



LIBRARIES

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Minutes of the regular meeting of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin: March 12, 1971. 1971

Madison, Wisconsin: Board of Regents of the University of
Wisconsin System, 1971

<https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/SEEMH22OCELNK8A>

Copyright 2008 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE REGENTS
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

Madison, Wisconsin

Held in Room 1820 Van Hise Hall
Friday, March 12, 1971, 9:04 A.M.

President Ziegler presiding.

PRESENT: Regents Dahlstrom, Fish, Gelatt, Nellen, Pelisek, Sandin, Walker, and Ziegler.

ABSENT: Regents Kahl and Renk.

- - -

Upon motion by Regent Pelisek, seconded by Regent Sandin, it was VOTED, That the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board held on February 12, 1971, be approved as sent out to the Regents.

- - -

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY

President Weaver presented the list of Gifts, Grants, and U.S. Government Contracts. He called attention to the summary on page 20 of the list, noting that the total for this month was somewhat less than for the same period a year ago, but that the total for the year to date was ahead of the total for the comparable period a year ago. He stated that he wanted to again note that he believed that this was a real tribute to the faculty of this institution, since these are days when federal support tends to be dwindling in most major research universities.

In this connection, he stated that there is a very important matter, and one that is of real concern, which he wanted to bring to the attention of the Board. He pointed out that, in recent news releases from the White House regarding revenue sharing, it was indicated that the traditional extension program of the University, the Cooperative Agricultural Extension Program, is a part of the revenue sharing package. He explained that, if the Nixon package on revenue sharing should be passed by the Congress, it would in effect cut our extension services loose from the University. He explained that this would set the extension service as a free floating item in the state budget, and that the Governor and the Legislature could either attach it to some other state agency, could conceivably replace it in the university, or could even use the money for some other purpose. He stated that, along with his colleagues in the land grant universities around the country, he considered this to be a very serious potential first step in the breakdown of the traditions of the land grant universities and their long-standing relationships with the Department of Agriculture of the federal government.

He explained that he and Chancellor Young had spent some time on the previous Sunday expressing their grave concern about this to Secretary Harden who was in Madison, and who recognizes the nature of the problem, since he was a former land grant university president himself. He noted that Secretary Harden had expressed the opinion that the principle of revenue sharing was so strong a program of the present administration in Washington that matters of this kind, which are relatively minor in the overall picture, would probably not be given any special consideration. President Weaver suggested that, if there were to be any fight for a special consideration for the extension services, it would probably have to be before the agriculture committees in the federal Congress; and he assured the Regents that the land grant institutions would certainly seek to prosecute such a protest.

President Weaver asked Acting Chancellor George Strother to report on the termination of the Cooperative Extension Services in Oneida County, where it has been in existence since 1912. Acting Chancellor Strother explained that, in September, the County Board had approved a budget for Cooperative Extension work in that county, and had also approved a plan of work. He reported that, on February 9, word was received that a group of the County Board was going to introduce a resolution, on February 16, closing out Cooperative Extension in Oneida County. He noted that, at that time, normally we would have had a contract with Oneida County, but that the contract signing was held up pending the outcome of labor negotiations. On February 16, the Oneida County Board did vote to close the Cooperative Extension Office in 60 days. He reported that, shortly thereafter, a group of citizens, opposing the action of the County Board, circulated a petition and obtained over 1500 signatures opposing the action of that Board.

He reported that he and Director Gale Vandenberg, Director of Cooperative Extension Programs, were invited to appear before the citizens group on March 8, at a meeting which was attended by 300 citizens, who took a straw ballot at the meeting, with one vote supporting the action of the County Board and the others voting opposition to the County Board action. Acting Chancellor Strother noted a number of factors entering into this matter. One was the statement by the Chairman of Oneida County Board that the program was obsolete and duplicated other programs. Another element entering into it was that many

people have said that Oneida County doesn't need any more tourists, and that Extension is spending too much time promoting the tourist industry. Since Oneida County is at a point where it has only sixteen commercial farms, some members of the County Board felt that commercial farming was no longer significant in that county. He stated that he understood that the Chairman of the County Board had stated that his wife and daughters learned to cook and sew without the help of Extension Home Economists, so he believed everybody else in the county could do likewise. He noted that this was the kind of discussion that had been going back and forth in Oneida County.

He reported that he had informed the Chairman of the County Board, that he thought the unilateral termination of the agreement by the County Board, without discussion with the University, was illegal. He noted that it was the opinion of the counsel for the University that probably a contract existed in spite of the fact that the formality of signing it had not been carried through. He reported that they had sent a telegram to our Extension staff in Oneida County directing them to continue operation until termination or other agreement had been reached with the County Board. He also reported that there had been accusation that Extension people had been flying into Oneida County for the purpose of lobbying, which he stated was not the case, since nobody had gone into the county on behalf of Extension except Director Vandenberg and himself, who went at the invitation of the citizens committee and merely answered questions. He reported that he had since received an invitation jointly from the Chairman of the Resource Development Agricultural Committee and the Chairman of the County Board to meet with them on March 17, for the purpose of discussing this situation.

Acting Chancellor Strother replied in the affirmative to President Ziegler's question as to whether we have contracts with all seventy-two counties, which are renewable annually. President Ziegler inquired as to how many staff members Extension has living in Oneida County. Pointing out that there had been erroneous statements made about the numbers in Oneida County, Acting Chancellor Strother explained that we have two professional staff members in the county, a home economist, an agricultural and resource development agent, and one secretary. He also explained that, because in our northern area there is a low population density and most of the counties have small staffs, we do also have, in Oneida County, an area staff of three professional staff and two secretaries plus the district supervisor, who actually live and work in Oneida County in a rented space separate from the courthouse where the county staff is based. He noted that the three area staff members serve six counties, and that the district supervisor serves ten counties. Consequently, there are six professional staff members located in Oneida County, but only two of them are part of the cooperative arrangement with Oneida County.

Upon motion by Regent Dahlstrom, seconded by Regent Walker, it was VOTED, That the gifts and grants listed in the statement of Gifts, Grants, and U.S. Government Contracts, presented at this meeting (copy filed with the papers of this meeting), be accepted and the appropriate officers of the University be authorized to sign the agreements; and that the Federal contracts listed therein be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

Vice President Percy presented and explained the Report of Personnel Actions by the President of the University; and Vice President Lorenz presented the Report of Non-Personnel Actions by Administrative Officers and of Informational Items.

Upon motion by Regent Fish, seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, it was VOTED:

1. That the actions by the President of the University since the last meeting of the Regents, relating to appointments, resignations, leaves of absence, and changes of status of faculty personnel with rank less than that of Associate Professor and other non-classified civil service personnel with salaries at the annual rate of \$13,600 or less, on file with the Central Business Office, and to tuition remissions, be approved, ratified, and confirmed.
2. That the Report of Non-Personnel Actions by Administrative Officers to the Board of Regents and Informational Items Reported for the Regent Record (EXHIBIT A attached) be received for the record; and that actions included in the report be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

President Weaver stated that, as part of his report, he would like to bring to the attention of the Board some of the examples of the positive things about the life of the University, which it seemed to him the Board was entitled to hear about. He suggested that, since so much of the Board's time is taken up with the problems of the University, it seemed that at least once in a while the Board should have an opportunity to see and feel some of the accomplishments of the University in which the members of the Board have such a loyal interest. Noting that there has been a great deal of comment these days that would lead to suggest that somehow research is becoming something of a dirty word in the life of the University, he pointed out that this institution has a very distinguished and a very long history of luster in research endeavors of many kinds. He stated that he would like to present two examples of some of the exciting kinds of frontier efforts that are going on in this institution at the moment.

In the first place President Weaver called the attention of the Regents to the work, that had recently been given very wide publicity, of Dr. Howard Temin, in McArdle Cancer Laboratory. He explained that much of the course of cancer research has been altered by the discovery made here in this last year in that laboratory, a discovery that cancer viruses use a double or new mode of information transfer. He explained that this discovery by Dr. Temin, Professor of Oncology in our Medical School, was carried out on a virus which causes cancer in chickens, but it has implications for human cancer just as important as the discovery in 1908 of the first animal tumor virus. He noted that Dr. Temin's work seems so spectacular to the experts, not only in this country but around the world, that there has been very widespread publicity which has brought considerable renown, not only to him but also to the university where he works.

Noting that Dr. Temin's picture had been on the cover of News Week about two weeks previously, President Weaver showed copies of other publications from Germany and France, which also prominently displayed news articles regarding Dr. Temin's work. President Weaver noted that Dr. Temin's salary for the last ten years that he has been on this campus has been entirely from federal funds, that his research had been done in a building built with federal money, and that his actual research costs have been largely supported by the federal government. He emphasized that it is a man of this kind that, not only on behalf of the name of this university, brings a great progress to human betterment, but also great distinction to the academic strength of the University at Madison.

President Weaver stated that he would also like to call to the attention of the Regents the outstanding work of a biochemist of this University, Professor Hector DeLuca. He introduced Professor DeLuca, who was present. President Weaver explained that Professor DeLuca's work with Vitamin D has led to several breakthroughs, which have both advanced the course of basic science and provided practical applications of potential enormous benefit to the citizens of this state and of this country. He explained that Professor DeLuca, in 1968, had synthesized a new active form of Vitamin D known as 25 HCC, which is a form that has many uses, but that its major importance to this state is in its use in the successful treatment of milk fever in dairy herds. He estimated that, when this product becomes commercially available in the next several years, it is expected to save the farmers of this state many millions of dollars. President Weaver stated that the very distinguished work of Professor DeLuca was another example of distinguished research, which provides a backdrop for outstanding graduate education on this campus and is also of enormous economic, practical significance to the economic life of the state.

President Weaver suggested that Professor DeLuca comment about the place he sees of research in the life of this institution and the life of the scholar. Noting that the practical application of the research product is evident, Professor DeLuca suggested that he believed that one of the major things that we now emphasize throughout the University system is the excellence of undergraduate teaching; and he pointed out that the only way you can have excellence in undergraduate teaching is to be able to attract and maintain outstanding scholars in any field, and that the only way that you can do this is to have outstanding research which attracts these people to the campus. He explained that he came to the campus because of its outstanding reputation in biochemical research. He expressed the opinion that to de-emphasize research at this time is going to be a very dangerous thing, not only to research itself, but to the undergraduate education that we want to emphasize.

President Weaver stated that it has certainly been true that this University has been distinguished in graduate education and has distinguished undergraduate teaching for the same reason, because it has had distinguished people, of whom Professor DeLuca is certainly one of the prime examples of the kind of people that we all would wish to have in large numbers in the years ahead on this campus.

Noting that the undergraduate teaching program of our Green Bay Campus has recently been receiving considerable attention at the national level, President Weaver asked Vice President Percy to distribute to the Regents copies of an

article by John Fischer, from the Harper's Magazine, relating to the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay. President Weaver quoted briefly from the article, indicating that the author found it to be the most exciting educational and promising educational experiment that he had found anywhere. President Weaver stated that he believed the Regents could take pride both in the undergraduate teaching efforts on the campuses of this University and in the research distinction and the graduate programs as well. He invited Chancellor Weidner to comment on the article in Harper's Magazine and other recent national recognition that UWGB had received.

Chancellor Weidner noted that publicity regarding the UWGB programs was not unexpected, although it came unsolicited. He reported that UWGB has been the subject of comments in perhaps twenty or twenty-five newspapers and periodicals, has been the subject of articles and editorial comments in the Christian Science Monitor and in the New York Times, has had articles about it in Science, Psychology Today and Innovation. He also noted that UWGB has been referred to several times in the Chronicle of Higher Education, and in other college and university periodicals. He noted other newspapers and journals that had also carried articles regarding UWGB. He reported that this signifies something about the social thrust of the UWGB academic plan. He reported that such publicity also involved other news media, with live interviews on NBC Monitor, and by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. He also reported that UWGB has been the subject of visits by representatives of thirty-five colleges and universities in the United States who want to study their academic plan to see if there is something in it for them, with some of them coming back as many as three times.

He also noted the extensive coverage in newspapers, periodicals and in higher education circles in France, Sweden, Canada, and Japan, where there are institutions, or elements of institutions, which are trying to pattern their academic programs after UWGB. He reported that next year the International Yearbook of Education is going to feature an article on UWGB. He reported that next month he was going to be giving one of the two major papers at a conference in Tours, France, which would be the occasion for a number of the less developed countries around the world to take a look at our academic plan. Chancellor Weidner reported that, in addition, they had been approached by a number of officials in the U.S. government to help them develop a policy on environmental education. He stated that he mentioned these things because it seemed to him they are very significant in terms of the position we are in in Wisconsin today. He expressed the opinion that those who suggest that there is no difference in undergraduate education in Wisconsin are simply ignoring the facts, and that those who propose homogenization of undergraduate education in Wisconsin are at the same time proposing the elimination of peaks of excellence.

President Weaver noted that the next item on the agenda was a brief report on review of existing graduate programs and on deferral of undergraduate program proposals. He explained that the administration was in the process of developing an academic audit procedure to be applied to existing academic programs at the level of undergraduate majors and to all graduate programs at Milwaukee and Madison, with the staff being in the final stages of refining the

procedure and the format of these studies. He reported that the initial effort is to be focused on graduate programs in Madison and Milwaukee. Noting that he had served as a graduate dean and as president of two major national associations of graduate schools in this country, he assured the Regents that he had a very personal interest and commitment to being assured that the graduate programs of the University are in a state of good health, and that we are indeed spending our resources in the most effective way. He reported that he had found that the Milwaukee Graduate School had already initiated for itself a program audit. He stated that he would like the Regents to know that, while the Coordinating Council for Higher Education resolution instituted a moratorium on new graduate programs and a staff review of existing programs, the University is very much interested in carrying on such a review by itself and have begun to move in that direction. He stated that this is a matter of particular importance in these days when resources are going to be scarce, and when we must be sure that we are proceeding in a logical, efficient and effective manner.

President Weaver asked Chancellor Klotsche to report on the way the Milwaukee Campus is going to monitor its graduate programs. Chancellor Klotsche pointed out that the development of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee graduate program has been really one of the key issues in the development of UWM, certainly since the time that major status for UWM was identified. He stated that they had assumed in Milwaukee from the very beginning that, in spite of public statements to the contrary, the programs in Milwaukee would be selective, noting that they had never talked in terms of helter-skelter, across-the-board programs for either the Masters or Ph.D. levels. He explained that what they have attempted to do in Milwaukee is to identify certain areas that are related to the urban mission of UWM. He pointed out that UWM was the first institution in the state, as a matter of fact, that came up with a mission statement related to its urban location; and that, in all of its graduate programs, UWM has tried to relate those programs to the urban mission and to select areas of excellence.

