007

Mason

The Wisconsin Scientific Areas program has grown steadily in its scope, accomplishments and responsibilities since its legislative beginning in 1951, when the appropriate uses of scientific areas were set forth as ... "for research and the teaching of conservation and natural history." Educational use of scientific areas has rapidly increased since the early years of the program when Professor John T. Curtis and his students pioneered the classification and description of Wisconsin plant communities. Today the scientific areas system, containing 140 scientific areas and some 19,000 acres, is used by a wide variety of educators, researchers, and naturalists for purposes nearly as diverse as their numbers.

The Scientific Areas Preservation Council has always been keenly aware of the potential use conflicts on scientific areas, the chief rationale being that natural features must be protected from unauthorized or overuse in order to continue as useful components of the system. Legitimate educational, research-oriented or nature study uses are encouraged, but intensive recreational uses are discouraged, for many, more appropriately developed parks are available for general recreation. To discourage recreational use and protect fragile ecosystems, the Council has felt it necessary to maintain scientific areas in a "low key" profile.

To provide opportunities for educational and research use of scientific areas is one of the stated objectives of the Council. It is not viewed as a shortcoming, however, that some scientific areas currently have little or no documented use. As research needs and future priorities change, these areas will be available, and in the interval, will be performing other important functions.

Educational Use Inventory

Previous educational use inventories of scientific areas were conducted in 1971 and 1974 to determine 1) the amount and type of educational or research use, 2) the number, identity and educational institution of the users, and 3) the type and number of publications resulting from research conducted on scientific areas. The 1977 survey, conducted and evaluated primarily by David Younkman, a graduate student in the University of Wisconsin Department of Landscape Architecture, inventoried in depth these three needs and addressed other aspects of the scientific areas program as well.^{*} A copy of Younkman's thesis is maintained in Council files.

To obtain educational use information, a questionnaire was sent to 183 persons late in 1977. These people were selected chiefly as known users of scientific areas, educators, or naturalists. In addition, 55 questionnaires were sent to scientific area property managers not included by the initial mailing. As a follow-up method, numerous responses were obtained by telephone from those who did not return the questionnaires. In total, 186 responses (78%) were received.

Inventory Limitations

To put the inventory findings into proper perspective, it is necessary to briefly mention some of the inventory limitations. The sample used was biased toward known users and those who managed scientific areas in order to elicit the most data on educational use. Many of the returns (about 50%) came from people affiliated with colleges or universities, while less than 2% came from high school teachers. The under representation of this last segment, combined with our not knowing who many of the users are, were responsible for the educational use figures being significantly lower than actual use.

Several other factors are pertinent in evaluating the inventory limitations. Most significantly, many scientific area property managers are located remotely from the scientific area under their management, and thus in some instances, were unable to account for more than a token share of the known educational use compiled from the responses of the various users for the same area. This discrepancy between what use the property managers recorded and the documented use levels varied greatly from area to area. If the use data supplied by the property manager had been nearly identical to the documented use provided by the various users, we would have felt confident that the majority of use was recorded. This was almost never the case, thus as expected, the inventory actually falls short of documenting total scientific area use.

In contrast, there are several scientific areas where educational use levels bear little relationship to the scientific area designation. For example, some scientific areas were designated <u>because</u> significant scientific use was concentrated there. A second example is where the scientific area is a part of a larger, more widely used natural feature or combination of features, but use of the site is reported as use of the scientific area. In summary, despite these inventory limitations, which were similar for all previous inventories, total educational use documented is considerably less than the actual use.

INVENTORY FINDINGS

Total use of state scientific areas--including the educational and passive recreational (nature observation) segments--was estimated at 250,000 visitor-area contacts for 1977. The bulk of this use comes from trail use by hikers and other visitors, and it also includes canoers, hunters and skiers.

*Younkman, D. K. 1978. Use patterns and user perceptions of Wisconsin's scientific areas. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Wis. 208 pp.

Documented educational use of scientific areas for 1977, including research, class or group use, and individual nature study, amounted to 15,000 person-area contacts. All recreational use was excluded from the total. Comparable use statistics for 1974 were 10,000 person-area contacts; for 1971, 4,260 person-area contacts. The 1977 educational and research use level increased 50% over the 1974 level.

A small portion of the use increase is due to the greater number of scientific areas available for use, but a much larger share of the increase is due to elevated use levels of scientific areas established for many years. In fact, of the twenty areas for which sginficiant gains in educational use were documented, only one (Waubesa Wetlands) was designated as a scientific area since 1973.

