

Minutes of the regular meeting of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin: January 8, 1965. 1965

Madison, Wisconsin: Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, 1965

https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/RQYWOYGVMZFOA86

Copyright 2008 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

Madison, Wisconsin

Held in Room 311, Wisconsin Center Building Friday, January 8, 1965, 9:03 A.M.

President DeBardeleben presiding

PRESENT: Regents Cohen, DeBardeleben, Friedrick, Greenquist, Pasch, Rohde, Rothwell, Steiger and Werner.

ABSENT: Regent Gelatt.

The approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board held on December 11, 1964, was deferred, since the minutes had not been distributed in time to be received by all of the Regents in advance of this meeting.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY

President Harrington suggested that the order of the items in his report be shifted because representatives of Madison groups interested in the Willows Beach items wanted to appear before the Regents.

In presenting the Gifts and Grants (<u>EXHIBIT A</u> and <u>EXHIBIT A-1</u> attached), President Harrington noted that the amounts received this year continued to run ahead of the amounts for the previous year. He called attention to a number of items included in the gifts and grants, including a grant of \$700,000 from the Ford Foundation to strengthen work in the Latin America program here on the Madison

-2

campus rather than for the overseas program; a grant from the National Science Foundation in support of a program for research participation for high school teachers, and one from the National Science Foundation in support of a program for training teachers to do better work in high school; a grant from the Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation for the support of 26 fellows in the Graduate School, with reference to which President Harrington noted that the University of Wisconsin is one of the leading institutions in obtaining Woodrow Wilson Fellowships as a result of the recipients choosing to come to the University of Wisconsin; a grant from the National Science Foundation for the Department of Meteorology, which President Harrington stated was a very strong department; and a number of very large grants from the National Institutes of Health. Regent Pasch called attention to the grant from the Central Exchange Foundation of the Farmers Union Central Exchange (Item 14 on Page 7 of Exhibit A attached), noting that this was from Regent Rohde's organization. Regent Rohde noted that the granting organization was an affiliate of the Farmers Union; and stated that he was pleased that they had chosen Wisconsin to receive this grant. President Harrington called special attention to the list of gifts in kind, included in EXHIBIT A, and particularly noted the gift from Mr. Mortimer Levitan of Madison of a large collection of cook books.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Friedrick, it was VOTED, That the gifts and grants listed in <u>EXHIBIT A</u> and <u>EXHIBIT A-1</u> be accepted; and that the appropriate officers of the University be authorized to sign the agreements.

Upon motion by Regent Werner, seconded by Regent Steiger, it was VOTED, That the actions taken by the President of the University since the last meeting of the Regents, relating to appointments, resignations, leaves of absence, and change of status of personnel with rank less than that of Associate Professor, and those relating to non-academic, non-civil service personnel with annual salaries of \$10,500 or less, which are included in the file of employment forms presented at this meeting, and the non-resident tuition remissions, be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

With reference to the bequest by the late Carl T. Stephan, Chicago, Illinois, to the University of Wisconsin for the Medical School at Madison, to be used for cancer research, the Secretary reported that this bequest would amount to approximately \$3,000 and that Dr. Stephan had received a degree of Bachelor of Science in Medicine in 1917 from the University of Wisconsin.

Upon motion by Regent Steiger, seconded by Regent Pasch, it was VOTED, That the bequest by the late Carl T. Stephan, Chicago, Illinois, to the University of Wisconsin, for the Medical School, Madison, Wisconsin, to be

-3

used for cancer research, be accepted by The Regents of the University of Wisconsin in accordance with the Last Will and Testament of Carl T. Stephan, Deceased; and that the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign receipts for this bequest, and do all things necessary to effect the transfer of this bequest to the University of Wisconsin.

President Harrington requested Provost Fleming to present the items relating to buildings which had been approved by the Madison Campus Planning Committee. He noted that the first three of such items covered the concepts only.

Provost Fleming stated that the first item relating to the Computer Science-Statistics Complex Unit I was at the concept stage only, not at the preliminary plan stage. He noted that it was desirable to get this project under construction by the June 30, 1965 deadline. He asked University Architect Sites to explain the concept.

Architect Sites showed on a plot plan the location of Unit I of this Complex and also of the proposed subsequent additions. He noted that Unit I was primarily a research facility. He showed and explained floor plans of Unit I as well as of a possible Unit II. He showed and explained a model of the project and showed elevations viewed from West Dayton Street showing Unit I as well as two possible subsequent units. He pointed out that the third unit could be a high rise unit to be used for other purposes as well as for this particular complex, and stated that this would achieve a very high density use of this land.

There were no questions raised by the Regents regarding the concept for the Computer Science-Statistics Complex Unit I.

Regarding the concept for the Social Science Research Unit II, Provost Fleming noted that this had been before the Regents previously in connection with the planning for the first unit of the Social Science Building. He stated that it was hoped that construction could be started on this project by June 30, 1965.

Architect Sites showed the location of the Social Science Building and the proposed Social Science Research Unit II on a plot plan, Unit II being an addition to the north of the present facility. He stated that Unit II would essentially be a research unit. He showed floor plans and a model of Unit II and the existing building and stated that the exterior materials of the addition would be the same as the existing building.

Regent DeBardeleben inquired whether Unit II involved any more extension northward than originally contemplated, and Architect Sites replied in the negative, and also pointed out that Unit II would be completely west of the west line of Muir Park. Regent Friedrick inquired how close to the Lake Unit II would be; and Architect Sites stated that it would be about even with the north line of Elizabeth Waters Hall, approximately 300 feet from the lake. Regent Werner inquired whether

-3-

-4

this was the first time the Regents had been informed of the need for and the purpose of this addition; and President DeBardeleben recalled that it had been included in the concept of the first portion of the Social Science Building, which has been completed. Regent Werner inquired as to what kind of research would be undertaken in Unit II; and President Harrington explained that it would be largely in economics, using computer machines, which is the reason that grant funds have been received toward the cost of this project.

Regent Rothwell inquired where this project was on the priority list; and he was advised that it would be financed from grant funds in the amount of \$900,000 and from \$1,133,000 from the State Building Commission allotment of \$4,000,000 to be used for matching grant funds for 1964-65. The Regents were informed that it was planned to start construction on this project this summer; that 18 to 24 months would be required to complete the project; and that the Unit II would also include considerable office space, which would be used by the Departments of Anthropology, Economics, and Sociology, which are the same departments as are housed in the first unit.

Regarding the question of encroachment on Bascom Woods (Muir Park), President Harrington stated this project does not go beyond the west line of Muir Park, and is in line with the original concept at the time the first unit was approved. He stated that this administration does not contemplate asking the Regents to change the boundary of Bascom Woods (Muir Park). There were no further questions raised by the Regents regarding the concept of this project.

The next item presented by Provost Fleming was the concept for the Memorial Union Boat Storage Facility. Architect Sites showed and explained elevations, a plot plan, and plans of the various levels of this project. He explained that a tunnel from this facility to the Theater basement area, which has been remodeled for use by the Hoofers Club, would permit boats, etc., being brought into that area for repairs. He emphasized that the plan for this project would permit maintaining a pedestrian walkway along the lakeshore which could be extended further westward when the contemplated removal of the old Hydrobiology Building is accom-In reply to questions by Regent Pasch as to the use to be made of this plished. space, Architect Sites explained that it was designed primarily to handle the canoe rental facilities which had been taken over by the Wisconsin Union, and would provide about twice as much storage space as previously available. Architect Sites explained that the estimated cost was approximately \$100,000 and would be provided by the Wisconsin Union Revolving Fund. In reply to further questions, Architect Sites explained that the facility probably would not be used for the storage of boats other than canoes, but that sailboats could be brought in for repairs; that this facility would handle the rental of canoes, etc., formerly handled as a private concession; and that this facility would be used just for the storage of University canoes, not for privately owned canoes.

There were no further questions by the Regents regarding the concept for this project.

-4-

-5

President DeBardeleben stated that the next item for consideration had to do with the proposed Crew House and the development of Willows Beach. He noted there were present some citizens of Madison who had expressed the desire to be heard and that the Regents might want to hear them. President Harrington suggested that the presentation of the following recommendation, which was recommended by the Madison Campus Planning Committee and by the central administration, be made prior to appearances by the representatives of citizens of Madison:

> That the preliminary plans and specifications be approved for the proposed Crew House, contingent upon the development of the beach concurrently with the Crew House; and that authority be granted to prepare final plans and specifications.

Regent Pasch moved that the citizens present be permitted to appear and present their point of view. President DeBardeleben agreed that it was appropriate that the Regents hear from the citizens, but he suggested that the Regents hear from the staff first. Regent Pasch stated that he only wanted to be sure that they be permitted to appear. Regent Friedrick seconded the motion, and it was voted.

Provost Fleming requested Architect Sites to show and explain the models and site maps and to explain the history of this development as well as the meetings that had been held with various groups. Architect Sites showed a plot plan of the proposed Crew House and Willows Beach Area, including a portion of the adjacent Elm Drive Dormitories. He explained the floor plans indicating that the proposed Crew House would provide facilities for the crew, including space for storage of the shells for both the intramural and the intercollegiate programs, a repair shop, some conference rooms and coaches' offices, and a rowing tank for the crew. The facility also would include locker rooms for the crew and for intramural sports, as well as toilets which would also be available, by outside entrances, to persons using the swimming area. He explained that the structure would be primarily a wood structure, faced with brick, because of the cost factor and because of the soil conditions. He showed a model of the structure and indicated the location of the present and proposed shorelines.

University Planner, James Edsall, showed on a plan a proposed walkway from Lake Street to Picnic Point. He reported that the present Willows Beach consists of 6,700 square feet of sanded beach and about 85 feet of frontage on the water; and that this proposal would increase the beach area to about 16,000 square feet, including the concrete ramp, which would be at the same level as the beach, and that the proposed lake frontage of the beach would be approximately 350 lineal feet. He noted that the proposed Crew House would be located on the area previously used for parking at Willows Beach, and that a new parking area for the beach would be provided south of the Elm Drive Dormitories, approximately 300 feet from the beach. He explained that, since the crew activities generally begin shortly before school is out in the Spring, and begin in the Fall about Labor Day, about the time the beaches are closed for swimming, that there would be only about a week of overlapping between the crew and swimming activities, which would result in little conflict in the use of this proposed facility.

-6

Provost Fleming suggested that Mr. Edsall comment on the use of this site for this purpose, as compared to other possible sites. Mr. Edsall explained that one of the major reasons for picking this site is the protection given by Picnic Point for rowing during the early Spring and late Fall. He noted that, even when there are three and a half to four foot waves, this area of the lake is comparatively calm. He noted that the protection thus afforded would permit the use of the lake by the crew as soon as the ice is out in the Spring. Mr. Edsall showed on a map six sites that had been considered on Lake Mendota in addition to sites on Lake Monona. He stated that none of the other sites were as desirable for crew purposes as the Willows Beach site because of wind factors, location and availability of site, replacement of existing activities, circulation of water, and need for dredging for some sites. President DeBardeleben inquired whether there would be any restrictions on the use of the proposed Willows Beach by the general public. Mr. Edsall replied in the negative, noting that the City provides lifeguards and toilet facilities. He stated that there is no need for restrictions other than for purposes of safety. In reply to a question as to whether swimming would be permitted during the periods of overlapping crew and swimming activities, Mr. Edsall explained that the only restrictions would be momentary ones in the area of the crew dock during the periods of launching and docking shells, which he felt could be handled satisfactorily.

Provost Fleming noted that there is a considerable drop from the roadway to the present Willows Beach which would reduce the obstruction of the view from the roadway by the proposed Crew House. In reply to questions by President DeBardeleben Mr. Edsall explained that the present beach is used by neighborhood residents and students, and provides parking for about 23 cars, and that under the proposal the new parking facility for the beach would be only about 300 feet away.

Provost Fleming emphasized that this site selection had been very carefully considered. He stated that there was no inconsistency in timing in the use of the beach for swimming and use by the crew, and felt that there would be no great conflict between these usages. He reported that there was a plan to come in with a proposal in the next two or three months as to how the University and the City can develop a swimming beach on the north side of Picnic Point this summer. He explained that the Willows Beach could not be used for swimming during the construction of the Crew House, and that it was partly for that reason and partly for long term reasons that consideration is being given to a permanent swimming beach on Picnic Point. He reported that the proposed Picnic Point beach as well as the Willows Beach and Crew House developments had been discussed with the Madison Parks people who approved the plans, although concerned about more parking, and who would not object to the erection of the Crew House at the Willows Beach site. He reported that there had been a number of discussions also with representatives of the citizens groups, which resulted in changes of some of the plans to meet their objections, although the budget for this project would not permit meeting all their objections. Mr. Edsall showed on a map the location of the proposed swimming beach on the north side of Picnic Point and the possible location of toilet and dressing room facilities. He also pointed out the proposed parking area for Picnic Point.

-7

Regent Pasch asked whether any consideration had been given to construction of a Crew House on a site not on the lake. Mr. Edsall reported that various universities across the country had been contacted regarding their experience in this regard, and it was found that only one, Purdue, did not have the crew facilities located on a site adjacent to the water. All the universities contacted warned against having crew facilities far from the water, since that would result in problems of carrying the shells any considerable distance from the water as well as the problem relating to docking the boats for making adjustments and repairs. Regent Pasch suggested that we do have land across the roadway for a site for such a facility. Mr. Edsall reported that this possibility had been considered but was not a desirable solution, since crew is a water activity and sport and there is a strong feeling that it ought to be where it has been planned. Regent DeBardeleben inquired whether any traffic control would be necessary with the parking area on the opposite side of the road from the beach. Mr. Edsall stated that, at present, the thinking was that traffic control would not be necessary, since there is not a great amount of traffic on this roadway and it is a one way roadway (and the speed limit is only 15 miles per hour).