He stated that it was worth pointing out that review of these programs was already underway in Milwaukee last year, and was initiated by the UWM faculty. He expressed the opinion that it was an important point that the Milwaukee faculty was the one that was responsible for initiating the review of graduate programs, which was done through the Graduate Faculty Council, which last Fall appointed an ad hoc committee on the review of graduate programs. He reported that that committee had been hard at work on a mechanism to make possible such a review, and probably would have such an instrument ready for a preliminary look the following week when the Faculty Council meets. He stated that they welcomed the position which President Weaver had expressed in terms of the University audit and reviewing these programs, which is precisely what they already have been doing on the Milwaukee Campus. He emphasized, however, that this was being done with the clear understanding that their goal of major status for UWM is not in question, and that they do intend to develop quality professional programs and quality programs relating to the Ph.D. degree.

President Weaver reported that, while it was the intention to begin this program audit with a program study of the graduate programs, the administration was also engaged in trying to put together a schedule for review of existing undergraduate majors programs. He noted that two new undergraduate program proposals that were scheduled for consideration at this meeting were

being deferred pending our ability to bring some kind of review to bear on undergraduate program proposals. He stated that, later this month, he would be sharing with the members of the Board copies of the program audit procedure and format, so that, when the members of the Board see them they will know what it was that he had been talking about this morning. He also noted that, when there is some kind of conclusion on the program audit, he would be bringing the summary to the Board for its information.

President Ziegler expressed the opinion that the significance of this presentation was the fact that we are really rising to the defense of the quality of this University, and that we want the people to know a few things that perhaps they did not know about the University. He also expressed pleasure with the report that the administration is checking into and auditing our graduate programs, because this is a matter of concern of not only the people of the State of Wisconsin, but pretty much generally so on the national scene.

President Weaver announced that the next item on the agenda of the President of the University had to do with a report on the Governor's proposal for merger of the University of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin State University Systems. He recalled that he had made a brief public statement at the time the Governor's proposal was brought before the Legislature along with his budget proposal. He suggested that it was appropriate at this meeting that this Board have an opportunity to examine the matter and make its own comments on it.

President Ziegler stated that he thought it was appropriate that the Regents discuss the whole merger idea and process, and that he would be giving everybody on the Board an opportunity to express themselves. However, he first stated that he would like to set the record straight with regard to an impression that some people might have about the position taken about a week ago by the Wisconsin State University system on this subject. He explained that he had been informed by President Roy Kopp, of the Wisconsin State University system governing Board, that, at the meeting of that Board held last week, the only action taken by that Board was the motion instructing Executive Director Eugene McPhee to direct a letter to the Governor suggesting that he establish an interlocking committee consisting of students, faculty, administrators, interlocking between the two systems, for the purpose of studying this merger proposal. He stated that President Kopp had emphasized that no other action was taken by their Board with respect to their position on this subject.

He stated that it was his impression, from comments made through the news media by Director McPhee, that perhaps his Board had supported the merger idea. President Ziegler noted that, subsequently, he had expressed hopes that this Board might also assume a posture on this subject. He stated that, in view of the information from President Kopp, he was not going to press for an answer today, unless, out of the discussions, something leads to some sort of a conclusion.

Noting that he understood that the idea of merger of institutions is not unique to Wisconsin, Regent Nellen inquired as to how we are going to be made aware of what has happened and what the end result has been of similar

mergers. He also inquired whether there is any outside agency or group, disinterested parties, that could make an appraisal of the possible results of such a merger. President Ziegler stated that he imagined that the American Council on Education would be one source of such information. He stated that he believed that this was part of the question that the Regents were faced with, what good will come of the merger specifically. Otherwise, he suggested that we do not want to go through a lot of motions for nothing.

President Weaver stated that he knew of no state that had attempted a merger exactly of this kind, the closest thing that he knew of that would fall in this category being the large, sprawling State University in New York, which has something on the order of 69 or 70 campuses, and is a combination of everything from universities to junior colleges, which come under the general leadership of a Chancellor of the State University of New York. He noted, in addition, that there is not the tradition, in an eastern state like New York, of a real emphasis on excellence in public education that is comparable to Wisconsin, so that the characteristics of the institutions involved are very different. He suggested that we would search rather in vain for experience in another state on this, because Wisconsin's particular circumstances are so unique that he believed that this is something that is going to have to be considered on the merits of its own circumstances and needs. He doubted that we would likely find help outside that would be of any real significance. President Ziegler suggested that we could explore the possibility.

Regent Sandin stated that she was very concerned that the law (proposed merger) does not specify the maintenance of the diversity of the existing institutions, such as Green Bay with its emphasis on environment and ecology, the urban university status of UWM, the prestige of the campus here at Madison, and certainly also for the State Universities, such as Stout with its emphasis on Industrial Arts, LaCrosse for Physical Education, and Platteville for Technology. She stated she would be very wary of any kind of a merger unless this would be specified. She stated that, because the terms of the Regents are relatively brief, unless the laws specified it, they cannot be sure that diversity will be maintained.

Regent Gelatt stated that he too had concerns about a merger, although he believed a merger is certainly more possible now than when it was suggested eighteen years ago. He expressed the opinion that the State University system had carried a large share of the undergraduate teaching load of the state the last five or six years, and has faced many of the same kinds of problems, and has had many of the same kinds of successes, as the University of Wisconsin system. Noting that they are much more comparable in being possibly merged, he expressed concern about giving one Board the responsibility of maintaining the diversity of each unit, and at the same time trying to manage that many campuses in the short time that would be available to the lay Board. He suggested the tendency would be for standardization to be required in order to manage the system, and expressed the opinion that, if that was the result, we would have lost something that really gives variety of opportunity to the young people of this state, which is very beneficial. He stated he would like the mission spelled out in legislation rather than being left to the Board itself.

Regent Pelisek stated that he did have a view on the merger, noting that the possibility of a merger had been discussed many times before it was proposed by the Governor in his budget message. He expressed the opinion that the concept of merger has very substantial merit, and that he believed that a merged system, if properly structured, and he emphasized that "if it is properly structured", will be beneficial to the tax-paying citizens of the state in general and to the young men and women of Wisconsin, who are seeking the best opportunities for a meaningful higher education. He emphasized that this was his personal view, since events of the last two weeks, both at the State University level, and to some extent at this level, had pointed out very clearly that many times, when a Regent or administrator takes an individual position, the natural tendency is to view that position as the position of the system, which he stated he believed to be erroneous.

He stated that, in his personal view, the benefits of a merged system will flow from a better allocation of resources, through better coordination and control of what are now obviously competing systems. He also thought the issues should be viewed in the broad context of the state as a whole, not in what might be the narrower, parochial views of the various institutions involved. He stated that the true issue is what is best for the state as a whole, rather than what is good for the University of Wisconsin or the Wisconsin State University systems. Noting that he had indicated that there will be long-range benefits from a merged system only if that system is properly structured, he expressed the opinion that this structure must include a single policy board, because he thought a single policy board will be able to maintain the obvious diversities within the merged system, and he expressed his faith in citizen participation in such a board.

He also expressed the opinion that the structure must also contain a single chief executive, responsible and accountable to the policy board for the operation of the system, who would also be the final level for recommendations from the administration coming before that board. Regent Pelisek also thought that the structure would require a strong chancellor system, which would allow the maintenance of a high degree of operational autonomy on each campus and some degree of institutional identity. He expressed the opinion that the structure also be required to include a continuation of the tradition of faculty and student involvement in institutional affairs, which is certainly a strong tradition within our system and to some extent a strong tradition within the WSU system. He also thought that the structure ultimately would require a rather specific and definitive mission statement for each institution involved, although he did not believe that this mission statement must necessarily be in the legislation. He expressed the opinion that the mission statements that are present now for the institutions within the two systems are not contained in legislation, but have been hammered out by a pair of responsible governing boards, which he thought would be the same type of responsibility coming from a new combined board. He urged the Regents to take a very strong positive position in support of the concept of merger, and to embark immediately upon examination of what he thought might be the many specific problems which merger might involve.

Regent Fish expressed the opinion that the discussion on the merger to date seemed to revolve around the potential savings as its primary justification of objectives; and stated that he believed that the evidence to date would

indicate that any savings concept is illusory. He stated that he believed that equity could be achieved only at the higher level, not at the lower level. He noted that the State of Wisconsin has had a progressively clean government at all levels for a lot of reasons, not the least of which is citizen involvement. He noted that Regent Pelisek had referred to his confidence in citizen boards. However, he expressed the opinion that a combined system would require a greater administration, a lesser citizen commitment, and a smaller non-system input. He stated that, perhaps, that is not too big a price to pay, but he was not yet convinced. He expressed the opinion that we would have less citizen involvement and less citizen control. He stated he was eager to support the merger, if educational advantages can be shown.

Acknowledging that the Coordinating Council for Higher Education did not fully reach its expectations, he stated that it is problematic that a combined board could succeed. He suggested that something like the CCHE, devoted to unilateral power to make program evaluations and degree-granting responsibilities its unique, exclusive and sole purpose, could attain the goals that are sought in this general merger program. He stated the Legislature, under any system, should retain the end decision and responsibility as the elected representative of the people. Noting that the Governor has shown a strong desire to maximize the advantages of a greater higher educational system, Regent Fish stated that he was in favor of any program that would improve the quality of Wisconsin education at the same cost. He stated that he was in favor of any program that would maintain the current quality of education in Wisconsin at less cost. He stated that these were his only criteria, and that he wanted to be convinced, but that he was not as yet.

He urged the Regents to remain open-minded on this subject, and urged the citizens to base their judgment on all of the facts, since he did not believe that this is the time for quick phrases or easy solutions or fancy rhetoric. He expressed the opinion that we are not contemplating merely a mechanical maneuver, but are discussing the lives and dreams and aspirations of the greatest resource the state has, its educated youth. He stated that we are not discussing tenure and salaries and control and board personnel, but are discussing a major alteration in educational systems. He expressed the opinion that the question simply is how can an educational system, recognized as one of the finest in the world, be made still greater? Noting that obviously it can, he pointed out it is not so obvious whether or not this proposal would accomplish that goal. He questioned whether we can afford the higher cost of a merged system, emphasizing that a merged system will have higher costs. He questioned whether the expense will be justified by better educational opportunities.

Noting that the word merger seemed to have some magic connotations, which suggest that it has immediate value and substance, Regent Dahlstrom stated that the problem with which we are faced, in both the WSU system and the UW system, is the purpose and function and role of higher education in the lives of the people in the State of Wisconsin. He pointed out that to take two gigantic systems and make one out of them is a change in form, not a change in substance. He raised the question as to what can be done as one unit better than what is now being done as two separate units. He expressed the opinion that, in evaluating the role of higher education and evaluating the role of the program offerings, we can do this more effectively, more systematically, and in greater

detail as two separate systems rather than as a merged system. He suggested that, if the merger were to occur, we would find ourselves bogged down immediately in technical details, which would delay this orderly process of the educational evaluation through which we are now going and will hopefully continue to go over the next few years. Since the merger is not going to represent any savings in money, he suggested the Regents should address themselves to what is the real value of the merger, what it will do for the people of the State of Wisconsin, and what it will do for the students. He stated that, at this point, he was not ready to recommend any kind of a merger between these two systems until we have further examination in much greater detail of the long-term effects of such a decision.

Regent Walker stated that he did not know whether the Governor or his advisors are aware of the fact that, if they had the time available, there was not a member of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin who could not devote his full time to that responsibility. Pointing out that there is enough to do with respect to the UW, he stated that, when you throw that responsibility in with the responsibility of merging or controlling the entire higher educational system of the State, he would disagree with anyone who would claim there would be a greater citizen input. He expressed the opinion that a single Board would have to depend largely upon the administration and the staff, unless the members of the Board were willing to say that, from now on, their assignments in life were to serve on the Board. He expressed the opinion that, under a merged system, the administrator at the top and his staff will run the show, and that the members of the Board will be there and will listen to the recommendations of the staff, and that will be it.

Regent Walker raised the question as to what gamble the Legislature will take in deciding what is going to happen to the University of Wisconsin, and whether it is worthwhile and justifiable, from an educational standpoint, to say that we are all one great educational family serving the children of the state, and those who come from other states. He stated that it is not the Regents, nor the Governor, but the members of the Assembly and the Senate who are going to decide. He questioned whether the Legislature feels that what we have, and what has been built for more than 100 years in this state of ours, must be traded off for a condensation, for the throwing together, for the stirring into one big pot, of the educational character and talent of the State. He expressed the hope that the Governor will modify what seemed to him to be an instant merger, which seemed to be the clear intent in his request. He stated that this is too large, too diverse, and too important an issue to bring about by August or September or by Christmas. He stated that the word "instant" had a nasty connotation to him, since we had heard it down at Parkside, where it almost tore that place apart. Noting that we may very well have a merged system, he stated that he would certainly implore the Governor to realize that to do this job the way we want it done is going to take some thought and some time.

President Ziegler stated that from the comments it appeared that all the Regents agree on one thing, which is that we are for anything that will improve the quality of our educational programs here in the State. He indicated that that was the one statement on which it seemed that all the Regents were unanimous. He noted that his comments on the matter would duplicate what many of the other Regents had said. He pointed out that the University of

Wisconsin system, age 123 years, and the other system, in excess of 105 years in age, certainly are not going to be changed over night without some very long considered discussions. He also pointed out that we have between 140,000 and 150,000 alumni, who he was sure are concerned about what is going to happen to their University, if it changes in structure or meaning to them as alumni.

Noting the number of campuses and students that would be involved in a merged system, President Ziegler stated that it was known what this Board had done in the last two or three years in terms of the time required to help operate this University. He suggested that the Regents shouldn't be spending this much time operating it, but had been charged with spending more time by the Legislature and by the people; and he noted that the Regents had found it necessary to devote an enormous amount of time to run and operate this University. He stated that he shared Regent Walker's feeling that a merged system would result in a full-time job for any sixteen-man board, unless we want to remove the citizen input and allow it to be run by the professional educators, which he did not believe was the concept of higher education in this state.