Documented use was first compiled by scientific area as shown in the Avoca Prairie example (Table 1) and later categorized as to educational, research, or recreational use. Educational use amounted to 12,800 person-area contacts coming from 100 of the 140 scientific areas. More than half of all the educational use occurred on thirteen scientific areas. Table 2 lists those scientific areas with the most combined educational and recreational use, those with the most educational use, and those with the most research use. Of the scientific areas utilized most frequently for educational use, six occur within state parks or state forests while five are areas maintained by the University of Wisconsin, and all but one are located less than a one hour drive from Madison or Milwaukee.

Research use documented for 1977 amounted to 2,200 person-area contacts coming from 94 scientific areas. Fourteen scientific areas accounted for slightly more than half of all the research use (Table 2), with the two scientific areas in the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Field Station receiving the most research use. <u>During 1977 there were some</u> 90 research projects underway on scientific areas.

Total scientific area use for each area (Table 4), taken from Younkman's thesis, was updated with data received following its publication.

Use Patterns and User Characteristics

Fifteen individuals reported using more than ten scientific areas in 1977. Examples of the research projects of several of these individuals include: determining spider communities in forested scientific areas, Lepidoptera collecting, taxonomic research related to the Flora of Wisconsin project, <u>Panicum</u> research and individual ornithological study.

Some instructors utilized a broad spectrum of scientific areas for field exercises. Classes from the following institutions visited more than ten scientific areas each, the number of areas visited in parentheses: Lawrence University, ecology and taxonomy (45-50); University of Wisconsin Department of Landscape Architecture (16-20); Milwaukee Area Technical College, botany (10-12).

Analysis of educational use by discipline (Table 3) indicates that 75% of all use occurred in the biological sciences (botany, zoology, ecology, biology), 13% in physical sciences (geology), with lesser amounts in landscape architecture and general nature study. Conspicuously absent were uses from such common disciplines as soil science, forestry or hydrology.

			-
То	h 1	•	
тa	\mathbf{v}	.с	_

AVOCA PRA	IRIE	SCIENT	IFIC ARE	A
Educational	Use S	Survey	Results,	1977

						No. of	Trips/	Sub-	
Na	ame	Institution	User Title	Use Type	Discipline	People	Year	total	Comments
1.	anon.		Teacher	Class	Botany	10	1	10	Study composition of native wet prairie
2.	anon.	from Ohio, Mich.	Private	Prairie obser- vation	`	5	1	5	Visited prairie on way to Prairie Conference.
3.	Ah ren- hoerster	Hartford	Private	Nature study	Botany	3	1	3	Looking at species diversity.
4.	M. Dibben	Mil. Museum	Private	Personal		1	1	1	Familiarization with scientific area.
5.	J. Diekel- man	UW	L.A. Prof.		Botany	30	1	30	Prairie observation.
6.	B. Freckma	n UW-St. Pt.	Biol. Prof.	Prairie workshop	Botany	5	1	5	
7.	M. Green- field	UW	Grad.	Research	Entomology	2	12	24	Vegetation analysis; insect trapping.
8.	D. Gruenau		Private	Personal		1	1	1	Wild flower photography.
9.	R. Hirschy	UW-Rich. Ctr.	Prof.	Class	Biology	25	1	25	Plant community structure.
.0.	T. Klitzki	e		Research	Biology	2	1	2	Looking at tree structure.
1.	D. Kopitzk	e Wright Museum, Rich. Ctr.	Naturalist	Personal		1	1	1	Prairie flora observation.
L2.	R. Kuehn		Naturalist	Personal	Entomology	1	1	1	Butterfly collecting.
.3.	N. Maravol	o Lawrence U.	Prof.	Class	Botany	1-5	2	8	Taxonomy, ecology of native prairie.
4.	D. Morriso	n UW	Prof.	Class	Land. Arch.	24	2	48	Prairie structure, pattern, divesity, for
15.	R. Reich	Mil. Area. Tech. Col.	Instructor	Class	Botany	30	1	30	General plant community studies.
16.	B. Swartz	UW	Grad.	Research	Land. Arch.	1	1	1	
L7.	R. Vogt	UW	Instructor	Class	Zoology	20	1	20	Reptile-amphibian class teaching
18.	R. Vogt	UW	Grad.	Research	Zoology	3	ī	3	Document hern, populations
L9.	E. Werner		Naturalist	Research	Ornithology	1	ī	1	Breeding bird census.
					Total perso	n-area con	ntacts:	219	