Regent Pasch inquired whether the Crew House could be located on the southwesterly or westerly shore of University Bay. Mr. Edsall explained the difficulty or impossibility of getting the shells and other boats to such sites because of the bar that has developed from Willows Beach northwesterly to Picnic Point, because of the heavy weed growth in University Bay, and because of the shallowness of the water which in large areas does not exceed six inches in depth. He explained that, during the development of the University Hill Farms area, large quantities of soil were washed into University Bay which accelerated the development of the bar which has been increasing over a period of years. He also noted that the University Bay area is a biological research area. There was discussion of dredging out the bar and the Bay, which would be extremely expensive; and, in reply to a question by Regent Cohen, Mr. Edsall explained that dredging would not be a permanent solution, because the natural evolution of a lake receiving surface drainage tends to round out the lake and fill in the bays. The suggestion was also made that dredging out the Bay would result in more objections from fishermen and biologists than from swimmers with regard to the present proposal.

President DeBardeleben stated that it was his understanding that Mrs. Richard Bardwell, Mrs. Milton Leidner, and Mr. Kenton A. Peters, desired to appear before the Regents in opposition to this recommendation. He reported that he had received a number of telegrams from either couples, families, or individuals in the City of Madison, opposing this recommendation (filed with papers of this meeting). He also read a letter from Mayor Henry Reynolds of Madison, which he had received this morning, and in which Mayor Reynolds noted the fact that the University had kept the City officials informed as to its plans for this area for a number of years and in which he expressed his belief that "it would be in the interests of the City of Madison that the Willows Beach be maintained as a beach open to the general public" (letter filed with the papers of this meeting). President DeBardeleben suggested that, unless there was objection or other suggestions, he thought it would be appropriate that the groups represented by the three individuals he had mentioned be allowed 15 minutes to present their views. Mrs. Bardwell suggested that the representative of each group be allowed 15 minutes to speak.

-7-

-8

Presentations were made, in opposition to the above recommendation of the President of the University relating to the proposed Crew House and Willows Beach development, by Mr. Kenton Peters, Mrs. Milton Leidner, and Mrs. Richard Bardwell, representing the Capitol Community Citizens, by Alderman Goulette, and by Mrs. Alicia Ashman, a representative of the League of Women Voters. In making these presentations they referred to various statements, resolutions, and other forms of communications. (Copies of such items as they furnished to the Secretary are filed with the papers of this meeting.) In their presentations in opposition to the above recommendation, the aforesaid individuals made the following principle points:

1. The proposal is depriving a group of citizens in the area of a necessary recreational facility. 2. The needs of the University in its intercollegiate athletic program for the proposed facility are acknowledged and supported. 3. On the 19,000 feet of shoreline that the University owns on Lake Mendota there should be some other site than Willows Beach available for the proposed Crew House so that both the needs of the University and the City can be fulfilled. 4. The planned buildings will destroy the use of the beach. 5. The University will provide more beach than exists presently, but issue is taken with both the usage and statistics regarding the measurements of the proposed beach. 6. The major objection is the conflict of usage between swimming and crew. 7. There will be more conflict of usage than indicated by the University because the beach would be used for swimming in May and also in September and October. 8. The University is not able to give any assurance beyond the immediate future that this beach will be available to swimmers during the summer period, in view of the possibility of year around school operations. 9. Willows Beach is the only beach available to people on the west side of Madison from Conklin Park to Spring Harbor, a distance of approximately five miles. 10. Willows Beach is used primarily by citizens of Madison from the near west side and from the Eagle Heights area, including many University employees. 11. The construction of a Crew House at Willows Beach will detract from the environment of a beach surrounded by an open area. 12. The separation of the beach into two parts by a concrete ramp up the middle will hamper the use of the beach by children. 13. The use of the beach for sun bathing will be curtailed by the construction of the proposed building. 14. The parking area would have to be moved 300 feet away from the beach, which is too far, and which would involve the difficulty of bringing children to the beach across the roadway and walking a distance of 100 yards. 17. The crew facility would be used by about 90 people, whereas the swimming facilities would be used by about 5,000 people. 18. It has been known that the use of Willows Beach for swimming has been on a year to year basis. 19. Last Spring the citizens group made efforts to give publicity to the University's plans for the Willows Beach area. 20. The Board of Education passed a unanimous resolution asking that the City and the University cooperate and that there be a permanent beach provided without the building. 21. The Madison Park Commission passed a resolution recommending to the Mayor and the Common Council that an appropriate action be taken by the City-University Coordinating Committee requesting that the Willows Beach be designated by the University as a permanent recreation area. 22. The Common Council passed a resolution to go on record as favoring the establishment of the Willows Beach area as a permanent public swimming-bathing beach. 23. The City-University Coordinating Committee passed the following resolution:

(MORE)

"Be it resolved by this Committee that the University of Wisconsin and the City of Madison jointly study the question of the use of the Willows Beach and that all present plans for building at the Willows Beach for the University be delayed pending the outcome of this study."

24. The study proposed by the City-University Coordinating Committee was made by the University, was not a joint study, and the results of the study were not reported back to the City-University Coordinating Committee. 25. Of the six criteria used by the University, in selecting the Willows Beach for the Crew House site, five of them related primarily to the use of the area as a crew facility and only one to its use as a swimming and recreation area. 26. The recommendation presented to the Regents was not presented to the City-University Coordinating Committee for discussion or consideration. 27. Signatures on the following petition were obtained from about 4,000 residents of the City of Madison, most of them on the west side, including University Houses (It was admitted that many of the signatures consisted of thumb prints of children.):

> "In view of the expressed desire of University Officials to cooperate with the city and of city officials to cooperate with the University, we suggest the Willows Beach offers each a wonderful opportunity. This beach has been and should continue to be an example of cooperation between them.

"We request the Willows Beach be maintained by the City of Madison - permanently and for all people residing in Madison. We further request that the beach be expanded and improved and that no buildings, as now planned, be constructed in that area."

The Regents should visit the Willows Beach area to see what the proposed 28. building would do to this area for swimming and recreational purposes. 29. The citizens of Madison provided funds to build Willows Beach in the first place through the efforts of the Madison Park and Pleasure Drive Association. 30. The proposed Crew House will extend at least 16 feet above the roadway and will block the vista and change the atmosphere of the area. 31. Nothing should be put on the lakeshore that is not necessary for human enjoyment. 32. There is no certainty that the biologists, limnologists, etc., would object to dredging the University Bay area. 33. The crew coach agrees that indoor rowing facilities need not be on the lakeshore. 34. The University is proposing to take away one of the best recreational facilities in this area. 35. The lack of communication between University spokesmen, City officials, and the City of Madison has been, and is at present, a cause of resentment and a stumbling block to understanding the problems confronting these groups. 36. The already existing City-University Coordinating Committee should be more fully utilized as an instrument for better public relations. 37. Meetings of the City-University Coordinating Committee should be held regularly with a public agenda and be open to the public. 38. The University Crew now practices one-third of the time on Lake Monona, it has facilities on Lake Monona, and the crew coach has stated that he prefers Lake Monona because it is calmer. water and Lake Monona is open earlier than Lake Mendota in the Spring. 39. There is a legal question of public domain, and whether the Regents have the right to prohibit the use of Willows Beach by the public.

During and following the presentations by citizens opposing the proposed Crew House at Willows Beach, the Regents asked a number of questions. Regent Cohen inquired of Mrs. Leidner whether she would object if a permanent bath house were constructed on the site, rather than a Crew House. She stated that a bath house would not be objectionable because it would not be as large as the proposed Crew House. President DeBardeleben inquired whether there would be objection to a bath house large enough to serve a 300 foot bathing beach; and Mrs. Leidner replied in the negative. Regent Pasch inquired whether there was agreement that the study, referred to in the resolution adopted by the City-University Coordinating Committee, was to have been submitted to that committee. There was considerable discussion of this question. Vice President Clodius stated that he did not recall that the study was to be brought back to the City-University Coordinating Committee for approval. Mr. Edsall explained that a number of conferences had been held with representatives of the City of Madison on this matter and read from a letter from Mr. James Marshall, Superintendent of Madison Parks, indicating that the plans and the proposal for the Willows Beach Crew House development were satisfactory except that he felt the proposed parking was not sufficient. Mr. Edsall noted that, if the Picnic Point beach is developed, the pressure on the Willows Beach would be relieved somewhat. Dean Luberg, noting that the City-University Coordinating Committee meets only infrequently, recalled that the study was to be made by Mr. Edsall and by Mr. Marshall and his staff. Dean Luberg did not recall that there was an agreement that the study should be brought back to the City-University Coordinating Committee. Alderman Goulette stated that it seemed only proper that the study should have been brought back to the committee for action before being recommended to the Regents.

President Harrington stated that the relations between the University and the people of the City of Madison, on the whole, have been very good, and that we are pleased the University can provide a great many cultural and recreational facilities and opportunities for the people of the City of Madison. He admitted that, obviously, there are differences of opinion on occasion, but that the University tries to do the best that it can in such situations. He stated that, in this case, the University had devoted a great deal of time to points raised by citizens interested in this question; and that he felt that plans had been changed consideraably to take into consideration the needs of the citizens represented at this meeting. He noted that the University does face a time problem at this time; and stated that the University administration specifically proposes this recommendation to the Regents and hopes they will approve the going ahead with preliminary plans and specifications.

Regent Pasch moved that this matter be deferred to the February meeting of the Board, to give the City-University Coordinating Committee an opportunity to review the study and the recommendation. The motion was seconded by Regent Friedrick. Regent Greenquist requested a roll call vote on the motion. Regent Friedrick stated that there seemed to him to be involved a matter of public relations which he thought was important to the University, and that the University could afford to explore this matter further, in order to make every effort to see if some amicable solution might be reached. He stated that, while this is University property and is under the jurisdiction of the Regents to determine its use, he felt that very serious consideration should be given to the feelings of the citizens and of the public. Regent Greenquist inquired as to the affect of

-11

deferring consideration of the recommendation until the next meeting. Mr. Edsall indicated that that would delay the Alumni House about three months and the Crew House facilities approximately six months. Regent Greenquist stated that he objected to any delay, because it appeared to him that the University had done all that it could do with respect to taking up the matter with the local people involved, and because he could not see that the delay would result in any different decision. Regent Cohen stated that he agreed with the comments made by Regent Friedrick about the desire of maintaining the best possible public relations with the people of Madison and working with them as closely as possible, but that he was opposed to the motion to defer this matter for the very reason that Regent Friedrick had suggested, namely, that further delay and discussion usually does not help public relations. He suggested that continuing discussion of this kind is more likely to react in just the opposite way in embittering the situation more than it is now. On the basis of information presented, he felt that the issue cannot be resolved by compromise, or in any other manner, irrespective of how much delay is sought. Regent Pasch stated that the Regents should be reminded that while they talk about this property as University property, it is as much the property of the people who are protesting the recommendation and the action as it is of the Regents. There was further discussion of possible delays resulting from deferring consideration of this matter to the next meeting. Mr. Edsall explained that if a different site were selected for the Crew House, it would mean going back to redesigning the preliminary plans, making soil tests, etc.; and that, in order to keep the crew in operation next season, it would be necessary to remodel the old boat house for that purpose, because the present crew house is to be razed in connection with the construction of the Alumni House. Regent DeBardeleben noted that if consideration of this matter is deferred only one month and then approved, the delay would be only one month, with respect to the proposed Crew House and Alumni House. Regent Rohde expressed the opinion that it would be an advantage from a purely public relations standpoint to delay the decision until the February meeting. Provost Fleming stated that he understood that at least two meetings had been held with the representatives of the citizens' group to discuss the proposed plans with them. Mr. Edsall reported that two meetings were held, both about five hours in length, in which all plans were reviewed with members of the citizens' groups. Mrs. Bardwell agreed that such meetings had been held, but that the representatives of the citizens' groups had, at both meetings, expressed the feeling that the plans were totally unsatisfactory.

> President DeBardeleben turned the Chair over to Regent Cohen, in the absence of Vice President Gelatt.

President DeBardeleben stated that it appeared that there was no room for compromise, and that it did not seem from the presentations made that there was any opportunity for it. Therefore, he felt that it was a question of rejecting or approving the recommendation at this time. He pointed out that the Regents had had a complete presentation from the University administration, and that the people opposing the University administration's recommendation had appeared here today and had been given the time to present their side. He stated that he believed a decision should be reached and that he, therefore, would oppose the motion to delay this matter.

President DeBardeleben resumed the chair.

A called vote was taken on the motion to defer the recommendation relating to the Willows Beach and Crew House Developments to the February meeting of the Board, with Regents Friedrick, Pasch and Rohde voting "Aye"; and with Regents Cohen, DeBardeleben, Greenquist, Rothwell, Steiger and Werner voting "No". The motion was declared lost.

Regent Greenquist moved approval of the following recommendation, and the motion was seconded by Regents Cohen and Steiger:

That the preliminary plans and specifications be approved for the proposed Crew House, contingent upon the development of the beach concurrently with the Crew House; and that authority be granted to prepare final plans and specifications.