He expressed the opinion that the Regents did not need to, at this point, rise to the defense of our University of Wisconsin structure, which he had the feeling they were all trying to do to some extent. He expressed the opinion that all the Regents would agree that, if there is another program that is better, they should give it a good look, because, even though they are all members of this Board, they would all be willing to walk out and resign, if there was something better that they believed in. He stated that he thought the Regents needed to make sure that, before they accept other programs or other suggestions by people who may not be as knowledgeable as they are, because they are not on the firing line as much as the Regents are, the proposal is better than the one we are now living with. He stated that that is going to take some probing and some discussions such as the Regents had had here this morning. He stated that we do not need to defend our system, but that, if it can be made better in quality, and cheaper, we should hear about it.

President Ziegler inquired of President Weaver whether he wanted to make any comments, in view of the recommendation made by the Governor. President Weaver stated that he had made a brief public comment at the time the suggestion was made, and he thought he was content to stand with that.

President Ziegler added that this Board had spent six months seeking who they thought was the best man in the country to head this University, and believed that they had found him. He stated that he personally felt that anything that the Regents could do to keep Dr. John C. Weaver at the head of this system the better it would be. He stated that he had expressed himself personally and publicly the previous week as not giving up the ship on the present two-system structure that is coordinated by the CCHE. He expressed his personal belief that, even though it has fallen far short of what it was designed to do, the Coordinating Council is still the right concept, and that he still believed that the coordination of two large systems can be done, if the Coordinating Council has the authority, the recognition, and the power it needs.

Regent Sandin noted that all the Regents had expressed themselves on the Governor's proposal for consolidation, and by now it was obvious that there were a great many issues that require careful and detailed study before this Board, with its heavy and unique responsibility for a world-renowned University, can reach any position on the matter of consolidation. She stated that she would like to offer a recommendation that would move the Regents in the direction of a more extensive exploration of the advantages and disadvantages of consolidation. Regent Sandin moved adoption of the following resolution:

That the Regents of the University of Wisconsin join President Weaver in favoring, as he said three weeks ago, anything that "further the educational opportunities for the young people of this state...and that can accomplish this goal at the lowest cost consistent with quality education." We encourage full exploration and discussion of the educational, organizational and financial ramifications of the Governor's proposal for consolidation of the two public university systems of this State. As a first step towards this end, the President of the Board shall contact the President of the Board of Regents of the Wisconsin State University System and arrange for an informal, joint session of the two Boards as soon as possible. The purpose of this session will be to allow the Regents of both Systems, who carry unique responsibilities for their welfare, to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of consolidation and to express whatever concerns they have over this proposal.

The above motion was seconded by Regent Fish.

Referring to Regent Sandin's resolution, Regent Gelatt stated that he thought this was a very worthwhile step. He recalled that, at the time of the original Coordinating Council Bill in 1955, there had been at least one joint session of the two Boards, and he thought that earlier than that there had been another one in 1949. He stated that the meeting of the two Boards in 1955 was of mutual benefit in airing problems, and also, to some extent, allaying the charges that always existed of competition, which he believed is more a figment of people's imagination than actual fact.

He stated that the Legislature has the responsibility of deciding what money is to be spent and how it is to be raised, which is not always a happy responsibility, and that he did not blame the Legislature for hoping to have someone else do the cutting. However, he stated that it is still the ultimate responsibility of the Legislature, and he did not believe the Coordinating Council or a joint board will ever present additional pressures for spending with what might be looked at with special interest in coming to the Legislature. He noted that, in the State of Florida, they are now making it a single state system, but each state senator has a special bill before the legislature asking for some special money for his particular institution. Regent Gelatt expressed the opinion that, whether you merge the institutions or keep them separate with a coordinating board, you are not going to prevent local interests attempting to go beyond whatever coordination occurs by going directly to the elected representatives of the people. He recalled that this had even happened when we only had the one campus at Madison, when one college or another, disappointed at the

budget review level by the administration, somehow or other got their case before the Legislature. He expressed the opinion that a joint meeting with the two Boards would be very beneficial in looking at the problems of a merger.

President Ziegler inquired of Regent Sandin whether, in making her suggestion, she said anything about the participation in that kind of discussion, who would be involved. Regent Sandin stated that she thought it would be an open meeting, which anyone could attend, but that the discussion should be limited to the members of the two Boards. After further discussion, President Ziegler stated that, as far as Mr. Kopp and he were concerned, it would be quite critical as to who would be involved in the discussions; and it appeared to be the concensus of the Regents that the participation in the discussion should be limited as suggested.

Regent Pelisek stated that he thought that this motion falls far short of the type of action that this Board was obligated to take. He stated that he obviously was in the minority by counting noses around the table after each Regent had had an opportunity to speak. He stated he would vote in favor of the motion because it is a step forward, but he believed it is a very small and mincing step, when the Regents ought to be taking a very substantial position on the merger question. Regent Fish stated that he took objection to the "counting of noses" and that he thought Regent Pelisek had predetermined how people are going to vote. Regent Pelisek disagreed, stating that it was simply that he was the only one in the discussion that had urged a positive step, everyone else having urged that they take a deep hard look at the matter.

Regent Fish stated that Regent Pelisek, in indicating that he was in the minority, had indicated that he believed how some of the Regents intended to vote. Regent Fish suggested that the majority of this Board had not determined how it was going to vote and the posture it is going to take on the merger. He expressed the opinion that the majority of this Board genuinely felt that they require a better bill of particulars than they now have, and that they need a greater input of information than they now have, and, at that time, they will make an evaluation. He stated that he seriously did not know what posture he would take on the merger issue, and that he objected to being classified in the majority, because he did not believe there was a majority nor a minority, but rather just a group of concerned Regents. He stated that he had supported the motion because it was a major step forward and not a mincing step, and that it would be a major step forward in getting an indication of the thoughts and beliefs of the two Boards.

Regent Pelisek stated that he did not imply that the majority of this Board was opposed to the concept of merger or in favor of the concept of merger, but had merely indicated that he felt that, at this particular moment, he was in a minority because he wished to take a firm definitive step in support of merger, while the general view of the Board at this time was that the matter deserves further study. Regent Nellen suggested that Regent Pelisek's statement was somewhat inconsistent, since he recalled what he had said was that he might look favorably upon the merger depending on how it was structured. Regent Nellen stated that, if Regent Pelisek had insight as to how it is going to be structured at this time, that had certainly avoided him, because he had no idea how it is going to be structured. Regent Walker inquired whether he hadn't discussed it

with the Governor. Regent Nellen responded in the negative, and stated that no one else had discussed it with him.

President Ziegler expressed the opinion that the point that Regent Sandin's motion speaks to is that the Regents do not feel qualified at this time apparently to take a positive position, and that, inasmuch as the other system has not taken a positive position one way or the other, it is entirely appropriate to get the two Boards together and find out.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

President Ziegler inquired of Regent Gelatt, since he was the new president-elect of the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges, whether that would be a source to find out if a merger has ever happened. He inquired whether Regent Gelatt had a way to get such information; and Regent Gelatt replied that he was chairman-elect of the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges and that he could make an inquiry. He stated that he thought the Association has information on changes of structures of higher education in various states.

The meeting recessed at 10:24 A.M. with the formal meeting to be reconvened at 1:30 P.M.

The meeting reconvened at 1:30 P.M. with President Ziegler presiding.

PRESENT: Regents Dahlstrom, Fish, Gelatt, Nellen, Pelisek, Sandin, Walker, and Ziegler.

ABSENT: Regents Kahl and Renk.

President Weaver announced that he would next like to bring up the item on the agenda relating to a report on the Madison Campus program on the Institute for Environmental Studies. He stated this is a most unusual program, and is rapidly becoming more and more distinguished in the academic operation here in this part of the University. He asked Chancellor Young to comment and to introduce Professor Reid Bryson, who would explain part of the program.

Chancellor Young stated that they were having this presentation because it illustrates one of the very basic problems of the organization of higher education. He noted that, traditionally, programs are developed in the University around departments and colleges, and that, over the years, we have had a long history of interaction between departments where professors come together and work on common problems. He recalled that, some three or four years ago, a group of professors became concerned that there was no mechanism available on a

regular basis, where some of the most fundamental problems of our times dealing with environment, requiring input of scientists, humanists, and social scientists, could involve a variety of departments working together in some sustained effort. As a result of that concern, he explained that the Chancellor's Office had appointed a committee to study the matter, with the result that the Institute for Environmental Studies was established about a year ago with funds and programs involving the whole campus and its resources. He explained that Professor Reid Bryson is the Director of that program and was present to report on it to the Regents.

Professor Bryson recalled that a year or so ago the Regents were presented with the results of Faculty Document 279, which was a restatement of the purpose of the University, and which changed it from a vague statement of a search for truth to one referring to the preserving, transmitting, and discovering of knowledge with values that will help insure the survival of the present and future generations and improve the quality of life. He also recalled that that document said that ways should be found to allow students and faculty to engage in interdisciplinary efforts both in learning and research areas. He explained that, as a result, there was set up the Institute for Environmental Studies, which is concerned with interdisciplinary environmental studies. He emphasized the interdisciplinary nature of the program, noting that they are not problems facing single departments, there being no departments in our disciplines devoted solely, for example, to pollution or to population.

He explained that the academic concept of this program, based on sound ecology, focuses attention particularly on teaching, and particularly on undergraduate teaching, which will make perceptive students, perceptive citizens, who will be knowledgeable about pollution abatement, population problems, or distribution of population, and problems of planning for our future and the relationship between planning and policy necessary to put things into action. He noted that the program is a little bit parochial to the extent that our focus is Wisconsin, with looking at the rest of the world when it is necessary to do so. He noted that there were two routes for such a program to follow. One being an interdisciplinary mix which could be called a major in Environmental Science, which would result in the question of what does a student do once he gets out of school.

He explained that, since the Regents and the Coordinating Council for Higher Education have not approved a student major in an environmental discipline, this program has followed the other route of having a student plan a major course of study so he knows some subject about the environment in depth, with the rest of the program integrated so that he is environmentally perceptive and has the broad view, as well as the knowledge to do something specific, at the same time having his program integrated in such a way that science takes into account social science and values, and with social science not going off without the technical background that makes things work. He referred to the fact that the program even involved undergraduate research, citing a recently completed study of carbon monoxide pollution in Madison done by an undergraduate in a very excellent manner. He stated that, at the graduate level, there were two kinds of things that they thought should be done; one being the development of a professional masters degree for professional managers for environmental matters, such as, for example, air pollution control management types. He noted

that we have several on the campus already, such as in the field of Water Resources. He explained that, at the doctoral level research, we should be involved in problem-oriented, applied research doing the basic research that doesn't happen to be done by the existing disciplines. He reported that the interest that has been expressed in these programs ranges across faculty, student, and government interests, and that there are an amazing number of professors on this campus who want to be involved in this program, and that there have been dozens of applicants from outside as well. He reported that they had hundreds of undergraduate inquiries expressing interest in the program, and had had dozens of graduate applicants. As an example, he cited that they had to turn away six hundred students from a particular course last semester, so there must be something right about the program.

With reference to the government level, Professor Bryson reported that they had had a number of letters expressing interest in hiring our graduates, although we have not had any graduates as yet. He also noted that our new funding sources show government interest in this area. He noted that private interests are also to be found, with many inquiries from a number of companies that have been inquiring about the possibility of graduates that they can hire to serve their interests. He stated that, for a specific example of some of the research orientation and study orientation with which they are concerned with regard to Wisconsin, he would like to turn the rest of this presentation over to Professor Robert Ragotzke who is Director of the Marine Studies Center, and also Director of the Sea Grant Program. He noted that the Marine Studies Center, the study for climatic research, the Lake Wingra ecosystem study, and the Remote Testing Group, are all administratively under the Institute for Environmental Studies.

Professor Ragotzke stated that he would like to very briefly outline one research program that they are undertaking, which might be called the Lake Superior or Central Highlights Environmental Project. Noting that Lake Superior is one of our finest lakes, he stated that he would explain this program in two parts, the first part of which is the lake itself; and he explained the characteristics of Lake Superior and the characteristics and extent of its watershed. He explained that, in the watershed of Lake Superior, about 500,000 people live, two-thirds of whom live in cities. He then explained that beyond the watershed there is what might be called the peopled where people come from that go to Lake Superior.

He explained that Lake Superior draws tourists, travelers, industry, etc., for various purposes, from Chicago, northern Illinois, all over Wisconsin, the Twin Cities, northern Minnesota, the upper peninsula of Michigan, and the upper half of the Lower peninsula of Michigan. He noted that this so-called peopled of Lake Superior includes fifteen million people. He also noted that Lake Superior is part of a megalopolis extending from Pittsburgh, Cleveland, Chicago to Madison to Minneapolis, on up towards Winnipeg. He pointed out that this growing megalopolis was interconnected by many existing and additional planned highways, which do and will provide access to the Lake Superior area.

Professor Ragotzke cited a number of questions as to what we are to do about this problem. How are we to develop this whole area? Will it be used for industry? Will it be used for recreation? Will it be kept as wilderness? Will

it be used for vacation homes or what? He noted that there is really no plan, and that we do not even have a clear idea of many of the characteristics of Lake Superior, nor a great deal about the area in terms of the socio-economic pressures that are building, and how they are going to build. Consequently, he pointed out, there is need for very broad interdisciplinary research on the lake itself, on the surrounding land, and on the economics of the area. He stated that the University has a major role in developing a plan for the whole region, not a plan to be pushed down people's throats, but a plan to be presented and examined and studied, with options, alternatives and choices. He stated that only after we have a plan with a policy can we appropriately seek action. He noted that the Marine Studies Center would also be involved in the Lake Superior program, and that they hoped to involve many different people throughout the campus, both within and without the Institute for Environmental Studies.

Noting that we have the Sea Grant Program, which is an all-university program, Regent Dahlstrom inquired how the Madison Campus Marine Studies Program relates to the Sea Grant Program. Professor Ragotzke explained that the Sea Grant Program is an all-university program, presently involving Madison, Milwaukee, Green Bay, and Extension, and is a program, not an operational unit. He explained that the Marine Studies Center on the Madison Campus is an operational unit conducting research and working with curriculum programs of interdisciplinary and interdepartmental committees.

He stated that they interpret marine as being both the Great Lakes and salt water and that they have programs on both, whereas the Sea Grant Program has a research and hopefully an educational goal, and is a national program. He explained that the University participates in the Sea Grant Program as one of the eleven such institutions in the whole nation, and that it involves the whole University of Wisconsin system potentially. Vice President Percy pointed out that one of the bright spots in the Governor's budget was the recommendation of the full amount that the University recommended for the Sea Grant Program matching fund, for which we received \$400,000 in the last biennium. Professor Ragotzke expressed pleasure that the state is providing matching funds; and noted that this has two values, both making it possible to operate as a program, and also providing a stimulating effect on the federal government, since the Sea Grant Program is a local thing with local sharing, which encourages the federal government to keep supporting it.