ч. Политика 1

Educational and Recreational Use		Educational Use Only		Research Use Only*	
Ridges Sanctuary -	25,000+	Parfrey's Glen - 1	,460	Cedarburg Bog -	184(10)
Parfrey's Glen -	17,500	Kohler Dunes -	869	Cedarburg Beech	115(10)
Natural Bridge -	11,827	Brady's Bluff -	722	TUICAL	
Mt. Pisgah -	11,000	Oliver Prairie -	561	Blue Hills Felsenmeer -	100(1)
Blackhawk Island -	8,689	Abraham's Woods -	500	Faville Prairie -	98(3)
Milwaukee River -	5,450	Goose Pond -	464	Abraham's Woods -	90(3)
Pine Cliff -	5,011	Baxter's Hollow -	442	Scuppernong Prairie	e-76(6)
Goose Pond -	3,000+	Cedarburg Bog -	428	Spring Green -	75(9)
Brady's Bluff -	2,700+	Kohler Pines -	400	Kettle Moraine Fen and Low Prairie -	- 75(6)
Crex Meadows -	2,547	Scuppernong Pr	386		75(2)
Chiwaukee Pr	2,400	Ableman's Gorge -	360	Eagle Oak Opening -	- /3(3)
				Necedah Oak Pine	70(()
Devil's Lake Red Oa	ak 2 350	Devil's Lake Red Oak	348	Forest -	/0(6)
rorest -	2,000	rorest -	540	Chiwaukee Prairie ·	- 61(9)
Castle Mound -	2,015	Chiwaukee Prairie -	337		(-)
Condonta Dout	2 000+			Blue River -	51(5)
Sander 8 Fark -	2,000+			New Observatory Woods -	56(3)
				Pine Hollow -	50(8)

TABLE 2. Scientific areas with the greatest use (use in person-area contacts) by category, 1977.

•

•

*Number of research projects indicated in parentheses.

. .

Biological Sciences		11,400
Botany	4,800	•
Zoology	2,700	
General	1,000	
Wildlife Ecology	900	
Entomology	500	
Ornithology	300	
Ecology	3,400	
Biology	500	
Physical Sciences (Geology)		2,000
Landscape Architecture		900
General Nature Study		700
		15,000

TABLE 3. 1977 total educational use of scientific areas by discipline expressed as person-area contacts.

Use Values of Scientific Areas

Wisconsin scientific areas have played a very important role in our understanding of the natural environment. In the interval since John Curtis, Norman Fassett and other outstanding Wisconsin naturalists began training students using outdoor laboratories, thousands of students have visited scientific areas to evaluate undisturbed ecosystems and gain insights into their functioning. In a significant way, scientific areas have been instrumental in shaping and honing ecological perceptions.

One tangible way to measure the impact of scientific areas on the scientific community was to compile a list of publications resulting from research done wholly or partially on scientific areas. A bibliography containing more than 100 of the most significant entries was published in the 1977 Wisconsin Scientific Areas booklet, and to that, Younkman added 80 more, including theses, plus another 20 citations currently in press or being prepared.

Publications have resulted from research work completed on many scientific areas, but nowhere more than at Cedar Grove Hawk Refuge, where migrating birds (chiefly raptors) are trapped and banded, and as a result, more than 30 publications have originated from that work. From Abraham's Woods, an old growth remnant forest, at least eleven major publications have originated; from Parfrey's Glen, 10 publications; Two Creeks, 8; Cedarburg Bog, 7. At least three publications have originated from each of twenty other scientific areas.

Conclusion

Documented educational use of state scientific areas has more than tripled in the last six years. Although many reasons can be put forth to explain this dramatic rise, certainly a renewed interest in the many values of our vegetational heritage on the part of educators, naturalists, and outdoor enthusiasts forms the basis of this increase. The Scientific Areas Preservation Council considers it very important to continually monitor educational use, and has developed a research permit system to both monitor and help evaluate potential research projects. In addition, the Council requests that all users notify scientific area property managers of intended use, and that property managers record educational uses as they occur so that information can be made available for the next educational use inventory.

Note: The data from tables 1-4 are taken from Younkman's compilations and thesis supplemented with additional information from the files of the Scientific Areas Preservation Council.

Scientific Areas Section Department of Natural Resources Madison, Wis. TABLE 4.