Regent Cohen stated that it seemed to him that the fears of the people were somewhat exaggerated. He noted that Mr. Peters had referred to the denial of the use of this beach by the public, but pointed out that the Mayor of Madison had asked only that the beach be maintained and open to the general public. He stated he could not understand how the University was destroying this as a public beach, if it was increasing the size of it from a shoreline of 85 feet to a shoreline of 350 feet, and increasing the dimensions from 6,700 to 16,000 square feet. He felt that the proposal, rather than destroying the use of the beach, would enlarge it to the point where it could be used by many, many more people than at present. He stated that he was not persuaded that there would be any substantial conflict during the short time that it would be used for crew purposes. He stated that he was going to vote in favor of the recommendation.

Regent Friedrick stated that while he had voted for deferring the matter to the next meeting, to see if there was some possibility of adjustment, he was going to support the recommendation of the administration in this matter, since the defeat of the recommendation would entail a very long period of protracted delay.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted, with Regent Pasch voting "no".

Regent Cohen moved approval of the following recommendations of the President of the University and the motion was seconded by Regents Greenquist and Werner, and it was voted:

> 1. That the Secretary be authorized to petition the City of Madison for an ordinance establishing bulkhead lines on the south shore of Lake Mendota in connection with the construction of the Alumni House, the new Crew House, and the enlargement and improvement of Willows Beach. (Copy of maps on file.)

2. That the Secretary be authorized to apply to the Public Service Commission for approval to place a layer of sand or other similar material on the bed of Lake Mendota adjacent to the new bulkhead lines established in connection with the enlargement and improvement of Wirlows Beach.

Provost Fleming presented the following recommendation:

That the final plans and specifications be approved for the Alumni House and Addition to the Wisconsin Center; and that the University of Wisconsin Foundation be authorized to advertise for bids and to construct this facility.

Architect Sites showed an elevation of the proposed Alumni House and Addition to the Wisconsin Center and a model of it. He explained that the Class of 1917 is attempting to raise \$50,000 for landscaping this project. He anticipated that bids would be taken in March 1965 and that the project would be completed in March 1966. He reported that the estimated cost of \$590,000 for this project would be financed from gifts.

Regent Werner moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Steiger, and it was voted.

The meeting recessed at 11:03 A.M., for committee meetings.

-14

The meeting reconvened at 1:15 P.M., January 8, 1965, with President DeBardeleben presiding

PRESENT: Regents DeBardeleben, Cohen, Friedrick, Greenquist, Pasch, Rohde, Rothwell and Steiger

ABSENT: Regents Gelatt and Werner

Dean Pound introduced Professor Harold Calbert from the Department of Dairy and Food Industries and asked him to explain the sterilized milk concentrate, samples of which had been distributed to the Regents together with a pamphlet describing the product. Professor Calbert explained that the College of Agriculture has been working on this project for approximately 8 years. He pointed out that each container, when reconstituted, would equal a quart of milk. He noted the purpose of this product was to help the people of Wisconsin find a new marketing potential, since it can be made in Wisconsin and shipped to anywhere in the world because of its excellent keeping qualities. Regent Rohde inquired as to the production cost compared with fluid milk; and Professor Calbert reported that there would be very little price advantage for this product in this area, but that there would be a considerable difference in more remote areas, such as Alaska, where milk sells for from 45ϕ to 50ϕ a quart.

The meeting continued with further consideration of the Report and Recommendations of the President of the University.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That the State Building Commission be requested to allocate funds for the preparation of preliminary plans for the proposed Clinical Science Building and for the proposed School of Nyrsing Building, so that applications can be made to obtain outside funds.

Provost Fleming explained that these buildings are in the building priority list for the next biennium, and will be financed partly from state funds and partly from federal grants, with the result that money is required now for preliminary plans for both of these buildings because preliminary plans must be available when applying for funds from the federal agencies.

Regent Friedrick moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Rohde, and it was voted.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That the President of the University be authorized to accept the invitation for the University of Wisconsin to become a sponsoring institution of a new not-for-profit corporation to be formed by a number of midwestern universities for the purpose of assuming the responsibilities of managing the Argonne National Laboratory.

President Harrington recalled the University's association with the MURA organization and its efforts to acquire funds for a high energy accelerator to be located in southern Wisconsin. He explained that, when these efforts proved unsuccessful, the decision was that the midwest group its high energy facilities effort around the Argonne National Laboratory, which is in Illinois. He noted that the proposal is to make this a total midwest enterprise, rather than merely a University of Chicago operation. He explained that, in order to accomplish this, a new not-for-profit corporation would have to be organized, which he hoped could be accomplished this spring.

Regent Cohen moved approval of the above recommendation, it was seconded by Regent Steiger, and it was voted.

President Harrington reported briefly on the building program for 1965-67. Copies were distributed to each Regent of a tabulation entitled "1965-67 Building Program Recommended By The State Building Commission - December 23, 1964." (EXHIBIT <u>D</u> attached). President Harrington referred to the amount of \$97,245,000 requested by the Regents and the reduced amount recommended by the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education on the basis of new space standards that the latter had adopted during the past year. He explained that the Department of Administration, in reviewing the recommendations of the Coordinating Committee, had suggested a minor reduction and some alterations in presenting the program to the State Building Commission. He reported that the University Sub-Committee of the State Building Commission had then recommended slightly less than \$52,000,000, and that the State Building Commission, on the basis of suggestions made by the University, then approved slightly more than \$52,000,000.

President Harrington reported that the Coordinating Committee had drawn up quite elaborate plans for measuring buildings to determine how much space is needed for undergraduate and graduate students and these yardsticks were applied to the University and State University requests. He noted that there was no real decision reached on some of the categories of buildings such as research buildings for which yardsticks of requirements have not been developed. He noted that the reductions made by the State Building Commission were basically in the research area with some exceptions, such as the Language and Linguistics Building in Milwaukee, and the Geology Building at Madison, which the State Building Commission dropped out of the list. He reported that the State Building Commission had taken this position because the University had been quite successful in obtaining outside funds for research buildings. He reported that, whereas the State Building Commission had

provided \$4,000,000 for matching funds for buildings in this biennium, the proposal for 1965-67 would provide \$5,000,000 in funds to match outside grants for buildings. In this connection President Harrington noted that, in some fields, such as Physics, obtaining part of the funds from outside sources is possible, but that in other fields, such as in the social sciences and humanities, such funds are not available from outside. He explained that this aspect of the building problems, that of obtaining part of the funds from outside sources, is particularly difficult with respect to UW_M, since it is now just a rising institution and does not rate as well as more established institutions. In addition to the \$52,380,000 recommended by the State Building Commission, President Harrington pointed out that the State Building Commission also recommended an additional \$9,000,000 for the University Medical Center. President Harrington stated that although the University could use a lot more, and feels that it needs more, this is a very substantial building program; and he hoped that the Legislature will make it possible to move forward in this next biennium. However, he pointed out a number of items that had been thrown out of the building priority list such as a campus garage, parking facilities, minor construction and remodeling, and cooperative dormitories.

Regent DeBardeleben inquired as to what areas of research were affected by the reductions. President Harrington explained that reductions were made in the research portions of buildings for Pharmacy, Communicating Arts Building, and the UW-M Applied Science Building. It was pointed out that these projects were not actually reduced in size, but that the State Building Commission felt that the amounts reduced should be obtained from outside sources. President DeBardeleben stated that this meant that the State Building Commission does not feel that University research is not important, but that the University should obtain some of the funds from outside sources. Regent Pasch inquired whether any buildings high on the priority list were dropped. President Harrington replied in the negative, stating that only the Language and Linguistics Building at Milwaukee and the Earth Science (Geology) Building at Madison were dropped. He explained that these were at the bottom of the University Building Priority list, and that if they had been included, the University would have a little more than the needed square feet of space based on the Coordinating Committee formula. President DeBardeleben suggested that the Coordinating Committee square foot formula was without reference to disciplines. President Harrington agreed, but noted that that formula is better than any formula we have.

President Harrington also reported regarding the State Educational Facilities Commission which is to allocate funds from the Federal government for education facilities. He reported that this commission had initially decided that the funds for Wisconsin from the Federal Government for educational facilities should be divided 60% to private institutions and 40% to the public institutions, because the Commission believed that it would be important for the state to have the private schools continue to have their present percentage of total enrollment. President Harrington noted that private institutions actually have less than 30% of the total enrollment in the state. He reported that in the discussion of this in the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education, questions were raised as to this formula. He reported also that this matter was discussed at a meeting of the association of Presidents and Deans of Higher Institutions of Learning (in Wisconsin); and that the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare in Washington D.C. had advised the State Educational Facilities Commission that it could not accept this formula for the distribution of funds between the private and public institutions.

He reported that, at the meeting of the Association of Presidents and Deans of Institutions of Higher Learning, it was agreed that the diversity of education also should be included in the formula, and stated that that recommendation was submitted to the State Educational Facilities Commission.

President Harrington reported on the Governor-Elect's hearing on the budget requests. He stated that the budget request for the University, as approved by/the Regents, had been reduced by the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education and then forwarded to the Governor-Elect. He reported that the hearing before the Governor-Elect was a very substantial hearing, consuming an entire day; and that the Governor-Elect had asked a number of questions regarding the University budget requests, some of quite searching nature. Regent Cohen inquired whether the Coordinating Committee had taken an active part in presenting the budget requests at the hearing. President Harrington reported that Mr. Rothwell, as chairman of the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education, had made the presentations for the Coordinating Committee and that the staff of the Coordinating Committee had been present and participated in the discussions. He also reported that, at the end of the hearing, the Governor-Elect, in summarizing the two days of hearings on the various budget requests, indicated that he would want to support higher education and wanted to cooperate with the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education and the University and the State Universities.

President Harrington reported briefly on the questions concerning higher education which Governor-Elect Knowles had submitted to the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education, copies of which were distributed with the agenda for this meeting of the Regents. He noted that, in addition to the questions Governor-Elect Knowles had presented when he appeared before the Coordinating Committee, he had also sent in additional questions subsequently which dealt largely with community colleges. He noted that the entire group of questions covered about everything in higher education including the structure of the Coordinating Committee, the organization of the University, including its center system and its graduate program, the State Colleges, and also the Vocational School. He indicated that the Coordinating Committee will have until February 15 to submit answers to these questions. He explained that it would not be necessary for the University to answer the questions, but indicated that the University would supply information needed by the Coordinating Committee in answering these questions.

Noting that Governor Knowles has emphasized the need for economic and industrial development in Wisconsin, President Harrington reported that Governor Knowles has asked the University to set up a state wide conference on economic and industrial development. He noted that the University has had many precedents for this during the previous administrations of Governors Thompson, Nelson, and Reynolds. He explained that this conference will be attended by leaders in

-18

industry, commerce and finance, labor, and agriculture. He emphasized that it is the Governor's conference; and that the University merely will be handling the arrangements. He stated that such a conference interests the University very much, because it has been the practice of the University to assist the Governor and the state and because of the interest of the University in aiding the development of industry in Wisconsin.

President Harrington next discussed the optimum enrollment on the "L" shaped campus of UW-M and the central Madison Campus. He noted that this would be a preliminary statement, that he had discussed it briefly at a recent meeting with the University faculty, but that, while it is a statement of the position of the administration, it is only partially developed. He reported that the State Building Commission had asked the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education to look into the maximum and optimum sizes of various institutions, including the University at Madison and Milwaukee as well as the State Universities.

President Harrington first discussed the "L" shaped campus of UW-M. He stated that, when the Milwaukee Downer College property had been acquired, we were faced with the question of how long the Milwaukee Campus could handle the increasing enrollment and had thought that it would be for about ten years. He noted that, now with a little more precision, we can see that we can handle 25,000 students on the "L" shaped campus of about 90 acres, including academic buildings, research buildings, service buildings, and some dormitories, if high rise buildings are constructed. However, he noted that it probably would not be possible to include such facilities on the present campus as playing fields, heating plant, and a few other facilities. He estimated that the 25,000 enrollment at UW-M will be reached in the early or middle 1970's, or ten years from the acquisition of the Milwaukee Downer College property. He stated that we should be looking forward as to what should be done at that time, which may include the necessity of having to acquire additional land toward the West.

Regarding the Madison Campus, President Harrington suggested that the crisis will come faster here than at Milwaukee, where the enrollment can more than double before an enrollment of 25,000 students is reached there. On the basis of an enrollment of 26,000 students now at Madison, he indicated that, under present academic policies and with the pattern of development that is being arranged for the central Madison Campus, the optimum enrollment on the central Madison Campus can only go to 40,000 students, although more than 40,000 could be crowded on the Madison Central campus on a maximum basis. Copies were distributed to the Regents of a statement "Preliminary Analysis of Building and Enrollment Capacity for the University of Wisconsin-Madison Central Campus", with a map of the Madison Central Campus attached, (copy filed with papers of this meeting). Referring to the map, President Harrington stated that the Madison Central Campus comprised the area outlined on the map from North Lake Street on Lake Mendota westward to University Bay Creek and then easterly, southerly, easterly and northerly in an irregular line as far south as Regent Street and as far east as North Frances Street. He noted that the University owns additional land on which it would be possible to construct other campuses in Madison, such as the land west of University Bay Creek to Eagle Heights and Picnic Point, and the Charmany and Rieder Farms, as well as other lands such as the Arboretum, which could not be used for instructional facilities. He stated that the

enrollment of 40,000 students on the central Madison Campus will be reached in a relatively short time, and emphasized that we should start working on what should be done to take care of the situation when that enrollment is reached, which includes such possibilities as additional campuses in the Picnic Point area or Charmany-Rieder Farm area. He noted that the Madison Campus problem was a problem for Provost Fleming and his faculty to be working on. He stated that this was a report of the central administration regarding the Madison and Milwaukee Campuses and that it will be followed later by reports by the two provosts. He noted that this report contemplates two additional four-year campuses in the Fox Valley and Racine-Kenosha areas, and that the estimated enrollment at Madison and Milwaukee will be reached earlier if we do not have these two additional four-year campuses and if the estimated rapid increase in enrollment in the University Center System is not realized. Regent Pasch inquired as to the estimated enrollment on the Madison Central Campus by 1970. Provost Fleming reported that the Coordinating Committee projections of undergraduate enrollments indicated 28,217 undergraduate students, and that, if the estimate of 12,012 graduate students is added, the total would be 40,229, which is probably underestimated because the undergraduate enrollments have not been revised.