Regent Pelisek inquired as to why a state university of a Great Lakes state is doing research in salt water. Professor Ragotzke explained that it is because we feel oceanography is an important field, and that there are both undergraduate and graduate students who we feel deserve to be exposed to oceanography as a science and as part of their training. He also explained that the faculty of the University has traditionally sought their research in various activities where they have felt they could best do so; and he noted that the research activities in the salt water are funded outside the state.

Regent Sandin noted that there are many people in Ashland, Duluth and Superior who are very concerned about the pollution of Lake Superior and about the fact that we do not have proper laws to take care of pollution. She pointed out that there is a group called "Save Lake Superior Association", which was formed about two years ago, involving students, businessmen, and professional

people, who she was sure would be happy to receive information on anything the University can do up there. Professor Ragotzke indicated that he knew of that association, and stated that he would try to stay more in contact with it.

President Ziegler inquired whether there was any relationship with this program to our Extension programs in that area, since he understood that the Extension System has some involvement in cleaning fresh lakes in the northern part of Wisconsin. Professor Ragotzke stated that he did not have first-hand knowledge regarding that, since the Sea Grant Program is pretty much limited to the Great Lakes, and does not get involved with the smaller lakes, which he believed were a matter of concern to the Water Resources Center.

Regent Dahlstrom stated that it was very interesting to observe that, as our problems grow and change, the University changes right along with them to meet these problems and to develop programs that will provide solutions to these problems. He noted that this is all part of a forward planning look, as mentioned in Faculty Document 279, the Van Potter Report. He stated that he thought that interdisciplinary goals and studies should be undertaken, and that he believed that the Institute for Environmental Studies is the first concrete mechanism we have seen to institute some specific action out of the goals stated in that Van Potter Report.

President Weaver asked the Secretary to comment on the following recommendation for the acceptance by the Regents of a gift, from Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, of land adjacent to the WARF Office Building on North Walnut Street:

That the Regents gratefully accept the gift from Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation of the land adjacent to the new WARF Office Building on North Walnut Drive, as described in, and subject to the conditions set forth in, the Deed from Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation to the Regents of the University of Wisconsin, dated February 18, 1971, and recorded in the Office of the Register of Deeds, Dane County, Wisconsin, on March 4, 1971, as Document No. 1284293, and subject to the understanding set forth in the letter dated February 10, 1971, from Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (copies of Deed and letter filed with papers of this meeting).

The Secretary noted that material had been distributed to the Regents in advance of the meeting setting forth the conditions relating to the acceptance of this gift. He explained that the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation is conveying to the Regents title to approximately two acres of land surrounding the new WARF Office Building, and that this is necessary in order that the University can operate the parking lot, take care of snow plowing, etc.

Regent Dahlstrom moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regents Sandin and Walker, and it was voted.

President Weaver requested the Secretary to report on the following recommendation relating to the acceptance of a bequest:

That the bequest by the late Donald I. Bohn, Asheville, North Carolina, to the Engineering College of the University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, be accepted by the Regents of the University of Wisconsin in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Last Will and Testament of Donald I. Bohn, Deceased; and that the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign receipts for this bequest on behalf of the Regents of the University of Wisconsin, and to do all things necessary to effect the transfer of this bequest to the University of Wisconsin.

The Secretary reported that the late Donald I. Bohn, of Asheville, North Carolina, was born in Chicago, attended the University of Wisconsin where he received a B.A. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1921. He noted that the bequest is estimated to amount to approximately \$10,000, and was given to the College of Engineering on the Madison Campus.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Nellen, and it was voted.

President Weaver requested the Secretary to report on the following recommendation, relating to the bequests by the late Professor Helen Allen:

That, upon recommendation of the Dean of the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, concurred in by the Madison Campus Administration, the following recommendations, relating to the bequests by the late Professor Helen Allen, be approved:

- (1) That there be established in the School of Family Resources and Consumer Sciences a Professorship to be known as the "Helen Allen Professorship of Textile Arts",
- (2) That, in accordance with the wishes of Professor Allen, there be established a textile collection to be designated as the "Helen Louise Allen Collection", and
- (3) That, also in accordance with the wishes of Professor Allen, the income from her estate, which was willed to the University, be used to support the Professorship and the maintenance and use of the Collection to the extent that funds are available.

The Secretary recalled that some months ago the Regents had accepted the bequests from Professor Helen Allen, which bequests consisted of her textile collection, valued at approximately \$85,000 and a cash bequest amounting to about \$300,000. He explained that Professor Allen had been a Professor of Home Economics, and that this recommendation was made by the Dean of the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, concurred in by the Madison and Central Administrations, as to the use of this bequest. He explained that the items included in

this recommendation, except for the naming of the "Helen Allen Professorship", are in accordance with the wishes expressed in Professor Allen's Will.

Regent Nellen moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted.

President Weaver requested Chancellor Klotsche to explain the following recommendation:

That the Commons Area of Sandburg Residence Halls, at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, be named in memory of William T. Green.

Chancellor Klotsche recalled that the Regents had approved the name of Carl Sandburg for the three towers of the Residence Halls at UWM and had subsequently approved names for each group of two floors in the towers in memory of former members of the faculty of the UWM State College and Extension Division. He explained that students and faculty members had raised the question about naming buildings in honor of a member of a minority group, and that there had been discussion of this at some length, which resulted in a number of different names being suggested. He explained that the Sub-Committee on Naming Buildings at UWM researched this matter, and that the chairman of that sub-committee, who has had a great deal of experience in the area of Wisconsin history, came up with the name of a very interesting person, who is not very well known in the history of Wisconsin, but who was a person who seemed to very well fit the needs of this particular situation.

He explained that William T. Green was the first black person to graduate from the University of Wisconsin Law School in the early 1890's, from which he graduated with honors, after which he went to Milwaukee and practiced law for a long period of time around the turn of the century. He stated that it was reported that he had defended virtually all of the negroes who were charged in the Milwaukee courts from the time he started practice until his death; and that Mr. Green also initiated and was responsible for getting through the Legislature the first civil rights act, which was passed in the State of Wisconsin in 1895. He explained that on the basis of this information from the Sub-committee on Naming Buildings at UWM, the Physical Environment Committee felt that this would be a very appropriate name to be given to the Commons area in Carl Sandburg Hall.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, and it was voted.

President Weaver noted that the next three items on the report of the President of the University related to faculty matters and requested Vice President Percy to report on them. Vice President Percy requested that action on the recommendation approving amendments to Chapter 31, Laws and Regulations of the University of Wisconsin, relating to the Milwaukee Campus, be deferred at this

time, since it has to be reviewed by the University Faculty Council to make sure it is not in conflict with other laws and regulations. He stated that this would probably be brought back to the Regents at the next meeting.

Regarding the item on University of Wisconsin-Parkside Faculty Legislation, Vice President Percy noted that material on this item had been mailed to the Regents with the understanding that it would not be acted upon until next month, and was listed simply to call attention to the fact that it represents a basic set of faculty documents for Parkside. He noted that it has been reviewed by the University Faculty Council, and will be presented to the Regents for action at the April meeting.

Regarding the item on Faculty Time Utilization Study for the Fall of 1970, Vice President Percy noted that this report had been presented at the meeting of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget on the preceding day.

President Weaver suggested that Regent Pelisek report on the committee meetings of the Coordinating Council for Higher Education. Regent Pelisek noted that there had been no meeting of the full Coordinating Council since the last meeting of this Board. He stated that, in the absence of President Ziegler, he attended the meetings of the Finance Committee and of the Program Committee of the Coordinating Council, which were held on February 25. He reported that the Finance Committee agenda involved presentation of CCHE Paper #71-17, which was the revised budget costs for full-time equivalent students by level, and the presentation of CCHE Paper #71-12, which was an interim report on a comprehensive facility planning grant. He noted that both of these items were presented for informational purposes, and that no actions were taken by the Finance Committee at that meeting.

He reported that, at the meeting of the Program Committee there were several academic proposals that were approved. He explained that those that affected our system were the approval of the Concentration in Nutritional Sciences at Green Bay, and receipt by the Coordinating Council of the informational items on the Merger and Updating of the Undergraduate Master's Degree Program in Recreation and Redesignation as Recreation Resource Management, which this Board had requested approximately a month ago. He also stated that there was a report presented of the Study Committee on Campus and Utility Computer Services, which is set forth in CCHE Paper #71-13, in which the University had some specific input and had asked for some modifications prior to the time the CCHE paper was approved, and he noted that those modifications were included in the final document. He reported that the Program Committee approved CCHE Paper #71-16, which constituted a paper containing recommendations of the Program Planning Committee for Improved CCHE Procedures in Program Review and Audit.

He reported that there was also presented to the Program Committee for information CCHE Paper #71-15, regarding teacher supply and demand in Wisconsin. He explained that the latter paper generally indicated that the great shortage of elementary and secondary teachers has apparently passed, and that there are now only a few isolated specialties in which shortages of elementary and secondary teachers exist in the State. He noted this paper was a lengthy document, containing no conclusions and no recommendations by the staff, but that it is contemplated the recommendations will be forthcoming at a future date. The final item considered by the Program Committee, he reported, was a status report on educational television in Wisconsin, which was presented for information. He noted that the CCHE committee meetings on February 25 were held on a very unfortunate day, the day following the presentation by the Governor of Part II of his budget message, with the result that the CCHE meetings really had the characteristics of a wake.

Vice President Taylor presented the Report on the Use of Sound-Amplifying Equipment, reporting that there had been no authorized uses and no unauthorized uses of such equipment.

Regent Pelisek suggested that the monthly Report on the Use of Sound-Amplifying Equipment be struck from the agenda in the future, since it seemed to him that it no longer represented a useful or relevant piece of information. There being no objection, President Ziegler so ordered.

- - -

President Ziegler announced that the Regents would deviate from the order of business and receive the Report of the Regent Study Committee on Drug Matters on the Madison Campus at this time. Regent Walker, Chairman of this Committee, noted that the report would take approximately 20 minutes, that he would open the presentation, and be followed by members of the staff, who have been involved in these matters on the Madison Campus. Regent Walker summarized events in this area that had occurred since the Regent Study Committee on Drug Matters on the Madison Campus had been appointed on March 11, 1970. A chronological report on these items was distributed to the Regents and is attached as EXHIBIT B.

Regent Walker noted that, when this Study Committee was appointed, there was a lot of talk about drugs, a lot of agitation, a lot of questions, and that shortly thereafter, the work of this Study Committee was started, and that it was at this time that they found that they were without money for this purpose. He noted that the University of Wisconsin Foundation funded a one-year trial program as recommended by this Committee, with \$65,000, and that Chancellor Young had appointed Mrs. Frances Hurst as the Drug Education Coordinator in the Division of Student Affairs. He explained that the University then made available 420 North Lake Street, which is now the headquarters for the Drug Information Center. He explained that, in the intervening months since then, Mrs. Hurst and her advisory council of professors and students have set up a good, useful, and living program. He stated that he and Regents Dahlstrom and Renk are presently the Regent members of this Staff Committee. He then turned the presentation of the report over to Mrs. Hurst.

Mrs. Hurst thanked Regent Walker, and congratulated the Regents upon accepting Regent Walker's committee recommendations last Fall to establish the Drug Information Center, and also for passing on his vision of making it big enough so that, in this length of time, it has been able to make some impact. She reported that she had received, this morning, a long distance call from a State representative inquiring whether our Bill was in the Legislature for matching money for this program, because he would like the Bill to go to the Health and Social Services Committee of the Legislature, of which committee he was a member. She also reported that Mr. Ralph Hanson had informed her that, when he had appeared before a legislative committee, he was asked about the drug situation, and that, when he reported to the committee on the Drug Information Center, the members of the committee were very pleased.

Mrs. Hurst referred to a number of documents that had just been distributed to the Regents, consisting of a brochure containing information regarding the Drug Information Center, a letter from the Dane County District Attorney addressed to the South Central Wisconsin Regional Justice Planning Committee recommending this program at the University, and a document listing the objectives of the Drug Information Center and what concrete things have been done to meet these objectives (copy of these items are filed with the papers of this meeting). Mrs. Hurst read the following from the District Attorney's letter: "With programs such as theirs, which rationally deal with the drug problem instead of creating hysteria, we have a vital resource in the community which must be continued...." Mrs. Hurst noted that about 800 people came in or phoned the Drug Information Center in the first five months, that the staff has spoken to and led discussions with groups totalling about 3,000 people, and that the Center has given out 50,000 copies of 31 different selected publications. Mrs. Hurst stated she would like to introduce some of the staff of the Drug Information Center who would briefly comment on some of the cases handled by the Center.

Mrs. Hurst introduced Mrs. Barbara Spierer, who is the training director, who schedules and trains the staff of the Center. Mrs. Spierer stated that Mrs. Hurst had asked her to briefly tell the Regents about the outreach function. In this area, she noted that they had contacted somewhere in the neighborhood of 3,000 people, with the main emphasis, of course, being on the campus. She reported that they had had programs in every single dormitory, and in classrooms, which included Educational Psychology, Home Economics, Nursing, Social Work, Chemistry, and Health Education. She reported that programs also were given in sorority houses, and in the Student Baptist Center. She explained that the Center had also, during both semesters of this year, run in-service seminars for members of the Division of Student Affairs, and had provided in-service training for members of the Undergraduate Admissions Office.

In addition, she reported, because the staff of the Drug Information Center felt so much work needed to be done with young people, the members of the staff, really on their own time, have gone off campus and done many programs in high schools, junior high schools, and elementary schools, as well as with PTA groups. She stated that they have been very well received both on an off the campus, and she believed, because the staff is a young staff and very much in tune with youth today, it had been able to serve a very important function of trying to bridge the generation gap. Mrs. Spierer then read excerpts from a number of letters that had been received praising the work of the Drug Information Center.

Mrs. Hurst introduced Mr. Don Johnson, whom she explained was a drug specialist. He stated that he was asked to report on a few cases, to give examples of the type of work that they do. He noted that it was difficult to select just two cases out of the total input they have had, because a great deal of their service is information. He pointed out that perhaps their greatest successes never come back to them. He reported on the case of an 18 year old white freshman female who was living in a dormitory, had a two-year history of experimental drug use, and had complained of insomnia, anxiety, and inability to concentrate. He reported that they referred her to professional services available at the University Hospital, and had done some follow-up work involving talking with her, with the result that she will resume school in June. He explained that she now feels increased motivation, has lost the physical complaints, and now feels that she has a more mature perception of herself.