Annual Use of Wisconsin Scientific Areas for 1977 in personarea Contacts.Based on 1977 Use Survey. Dashed Line Indicates Unknown Quantities. Unk. = Unknown.

Scientific Areas	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR Estimates)	Educa- tional Use (1977 Survey)	Re- search Use (1977 Survey)	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977 Survey)	Property Manager Use Estimate
Ableman's Gorge	369	360	9		
Abraham's Woods	660	500	90	70	
Aurora Lake	12	12			
Avoca River Bottom Prairie	266	222	29	15	
Avon Bottoms	71	44	12	15	
Bark Bay	Unk.				
Baxter's Hollow	497	442	20	3 5	
Bean Lake	50	32	18		
Beulah Bog	28	25		3	·
Bear Creek Cave	30	30			
Bittersweet Lakes	2		1	1	
Black Tern Bog	67	54	1	12	
Blackhawk Island	8,689	53	36	25	8,600
Blue Hills Felsenmeer	100		100		
Blue River Cactus & Dunes	217	154	51	12	·
Bose Lake Hemlocks	Unk.				
Brady's Bluff Prairie	2,700+	722	1	335	thousands
Browntown Oak Fore	st 64	28	36		
Buena Vista Prairi & Meadow	e 851	840	11		

•

.

	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR	Educa- tional Use (1977 Survey)	R e- search Use (1977 Survey)	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977 Survey)	Property Manager Use Fatimates
Scientific Areas	nstimates)	Survey)	- Survey)	Survey)	nscinates
Cactus Rock	118	75	6	37	
Castle Mound Pine Forest	2,015		15		2,000
Cedar Grove Hawk Refuge	5		5		
Cedarburg Beech Woods	293	178	115		
Cedarburg Bog	640	428	184	28	
Charles Pond	12	9		3	
Cherokee Marsh	18		3	15	
Cherry Lake Sedge Meadow	1		1		
Chiwaukee Prairie	2,400	337	61	266	2,000
Comstock Marsh	17	13	1	3	
Council Grounds Pine Forest	13	das tra	13		
Crex Meadows Prairie	2,547	42	.5		2,500
Dells of the Eau Claire River	5,198	158	40		
Devil's Lake Red Oak Forest	2,350+	3 48	3	300	thousands
Dewey Heights Prairie	12	9	3		
Dory's Bog	Unk.	144 - 147			
Douglas County Grouse Area	105	102	3		

	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR	Educa- tional Use (1977	Re- search Use (1977	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977	Property Manager Use
Scientific Areas	Estimates)	Survey)	Survey)	Survey)	Estimates
Dunbar Sharptail Barrens	38	34	4		
Durst Rockshelter	7	-	7		
Eagle Oak Opening	243	168	75		
Endeavor Marsh	159	34	39	86	
Escanaba Lake Hemlocks	39	39			
Fairy Chasm	51	50	1		
Faville Prairie	243	53	98	92	
Finnerud Pine Fore	st 132	112	20		
Five Mile Bluff Prairie	39	39			
Flambeau River Hem lock-Hardwood Fc	n- orest 49	30	15	4	
Flora Lake	26	25	1		
Fountain Creek Pra	irie 49	2 5	24		
Fourmile Island	31	100 000	31		
Frog Creek	Unk.	Allen tara			
Giant White Pine Grove	59	9			50
Gibraltar Rock	16		1	15	
Cobler Lake	Unk.	*** ***			
Goose Pond	2,923+	464	9	450 along re	thousands oadway
Gullickson's Glen	500			2	500

Scientific Areas	Total Usc (Includ- ing DNR Estimates)	Educa- tional Use (1977 Survey)	Re- search Use (1977 Survey)	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977 Survey)	Property Manager Use Estimates
	1001.0000				
High Lake Spruce- Balsam Forest	51	39	12		
Holmboe Conifer Forest	50			17	50
Honey Creek Natural Area	843	125	18	153	700
Hub City Bog	481	231	3	39	200-300
Jackson Harbor	300				300
Johnson Lake Barren	n s 11	10		1	<u> </u>
Jung Hemlock-Beech Forest	74	70	1	3	
Karcher Springs	Unk.				
Kettle Moraine Fen & Low Prairie	98	15	75	8	
Kewaskum Woods	8		8		
Kohler Park Dunes	869	862	7		800
Kohler Park Pine	851	434	17		800
Koshawago Springs	541	38	3		500
Lake of the Pines Conifer-Hardwood	s 200				200
Lawrence Creek	18	17	1.		
Lodde's Mill Bluff	123	101	20	2	
Lulu Lake Fen	54	~~~	4	2	50
Mt. Pisgah Hemlöck Hardwoods	- 11,000	25	1	10	11,000
Maribel Caves	5,056	55	1		

. .