Regent DeBardeleben stated that he believed that some thought should be given to the concept that an institution will have to grow in size if it is going to continue to maintain its quality. Vice President Clodius agreed that growth in size will add to strength for new programs and possibilities for adaptation to changes, because of greater flexibility of staff. Regent DeBardeleben inquired whether this concept would be qualified by increasing growth by separate campuses in Madison rather than on a single campus; and Vice President Clodius suggested that would depend on the nature of the development of the new campuses with relation to the central campus. Regent DeBardeleben wondered whether we are moving fast enough to get a second campus started at Madison before the optimum enrollment is reached on the central Madison Campus.

President Harrington stated further suggestions will be made to the Regents on this subject at later meetings.

At the request of President Harrington, Dean Luberg briefly reported on considerations that had been given by a committee appointed by President Harrington to look into possible developments concerning the use of Truax Field after its use is discontinued by the Air Force. He reported that a meeting had been held with the Truax Field Staff, inspections had been made of the facilities, and consideration was being given to the use for housing purposes of the Capehart housing development, which was constructed at Sun Prairie in connection with the military use of Truax Field. He stated that the studies were being continued, and that there was no definite report to be made at this time.

-19-

It was agreed that the item on the Recommendations and Report of the President of the University relating to an urban renewal project for the north half of Blocks 3 and 6, University Addition to the City of Madison (Murray Mall area), be deferred for the arrival of Mr. Levin, the Acting Director of the Madison Redevelopment Authority.

Vice President Peterson presented the Recommendations and Report of the Vice President and Trust Officer.

Upon motion by Regent Friedrick, seconded by Regent Steiger, it was VOTED, That Recommendation No. 1, listed under the Recommendations and Report of the Vice President and Trust Officer (I. Recommendations) (<u>EXHIBIT P</u> attached), be approved.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regents Friedrick and Rothwell, it was

VOTED, That Recommendation No. 2, listed under the Recommendations and Report of the Vice President and Trust Officer (I. Recommendations)(<u>EXHIBIT</u> <u>B</u> attached), be approved.

Vice President Peterson presented, for the information of the Regents, Report of Actions Taken - Miscellaneous (see Item II. of the Recommendations and Report of the Vice President and Trust Officer, <u>EXHIBIT</u> <u>B</u> attached).

- - -

Vice President Cafferty presented the report of the Vice President for Business Affairs.

Upon motion by Regent Steiger, seconded by Regent Rohde, it was

VOTED, That the Report of Actions Taken numbered I., listed under the Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs (<u>EXHIBIT</u> <u>C</u> attached), be approved, ratified and confirmed.

-20-

Vice President Cafferty presented, for the information of the Regents, the Report of Actions Taken - Memorandum Agreements - University of Wisconsin Press, and the Report of Budget Transfers from December 11, 1964 through December 31, 1964 (See Items II., and III., of the Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs EXHIBIT <u>C</u> attached).

The Secretary reminded the Regents that the next meeting of the Board will be held on February 5, 1965 in Milwaukee. Regent Steiger, Chairman of the Business and Finance Committee, reported that the Business and Finance Committee would meet at the Milwaukee Athletic Club at 4:00 P.M. on Thursday, February 4, to consider University Trust Fund items.

President Harrington next returned to his report and recommendations and brought up the recommendation relating to the consideration of an urban renewal project for the development of the north half of Blocks 3 and 6, University Addition to the City of Madison (Murray Mall area). He noted this is an area of great importance to the University, both for esthetic reasons because it will be a gateway to the Madison Campus, and also for the reasons that it is needed to provide commercial facilities for our students and faculty members and is needed in part for graduate housing. He recalled that the University has been working on a plan of development of this area for some time. He stated that the University-Park Corpora tion, headed by Vice President Peterson, has been working on a plan of development that the University administration thinks is an excellent one and which the Regents endorsed, although not unanimously. He reported that a new development had come up, which was a suggestion by property owners in the area for an urban renewal project for the development of that area. He referred to a letter written to Vice President Peterson, dated January 4, 1965, by Alderman James P. Goulette, with a copy of a petition to the Common Council of the City of Madison from property owners in the area, attached. (Copy filed with papers of this meeting.) That letter explained the proposed urban renewal project; and the petition to the Common Council of the City of Madison asked that the Madison Redevelopment Authority be directed, in cooperation with other city departments and public agencies, to take all necessary actions to plan and carry out an urban renewal program within that area. President Harrington noted that the University administration has long favored urban renewal and has done its best to cooperate with groups of citizens in Madison. Consequently, he stated that the administration felt it would be desirable to work with this group for a time to see if it could develop this project. He then read the following recommendation:

> That pursuant to the request of several property owners in the areas concerned, the administrative officers of the University be authorized to cooperate with the Madison Redevelopment Authority, the City of Madison, and other organizations in the development of the north half of Blocks 3 and 6, University Addition to the City of Madison (Murray Mall area), as an Urban Renewal Project, with the understandings that land use and design of buildings shall be subject to approval by the Regents, and that the University's needs for space in the area will be met. (MORE)

-21-

-22

That the authorizations and directions relating to the Murray Mall Project approved by the Regents on November 13, 1964 (Minutes, pp. 14-18) be held in abeyance until April 15, 1965.

Vice President Peterson expressed the hope that the Regents would approve this recommendation, although this procedure might result in some delay in the development of the project, perhaps a delay of a year. However, he noted that there was no guarantee, if the development proceeded on the basis originally proposed, that any fixed time schedule would be met. He noted the importance of this development to the University and the City of Madison. He stated that there had been mistakes made in the past; and regretted that the property owners had not discussed this urban renewal proposal with the University earlier. Referring to statements made earlier in the day regarding failure on the part of the University to take into account the interests of the citizens of Madison, he suggested that this would be an opportunity to show our good faith in wanting to develop the Murray Mall project on a basis that would be beneficial to the property owners, to the University, and to the City of Madison.

Regent Pasch stated that Vice President Peterson and the administration should be complimented for the manner in which they had taken hold of this project at this point and the manner in which they have consulted with the people who are interested. He moved approval of the above recommendation, and the motion was seconded by Regent Rothwell.

Regent Creenquist expressed regret at having to take issue with the administration on this recommendation. He stated that the previous proposal of development by the University-Park Corporation had been agreed upon after long and careful consideration; and the profits resulting under that proposal would enure to the benefit of the University. He stated that there was not a question of whether the Regents were for or against urban renewal. He took issue with the proposition that this area could be an urban renewal project. He stated that urban renewal applies only to distressed areas where there cannot be any development unless the locality or federal government steps in. He noted that this was not a distressed area. He also noted that the petition submitted to the Common Council of the City of Madison was signed by individuals and that there was no entity involved. He raised questions as to whether the individuals intended to form a corporation, whether or not it would be non-profit, and whether the proceeds from the development would go to the University or to private individuals. He stated that this proposal appeared merely to substitute these individuals for the University-Park Corporation, and would divert the profits from the University to the individuals. He stated that he was confident that there would be delay beyond that which the University administration contemplated; and consequently he would have to oppose the administration's recommendation. Regent Steiger agreed with Regent Greenquist that there was no question of urban renewal involved at all in this area. He noted that, under the proposal approved by the Regents previously, preference would be given to the people who were giving up their property in having the first opportunity to meet whatever other arrangements the University might offer for leasing the property. He also felt that the urban renewal proposal would delay the project, not only months, but perhaps years. He asked Mr. Levin, Director of the Madison Redevelopment Authority, on what he based his belief that this area could come under urban renewal. Mr. Levin stated that he based it on his knowledge of the area and his knowledge of the

urban renewal process. Mr. Steiger stated there was a question whether this could be done at all under urban renewal; and that he believed it would delay this project beyond a reasonable length of time. Mr. Levin agreed that he could not guarantee that there would not be delay; and expressed his opinion that, with the various entities involved, by cooperating they could develop a project that would be completely legitimate and outstanding. He pointed out that one of the very acceptable and much used techniques under urban renewal is negotiated sales with present owners. Mr. Levin was also questioned by several of the Regents as to who the developers would be under an urban renewal project, whether the petitioners would form a nonprofit corporation, and when the proposal for an urban renewal project came up. Mr. Levin indicated that in an earlier discussion it was thought that the area was too small for an urban renewal project, but that, when it was brought to his attention about six weeks ago, he felt that it might qualify since he knew of urban renewal projects which were no larger than one-half acre in size.

Regent Friedrick inquired what had happened with regard to the procedure for development by the University-Park Corporation. Vice President Peterson reported that they were proceeding according to the instructions given by the Regents and were having the appraisals up-dated, which they had hoped to have before the first of January, but which had been somewhat delayed. He noted that, under an urban renewal project, these appraisals will be of no use since the Madison Redevelopment Authority would have to get their own appraisals. He also reported that, since the Regents had approved the prior proposal, he had met with all the property owners concerned, with one or two exceptions to whom he had written letters, for the purpose of giving them a copy of the Regent resolution and plan of procedure. He stated that the property owners were informed that as soon as appraisals were up-dated, they would be contacted further. He pointed out that the proposal now before the Regents is to give the property owners until April 15, 1965, to prove whether or not they can proceed under an urban renewal project. Regent Rothwell inquired as to the advantages or disadvantages under the second proposal; and Vice President Peterson expressed the opinion that there would be a slight advantage to the University in the cost of land under an urban renewal project, but agreed that, in the long run, the University would not receive the profits. In answer to questions, Vice President Peterson reported that he had met with each property owner individually from the time of the November Regent meeting until the end of December; and that the University was not aware of the present proposal for an urban renewal project until it was presented to the Common Council just before Christmas.

Regent DeBardeleben inquired whether, under the urban renewal process, there would be any assurance that the plans, use, and design of the buildings would be subject to approval by the Regents. Mr. Levin agreed that the procedure would require approval by the Regents. Regent DeBardeleben inquired as to the tentative starting date under the urban renewal proposal; and Mr. Levin estimated that it would be about March of 1967. Vice President Peterson estimated that under the University-Park Corporation procedure, previously approved by the Regents, it was estimated that the first unit would be completed by July of 1967.

Alderman Goulette was questioned regarding the membership and the nature of the proposed development corporation. Alderman Goulette admitted that he did not have the names of all the property owners on the petition that he had submitted to the Common Council on short notice because of the short time involved. He stated he

recognized the University's needs in this area. He reported the reason that the property owners had filed the petition was that they found they were not accomplishing what was intended to be accomplished under the University-Park Corporation procedure to which they had been introduced through the newspapers. He stated that the property owners had assumed that the University -Park Corporation would be friends of the property owners in that area. He suggested that one of the principle points of dissatisfaction was in the wide spread between the prices being asked for the property and the amounts of the appraisals. Regent DeBardeleben questioned Alderman Goulette as to what were the specific dissatisfactions and what was wrong with the procedures followed by Vice President Peterson in consulting the property owners subsequent to the November meeting of the Regents. Alderman Goulette stated the property owners were led to believe that they would be dealt with fairly, would be dealing with a non-profit corporation, and would not be faced with condemnation. However, he stated that the University, through the University-Park Corporation, was "romancing" the merchants until suddenly there was talk about condemnation. Alderman Goulette agreed that there was nothing unfair in the procedure followed by Vice President Peterson in acquainting the property owners with the procedure approved by the Regents in November. He pointed out, however, that the property owners should not be criticized for considering the possibility of an urban renewal project for this area when this property was brought to their attention. He pointed out that the University, in February 1959, had indicated that this area was not included in the University acquisition area; and that a subsequent statement made in June, 1963, also excluded this area. He stated that the property owners were concerned because the state, or the University, subsequently came in and decided that they were going to take the property, under condemnation if necessary. He stated that this proposal was an effort by these people to save their property and keep it on the tax rolls. He contended that this proposal would offer the same services that the University was demanding under the University-Park Corporation plan. He stated that the property owners intend to cooperate with the University and recognize the University's needs and the need for planning and developing this area. Regent Steiger pointed out that, under the procedure approved by the Regents in November, the property would remain on the tax rolls. Alderman Goulette questioned whether all the area would be on the tax roll, such as office space to be used by the University. Regent Greenquist inquired as to relationships of the various parties in this particular area and as to how the University would participate in such a development. Alderman Goulette stated that the proposal presented at this time was merely to obtain authority for funds to make a feasibility study which would have to be followed by the working out of the details of how the plan would be developed.