The second case Mr. Johnson reported on was in regard to a 22 year old senior who had dropped out of school, having a long history of drug usage preceding his enrollment here at the University, and who suffered from what was diagnosed as low self-esteem and general physical weakness. They referred this non-student to the Dane County Mental Health Association and have been seeing him occasionally since as he comes in. He reported that this person is also returning to school, is now working, and feels that he has now gotten his life kind of together.

Mrs. Hurst introduced Dr. Melvin Weinswig, head of Pharmacy Extension and Professor of Pharmacy, Madison Campus, who is a member of the Advisory Committee of the Drug Information Center. Professor Weinswig stated that he would try to give some of the feelings of the Student Faculty Drug Advisory Committee about the Drug Information Center. He expressed the opinion that, since last year, drug education in Madison has improved considerably, both on and off the campus, with the community and the students becoming more aware of the crisis or at least recognizing that this crisis is not going to go away by itself and are willing to discuss it openly with individuals. He stated that they all felt that drug education is the only meaningful alternative. He stated that he felt the Drug Information Center has assisted in satisfying a very important need, not only to the campus, but to the community, and he felt that it should continue for at least another year, and possibly two years. He stated that he felt there should be three main objectives to the Drug Information Center for the next two years. He felt the library should definitely be expanded, because many of the individuals as they come into the Center do look at such materials, and are discussing things openly with individuals at the Center.

He also felt another item that should be expanded is teaching, which should be broken down into two areas, and the first of these he indicated are the programs, symposia, and seminars that are conducted in such places as the housing units and at the Union. He indicated the second area is in assisting various courses on campus that would like to have peer group participation. He noted that during the last year the School of Pharmacy and the Special Services of Pharmacy had put on a two-credit course that the individuals at the Drug Information Center all participated in, and tried to pick up as much knowledge as they could about drugs, which they realized was necessary for them in order to be meaningful in any discussions that they would have. He expressed the opinion that, as more faculty members become aware of the good jobs that these

staff members of the Drug Information Center do in these various courses such as Health Education, Sociology, and Psychology courses, the more faculty will be using them for the following year. Professor Weinswig stated that the third point that he wanted to talk about was the outreach into the community. He noted that the following week the federal government would be allocating \$6,000,000 to the Office of Education for drug education, which is to take place at the community level. He stated this again was another reason that the Drug Information Center should be funded only for another year or two.

He noted that last year, as a result of the Governor's Conference on Drugs and Alcohol, many of the communities were given guidelines to establish drug education in their own communities. He noted that many of our cities are a little slow in doing this, but many others have been moving ahead in a very cooperative way. He hoped that, if these communities do what they are supposed to do, in another year or two drug information as far as the Madison Campus is concerned will not be this necessary. He felt that, in the meantime, the individuals from the Drug Information Center have quite a bit that they can give to aid various programs that not only the Madison Campus but also Extension have been putting on throughout the State. He reiterated that the faculty on the Student Faculty Advisory Committee unanimously supported the work of the Drug Information Center, and would like to see it funded over the next two years.

Mrs. Hurst introduced Mr. Philip Chernin, a project assistant in the Department of Public Instruction and a former housefellow on the Madison Campus. Mr. Chernin explained that he was not directly connected with the Drug Information Center, but is now working for the Drug Education Project of the Department of Public Instruction, and stated that he would like to present his views from that point, and also from the point of view of being a former housefellow and a concerned member of the University community. He explained that, as a member of the Department of Public Instruction, working in the area of drug education, he traveled extensively around the state, helping schools develop and implement their own programs. He stated he was impressed with the number of schools that had taken advantage of some of the programs that the Drug Information Center has been able to provide.

He stated he was particularly impressed by this because these people provide that service voluntarily over and above their regular commitments to the program at the Drug Information Center. He stated that he felt this was very important, especially in view of the fact that these high school students will be tomorrow's college students. He emphasized that, if we can do something through this program before they reach the college level, perhaps we will have less of a problem on this campus. He noted that, as a former housefellow, he felt that the housefellows had had very little access to drug information. He stated that he did not believe that is the case any more, because of the seminars and programs that the Drug Information Center has put on with housefellows. He stated that the most important aspect of the Drug Information Center function in Residence Halls is the fact that the residents now have someplace to go, since the Counseling Center is a very crowded place and the students usually have to wait for quite a while before they can get in, whereas the Drug Information Center is open for fairly extensive hours and the people are very receptive.

He stated that his third perspective, as a concerned member of the University community, is that it is nice to know that any member of the University community, who needs information, has a place to go, either calling on the telephone or dropping in at the Center, which he felt was one of the important goals that really enhances the image of the University in the eyes of the community. Noting that the University's function is teaching, research, and service, it seemed to him that the services that the Drug Information Center has offered have definitely been very important, not only to the University community itself, but to other communities surrounding Madison.

Regent Walker thanked Mrs. Hurst for this report and for her genuine sincere interest and for that on the part of those who had reported on this program. He then asked Regent Dahlstrom to read a letter which had just been received unsolicited. Regent Dahlstrom read a letter dated December 10, 1971, addressed to Mr. Gordon Walker, as Chairman of the Regent Study Committee on Drug Matters, from Mr. Frank N. Coogan, Director of the State of Wisconsin Department of Health and Social Services, commending the Drug Information Center and its staff for coordinating with other programs in the state, such as the coordinated leadership shown in connection with the Governor's Conference on Drugs and Alcohol, which was co-sponsored by the University of Wisconsin, Department of Health and Social Services, Department of Justice, Governor's Office, and the Department of Public Instruction. (Copy of this letter is filed with the papers of this meeting.)

Regent Walker stated that where we go at the end of this academic year, whether we have a Drug Information Center or whether we do not, is going to depend upon the appraised validity of this program by the Governor, his staff, and the Legislature. He stated that, if we are to fund this program for two more years, the cost will be no greater in either year than it has been in the one that is drawing to a close, so that we are talking about approximately \$130,000 for 1971-72 and 1972-73. He stated that all he could say was that the Regents have heard the story, in which the press has been most cooperative in giving us attention, and that he and this Committee, and he was sure also all of the Regents, were hopeful that the Governor of the State of Wisconsin will feel that the progress that has been made here in helping to cool this matter, and in helping to increase the knowledge of our students and faculty regarding this problem, is such that this problem will receive financial support after the end of this academic year.

President Ziegler thanked Regent Walker, and commended him and Regents Dahlstrom and Renk and other members of the Committee in doing an excellent job. He stated that the Regents really owed a vote of gratitude to the University of Wisconsin Foundation for making this program possible.

- - -

The Secretary reported for the record the following action voted by the Regents by a mail vote initiated February 25, 1971:

That the status of Robert L. Clodius, Vice President of the University and Professor of Agricultural Economics, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus be changed to

Professor of Agricultural Economics, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus, and University Professor, Madison Campus, and Project Administrator of the Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities program in higher education in Indonesia, effective March 10, 1971.

- - -

Regent Nellen presented the report of the Educational Committee.

Regent Nellen moved approval of the recommendation of the Educational Committee, relating to the Request to the Trustees of the William F. Vilas Trust Estate for Support for Scholarships, Fellowships, and Professorships (EXHIBIT C attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted.

Regent Nellen presented the following recommendation of the Educational Committee:

Upon the recommendation of the Chancellor, Madison Campus, the President of the University of Wisconsin be authorized to execute an agreement of cooperation as a founding institution of the Inter-American Institute of Ecology.

Regent Nellen explained that the Inter-American Institute of Ecology is a volunteer group consisting of several universities. He also explained that this will not involve providing any funds to this organization.

Regent Nellen moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted.

Regent Nellen moved approval of the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, relating to Appointments, Changes of Status, Leave of Absence, and Resignation, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted:

Appointments:

1. That Robert W. Goy be appointed Director, Primate Research Center, Graduate School, and Professor, Department of Psychology, College of Letters and Science, Madison Campus, beginning July 1, 1971, at an annual salary of \$30,000.
2. That William D. McGuire be appointed Assistant Superintendent (Planning), University Hospitals, Madison Campus, beginning March 15, 1971, at an annual salary of \$15,500.

Changes of Status:

1. That the status of Jules M. Rosenthal be changed from Specialist (Audio Visual Director of Special Education),

Instructional Materials Center, School of Education, Madison Campus to Program Administrator (Director of Communications), Mental Retardation Center, Graduate School, Madison Campus, beginning March 13, 1971 at an annual salary of \$16,000.

- 2. That the status of Robert L. Clodius, Professor of Agricultural Economics, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus, and University Professor, Madison Campus, and Project Administrator of the Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities program in higher education in Indonesia, be changed to Professor of Agricultural Economics, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus, Professor of Economics, College of Letters and Science, Madison Campus, and University Professor, Madison Campus, and Project Administrator of the Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities program in higher education in Indonesia, effective March 12, 1971.

Leave of Absence:

That James P. Gilligan, Professor of Forestry, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus, and Director of the Recreation Resources Center, Division of Economic and Environmental Development, University Extension, be granted a leave of absence, without pay, for the period March 22, 1971 through June 30, 1971, and that leave be extended for 1971-72 as part of the annual budget.

Resignation:

That the resignation of Jan K. E. Hirshfield, Associate Professor, Departments of Medicine and Genetics, Medical School, and Immuno-Hematology Laboratory, University of Wisconsin Hospitals, Madison Campus, be accepted as of September 1, 1970.

- - -

Regent Walker presented the report of the Business and Finance Committee.

Regent Walker moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, relating to Schedule of Costs for Mental Retardation Center - Madison Campus (EXHIBIT D attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Fish, and it was voted.

-

Regent Walker presented the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee:

That the Secretary of The Regents of the University of Wisconsin be authorized to apply to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for the maximum eligible amount under the interest subsidy assistance program for College Housing for the UW-Milwaukee Carl Sandburg Residence Halls (Project No. 6503-7), UW-Milwaukee Student Union Phase II Project (No. 6604-11), and UW-Madison Wisconsin Union South Project (No. 6603-24).

Regent Walker stated that this was a rather interesting item. He explained that a number of million dollars were borrowed to finance the construction of these projects, on which we are paying approximately 7.2% interest. He explained that under new federal legislation the Department of Housing and Urban Development is able to provide funds to us to represent the difference between what we are paying in interest and a base rate of 3%.

Regent Walker moved approval of the above recommendation, and the motion was seconded by Regent Pelisek.

President Ziegler stated that he understood that this would not include the two towers of Sandburg Hall that have already been constructed. Regent Walker explained that that was correct, noting that these funds are only available for projects that are under construction.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Walker presented the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, and asked Vice President Lorenz to explain it:

That, upon recommendation of the Chancellor of the Madison Campus and concurred in by Central Administration, the following 1971-72 academic year rates for Residence Halls, Madison Campus, be approved:

	<u>Food Plan A</u>	<u>Food Plan B</u>	<u>Food Plan C</u>
Double Room	\$ 896	\$1,040	\$1,170
Small Single Room	1,016	1,160	1,290
Regular Single Room	1,046	1,190	1,320

and that the Division of Residence Halls be authorized to house non-university college students in the Residence Halls.

Vice President Lorenz distributed to the Regents a two-page document setting forth the various Madison Campus Residence Halls academic year rates for 1971-72, comparing them with the rates in effect for 1970-71, and explaining the changes in the various types of services to be provided and the changes in the methods for charging for meals. (A copy of this document is filed with the papers of this meeting.)

Vice President Lorenz asked Assistant Vice President Douglas Osterheld to further explain the situation with reference to this proposal. Assistant Vice President Osterheld explained that, when they sat down with Madison Campus administration and with the officials responsible for housing on the Madison Campus, they were faced with the alternatives of whether to continue on the basis that had been followed for a number of years, namely, either escalating the rates to reflect the cost increases, or determining whether they were going to try to take a total new look to see whether they could produce something that might be more attractive to the students on the Madison Campus than evidently our present plans have been.

He pointed out that we have been suffering from a decreasing occupancy in Residence Halls, resulting in getting down to a level of 6,600 this year, and, with an anticipated decrease in enrollment, they can see nothing but further decreases on the basis of the present plan. He explained that the proposal before the Regents would substantially reduce the total commitment that a student and a parent would have to make in order to use the Residence Halls. He explained the different rates shown in the proposal, and explained the reasons for the variations between the several rates. He noted that the minimum commitment is reduced from the present one, and the reduction is in the terms of food, which is also reduced in quantity. He explained that, instead of the present meal plan under which a student is committed to buy so many complete meals during the course of the year, they will be provided with food on an item pricing basis, with the student selecting the items he wants and being charged for them at the price listed. He explained the three food plans covered by this proposal, noting that the minimum plan would take care of about one-half of the meals a student would ordinarily have during the academic year.

He also explained that in addition to the changes in the food program, there are several other significant factors represented in this proposal. He explained that there is reduction in the housing density factor in the southeast area of the Madison Campus, with some 800 rooms planned to be vacated on the basis of anticipated occupancy. He noted that, at the same time, they are going to operate the smaller units, such as Elizabeth Waters Hall and Tripp and Adams Halls, because they represent the lower density approach to housing, which he believed was a major recommendation of the plan. He explained that the proposal is based on achieving an occupancy of 5,300 as compared with the present occupancy of somewhat in excess of 6,600. The reduction, he explained, is due to the reduction in school enrollment, and to the trends of disillusionment as far as desire to live in University Housing.

Assistant Vice President Osterheld further explained that, in order to build a budget which would have some hope of being economically sound, it was found necessary to make further substantial reductions in the functions and services being performed in the Residence Halls, in addition to the change in the food service. However, he noted that the elimination of providing laundry was not included in the proposal, because they felt it would result in an impossible situation of lack of cleanliness. He explained that the proposed rates did not anticipate any potential windfall that might come from other actions such as, a different source of revenue for charges which are now currently paid by Residence Halls for utilities, watchmen, etc., and including payment for any space that might be rented for other university purposes in lieu of the University

leasing non-university property. He emphasized that it should be clearly understood that, in order to come in with this kind of a proposal, we will have to take some calculated risks which we are all a party to. He explained that, in going to item pricing for food from a set meal approach, it is entirely possible that the estimates of how much can be generated in terms of revenue will fall far short of our anticipation, which can cause serious fiscal difficulty. Also, he noted we may not achieve the 5,300 level of occupancy.