.

	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR	Educa- tional Use (1977	Re- search Use (1977	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977	Property Manager V se
Scientific Areas	Estimates)	Survey)	Survey)	Survey)	Estimates
Marinette County Beech Forest	23	21	1		
Midway Prairie	208	90	18		100
Milwaukee River an Swamp	d 5,450				5,450
Miscauno Cedar Swa	mp 10	10			
Moose Lake Hemlock	s Unk.	8000 And			
Moquah B arrens	79	62	17		-
Mud Lake-Door Co.	33	10	8	15	
Mud Lake-Waupaca C	o. Unk.				
Muir Lake Natural Area	5 ,15 6	25	10	131	
Muralt Bluff Prair	ie 143	125		18	
Muskego Park Hardwoods	505	40		465	
Natural Bridge & Rockshelter	11,827				11,827
cedah O ak-Pine Forest & Natural Area	100	30	70		
Nelson-Trevino Bottoms	49	46	3		
lewark Prairie	78	36	2	40	-
New Munster Bog Island	1		1		
New Observatory Wo	ods 145	77	56	12	
Newport Conifer- Hardwoods	2,131	81	30	20	trail use

. .

Scientific Areas	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR Estimates)	Educa- tional Use (1977 Survey)	Re- search Use (1977 Survey)	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977 Survey)	Property Manager Use Estimates
			19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -		
Haskell Noyes Memorial Woods	1,000	182		2	820
Oliver Prairie	605	561		44	
Ottawa Lake Fen	454	279	7	168	
Parfrey's Glen	17,500	1,464	44		16,000
Peat Lake	Unk.				
Peninsula Park Beech Forest	6		2	4	- <u>-</u> -
Peninsula Park Whi Cedar Forest	te 1,064	50	14	7	1,000-trail
Pine Cliff	5,011	10	1		5,000-trail
Pine Glen	240+	30	10	86	everal hundred
Pine Hollow	165	111	48	6	
Plagge Woods	Unk.				
Plum Lake-Star Lak Hemlock Forest	e 160	58	2	100	
Point Beach Ridges	1,290	65	25	3	1,200
Powers Bluff Maple Forest	35		20	15	
Putnam Park	10,000				
Renak-Polak Woods	100				100
Rice Lake-Thunder Lake Marsh	25	10		15	
Ridges Sanctuary	25,000+	110	42		25,000
Ripon Prairie	32	25	7		

Scientific Areas	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR Estimates)	Educa- tional Use (1977 Survey)	Re- search Use (1977 Survey)	Recre- ational and Other Use (1977 Survey)	Property Manager Use Estimates
Sanders Park Hardwoods	2,000+			t	housands
Schmidt Maple Woods	1		1		
Scott Lake-Shelp Lake	627	27			600
Scuppernong Prairie	592	396	76	71	120
Seagull Bar	16	16			
Silver Lake Bog	20	20			
Sister Islands	Unk.				
Spring Green Re serve	354	155	75	124	
Spring Lake	Unk.	-			
Spruce Lake Bog	2,092	2,000	13		140
Swenson Prairie and Oak Opening	d 80				80
Tamarack Creek Bog	2		2		
Tellock's Hill Woods	16	15	1		
Tiffany Bottoms	10	10			
Toft Point	141 /	55	40	46	
Tower Hill Bottoms	175	156	13	. 6	
Trenton Bluff Prairie	30	30			
Trout Lake Conifer Swamp	9 0	75	15		

.

.

Scientific Areas	Total Use (Includ- ing DNR Estimates)	Educa- tional Use (1977 Survey)	Re- search Use (1977 Survey)	Recre- ational and Othe Use (197 Survey)	Property r Manager 7 Use Estimates
Two Creeks Buried Forest	16	15	1		
VanderBloemen Bog	327	220	2	105	
Washburn County Pines	2			2	
Waterloo Fen & Springs	46	20	6	20	
Waubesa Wetlands	272	200	32	40	b er 1997
Waupun Park Maple Forest	1,513	13			1,500
Wilderness Ridge	5,000				
Wyalusing Walnut Forest	1,617	100	14	3	1,500-trail
Wyalusing Wilder ness Area	117	100	17		
Young Prairie	106	100	6		

۲