Regent Cohen stated that it was very difficult for him to differ with the recommendation of the administration and he would have to do it with a great deal of reluctance. He agreed with Regent Greenquist's comments. He noted that the plan for the development of this area had been discussed at a number of meetings of the Business and Finance Committee of the Regents, and that the difficulties involved in negotiations, etc., were carefully considered. He pointed out that, at the November meeting of the Regents, the proposal was adopted which would protect the property owners, or the people occupying the buildings, and would assure them that they would have the first opportunity to lease whatever buildings were put up. They would have the right of preference for occupancy. He noted that, under formidable objections, the Regents had approved that procedure. He emphasized that one of the princip**de** points in the procedure approved was to give the property

owners, or the occupants, the right of possession. He stated that, then, the property owners proposed this new plan of development, indicating that they wanted not only the right of possession, but also the right of ownership. Under the previous plan approved, the benefits would enure to the University and the state. He suggested that now the property owners also wanted to be in on the profits to be made in the development of this area. He suggested that, at this point, he was beginning to lose his patience, since the University had the right, at any time it was unable to negotiate for the property, to acquire this property by eminent domain, but that the University did not want to do that, and would rather negotiate for the property and give the property owners every assurance that they would be protected in their right of possession. He suggested that the property owners would be well advised to go along with the program approved previously by the Regents. He stated that the only recourse, if negotiations failed, would be to go to condemnation, which he would like to avoid. He stated that this proposal, by which these people wanted to get in on the benefits of the development the University is planning, because of the cost of several years delay, was an unreasonable request. Regent Rothwell stated that, although he had seconded the motion to approve the recommendation, after hearing the discussion, he would have to vote against it. Regent Friedrick indicated that he would have to vote against the recommendation, because it was actually no recommendation, it being so indefinite, including no specific commitments as to the rights of the University, and no definite understanding as to the procedures to be followed.

Regent Pasch stated that he could not agree with Regent Cohen's position of reaching the point of losing patience with the people who had appeared in support of this recommendation of this administration. He hoped that the Regents would never reach the point where any member of the Board would lose patience in a matter that would involve taxpayers of this state, no matter what the merits of it, and would not want to deny the property owners in Madison, or any other city that might be involved, the right of petition. He also stated that the Regents should not criticize the property owners for wanting to investigate the possibility of development under a program that they apparently first learned was available after consultation with Mr. Levin, the Director of the Madison Redevelopment Authority. He suggested that Vice President Peterson would not have come up with this recommendation if he had not believed there was some merit for the request for a feasibility study. Therefore, Mr. Pasch stated, that he intended to support the request of the individuals involved and the recommendation of the administration.

> President DeBardeleben turned the chair over to Regent Cohen in the absence of Vice President Gelatt.

President DeBardeleben, noting that he had voted against the recommendation in November for developing this area, because he thought it was unduly liberal to the property owners, stated that he would have to vote against the present recommendation, because we do not know where it is going or where it will lead us, and because it goes even further than the previous proposal. He stated that he did not believe the proposal in November, allowing negotiations with the owners on the question of leases, was adequately protecting the interest of the University and the State of Wisconsin. He noted that, under the present recommendation, a start on the project cannot be made until about three months before the first unit would have been completed under the previous proposal. Expressing the utmost respect for the administration, he stated that the entire administration of the University was anxious to get this project completed at the earliest possible date; that he had no criticism whatever of Vice President Peterson or anyone else in this matter; and

-26

that he appreciated the problems involved. He emphasized that the Regents had to look at this from the standpoint of not only the people of Madison, but also as to what was best for the State of Wisconsin. He noted that there were two principal factors involved: 1. To get the project completed at the earliest possible date, and he doubted the adequacy of time even under the procedure approved in November; and 2. The benefits that are created by the University should redound to the University and not to the property owners. He stated that he would have to vote against the recommendation.

President DeBardeleben resumed the chair.

There was further discussion of the starting and completion times of the various procedures, and the opinion was expressed by some Regents that the dates suggested for the urban renewal program were very optimistic.

President Harrington, noting that several times during the discussion reference had been made to the recommendation being that of the business administration of the University, stated that there was no difference in his position and Vice President Peterson's in this matter, since they had worked together on it and had joined in this recommendation. He stated they had agreed that it would be desirable to take some time to see if this could be worked out with the business men in this area. He emphasized that this was the recommendation of both Vice President Peterson and himself. However, he noted that they would be bound by the decision of the Regents.

Regent Friedrick stated that, although he was not present at the November meeting of the Regents, he would have voted for the University-Park Corporation procedure. Agreeing that the University should work with the property owners, he, however, felt that this present proposal was too wide open without indication as to the rights of the University regarding design and use of buildings. Vice President Peterson pointed out that the University would be a participant in the application for an urban renewal project for this area and would not be giving up control, although the University would have no ownership in the property or any profits that would develop from it. He also noted that economic studies indicate that the possibility of any profits is very thin, which may result in a decision by the property owners that it would not be worthwhile to proceed on this basis. Regent Rohde suggested that under the urban renewal proposal, the degree of control by the University would be somewhat less than under the previous proposal; and Vice President Peterson agreed.

The question was put on the above motion, with all of the Regents present voting "No", except for Regent Pasch, who voted "Aye". The motion was declared lost.

-27

Regent Pasch presented the Report of the Educational Committee.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Rohde, it was VOTED, That Robert S. Barger be appointed senior programmer in the U.W. Computing Center, Graduate School, beginning December 1, 1964; salary at the rate of \$13,000 annual basis.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Bothwell, it was VOTED, That Joseph F. Kauffman be appointed Dean of Student Affairs (Madison Campus) and Professor of Counseling and Behavioral Studies in the School of Education, beginning July 15, 1965, salary to be determined in the budget.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regents Friedrick and Rohde, it was

VOTED, That Dr. Nikola D. Kostich, Instructor in Pathology, University Hospitals, be appointed Instructor in Pathology and Assistant Director of Clinical Laboratories, University Hospitals and Medical School, beginning February 1, 1965, salary at the rate of \$12,000 annual basis.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Friedrick, it was VOTED, That Antonio Sanchez-Barbudo be permanently appointed to the Vilas Research Professorship in Spanish and Portuguese, College of Letters and Science, beginning with the second semester 1964-65 academic year at a salary of \$9,000 for the semester, including \$5,000 charged to funds to be provided by the Trustees of the William F. Vilas Trust Estate, and \$4,000 charged to the budget of the Graduate School.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Rothwell, it was VOTED, That Richard B. Nicholson, Associate Professor of Nuclear Engineering, College of Engineering, be granted a leave of absence from January 23 to June 7, 1965 without salary.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Rohde, it was VOTED, That the resignation of Dr. Dale E. Van Wormer, Assistant Professor of Pathology and Medicine, Medical School (who also served as Assistant Director of the Clinical Laboratories, University Hospitals), be accepted effective January 31, 1965.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Friedrick, it was VOTED, That the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, relating to emeritus status, be approved:

- 1. That Gerald E. Annin, Professor and Extension Poultryman in the Department of Poultry Science, College of Agriculture, be retired at his request, effective December 30, 1964, and that he be granted emeritus status as Emeritus Professor of Poultry Science.
- 2. That Alvin Leroy Throne, Professor of Botany, College of Letters and Science (Milwaukee Campus) be retired at his own request, effective January 23, 1965, and that he be granted emeritus status as Emeritus Professor of Botany.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendation of the Educational Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Rohde:

That, upon the recommendation of the Faculty of the College of Agriculture, honorary recognition be conferred, at the Agricultural Industries Conference and Public Forum on January 27, 1965, upon the following persons for their outstanding contributions to agriculture and rural communities:

Mrs. Wilfred Braun, Rural Homemaker, Athens, Wisconsin Mrs. Paul H. Phillips, Rural Homemaker, Middleton, Wisconsin Mr. Arthur F. Stapel, Agricultural Leader, Appleton, Wisconsin Mr. Frank E. Zemaitis, Dairyman, Birchwood, Wisconsin Mr. Carl Z. Zielke, Agricultural Journalist, Madison, Wisconsin.

President DeBardeleben noted that these awards already had been publicized, and that, consequently, this action of the Regents need not be confidential.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendation of the Educational Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Cohen:

A Long A

That the title of Chancellor be designated as the appropriate one for the chief administrative officer of each campus and of the University Center System; that the titles of R. W. Fleming, J. M. Klotsche and L. H. Adolfson be changed accordingly; and that such other changes in titles be authorized to bring the titles of other appointments into conformity with this terminology.

President Harrington explained the above recommendation. He stated that, when the University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee was created as a part of the University of Wisconsin, there had been considerable discussion as to what the administrative head of that institution should be called. It was decided at that time that the title should be "provost". He noted that, in the nine years since that decision, the nation-wide trend has been toward the use of different titles. He reported that the title "president" is generally being used for the administrative head of an entire system, with the title of "chancellor" being used for the administrative head of each separate institution within the system. He also reported that the title of "provost" is generally being used for the second in command on each of the separate campuses and that the provost generally is in charge of the academic and faculty aspects of each campus. He stated that he believed this practice is one that fits the situation with respect to the University of Wisconsin very well. He reported that the title of "chancellor" was used for the title of the head of the University of Wisconsin during its early history.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendation of the Educational Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Rothwell:

That the granting of a Master's Degree in Environmental Design be approved.

Vice President Clodius explained that this recommendation was for the purpose of catching up on the authorization for the academic side of this program, since the Regents had acted on the financial side in approving the legislative budget requests in June. He explained that this program cuts across the schools and colleges, involving Engineering, Medicine, Letters and Science, and Agriculture; and will lead to a Master's Degree in which the contributions of several disciplines will be brought to bear. Regent Cohen inquired whether this recommendation would require approval by the Coordinating Committee for Higher Education; and Vice President Clodius replied in the affirmative, pointing out, however, that the Coordinating Committee had previously approved the budget aspect of this program.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendation of the Educational Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regents Friedrick and Steiger:

That the University of Wisconsin request the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force to establish the two-year ROTC programs, when available for the respective services, on the Madison campus and for the Army on the Milwaukee campus in addition to the existing four-year programs currently conducted on these campuses by the respective services.

President DeBardeleben explained that he had voted against this recommendation in the Educational Committee because the Department of Defense had not asked the University to do this, and because it is primarily a defense matter, not an academic one.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted, with President DeBardeleben voting "No".

Mr. John Hunter, reporter for <u>The Capital Times</u>, Madison, Wisconsin, objected to the consideration by the Educational Committee in closed session of the recommendation relating to change in titles. He stated it should have been considered in open session. President DeBardeleben stated that the recommendation involved the names of individuals; and that the Chairman of the Educational Committee had correctly decided that the recommendation should be considered in closed session by the Educational Committee. Mr. David Behrendt, reporter for <u>The Milwaukee Journal</u>, expressed his concurrence with the objection voiced by Mr. Hunter. President DeBardeleben stated that the objections would be noted in the minutes of this meeting.

Regent Steiger presented the Report of the Business and Finance Committee.

Regent Steiger moved approval of the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Cohen:

> That, subject to the approval of the State Building Commission, the unplatted lands bounded by Whitney Way extended, Mineral Point Road, Rosa Road, and the northerly boundary of the unplatted portion of the Gugel Farm (University Hill Farms) comprising 41.6 acres, more or less, be sold to the City of Madison for a consideration of \$175,650, subject to the condition that title to the entire above described lands shall revert to The Regents of the University of Wisconsin in the event that any part of said lands is used for

-31

other than public park purposes without specific authorization by the Regents; and that the President or Vice President and the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign the deed of conveyance.

Regent Steiger explained that this recommendation had reference to the approximately 31 acres of land which the Regents previously had agreed to sell to the City of Madison for \$2,750 an acre, and an additional approximately 5 acres, on the basis of a present price of \$15,000 per acre.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

Regent Cohen left the meeting at 3:30 P.M.

Upon motion by Regent Steiger, seconded by Regent Greenquist, it was VOTED, That the President and the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign an easement, on behalf of The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, conveying to Williams County, Nørth Dakota, for use as a public highway, and for such necessary or incidental use as may be deemed necessary, the remainder interest of The Regents of the University of Wisconsin in a tract or parcel of land in the County of Williams and State of North Dakota, described as follows:

> Beginning at a point on the East-West One Quarter Section Line 75 feet West of the East One Quarter Section Corner of Section 10, Township 159 North, Range 97 West of the Fifth Principal Meridian, thence South and parallel to the East Section Line of the said Section 10, a distance of 492.5 feet, thence at an angle of Ninety Degrees Right and a distance of 25.0 feet, thence at an angle of Ninety Degrees to the Left, and a distance of 600.0 feet, thence at an angle of Ninety Degrees to the Left and a distance of 25.0 feet, thence at an angle of Ninety Degrees to the Right and a distance of 227.5 feet, to a point 75, feet West of the Southeast Corner of the NE^4 SE^4 of the said Section 10, thence Ninety Degrees Left and a distance of 30.0 feet, thence North and parallel to the East Section Line of the said Section 10 a distance of 1320 feet, thence Ninety Degrees Left and a distance of 30.0 feet to the point of beginning. Tract contains an area of 1.25 acres more or less.

Regent Steiger moved approval of the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Friedrick:

-32

That authority be granted to lease the following property in Madison needed for Medical Center purposes (Chargeable to President's Unassigned):

> The entire premises at 1308 West Dayton Street, comprising the building of approximately 7,640 net square feet and the abutting lot and parking area Hi Ray Corporation \$1,900 per month.