Furthermore, he explained that, with all the adjustments that are proposed and with all the changes incorporated in the proposal, it will be necessary to draw on existing reserves in order to have a balanced operation for next year. He also explained that this proposal contemplates substantial reductions in the area of social supervision, in the amounts of time and energy that are given to this part of the total Residence Halls program. He explained that the housefellows will be reduced in remuneration from \$2,100 to \$1,300, there will be a time commitment reduction for the housefellows from twenty hours to fifteen hours per week to compensate for the reduction in remuneration, and the academic staff of Residence Halls, working with the housefellows and working with the program, are reduced from thirteen full-time equivalents to seven full-time equivalents, part of which is due to the fact that we are talking about approximately 1,300 fewer students. He emphasized, however, that this does include a reduction in the intensity of the coverage.

He reported that they also proposed to reduce the item of door attendants, with a reduction of about 50% of the door attendants we have had at the women's residence halls. He explained that would be accomplished by removing the door attendants entirely and going to the key system, which was operative in the past, and which they feel could be operative again for these smaller units. He acknowledged this would provide less control and the possibility of night visitation violations, but that that is part of the proposal. He stated that with these concerns and with these calculated risks, the administration still recommends adoption of the proposal.

Regent Walker moved approval of the above recommendation.

Regent Fish noted that the portion of the recommendation following the list of rates had not been explained. Vice President Lorenz explained that that had particular reference to a request from the Madison Area Technical College as to whether some of their students could possibly live in our dormitories. He stated that this portion of the recommendation would authorize this to be done.

Regent Nellen inquired whether it was contemplated that the Madison Area Technical College students would be housed in separate housing or with the University students. Vice President Lorenz stated he did not believe that had been really worked out, but that consideration was being given to the southeast area dormitories for this since they are closest to the downtown area. Regent Nellen stated that that was a real important question, if the Regents were going to approve this. Assistant Vice President Osterheld reported that the discussions they had had so far had suggested that it would be better to have a total intermix rather than concentrating the so-called outside students in separate housing or on separate floors. President Ziegler noted that this meant that anyone who lived in the halls would have to abide by the rules that were set up

for those halls. Regent Nellen inquired as to who would enforce the rules; and President Ziegler explained they would be the same people who enforce them now, the housefellows and security officers.

Regent Nellen suggested that the Regents should take a realistic attitude on this. He stated that he believed that they had been told before that the housefellows are not expected to do anything that demands that they discipline students. Therefore, he stated, he was surprised when it was said that the housefellow commitment in hours would be changed from 20 to 15. He stated that he did not know that they had specified duties or specific hours for performing those duties. He inquired as to what they did and what their duties would be during that time.

Assistant Vice President Osterheld stated that he thought it would be unwise for him to enter into a discussion on this, but he noted that the Regents had had a number of discussions with the members of the Office of Student Affairs. However, he noted the housefellows' responsibilities, especially with respect to drug traffic, which he knew they exercised, and he pointed out that they are also present as advisors and to keep the lid on things, with the success varying from residence hall to residence hall and from housefellow to housefellow. In response to further questions by Regent Nellen, Assistant Vice President Osterheld stated that the programs that they have to conduct, and the reports that they have to file, indicating the contact and availability they have to maintain, are such that it will run in the neighborhood of twenty hours.

President Ziegler pointed out that this is not a simple question to answer. He also pointed out that the loss in Residence Halls last year and in this current year will be over \$750,000 and that the projected budget indicates a loss of \$150,000. He suggested that the Regents, as responsible people, did not want to even settle for the \$150,000 loss. He noted that the Business and Finance Committee has not completed consideration of this problem, but is looking for more sources to wipe out the deficit. He acknowledged that one of the questions is, if you wipe out the deficit by reducing the security, whether the deficit is more important or the protection of the students is more important. He stated that he believed they were all concerned about that.

Regent Fish stated that he had supported this recommendation in the Business and Finance Committee meeting with some reservations, and with the stipulation of additional information being provided at the next meeting. He stated that he was not comfortable with a program that removes security people, and that reduces the housefellow load and the housefellow responsibilities. He stated that he was cognizant of the balancing of unhappy choices of trying to come up with a balanced budget program without being priced out of the market. He stated that he was hopeful that we can find some other avenue to take care of maintaining security at the same level that we presently have. Regent Nellen stated that was the information that he wished to have, and that he did not feel that he wanted to act on this aspect of the proposal at this time.

Regent Walker commented on the current loss and anticipated loss in revenue in Residence Halls and the falling off of students living in the University residence halls. He admitted that it is possible that they might have gone too far in lessening the security for those buildings. He stated that what we

have in this proposal is a matter of food plans and room rents, which involve all these other related items that had been discussed. He reiterated that the Business and Finance Committee particularly wanted further information on the matter of housefellows and on security forces. He noted that \$75,000 was spent this year by people to stay at the doors of the Residence Halls to see that only appropriate personnel is permitted in. He requested Assistant Vice President Osterheld to provide further information for the Business and Finance Committee at the next meeting on this. Vice President Lorenz stated that the information would be prepared and distributed to all members of the Board. Regent Walker requested that it be furnished sufficiently in advance of the April meeting so that all the Regents will have a chance to look it over before then.

Regent Nellen stated that he believed that they were all talking about the same thing, that he had no disagreement as to the rates contained in the proposal, but that he read some difficulties into the last portion of the recommendation, which they would be approving, if they approved the recommendation. He expressed the opinion that, historically, when the Regents approve things, it sometimes seems that there are also implied approvals involved. Regent Walker suggested that the above recommendation might be divided into two parts, and stated that he would recommend that the Regents consider, at this time, the matter of the rates, and that the portion of the above recommendation, following the schedule of rates, be looked at in the April meeting. He then restated the motion to read as follows:

That, upon recommendation of the Chancellor of the Madison Campus and concurred in by Central Administration, the following 1971-72 academic year rates for Residence Halls, Madison Campus, be approved:

	<u>Food Plan A</u>	<u>Food Plan B</u>	<u>Food Plan C</u>
Double Room	\$ 896	\$1,040	\$1,170
Small Single Room	1,016	1,160	1,290
Regular Single Room	1,046	1,190	1,320

Regent Fish seconded the above, revised motion.

Regent Sandin inquired as to how it was proposed to plan these meals, how it would be possible to know how much to prepare, and how money could be saved in this manner, if the students are going to pay just for what they eat. Assistant Vice President Osterheld stated that this was not a money saving plan for Residence Halls as far as the food service plan is concerned, since the easiest way to operate any food plan is to have money in hand and guarantee that you will serve them three meals. He stated that this proposal is part of the attempt to cut the cost to the student, where, in many cases under the existing system, especially where an ever-increasing number of students go home on weekends, they are paying for someone else's food, or they are paying for food that they do not get. He stated that the attempt is to make it attractive in terms of paying for only what is taken. He agreed that the problems of operation of such an open type of dining are indeed very great. However, he noted that the Residence Halls are now on a total convenience food program, which will lessen the problem from what it would have been if they were still on the previous plan of preparing all the food in Residence Halls. He explained that the convenience food program makes it possible to adjust to the kinds of fluctuations that will

be involved in the proposed food plan much more readily than under the system in effect five years ago.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted, with President Ziegler stating that the deleted portion of the original motion will be brought back to the Regents next month.

Chancellor Young stated that he would like to have permission to come back with a recommendation next month for housing the Madison Area Technical College students, since he believed that this could be a service for the Vocational School and also the University. President Ziegler requested Chancellor Young to also check into some of the other things that had been discussed in this connection today and to report back.

Regent Dahlstrom inquired as to whether this was the only plan that we have to help the Residence Halls financial situation. He inquired whether consideration had been given to requiring freshmen and sophomores to live in Residence Halls. Chancellor Young stated that they had not gone into that, and that we did not have enough space to house all freshmen and sophomores. He stated they had also been told by our lawyers that, if our reason for doing it is to fill up the dorms, it is unconstitutional, but that, if, on the other hand, it is for the good of their characters, it is constitutional.

Upon motion by Regent Walker, seconded by Regent Gelatt, it was VOTED, That, as recommended by the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, and concurred in by Central Administration, Roman Breit be deputized, effective March 12, 1971, as a Police Officer.

Regent Walker presented the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, relating to Contract Awards for Remodeling Sterling Hall at the University of Wisconsin-Madison (EXHIBIT E attached). He noted that there have been some reports in the papers about the cost of construction, and that he thought not only the Regents, but also the press people, would be interested in this item. He explained that approximately seven bids had been received on each of the three items in this recommendation. He explained that the high bids received were \$79,000 for plumbing, \$173,000 for mechanical, and \$82,000 for electrical, which he thought constituted a rather wide range of bids.

Regent Walker moved approval of the recommendation (EXHIBIT E attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted.

Regent Gelatt presented the report of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget. He reported that the Special Regent Committee on the Budget had met on the preceding day and had the following recommendation to present to the Board (relating to the 1971-73 biennial operating budget requests):

That the Regents go on record supporting the original Regent Budget Requests and instruct our administration, with Regent support, to seek full restoration of these funds from the Legislature.

Regent Gelatt reported that, at the meeting of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget, at which non-committee members of the Regents were also present, the recommendation was carried by a vote of six to one. Regent Gelatt, on behalf of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget, moved approval of the recommendation, and the motion was seconded by Regent Pelisek.

Regent Nellen (who was not present at the meeting of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget on the preceding day) stated that he was not going to ask any questions, but wanted to say that the Budget Committee of this Board went into the budget thoroughly last year, more so than any other Regents have done in the past, and that he was very pleased that they had received straight-forward answers from Vice President Percy and more recently from President Weaver. He stated that he would wholeheartedly approve the Regents advising the Legislature to go back to our original budget, and that he would do so without any reservations.

President Ziegler stated that the presentations made in the meeting of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget, on the preceding day, were forthright and very good. President Weaver stated that they would send to Regent Nellen copies of the printed presentations that were used at the meeting on the preceding day so that he could at least look at them.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted, with Regent Gelatt voting "No."

- - -

Regent Pelisek presented the report of the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures. Regent Pelisek stated that he had two matters to bring before the Board at this time. He explained that the first item related to the proposed disciplinary action against Alfred Reginald Lawson, a student at the University of Wisconsin, Madison Campus. He noted that explanatory material was included in the agenda for this meeting pointing out that, under the Regent By-Laws, in hearing examiner cases, the hearing examiner is to transmit a transcript of his summary of the evidence, recommended findings of fact and decision, along with the file, record, exhibits, and other materials to the Regents or their designee, and that the Board, or its designee, then acts upon the recommendation, and that action is final. He explained that the Regents have not at this point appointed a designee, and that, therefore, it is the responsibility of the full Board to act on this particular disciplinary matter.

He noted that the charged student, or the administration, has an option and right to take exception to the findings, conclusions and recommended disciplinary actions set forth in the hearing examiner's report. He explained that, in this particular case, a copy of the recommendation of findings, and recommended penalties, were transmitted to the student and to the counsel for the administration, and that, within the time provided by the rules, no exceptions were taken by either side. He stated that, therefore, the matter was

before the Regents without exceptions. He explained that he had reviewed the report of the hearing examiner in some detail, had discussed the matter with the members of the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures, and that, on behalf of the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures, he moved approval of the following recommendation:

The disciplinary case involving Alfred Reginald Lawson, a student at the University of Wisconsin, Madison Campus, having been heard by hearing examiner Wilbur G. Katz, and a report by the examiner, including recommended Findings and Conclusions, as well as a Recommended Penalty, having been filed with the Regents, and no exceptions to the report having been taken by either party pursuant to section 4.(5)(c) of Chapter V of the By-Laws of The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, the Regents, on recommendation of the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures, hereby adopt the recommended Findings, Conclusions, and Penalties as their own and direct the Secretary to send notice to the parties and to the Chancellor of the Madison Campus that Alfred Reginald Lawson is hereby placed on disciplinary probation until September 1972, with the condition that, if he again violates Regent By-Laws, Chapter V, Sec. 3.(2), he will be suspended for a period of two semesters.

Regent Sandin seconded the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Pelisek stated that the second item that he had to present did not arise as a disciplinary matter, but hopefully to provide a channel of communication on our various campuses which will avoid additional labors for the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures. He explained that it had been suggested, as a result of the recent RAP session in Milwaukee, that some form of Chancellor's Advisory body be established on each individual campus to focus upon problems peculiar to that individual campus. He noted that, at Green Bay, the Chancellor's Advisory Council of approximately this pattern has been in existence and has been functioning for several years, but that this type of council does not exist on the other three of our degree-granting campuses. Regent Pelisek then read and moved the adoption of the following recommendation, copies of which had just been distributed to the Regents:

It is suggested that each Chancellor of the four degree-granting campuses of the University of Wisconsin appoint a Chancellor's Advisory Council to aid each Chancellor, the President, and periodically this Board, in focusing upon the problems peculiar to a particular campus. This council is to be solely advisory and should consist of not more than twelve persons nor less than six persons with the annual appointments thereto to be divided equally between students and faculty. In making appointments to the council each Chancellor should attempt to obtain the broadest possible representation from varying faculty and student levels and points of view.

(MORE)

It is suggested that such advisory councils be formed immediately, where they do not now exist, to provide an additional vehicle for communication between the faculties and students on the respective campuses and the other levels of University of Wisconsin governance.

Regent Sandin stated that she would second the motion in order to get it on the table, and inquired whether the various Chancellors had been consulted on this matter. Regent Pelisek explained that he had discussed this matter with each of the Chancellors on each of the campuses, where such a council does not exist, and he stated that he believed that he had the acquiescence of Chancellors Klotsche, Wyllie, and Young.

Chancellor Klotsche stated that he would be glad to make a comment, since this suggestion was the result of the RAP meeting on the Milwaukee Campus. He thought that one of the things that clearly emerged as a result of those sessions was the desire on the part of the students and faculty to accomplish better communication, to establish some kind of mechanism to make it possible to communicate and move forward more effectively. He expressed the opinion that this is something that is definitely worth trying and would be a helpful tool on the Milwaukee Campus to achieve better communication between administration, faculty and students.

Regent Fish inquired why, although it is a good idea to achieve this communication, we are not utilizing avenues that already exist, such as the Faculty Senate or the University Faculty Council, or why we are not in a position of suggesting to them that they establish such a program in conjunction with the Chancellors. He inquired whether the faculty wouldn't react in a similar manner to which it had the last time we had a proposal along similar lines; and he suggested that perhaps we should bring the Faculty Senate and the UFC into this matter at this stage.