Regent Steiger explained that this building had been built and was used as a women's dormitory, but that at present there is an excess of dormitory space for women and probably will be next Fall also, depending on the registration. He stated that the University needs this space to replace the offices in the old houses on Linden Drive which will have to be razed for the site of the Medical Library.

Regent Pasch requested that he be excused from participating in the consideration of this recommendation and in voting on it since the owners were his clients.

President DeBardeleben ordered that the record show that Regent Pasch had refrained from the discussion and voting on this recommendation. The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

Regent Steiger reported that the Business and Finance Committee had received a report from the administration showing that the University is spending \$459,000 per year in rental of space. He expressed the hope that the University can eventually obtain funds to build the necessary buildings to permit eliminating this rental expenditure.

Upon motion by Regent Steiger, seconded by Regent Greenquist, it was VOTED, That permission be granted to divide Lot 8, Block 44, University HiAl Farms - North Hill Addition, so as to utilize the eastern fifteen feet of the said Lot 8 in conjunction with Lots 6 and 7, Block 44, as the site of an apartment building, and the balance of the said Lot 8 in conjunction with Lot 9, Block 44 and adjoining lots as the site of an office building.

Regent Pasch stated that he would like to have the record show that, since this morning, the people interested in the Willows Beach matter had been conferring with both himself and Dean Luberg, and had hoped to have a resolution to present at this time. He stated that the proposed resolution was not in the shape he would like to have it in; and that he, therefore, intended, at the next meeting of the Board of Regents or prior thereto, to submit such a resolution

-33

for study by the Board relative to a possible compromise with respect to some of the matters discussed in the morning session of this meeting.

•

-

٤

The meeting adjourned at 3:37 P.M.

Clarke Smith, Secretary

GIFTS AND GRANTS

1/8/65

Instructio	on	
1.	이 있는 것은 것은 것은 것이 있는 것이 같이 했다.	- The Ford Foundation, New York City, a grant over a 3-year period for the expansion and strengthening of the University's training and research program relating to Latin America. (47-4863)
		National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, in support of the following Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowances:
1	.) \$ 500.00	- For the period December 1, 1964 through August 31, 1965 - Institute for Enzyme Research. (6 F2 HD-22, 944-01A1) (484-5679)
2) \$ 500.00	- For the period December 1, 1964 through June 30, 1965 - Department of Meat and Animal Science (1 F2 HD-19,967-01) (484-5680)
3.	\$ 45,800.00	- National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of a project entitled "Research Participation for High School Teachers" for a period terminating August 31, 1966 - Department of Zoology. (GE-7610) (484-5689)
4.	\$ 500 . 00	- National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, (transferred from the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah) in support of a Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period February 1, 1964 through January 31, 1965 - Department of Educational Psychology (7 F2 MH-21,714-01Al) (484-5690)
5.	\$ 64,700 . 00	- National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of a "Summer Institute in Physics for Secondary School Teachers" for a period terminating September 30, 1965 - Department of Physics, The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (GE-7513) (484-5684)
6.	\$ 37,220.00	- National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of a "Summer Institute in Plant Growth and Development for Secondary School Teachers" for a period terminating September 30, 1965 - Department of Agricultural and Extension Education. (GE-6766) (484-5685)
7.	\$ 4,840.00	- Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Department of the Interior, in support of a Graduate Educational Grant in Aquatic Sciences for a period of two years, beginning with the 1964-65 academic year - Department of Zoology. (14-17-0007-208(G)) (484-5395)

EXHIBIT A

-1-

•

1

*

Instruction		
8.	\$ 13,685.00 •	- National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of a "Secondary Science Training Program" for a period terminating October 31, 1965 - College of Agriculture, Administration (GE-7359) (484-5710)
9.	\$ 500 .00 ·	 National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, in support of a Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period September 1, 1964 through August 31, 1965 - Department of Pathology (6 F2 HD- 23,842-01A1) (484-5709)
10.	\$ 500.00 ·	- National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, in support of a Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period July 1, 1964 through June 30, 1965 - Department of Medicine. (5-F2-AI-21,122- 02) (484-5701)
11.		National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, termination of grant in support of a "Mental Health Teacher Education Research Project" extended from February 1, 1965 to May 31, 1965 - Department of Education. (MH-6624-05) (484-4698)

EXHIBIT A
.

Student	Aid		
1.	Ş	500.00 -	Alma G. Hall Foundation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, a gift to be used for scholarship purposes for a first year student at the University of Wisconsin- Milwaukee. It is the intention of the donor to renew this scholarship for an additional three years. (47-4846)
2.	\$	7,031.51 -	The Frank Rogers Bacon Foundation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, a gift of the income of the Frank Rogers Bacon Foundation from October 1963 to October 1964, for the support of graduate and under- graduate scholarships in the College of Engineering, as approved by the Regents on April 16, 1955. (47-147)
3.	\$	100.00 -	China Medical Board of New York, Inc., New York, an unrestricted gift for use by the Department of Pathology to defray departmental costs incurred in connection with a fellowship sponsored directly by the donor. Contributions will be made available each month the fellow remains in the department. (47-4587)
4.	Ş	350.00 -	Mr. and Mrs. Hugh L. Rusch, Princeton, New Jersey, to continue support of the "Hugh and Cynthia Rusch Senior Engineer Scholarship Award" in the College of Engineering. (47-4848)
5.	Ş	35,00 -	University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin, a gift made by Gwen Andrew, Lansing, Michigan, to be added to the "Elizabeth Andrew Memorial Scholarship", accepted by the Regents on April 6, 1962. (Trust)
6.	\$	250.00 -	Lee's Drug Store, Inc., Menomonie, Wisconsin, to continue the "J. P. Lee Grant in Pharmacy" to be given in whole or in part to a resident of Menomonie, Wisconsin, who is interested in seeking a career in Pharmacy by attending the School of Pharmacy at The University of Wisconsin. In the event that there is not a qualified applicant from Menomonie, the grant shall be awarded to any qualified Wisconsin resident. Recipients of this grant shall be selected by the Committee on Grants and Scholarships of the School of Pharmacy of The University of Wisconsin (47-3034)
7.	\$	25.00 -	Dr. Norman O. Becker, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, an additional contribution to be added to the Robert Gavin Medical Student Loan Fund.
8.	\$	175,00 -	Madison General Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin, a gift to support students in the Training Program for the Master's Degree in Pharmacy. (47-4561)

-3-

GIFTS AND GRANTS

•

Student Aid			
9.	\$	300.00 -	Otto L. Kuehn Company, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, for the continued support of a scholarship for a senior male student in Commerce majoring in marketing at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (47-2806)
10.	\$	25.00 -	Elinor Dubin Memorial Foundation, New Rochelle, New York, a contribution to be added to the Student Financial Aid Fund. (47-2109)
11.	\$	52,000.00 -	Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation, Princeton, New Jersey, for the support of 26 Fellows in the Graduate School. (47-4173)
12.	\$	1,000.00 -	Institute of International Education, New York City, a gift to defray expenses incurred in connection with a fellowship awarded directly by the donor to a student enrolled in the Department of Home Economics - College of Agriculture. (47-4856)
13.			Allen J. Shafer, Madison, Wisconsin, a gift of securities having a value of approximately \$5,165 to be added to the "Lydia Z. Shafer Memorial Fund" accepted by the Regents November 13, 1964. (Trust)
			(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents on December 18, 1964 in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957.)
14.	Ş	2,000.00 -	The Harry J. Grant Foundation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, an additional contribution to be expended by the University in scholarship aids to be granted by the University to students in the School of Journalism selected for such assistance on the basis of excep- tional ability and financial need. Preferential consideration shall be accorded to children and grandchildren of individuals who are or who have been employees of The Journal Company. (For a period of five years, one-fourth of all the dividends on 5,000 shares of The Journal Company stock held by the Trustees of the Grant Foundation will be paid to the University for this purpose under a charitable trust established in December 1963.) (47-4740)
15.			Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities, Inc., Urbana, Illinois, for the support of the following predoctoral graduate student internships:
1)	\$	9,975 <u>00</u> -	For the period February 1, 1965 through January 31, 1966 - Department of Agricultural Economics. (47-4861)

-4-

EXHIBIT A

,

ŝ

Student Aid				
15.			(continued)	
2)	\$	7,650.00	For the period January 1, 1965 through A 1965 - Department of Agricultural Econom (47-4860)	
3)	\$	6,400.00	For the period January 1, 1965 through A 31, 1965 - Department of Agricultural Ec (47-4859)	
4)	\$	13,100.00	For the period February 1, 1965 through 966 - Department of Agricultural Economi (47-4855)	
16.	\$	2,000,00	Mr. William J. Hagenah, Glencoe, Illinoi provide for additional support of the Wi Hagenah Championship Debate Awards. Eac \$550 shall be made available as follows:	llliam J. ch year
			Four participants to receive \$75 each	\$300
	3		First place winner, an additional	100
			Second place winner, an additional	50
			Individual trophies or medals for first	
			and second place winners, expenses	
			incidental to the debate, etc.	100
				\$550
			The first place winner shall be ineligib compete a second time. The fund is to b only for the Department of Speech at Mad	e used

(Trust)

۰.,

٩

ŧ

Research			
1.	\$	12,000.00 -	Smith, Kline and French Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, a grant for support of research studies on the interactions of complexing agents and other chemicals with poorly or slowly absorbed drugs - School of Pharmacy. (47-4851)
2.	Ş	65.00 -	Fisk Carlin, Palmyra, Wisconsin. Mrs. Mabel Fleuter, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late William R. Fleuter to be used for cancer research in the Division of Clinical Oncology. (47-1038)
3.	Ş	3,500.00 -	Smith, Kline and French Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, an additional grant in support of research studies on applications of physical chem- istry to pharmaceutical systems - School of Pharmacy. (47-4175)
4.	\$	5.00 -	Mr. and Mrs. Nathan Spector, Madison, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late Robert Engel, to be used for Medical Research Programs in the School of Medicine. (47-4152)
5.	\$	200.00 -	Anonymous donor, to be used for any purpose connected with the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics of the Medical School, at the discretion of the Depart- ment Chairman, without regard to the limitations imposed by State fiscal procedures or regulations. (47-3218)
6.	Ş	25,00 -	L. H. Haney, Rolling Hills Estates, California. Mrs. H. N. Winn, Madison, Wisconsin. given in memory of the late William F. Lorenz, Madison, Wisconsin, for the support of heart research activity in the Medical School. (47-3832)
7.	\$	5.00 -	"The Methodist Mates", Wisconsin Rapids, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late Roger Sultze, to be used for the support of research in leukemia by the Department of Pediatrics. (47-3535)
8.	Ş	5.00 -	Manchester Sunshine Club, Caledonia, Illinois, to be added to the Children's Leukemia Research Fund. (47-77)
9.	\$	15 _° 00 -	Mr. and Mrs. Sherman R. Barnett, Glencoe, Illinois, given in memory of the late Paul A. Hessel, to be used for Cancer Research in the McArdle Memorial Laboratory. (47-327)

.

T J

Research			
10.	\$	386.41 -	Mineral Point Community Chest, Mineral Point, Wisconsin, for the support of research in the Medical School as follows:
			\$128.81 - Cancer Research (47-3651) 128.80 - Heart Research (47-3832) 128.80 - Polio Research (47-3105)
11.	Ş	750.00 -	Health Research, Inc., Buffalo, New York, representing additional amounts allotted to the Division of Clinical Oncology on a "per patient" basis for costs incurred in connection with a cooperative study entitled "Chemotherapy as an Adjuvant to Surgery". (47-3908)
12.	\$	350.00 -	Mautz Paint and Varnish Company Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin, an additional contribution for support of The Center for Productivity Motivation. The original grant was accepted by the Regents on December 9, 1960. (47-3516)
13.	\$	204.50 -	M. P. Brager, Brodhead, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late Brenda Kay Brager, to be used for Pediatric Cardiac Research in the Medical School. (47-4847)
14.	\$	5,000.00 -	The Central Exchange Foundation of the Farmers Union Central Exchange, South St. Paul, Minnesota, for the support of research during the period January 1, 1965 to December 30, 1969 entitled "A Study of the Effects of Fertilizer on Plant Quality" - Department of Soils. (47-4852)
15,	\$	3,500.00 -	Rob and Bessie Welder Wildlife Foundation, Sinton, Texas, for the support of a research project during the period January 1 to December 31, 1965 having for its purpose the determination of the prevalence and effects of diseases of wild deer and of associated livestock populations. Department of Veterinary Science. (47-4229)
16.	\$	238,67 -	Life Insurance Medical Research Fund, Rosemont, Pennsylvania, an additional grant for the support of research in the Department of Surgery during the period July 1, 1964 through June 30, 1965, entitled "Experimentally Produced Pulmonary Hypertension - A Study in Its Surgical and Pharmacological Treatment". (G-63-32) (47-4713)
17.	\$	46,29 -	Committee for Research in Basic Skills, Madison, Wisconsin, for the support of research conducted by the Committee - School of Education. (47-3857)