Regent Pelisek suggested that perhaps the purpose of this particular group might be misunderstood. He stated that he disliked very much the proliferation of great numbers of various advisory bodies, who, once they are established, have a tendency to expand their scope of authority to legislation. He suggested that this type of group, which he proposed, which is relatively unstructured, and which is not charged with any specific assignment, has really no specific authority, and really serves as a continuing dialogue session between students and faculty, has some very substantial advantages. He stated that the UFC and the University Committees all serve very valuable functions, but they all have rather specific assignments. He explained that he was looking for something that would give the students and faculty an equal forum, where they are represented equally, to focus on problems peculiar to a given campus in an unstructured fashion.

President Ziegler suggested that would be kind of a life and interest committee without any responsibilities or authorities. Chancellor Klotsche pointed out that, on the Milwaukee Campus, the Senate and the University Committee now have only faculty representatives, with no student representation on either of those bodies. He stated that, if this motion were to prevail, he would consult with the University Committee, regarding the faculty members to be appointed to such a council, and with the student government, regarding the

appointment of the student representatives, so that there would be student and faculty input in the composition of the council.

Regent Gelatt stated that he saw nothing wrong with the motion at this time, but that, as a matter of procedure, he preferred not to act on motions that come to this Board originally (without advance notice), except in very unusual circumstances, and that he would move to defer action on this for thirty days, until the April Regent meeting, so that other elements of the university family may have an opportunity to look at it and to comment on it, for or against, so that the Regents would not have to undo it if it appears to be wrong. Regent Pelisek stated that he would withdraw his motion; and that the Regents were going down the path of strangling this device immediately. He expressed the opinion that the idea becomes a bad idea if everybody at every level of University government has an opportunity to snipe away at it before it even gets off the ground.

President Ziegler suggested that Regent Gelatt was suggesting that, if an action like this is to be initiated, it should be initiated through the President of the University. Regent Gelatt stated that he had moved to defer action for thirty days. President Ziegler stated that, since the motion was withdrawn, that will not be necessary; and he suggested that, perhaps, it will come up in some other form in the future.

Regent Dahlstrom suggested to Regent Pelisek that, if he wanted action on his suggestion, he submit it to the rest of the members of the Board perhaps thirty days in advance to give them time to think about it. The Secretary pointed out that there was no reason why any Regent or any committee of the Board cannot present a recommendation to be included in the agenda for the next subsequent meeting, provided that it is submitted in a timely fashion, noting that there is a scheduled deadline for items to be included in the agenda for a Regent meeting. Regent Gelatt reiterated that he did not like to take action on things that come to the Regents initially at the meeting, except under extraordinary circumstances. Regent Nellen stated that, even in thirty days, he did not want to act on it until he had heard something from the University faculty committees. He noted that the Regents have asked their counsel in the past, that they have been very gracious about it, and that he thought the Regents ought to ask their advice on this.

President Ziegler stated that he noticed that, in Regent Pelisek's above report on the student disciplinary case, reference was made regarding the Regents appointing a designee. Regent Pelisek stated he had no recommendation on that; and President Ziegler inquired whether he would like to have any action by the Board on that matter. Regent Pelisek stated that he assumed that no one has had an opportunity to read it for thirty days, and that, therefore, he would not consider it appropriate at this time.

Regent Fish presented the report of the Special Regent Committee on Construction and Development. Regent Fish stated that the first item that came before this Committee concerned an agreement with a private developer, Global Business and Residential Centers, Inc., for the provision of housing on the Parkside Campus. He noted that, apparently, the developer was not here somewhat earlier in the meeting when the housing problems that we have were discussed. He referred to the recommendation of the Special Regent Committee on Construction and Development on this item, copies of which were distributed to the Regents (EXHIBIT F attached), calling particular attention to paragraph 3. of the recommendation, which provides that the University of Wisconsin-Parkside will avoid the building of student housing during a period of eight years, providing the developer provides sufficient housing to meet the students' needs. He explained that this Committee had grave concern that, if student housing styles or other circumstances should change in the near future, we might find that the developer will have vacancies, and with no recourse to secure occupancy in any other way. He stated that was the reason for the eight-year stipulation being included in the recommendation.

Regent Fish moved approval of the recommendation of the Special Regent Committee on Construction and Development, relating to private development of student housing at UWP (EXHIBIT F attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Pelisek, and it was voted.

Regent Fish presented the following recommendation of the Special Regent Committee on Construction and Development:

That authorization be granted to demolish the structure located at 811 State Street, Madison, at an estimated cost of \$5,000, financed by State Building Trust Funds.

Regent Fish explained that this building is presently being occupied only at the sufferance of the Industrial Safety Buildings Division of the Department of Industry and Human Relations, since it does not meet State building codes. He noted that the University was permitted to continue occupancy on the assurance that it would only be for a short period of time. He explained that the cost of bringing it up to meet State codes would be \$160,000, and that the value of the property is only \$162,000, so that it does not seem to be financially advantageous to make this expenditure. He noted that there are also other factors involved, including the understanding, in connection with the construction of the Elvehjem Art Center, that this building would be razed, and including the fact that, if the building were to remain, there would be substantial costs to the adjacent buildings that would be required.

Regent Fish moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Pelisek, and it was voted.

Regent Fish reported the Special Regent Committee on Construction and Development had considered a recommendation to approve the concept drawings for the Green Bay College of Community Sciences Building, but had voted to defer action until the next meeting, pending the provision of additional information. He explained that the committee did not believe that the information that had been provided fully met the criteria required to make a proper determination.

- - -

President Ziegler, noting that the next item was the report of the Special Regent Committee on Student Organizations, suggested to Regent Dahlstrom that this would be the place that either he or Regent Fish might give a report on the recent symposium on the Madison Campus. Regent Dahlstrom asked Regent Fish to give the report, since Regent Fish had worked very closely with the students on the WSA Symposium. Noting that he and President Weaver had attended a symposium luncheon this noon, Regent Fish stated that he would hazard a guess that, as of a year or two ago, no one would have assumed such a symposium luncheon of Madison businessmen would have been possible. Regent Fish explained that the symposium so far has been extremely well attended, has been beneficial, and the student input has been responsible; and he expressed pleasure with the performance so far. He noted that there are about five to seven days remaining in the symposium, and he expressed the hope that it would continue in a satisfactory manner.

President Ziegler inquired as to how this symposium was doing financially; and Regent Fish reported that it was doing quite well, noting that Mr. Webb Smith, of Madison, had headed up a group of Madison businessmen to assist in funding, and that they had done a really phenomenal job. He explained that, at the moment, it appeared that the financing would be just a little bit short, but that there are still different avenues of financing that are being explored.

- - -

Regent Fish presented the report of the Special Regent Committee on the University of Wisconsin of the Future. Regent Fish expressed the opinion that the Special Regent Committee on the University of Wisconsin of the Future, in effect, held a meeting earlier in the day, when the Regents had discussed the impact of the budget, and the impact of the merger; and he stated that it seemed relatively fruitless to act as a committee, when responsibilities now are so overwhelming as to involve the entire Board of Regents. He stated that, with due respect to members of this Committee, he did not feel that this is a good time, a fit time, for the proper utilization of this Committee.

President Ziegler recalled that this Committee was set up to review the Kellett Commission Report. Regent Gelatt recalled that it was at the December Board meeting that he made the statement that he wanted to have an opportunity to express his opinion on whatever plans for the future might be forthcoming prior to any official University position. He stated that he believed that, whatever form that opportunity takes, it is now being provided, and that he was satisfied that he now has a chance to speak before a University position is taken.

- - -
-42-

Regent Gelatt presented the report of the Regent Study Committee on the Practices and Policies of the University of Wisconsin Admissions Office. Regent Gelatt noted that last month he had briefly touched on the question of low enrollment courses. Regent Gelatt reported that Vice President Percy had given to him and to Regent Walker a revised summary showing that, exclusive of the Applied Music Department, a year ago there were 731 courses on the Madison Campus with enrollments of five or fewer, and that in the first semester of 1970-71, there were 481, a reduction of some 250. He stated that presumably further continuing review of low enrollment courses is being undertaken; and Vice President Percy stated that a report will be made in April regarding this with respect to the second semester.

Noting that the information on general enrollments had not been forthcoming to him, Regent Gelatt stated that he presumed the Regents had read in the newspapers what the present projections are.

- - -

Regent Dahlstrom presented the report of the Regent Study Committee on Faculty Tenure Criteria. Regent Dahlstrom reported that this Committee had met on the preceding morning, had conducted some business, and had divided the Committee into three groups of three individuals each to try to cover all the units of the University. He reported that Regent Gelatt, Professor Wilson Thiede, and Vice President Percy will conduct hearings on the Madison, Rock County, and Baraboo Campuses; that Regent Pelisek, Professor Eric Schenker, and Acting Chancellor George Strother will conduct hearings on the Milwaukee, Parkside, and Waukesha Campuses; while Dean John Beaton, Professor Marion Smith, and himself will conduct hearings on the Green Bay, Sheboygan, Wausau, Marshfield, and West Bend Campuses.

He stated that they hoped to have hearings at least once a month on those campuses, and will be in contact with the respective administrators on the campuses, asking them to assist the Committee in contacting groups which will represent input by students, faculty, deans, division heads, as well as the administrators themselves. He stated that he hoped to start these meetings in April, and to get as much input as possible, from not only all the campuses, but also from all the represented interests on the campuses.

Regent Dahlstrom also reported that this Committee, on the preceding day, had also discussed at some length with the University Faculty Council members some of the problems of tenure criteria on a systemwide basis, which discussion was very productive. He noted that two specific points came out of that discussion. He explained that, although the same general criteria are used across the University of Wisconsin for the granting of tenure, it was felt that the specific application of these criteria should be left up to the individual unit, specifically as it applies to the particular mission of that unit, since they are not particularly intrigued by the idea of applying the same criteria uniformly across the entire system.

Regent Dahlstrom stated that they will try to bring the entire Committee in for a consolidated meeting to see if it can begin to coordinate and formulate some of these concerns and recommendations for actions. President Ziegler

inquired whether this Committee has a timetable; and Regent Dahlstrom stated that they had established a timetable of November 1, 1971, and hoped that at that time they would be able to bring in a recommendation to the full Board. Vice President Percy pointed out that one of the qualifications that Regent Dahlstrom had made, as Chairman of the Committee, was that the reports of this Committee would be made available for discussion by the various units, and various faculty and administrators involved, so that, when it is said that it is planned to bring the report to the Regents in November, one of the recommendations would be that this report be circulated to all parties affected by it.

- - -

had

President Ziegler reported that he had/served on him, by the sheriff of Washington County, a petition by a Jerry Hancock petitioning for classification as a resident student in connection with his admission to the University of Wisconsin Law School. He stated that he would refer this petition to the Secretary.

-

President Ziegler reported that he had received a letter, and assumed that the other Regents had received copies, from an Edna Marie Wilmington regarding her application for admission to the Art Department of the University of Wisconsin for graduate work. He stated he would also process that communication through the Secretary.

- - -

President Ziegler suggested that Chancellor Young might want to make a brief report on athletics at the University of Wisconsin, Madison Campus.

Chancellor Young stated that we have a great deal to brag about. He stated that the outstanding record of our track team and coaches was extraordinary and involves another championship. He also noted that the hockey team also has been doing very well, but that our basketball team's score does not reflect how great it is. President Ziegler stated that he just wanted to be sure that some recognition be given to the track team, which has done a tremendous job.

-

President Ziegler also reported that Regent Sandin had been voted the "Outstanding Woman of the Year" by the Jaycettes of Ashland County.

President Ziegler stated he had one other item, and that he assumed that many of the other Regents are confronted with the same question regarding the Medical School. He explained that, from time to time, the doctors around the state have made comments to him that, in their estimations, we are not utilizing our medical students as well as we could by letting them serve as interns and residents in hospitals around the state outside of Madison. He stated he realized that the task force (on the Medical Center) has approached this problem from a personnel point of view in looking for a Vice Chancellor (for the Medical Center). He noted that St. Luke's Hospital has been looking for a contractual arrangement with the University of Wisconsin in this area. He inquired of Chancellor Young whether he had any information that would bear on this matter. Chancellor Young reported that arrangements had been worked out for a meeting to discuss this with the superintendent. Vice President Percy reported that we have made some magnificent steps in the last two years in expanding the affiliated programs, which we needed in order to get patient material for training our medical students. Chancellor Young pointed out that we have gone so far in that direction that we are now being criticized from the other side for perhaps going too far in that direction. However, he felt that this was now being worked out, not only with individual doctors and hospitals, but also with some of the outside clinics.

Regent Sandin inquired of President Ziegler whether he was talking about the externships in the senior year, or whether he was talking about the internships after the medical student completes his Medical School program. President Ziegler stated that he was talking about when the medical students are in the Medical School. Mrs. Sandin explained that those are called rotating externships. Regent Nellen pointed out that one of the problems is that there are only so many students, and that there are a lot more hospitals than there are students.

- - -

President Ziegler announced that the Regents would recess for an Executive Session to discuss personnel matters, discuss litigation matters with counsel, and to discuss disciplinary matters; and he stated there might or might not be a report to be made after Executive Session.

The meeting recessed for an Executive Session at 3:47 P.M.

The Regents went into Executive Session at 3:54 P.M., and arose from Executive Session at 5:07 P.M., at which time the meeting was reconvened.

President Ziegler stated that he had a statement to make in regard to action taken in the Executive Session. He stated that, when the Regents elected John Weaver as the new President of the University of Wisconsin, they did so with the full expectation that he would have a free hand in designing the central

organization. He stated that he was pleased to report that the Board had just given unanimous approval to the plan. (A copy of the recommendations of the President on organization and personnel items, approved by the Regents in Executive Session, is attached as EXHIBIT G.) President Ziegler noted that, while a number of these changes are effective immediately, the Regents realize that full transition will require careful implementation over the next few months. He stated that the Regents are encouraged and pleased with the way President Weaver has moved into his new and awesome responsibilities; and that the Regents pledge their full support to his continued effectiveness, which will be aided by this new line central administration and service structure. He referred to the projected \$175,000 savings, which President Weaver has pledged to the improvement of undergraduate education, which indicates that President Weaver is a man of his word. He noted that the press was being handed a news release on this administrative reorganization matter.

The meeting adjourned at 5:09 P.M.