-7-

•

÷

Research			
18.	\$	12,000.00 -	The Johnson Foundation (Trust), Racine, Wisconsin, an additional contribution for support of The Center for Productivity Motivation for a one-year period. The original grant was accepted by the Regents on December 9, 1960. (47-3516)
19.	\$	3,500.00 -	Atomic Basic Chemicals Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for the support of an industrial research project during the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 having for its purpose the evaluation of the critical efficiency of small, particle-size phenothiagines when administered in prophylactic routines in cattle - Department of Veterinary Science. (47-4862)
20.	Ş	10,000.00 -	American Chicle Company, Division of Warner- Lambert Pharmaceutical Company, Long Island. City, New York, a grant to support investigative research on systems containing carbon dioxide and some of its derivatives - School of Pharmacy. (47-4537)
21.	\$	380.00 -	Lancaster Community Chest, Lancaster, Wisconsin, a gift for support of research in the cause and cure of cancer in the McArdle Laboratories. (47-327)
22,	\$	2,000.00 -	Smith, Kline and French Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to initiate a program of support in collaboration with the donor for overseas research in Pharmacy at academic institutions. The donor has specified that the fund will not be subject to State fiscal or purchasing procedures or regulations - School of Pharmacy. (47-4858)
23.	Ş	10.00 -	Mr. and Mrs. Earl V. Waite, Oshkosh, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late Alice Graham, Dr. Mina K. Edelman, East St. Louis, Illinois, to be used for cancer research in the Division of Clinical Oncology. (47-1038)
24.	\$	17,800.00 -	The Nutrition Foundation, Inc., New York City, for the support of research for a two year period beginning January 1, 1965 entitled "The Nutrition of Developing and Functional Organ Cultures" - Department of Biochemistry. (47-4853)
25.			National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of the following research projects:
	1) \$	78,400.00 -	"Genetic Effects of Irradiation in Drosophila" for a period of approximately three (3) years, beginning January 1, 1965 - Department of Zoology. (GB-3014) (484-5681)

ł

ų

Research					
25.					(continued)
	2)	Ş	200,000.00		"Interdisciplinary Study in Climatology" for a period terminating approximately September 30, 1965 - Department of Meteorology. (GP-444, Amendment No. 2) (484-4389)
	3)	\$	7,200.00		"Crystal Structures of Layer Silicates" for a period of approximately eight (8) months, beginning November 15, 1964 - Department of Geology. (GP-3748) (484-5674)
26.		\$	23,247.00		National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, in support of a Research Career Award in connection with research entitled "Biosynthesis of Enzymes in Yeast and Bacteria" for the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - Department of Bacteriology. (5-K6-GM-2522-02) (484-5696)
27.					National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, in support of the following research projects:
	1)	\$	46,320.00	-	"Biological Properties of Tubercle Bacillus Fractions" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Microbiology. (AI 00646-11) (484-5687)
	2)	\$	44,708.00		"Hyperglobulinemic Proteins of Human Plasma" for the p4riod January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - Department of Physiological Chemistry. (CA 01786-11) (484-5688)
	3)	\$	23,810.00	-	"Genetics of <u>Venturia Inaequalis</u> " for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Plant Pathology. (AI 00140-15) (484-5677
	4)	\$	21,360.00	-	"Biosynthetic Activities of Leukemic Cells in Vitro" for the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - Department of Medicine (CA 06186-04) (484-5678)
	5)	\$	63,568.00		"Structure of Biological Macromolecules" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Physics. (AI 01466-09) (484-5676) & Department of Biochemistry (484-5698)
	6)	Ş	36,000,00		"Comparative Studies on Cerebral Localization" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Laboratory of Neurophysiology. (NB 00732-11) (484-5686)
	7)	\$	31,066,00	•	"Cardiopulmonary Adaptation to Exercise and Training" for the period September 1, 1964 through August 31, 1965 - Department of Medicine. (HE 07474-03) (484-5632)

۶

Research		
27.		(continued)
8)	\$ 10,758.00 -	"Effects of Substituted Phenylethylamines" for the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - School of Pharmacy. (AM 08082-02) (484-5691)
9)	\$ 28,164.00 -	"Studies on Common Respiratory Diseases" for the period February 1, 1965 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Preventive Medicine. (AI 01299-09) (484-5707)
10)	\$ 37,960.00 -	"Action of Adrenal Steroids and Thyroid Hormones" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Institute for Enzyme Research. (AM 08733-09) (484-5703)
11)	\$ 25,001.00 -	"Comparative Uterine Vasculature" for the period February 1, 1965 through January 31, 1966 - Depart- ment of Anatomy (HD 00267-07) (484-5708)
12)	\$ 32,500.00 -	"Growth and Development of Cellular Slime Molds" for the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - Department of Bacteriology. (AI 04915-11) (484-5699)
13)	\$ 9,948.00 -	"Role of Ribonucleic Acid in Phage Multiplication for the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - Department of Genetics. (AI 0564102) (484-5705)
14)	\$ 31,497.00 -	"Biochemistry of Mechanisms of Action of Antibiotics" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Pharmacology (AI 06247-02) (484-5692)
15)	\$ 26,378.00 -	"Studies on Protein Metabolism in Yeast" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Bacteriology (AI 01459-09) (484-5697)
16)	\$ 23,413.00 -	"Albugo Physiology Parasitism, Genetics" for the period January 1, 1965 through December 31, 1965 - Department of Plant Pathology (AI 04149-04) (484-5704)
17)	\$ 8,200.00 -	"Neurophysiology of Rhythmic Activity in Actinians" for the period September 1, 1964 through August 31, 19 5 5 - Department of Zoology. (NB 06009-01) (484-5706)
28.	\$ 22,314.00 -	Bureau of State Services, Department of Health, Educatic and Welfare, Washington, D.C., in support of research entitled "Minimizing Exhaust Pollutants From SI Engines" for the period December 1, 1964 through November 30, 1965 - Department of Mechanical Engineerin (AP 00263-03) (484-5694)

EXHIBIT A

* E

۲

4

Research	
29.	National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., termination date of grant in support of research entitled "Gravity and Magnetic Studies in the Solomon Islands" extended to April 30, 1965, without additional funds - Department of Geology (GP-150, Amendment No. 1) (484-4278)
30.	National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of the following research projects:
1)	<pre>\$ 33,000.00 -"The Metabolism of Vitamin A₁ Acid (Retinoic Acid) and Vitamin A₁ Alcohol (Retinol)" for a period of approximately two (2) years, beginning January 1, 1965 - Department of Biochemistry. (GB-3086) (484-5711)</pre>
2)	\$ 5,000.00 - "Cetacean Population Dynamics and Behavior" for a period of approximately one (1) year, beginning January 1, 1965 - Department of Zoology. (GB-3097) (484-5712)
3)	\$ 66,900.00 - "Comparative Biochemistry of Urea Biosynthesis and Related Systems" for a period of approximately three (3) years, beginning January 1, 1965 - Department of Physiological Chemistry. (GB-3103) (484-5713)

GIFTS AND GRANTS

a j

ŧ

ŧ

Physical P	lant		
1.	\$	23.00 -	Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Resident Staff and Nursing Personnel, University Hospitals, Madison, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late Leonard P. Baumblatt, Racine, Wisconsin, to be added to the Medical Library Building Fund. (Trust)
2.	Ş	2,000.00 -	The Harry J. Grant Foundation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, an additional contribution to be expended by the University in constructing buildings for the University's Elvehjem Art Center on the Madison Campus. (For a period of five years one-fourth of all the dividends on 5,000 shares of The Journal Company stock held by the Trustees of the Grant Foundation will be paid to the University for this purpose under a charitable trust established in December, 1963.) (Trust)
3,	Ş	200,00 -	Milwaukee Internist's Club, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, an additional contribution to the Medical Library Building Fund. (Trust)
4.			National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, termination date of grant for construction of the Wisconsin Regional Primate Research Center extended through May 31, 1965, without additional funds. (FR 00174-01) (484-3578)

• 1

<u>Miscellane</u>	ous		
1.	\$	178.00 -	Members of the Consultation Practice Plan, University of Wisconsin Medical School, to be added to the Consultation Practice Plan Special Fund accepted by The Regents on March 10, 1961. (47-3566)
2.	\$	1,000.00 -	Wisconsin County Fairs Association, Special Exhibits, a gift to be added to the Wisconsin Idea Theater Trust Fund, to be used for the furtherance of the Rural Cultural Program. (Trust)
3.	\$	5,000.00 -	Ford Motor Company Fund, Dearborn, Michigan, the first of three annual installments on a Ford Motor Company Fund Grant of \$15,000, made without restriction as to the statutory provisions of the State of Wisconsin and in recognition of the superior quality of engineering instruction and training in the Mechanical Engineering Department. The grant is for the discretionary use of the department in enriching their programs. (47-4854)
4.	Ş		Professor Lloyd Kasten, Madison, Wisconsin, an additional contribution to be added to the fund originally accepted by the Regents on January 8, 1955, for the purpose of aiding in the publication of studies and books prepared at the University of Wisconsin Seminary of Medieval Spanish Studies. (47-650)
5.	Ş		Professor Gordon E. Bivens, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, an initial gift to be used for the creation of a special fund for programs in the field of consumer affairs. The fund is to be expended at the dis- cretion of the Dean of the Extension Division with- out regard to State regulations governing expenditures of funds. Additional contributions may be added to this fund. (47-4857)

EXHIBIT A

1.

2.

3.

4.

Mr. Mortimer Levitan, Madison, Wisconsin, a gift of 2,615 volumes of cook books, evaluated by the Director of the Memorial Library at approximately \$13,000. The collection will ultimately be shelved in the new library of the College of Agriculture.

Philip Stevenson, Venice, California, a gift of variant drafts of scripts, articles, essays, and correspondence relating to same, to be housed in the manuscript division of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin. The material has been independently appraised at \$735.

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents on December 14, 1964, in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957).

Earl Wilson, New York City, a gift of the Earl Wilson Theatre Collection to be used for the pursuit of an active program of research under the direction of The Wisconsin Center for Theatre Research. The material is to be housed in the manuscript division of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin. The material has been independently appraised at \$3,500.

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents on December 14, 1964 in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957.)

Mr. and Mrs. H. C. Timberlake, Las Vegas, Nevada, a gift of Lots 1, 2 and 3 in Timber Lake Knolls Plat, Town of Fitchburg, Dane County, Wisconsin, the proceeds to be used for the "Timberlake Graduate Fellowship in Air Transportation Fund" to finance a Graduate Fellowship in the School of Commerce. The proceeds shall be invested in accordance with usual University policies and the proceeds and the earnings of said proceeds and future contributions shall be permitted to accrue until they total \$50,000. Thereafter, the income and future contributions shall be made available to finance one or more graduate fellowships to be administered by the School of Commerce Scholarship Committee in conjunction with the Dean. If, at the time of the death of the donor and his wife, the principal amount falls below \$20,000, then the principal as well as income shall be used for the financing of the fellowship. The three lots mentioned above have been appraised at \$7,000 by the Dean of the School of Commerce.

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents December 14, 1964, in accordance with Regent action of January 12, 1957.)

EXHIBIT A

1/8/65

GIFTS AND GRANTS

1/8/65

Gifts-in-Kind

5.

6.

7.

Mr. Marvin Sukov, Minneapolis, Minnesota, a gift of 664 issues of 94 different "Little Magazines" having a value of approximately \$3,220, to be added to the collection of the Memorial Library.

Edith A. Downes, Chicago, Illinois, a gift of a collection of books and allied material relating to Carl Schurz.

(Accepted by the President of the University on December 23, 1964 in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957.)

David Victor, Los Angeles, California, a gift of television and radio scripts and related materials, to be housed in the manuscript division of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin. The material has been independently appraised at \$19,335.00.

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents on December 22, 1964 in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957.)

Alan Schneider, Hastings-on-the-Hudson, New York, a gift of literary properties belonging to the donor, to be housed in the manuscript division of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin. The material has been independently appraised at \$8,300.00

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents on December 22, 1964 in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957.)

-15-

EXHIBIT A

8.

GIFTS AND GRANTS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

JANUARY, 1965

	January, 1965	January, 1964		
UNRESTRICTED		\$ 20.00		
INSTRUCTION	\$ 868,745.00	102,544.00		
STUDENT AID	108,081.51	69,321.96		
RESEARCH	963,020.87	1,230,668.96		
LIBRARIES		11,025.00		
PHYSICAL PLANT	2,223.00	623,000.00		
MISCELLANEOUS	8,128.00	5,548.00		
GIFTS-IN-KIND	54,355.00	100,998.00		
Totals, January	2,004,553.38(1)	2,143,125.92(2)		
Previously Reported	17,437,349.55	14,258,647.58		
Grant Totals	\$19,441,902.93	\$16,401,773.50		

(1) Includes \$1,105,457 from Federal Agencies.

(2) Includes \$1,803,891 from Federal Agencies

Supplemental List - Gifts and Grants

1/8/65 (Amounts not included in totals shown in Exhibit A).

Research

31.

\$ 6,700.00 - Royal Commission on Bilingualism and Biculturalism, Ottawa, Canada, for the support of research in the Department of Sociology entitled "The Relation between Linguistic and Ethnic Segregation," as outlined in Memorandum Agreement dated November 19, 1964. (47-4865).

Gifts-in-Kind

9.

Edna Ferber, New York City, a gift of additional rights to papers, manuscripts, records and correspondence previously donated by Miss Ferber.

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents on December 30, 1964 in accordance with Regent action on January 12, 1957.)

10.

Gore Vidal, Barrytown, New York, a gift of the variant drafts of the novel <u>Julian</u>, screenplays, and miscellaneous other literary materials to be housed in the manuscript division of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin. The material has been independently appraised at \$13,715.00.

(Accepted by the Secretary of the Regents December 31, 1964 in accordance with Regent action of January 12, 1957.)

RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT OF THE VICE PRESIDENT AND TRUST OFFICER to the BOARD OF REGENTS

January 8, 1965

I. Recommendations

1. That the Executive Committee be authorized to act for the Board in the authorization of award or award of contracts and approval of schedules of costs for the following projects:

- a. Storm and Sanitary Sewer Services Classroom-Laboratory Building - Kenwood Campus - UW-M Project 6207-7 - Bids January 12, 1965
- b. Carillon Tower Stair and Heating Project 6409-3 - Bids January 20, 1965.

2. That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, contracts for Campus Remodelling - Phase I - University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee (Project No. 6406-8) be awarded to the low bidder in each division of the work on the basis of the base bids as follows; that any Vice President or Assistant Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

General Construction			
T. V. John & Son, Inc.			
Elm Grove, Wisconsin	Base Bid No.	1	\$ 39,820.00
Plumbing & Sewerage			
Joseph Wittig Co.			
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Base Bid No.	2	10,785.00
Heating and Air Conditioning			
Pflugradt Co.			
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Base Bid No.	3	19,327.00
Electrical			
National Electric Service, Inc.			
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Base Bid No.	4	25,490.00
Sche	dule of Costs		
Total Construction Contracts			\$ 95,422.00
Architect's Fees			9,300.00
Bureau of Engineering, including	eunervision		4,000.00
Contingencies	5 Suber vision		10,578.00
Contingencies	Total	Schedule	\$119,300.00
Chargeable to Allotments by the	State Building	g Commission	
June 25, 1964 - Release No. 14			\$100,000.00
Aug. 17, 1964 - Release No. 15			19,300.00
			\$119,300.00.

Recommendations and Report of the Vice President and Trust Officer to the Board of Regents - January 8, 1965

II. Report of Actions Taken - Miscellaneous

1. New Memorandum of Agreement signed with the City of Racine, Wisconsin, covering lease of the facilities for the Racine University Center, located between Tenth and Eleventh Streets, and between Main Street and Lake Michigan in the City of Racine, beginning January 1, 1965, and extending for an indefinite period. (Pursuant to Regent authorization of September 9, 1960, Minutes page 6 and Exhibit B.)

-2-

REPORT OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR BUSINESS AFFAIRS TO THE BOARD OF REGENTS January 8, 1965

I. <u>Report of Actions Taken</u> - <u>Contracts and Leases with the United States Government for research work, educational programs, and services requested by the Government for military personnel and veterans.</u>

			anti- anti-anti-anti-anti- anti-anti-anti-anti-anti- anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-	Fund Number
	Agency	Amount	Department	(484)
NEW	CONTRACTS			
	NAVY			
	Office of Naval Research Contract No. Nonr-4743(00) (Provides \$17,971 for the period October 15, 1964 through Octo- ber 14, 1965 in support of research entitled "Organizational Factors in Scientific Performance in an Indus- trial Laboratory".)	\$17,971 Principa Investig	Extension-Center for Advanced Study in Organization Science	5785 1
	DEPT. OF COMMERCE			
2.	Weather Bureau Grant No. WBG-27 (Provides \$354,412 for the period October 1, 1964 through June 30, 1967 in support of research en-	354,412	L&S - Meteorology Engr- Electrical	5219 5635
	titled "Studies in Atmospheric Energetics Based on Aero Space Probings".) DEPT. OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND W		1 ator: Robert J. Parent	
з.	Office of Education	1,000	L&S - Indian Studies	5682
	Letter Contract No. 0E-5-13-014 (Provides \$1,000 for the period December 1, 1964 through February 15 1965 in support of preliminary prepar tions for the conduct of a National Defense intensive summer program in Hindi, Urdu, Telugu, Bengali, and Tam	, ra- Project		JU02
	languages.)			
	Office of Education Letter Contract No. 0E-5-13-017 (Provides \$1,000 for the period December 1, 1964 through February 15, 1965 in support of preliminary		L&S - Spanish and Portuguese	5683
	preparation for the conduct of a National Defense intensive summer program in Portuguese language.)	Project Director	: Lloyd Kasten	

- 1 -

Fund

Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs to the Board of Regents

4

I. Report of Actions Taken - Government Contracts (Cont.)

	Agency	Amount	Department	Fund Number (484)
EXI	ENSION WITH ADDED FUNES			
	ATR FORCE			
5.	Systems Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio Contract No. AF 33(615)-1100, Modification No. 1 (Provides additional funds in the amount of \$25,000 for a contract total of \$46,846, provides for	\$25,000	Engr-Minerals and Metals	4979
	additional work, and extends the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	termination date of the contract from December 14, 1964 to Decem- ber 14, 1965. Contract supports research entitled "Effects of Space Environment on the Mechanical Behavior of Metals".)	Princip Investi	al gator: Franz H. Vito	ovec
	ARMY			
5 .	Chicago Procurement District Contract No. DA-11-022-AMC-1385(T), Modification No. 1 (Provides additional funds in the amount of \$2,100 for a new contract total of \$56,180. Contract supports research entitled "Digital Computer Program on Engine Cycle Analysis". Termination date is June 24, 1965.)	2,100 Princip Investi	Engr - Mechanical al P. S. Myer gators: O. A. Uyer	
	ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION			
7.	Chicago Operations Office 1, Contract No. AT(11-1)-881, Supple- ment No. 6 to Modification No. 1	180,000	L&S - Physics	
	(Provides additional funds in the amount of \$1,180,000 for a contract	W. F. F	al Investigators: ry, U. Camerinie,	
	total of \$4,137,584 and extends the	R. H. M		3491
	termination date from October 31, 196 to September 30, 1965. Contract		ood, R. Hartung alker, A. R. Erwin	3492 3493
	supports research entitled "A Study of Fundamental Particles".)	L. G. P		4 7 07

Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs to the Board of Regents

I. Report of Actions Taken - Government Contracts (Cont.)

	Agency	Amount	Department	Number (484)
EXT	ENSION WITH ADDED FUNDS (Cont.)			
8.	DEPT. OF DEFENSE U. S. Armed Forces Institute Purchase Order No. OSD-MEP-47-043-307-65 (University Extension Division is to provide 1,000 copies of E286.1, <u>Chemistry II</u> , copyright 1965. Delivery date is March 1, 1965.)	\$ 5,496.52 5	Extension	
9.	U. S. Armed Forces Institute Purchase Order No. OSD-MEP-47-043-308=65 (University Extension Division is to provide 1,000 copies of A444.1, <u>Analytic Geometry and Calculus III,</u> copyright 1965. Delivery date is April 1, 1965.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Extension	
.0.	U. S. Armed Forces Institute Purchase Order No. OSD-MEP-47-043-309-65 (University Extension Division is to provide 1,000 copies of E221.1, <u>Understanding American Government II</u> , copyright 1965. Delivery date is April 1, 1965.)	3,482.82	Extension	
1.	U. S. Armed Forces Institute Purchase Order No. OSD-MEP-47-043-310-65 (University Extension Division is to provide 1,000 copies of Study Guide E285.1, Chemistry I, copyright 1964. Delivery date is January 3, 1965.)	3,070.22	Extension	
	MISCELLANEOUS			
2.	National Science Foundation Contract No. NSF-C341, Amendment No. 2 (Provides additional funds in the amount of \$60,000 for a contract total of \$101,855 and extends the termination date from September 14, 1964 to September 30, 1965. Con- tract supports Antarctic Mechanical Logistics.)	60,000 Project Director	L&S - Geology : Jack B. Long	5092

- 3 -

Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs to the Board of Regents

I. <u>Report of Actions Taken</u> - Government Contracts (Cont.)

	Agency	Amount	Department	Fund Number (484)
EXTI	NSION OF TIME, ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS,	AND MISCEI	LANEOUS	
	AIR FORCE			
13.	Systems Command, Laurence G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass. Contract No. AF19(628)-3873, Modification No. 1 (Changes principal investigator from R. J. Deland to Dr. Eberhard Wahl and extends the termination date of		L&S - Meteorology	5103
	the contract from Nov. 30, 1964 to November 30, 1965. Contract supports research entitled "Development of Procedures for the Estimation of Climatic Frequencies of Strong Winds on Summits".)	Princi Invest	pal igator: Eberhard Wahl	
	ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION			
14.	Chicago Operations Office Contract No. AT(11-1)-909, Modification No. 4 (Extends termination date of contract		Grad Institute for Enzyme Research	3161
	from November 30, 1964 to February 28 1965. Contract supports research en- titled "Study of Cellular Energy - Transforming Systems Utilizing Bio- physical Tools".)	Princi	pal igator: David E. Green	
	DEPT. OF COMMERCE			
15.	Bureau of Public Roads Contract No. CPR-11-8002, Modification No. 3		Law School	
	(Extends termination date of contract from December 31, 1964 to December 31, 1965. Contract supports research en- titled "A Study of Recent Highway Condemnation Experience".)		t or: J.H.Beuscher	
	DEPT. OF DEFENSE			
16.	U. S. Armed Forces Institute (Purchase Order No. OSD-MEP-47-043-30-65 Modification No. 1 (Reduces the unit price for 1,000 copie of Study Guide E285.1, Chemistry I,	, Decre) Extension ease	
	to \$3,070.22.)			

Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs to the Board of Regents

I. Report of Actions Taken - Government Contracts (Cont.)

	Agency	Amount	Department	Number (484)
EXTE	ENSION OF TIME, ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS	, AND MISCELL	ANEOUS (Cont.)	
	DEPT. OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND W	ELFARE		
17.	National Institutes of Health Grant No. NB 03139-04 (Relinquishes rights and interests a	S	Med - Pharmacology and Toxicology	5299
	of December 31, 1964 in grant in su of research entitled "Mechanism of Action of Tremorine - Induced Tremo	pport Princi Invest	pal igator: Alexander H.	Friedman
	MISCELLANEOUS			
18.	Peace forps Contract No. PC(W)-89 Administrative Notice No. 2 (Removes fund's excess to the requirements of the contract in	(\$16,000) Decrease	L&S-Various	4138
	the amount of \$16,000 for a con- tract total of \$349,669.97. Contract provides for training of Peace Corps volunteers for service in the Ivory Coast.)	Projec Directo		

Fund

- 5 -

Report of the Vice President for Business Affairs to the Board of Regents

II. Report of Actions Taken - Memorandum Agreements - University of Wisconsin Press

Title of Publication	Author
INDEX TO THE KAISERCHRONIK	W. F. Tulasiewicz
WALTER HILTON'S <u>SCALE OF PERFECTION</u> : Its Genius and Importance	Joseph E. Milosh
THE FORMATIVE YEARS OF SOCIAL SECURITY: A Chronicle of the Period 1934-1954	Arthur J. Altmeyer
PORTUGUESE SOCIETY IN THE TROPICS: The Municipal Councils of Goa, Macao, Bahia, and Luanda, 1500-1800	C. R. Boxer
THE PHENOMENOLOGY OF DANCE	Maxine Sheets

III. Budget Transfers

From	To	Amount	Date
<pre>1-41, UW-Milwaukee Provost's Unassigned, Gen Ad Sal & Wages \$9,200 Improvement Contingent, Inst Sal & Wages 500</pre>	Sal & Wages \$500 r Administration, Gen Adm Capital 1,500		12-11-64
	Instructional Communications Sup & Exp 6,000 Library, Libraries Sup & Exp 1,700	, Gen Adm	
program, of radio servio	funds for help for the disadvanta fice equipment, audio-visual and ces and expense, and expansion of ry loan program.		
1-41, Gen Univ Serv., Archives Sal & Wages, Gen Admin	1-41, Gen Univ Serv., Archives Sup & Expense, Gen Admin	450	12-22-64
Purpose: To provide :	funds for moving records and file:	s into stor	rage
<pre>1-41, Gen Univ Service News & Publication Service Sup & Exp, General</pre>	1-41, Gen Univ Service New & Publication Service Capital, General	700	12-31-64
Purpose: To provide f and equipmer	funds for the purchase of office f it.	furniture	

President's Unassigned - 6 Balance

\$44,551

.....

1965-67 BUILDING PROGRAM RECOMMENDED BY THE STATE BUILDING COMMISSION - DECEMBER 23, 1964

Tess transfer to other funds	\$52,380,000
Less Transfer to other Funds	\$57,380,000 5,000,000*
Agriculture Undergraduate Library Advance Land Acquisition (expansion area) Repair, Remodeling, Utilities	1,388,000 1,000,000 6,876,000
Education Classroom Building School of Nursing Building Zoology Classroom and Laboratory	3,000,000 1,000,000 3,000,000
Undergraduate Library Library Science Building UW-M Math and Computer Center	3,136,000 1,000,000 3,250,000
Land Purchase - Phase I (for project purchases) Matching Research and Teaching Funds Extension Center Equipment	2,500,000 5,000,000 490,000
MUS and Downer Buildings Remodeling Communication Arts Building UW-M Applied Science and Engineering Building	975,000 7,500,000 4,315,000
MUS Purchase - Funding Completion Gymnasium Unit II Physics, Astronomy, Pharmacy	\$ 2,150,000 3,800,000 7,000,000

Of this amount \$3,000,000 is to be withheld until September 1965 student enrollments are known.

*/ The Building Commission requested that \$5,000,000 be obtained from other than State sources to be applied toward the cost of research facilities.

Regent's Request Coordinating Committee's Request Dept. of Administration's Recommendation State Building Commission's Recommendation

In addition to the above, a total of \$9,000,000 is recommended for the University Medical Center. The request was for \$10,100,000.

\$ 97,245,000

65,590,000 64,960,000

52,380,000

· * * *