Clarke Smith, Secretary

Instruction

1. \$ 140.00 - Northland College, Ashland, Wisconsin. To defray costs of operation of central office for Wisconsin Improvement Program, dedicated to the improvement of the preparation of teachers and the improvement of learning and teaching in local school systems. MSN, EDUC, Wisconsin Improvement Program (133-7082)
2. 500.00 - Dr. Robert E. Paul, Jr., Boston, Massachusetts \$250. Dr. James F. Patterson, Boston, Massachusetts \$250. Support postgraduate course in Upper Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (133-7632)
3. 3,522.00 - Joint School District No. 8, Shawano, Wisconsin (Prime Contractor with Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education). Agreement to furnish professional services and consultation under Title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 for the period August 26, 1970 through August 25, 1971. Subagreement under ESEA Title III Project No. 59-69-0115-2. GB, PROF. S., Administration (144-B319)
4. 14,178.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. An Allied Health Professions Advanced Traineeship Grant for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Grant 1-A02-AH-00087-01. MSN, MC-MED, Administration (144-B657)
5. 39,017.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. Teacher Corps Training Program for the period August 1, 1970 through August 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$45,497. Grant OEG-0-71-0151(715), Revision #1. MSN, EDUC, Dean's Office (144-B441)
6. 1,300.00 - Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C. MBA Export Expansion Program to be conducted during the 1970-71 academic year. P.O. 1-20131. MSN, BUS, School of Business (144-B658)
7. 8,554.00 - State of Wisconsin, Department of Public Instruction, Division of Handicapped Children (Prime Contractor with the Office of Education). The Operation of an Institute entitled "Oral Language Early Intervention" for the period January 4, 1971 through June 1, 1971. Subgrant under OEG-0-70-3385(603). MIL, L&S, Communication (144-B645)

Student Aid

1. \$ 5,000.00 - Shell Companies Foundation, Incorporated, New York, New York. The 1971-72 Academic Year. Shell Aid in Chemistry. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (133-0478)
2. 4,825.00 - Foundry Educational Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio. \$2,412.50 for the first semester 1970-71 and \$2,412.50 for the second semester 1970-71. Scholarship program in metals casting. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-0767)

Student Aid

3. \$ 4,663.00 - The Ingersoll Foundation, Rockford, Illinois. Ingersoll Engineering Undergraduate Scholarship. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-2017) \$
4. 5,252.00 - Barber-Colman Company, Rockford, Illinois. Barber-Colman Engineering Scholarships. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-2322) \$
5. 1,050.00 - Wisconsin Association of School District Administrators, Waukesha, Wisconsin. Support of fellowship for an educational administrator. MSN, EDUC, Educ Admin (133-2515) \$
7. 500.00 - Badger Bankers Club, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Scholarship in the School of Business in accordance with terms previously approved. MSN, GEA, Fellows & Scholars (133-3189) \$
8. 300.00 - Mr. & Mrs. Thomas A. Ringness, Madison, Wisconsin. John T. Ringness Memorial Scholarship. MSN, G SERV, Fellows and Scholars (133-3943) \$
9. 300.00 - Wisconsin Fertilizer Association, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin. Undergraduate Scholarship. MSN, AG&LSC, Resident Instruction (133-3999) \$
10. 100.00 - George R. Affeldt, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Support of scholarship for worthy and needy students selected by the Dean of the Law School in accordance with the Law School's usual procedure. MSN, LAW, General (133-4747) \$
11. 300.00 - The Norwich Pharmacal Company, Norwich, New York. Urology Residency Program in the Department of Surgery in accordance with terms previously approved. MSN, MC-MED, Surgery (133-5959)
12. 50.00 - Margaret M. Dahnke, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Robert F. Kennedy fund for disadvantaged students. MIL, GEA, Chancellor's Office (133-6620)
13. 1,500.00 - Harry J. Grant Foundation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Scholarship aid to Journalism students at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. MIL, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-6890) \$
14. 767.11 - Various Donors. Human Resources Development Fund for benefit of undergraduate and graduate students from impoverished backgrounds University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. MIL, GEA, Chancellor's Office Administration (133-7114)
15. 25.00 - Roland Gow, Colorado Springs, Colorado. Support disadvantaged student enrolled in the program of the Department of Urban & Regional Planning. MSN, L&S, Urban & Regional Planning (133-7164)

Student Aid

16. \$ 1,250.00 - ~~Olin Corporation Charitable Trust, Stamford, Connecticut. Olin Summer Project Grant for support of an undergraduate student in the Department of Metallurgy and Mineral Engineering. MSN, ENGR, Met & Min Engr (133-7533)~~ ~~/\$~~
17. 1,400.00 - ~~National Association of Purchasing Management, Inc., New York, New York. Support doctoral research. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-7597)~~
18. 500.00 - ~~American Powder Metallurgy Institute - Chicago Section, Geneva, Illinois. Scholarship assistance to a deserving student who has demonstrated an interest in powder metallurgy. MSN, ENGR, Met. & Min. Engr. (133-7816)~~ \$
19. 500.00 - ~~American Physical Therapy Association, Washington, D. C. Mary McMillan Scholarship Award for students enrolled in the final year of the Physical Therapy curriculum. MSN, MC-MED, Administration (133-7840)~~ \$?
20. Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, St. Paul, Minnesota in support of the following:
- 1) 3,000.00 - The 1971-72 academic year. Accountancy fellowship, 133-3632. Dean's discretionary grant, 133-3633. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-3632, \$2,300 and 133-3633, \$700) ~~/\$~~
 - 2) 1,500.00 - The 1971-72 academic year. Engineering Scholarship. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-3954) \$
21. University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin in support of the following:
- 1) 200.00 - Dr. & Mrs. Edward R. Knight Scholarship Award. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-3817) \$
 - 2) 2,435.00 - The second semester 1970-71. Carl H. and Theresa M. Hanson Scholarship Award. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-6106) \$
 - 3) 630.00 - The second semester 1970-71. Otilie Reinke Scholarship Award for the benefit of needy and worthy students as selected by the Student Financial Aids Office. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-6197) \$
 - 4) 3,300.00 - The second semester 1970-71. Lee J. & Lillian O'Reilley Memorial Scholarship to be awarded to a worthy and needy male graduate of the Merrill, Wisconsin high school. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-6619) \$
 - 5) 5,000.00 - Arts Administration Program of the School of Business-Scholarship use. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-6975) \$
 - 6) 2,000.00 - Dennis and Martha Wharton Fellowship in Biochemistry. MSN, AG&LSC, Biochemistry (133-7127) ~~/\$~~

Student Aid

21. (contd) University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin
- 7) 837.50 - The 1970-71 School year. Goodman Brothers Scholarship. MSN, GEA, Fellows & Scholars (133-7811)
- 8) 250.00 - Music Scholarships for the second semester 1970-71. MSN, L&S, School of Music (133-7838)
- 9) 250.00 - Representing a gift from Dr. Douglas B. Bell in memory of his brother Charles Edwin Bell. The second semester 1970-71. Award to a graduate of Tomah, Wisconsin High School. MSN, G SERV, Fellows & Scholars (133-7159)
- 10) 1,300.00 - Representing a gift from the Pelton Foundation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Pelton Steel Scholarships for undergraduate students in Metallurgical and Minerals Engineering (133-2987)
22. - That at the request of the donors, the terms of the George Enfield Frazer Scholarship approved by the Regents on June 17, 1958, be rescinded and that the following terms be approved: The income only shall be awarded to students majoring in accounting in such numbers and amounts as determined by the Scholarship Committee of the School of Business.
23. 342.00 - Emeritus Professor Noble Clark, Madison, Wisconsin, to provide short-term loans to needy graduate students selected by the Associate Dean for Administration of the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences (Loan)
24. 55.00 - Various donors, to be added to the Martin Luther King Memorial Scholarship Fund, to be administered in accordance with terms approved May 17, 1968 (Trust)
25. 933.00 - Various donors, to be added to the Human Resources Educational Fund in accordance with terms approved July 25, 1969 (Trust)
26. 674.00 - University of Wisconsin Physical Education Alumnae Association, Madison, to be added to the following funds in accordance with terms previously approved:
 \$533 - Carns, Cronin, Glassow Scholarship Fund (Trust)
 \$ 61 - Blanche M. Trilling Scholarship Fund (Trust)
 \$ 5 - Lydia Z. Shafer Memorial Fund (Trust)
 \$ 45 - Betty Wilson Loan Fund (Loan)
 \$ 30 - Gladys Bassett Lecture Fund (133-6388)
27. 50.00 - William Randolph Hearst Foundation, New York City, to be added to the Willard G. Bleyer Memorial Fund (Trust)
28. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) (393.00)- Predoctoral Fellowship Award at a total cost of \$5,307. Reduction due to decrease in dependency allowance. Grant 7-F01-MH-43939-02. MSN, GRAD, Anthropology (144-B521)

Student Aid

28. (contd) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland
- 2) 5,700.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 2, 1971 through February 1, 1972. Grant 5-F01-MH-45500-02. MSN, GRAD, Psychology (144-B646)
 - 3) 5,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period January 29, 1971 through January 28, 1972. Grant 5-F01-MH-46930-02. MSN, GRAD, Psychology (144-B649)
 - 4) 2,459.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 1, 1971 through June 30, 1971. Grant 4-F01-MH-46497-02. MSN, GRAD, History, MSN, GRAD, Anthropology (144-B661)
29. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 61,255.00 - Nursing Scholarship Program for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971 at a total cost of \$95,388. (Baccalaureate Degree-\$58,655 and Graduate Degree-\$2,600). Grant B7-59-0500-0211 and G7-59-0500-0211. MSN, G SERV, Fellows and Scholars (144-A547)
 - 2) 40,819.00 - Nursing Scholarship Program for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971 at a total cost of \$69,000. Grant B-7-59-0502-0511. MIL, GEA, Student Affairs, Financial Aids (144-A565)
 - 3) 1,325.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 1, 1971 through April 30, 1971. Grant 4-F01-GM-39327-04. MSN, GRAD, Biochemistry (144-B648)
 - 4) 500.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$5,810. Grant 1-F01-MH-46497-01 (Revised). MSN, GRAD, History and Anthropology (144-A863)
30. 900.00 - Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Handicapped Children Division, Madison, Wisconsin. Cost-of-Education Allowance for a fellowship awarded by the donor in connection with the 1970-71 Program for Preparation of Professional Personnel in the Education of Handicapped Children sponsored by the U. S. Office of Education. MSN, EDUC, Studies in Behavioral Disabilities (144-9126)

Research

1. \$ 661.00 - Cancer Research - McArdle Memorial Laboratory. MSN, MC-MED, Oncology (133-0327)
 - \$180 - Various donors in memory of Mr. Howard R. Schulz
 - \$384 - Mrs. P. W. Goodrich, Sr., Berlin, Wisconsin in memory of P. W. Goodrich
 - \$ 25 - Mr. & Mrs. Willard S. Dakin, Greenbrae, California in memory of Mr. Melvin N. Veeder

Research

1. (contd)
 - \$ 10 - Dr. & Mrs. William Evans, Madison, Wisconsin in memory of Mrs. Edward Scharch & Mrs. Matthew Marx
 - \$ 25 - D. W. Woolley, Kansas City, Missouri in memory of Mrs. Meriam Warner
 - \$ 25 - Marion M. Habermann, Wausau, Wisconsin in memory of the Richard D. Habermann
 - \$ 2 - Mr. & Mrs. Richard Weissinger, Madison, Wisconsin in memory of the late Roy Johnson
 - \$ 10 - Mr. & Mrs. Lowell D. Millisor, Oshkosh, Wisconsin in memory of the late Howard R. Schulz
2. 35.29 - Mrs. Dolores Janeczek, Ashland, Wisconsin in memory of Mr. John Darris. Cancer Research. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (133-1038)
3. 660.50 - Leukemia Research - Department of Pediatrics. MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (133-3535)
 - \$140.50 - Various Donors in memory of Erica Rude
 - \$331.00 - Various Donors in memory of John E. Weckerly, III
 - \$144.00 - Various Donors in memory of Erica Rude
 - \$ 20.00 - Mr. & Mrs. W. A. Snyder, Anniston, Alabama and
 - \$ 25.00 - Mr. & Mrs. Irving Thomas, Oak Park, Illinois in memory of Richard W. Kurth
4. 1,740.00 - Cancer Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-3651)
 - \$1,000 - United Fund of Dunn County, Inc., Menomonie, Wis.
 - \$ 700 - United Fund of New London, Inc., New London, Wis.
 - \$ 5 - Mr. & Mrs. Alex Nagy, Madison, Wis. in memory of Francis Marr
 - \$ 35 - Friends of Phyllis Coonen in memory of the late Marvin Coonen
5. 1,375.00 - United Fund of Plymouth, Inc., Plymouth, Wisconsin. Cancer Research, 133-3651. Heart Research, 133-3832. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-3651 \$687.50 and 133-3832 \$687.50)
6. 2,000.00 - United Fund of Chequamegon Bay Area, Inc., Ashland, Wisconsin. Cancer Research, 133-3651. Heart Research 133-3832. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-3651 \$1,000 and 133-3832 \$1,000)
7. 472.40 - Stanley Community Chest, Stanley, Wisconsin. Heart Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-3832)
8. 22,500.00 - The General Electric Foundation, Bridgeport, Connecticut. The 1971-72 academic year. Study in high temperature thermodynamics and heat transfer, high temperature gases and fluid flow. MSN, ENGR, Mechanical Engineering (133-7346, \$5,000) Research on the use of sonic vibrations to reduce residual stresses in metal parts. MIL, CAS&E, Materials (133-7347, \$5,000). Analysis and forecasting of multiple time series. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-7348, \$5,000). Graduate study and research in Electrical Engineering. MSN, ENGR, Electrical Engineering (133-3867, \$7,500)

Research

9. \$ 725.44 - General Medical Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-4152) ✓
 \$457.50 - Platteville Community Chest, Inc., Platteville, Wis.
 \$135.00 - Wisconsin Dells United Fund, Inc., Wisconsin Dells,
 Wisconsin
 \$132.94 - Hustisford Community Chest Association, Hustisford,
 Wisconsin
10. 600.00 - Olympus Corporation of America, New Hyde Park, New York.
 Gastrocamera Research. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine-Variou (133-4595)
11. 1,000.00 - Shell Development Company, Modesto, California. Support study
 of herbicides for weed control in alfalfa and soybeans. MSN,
 AG&LSC, Agronomy (133-5014)
12. 7,500.00 - Milwaukee County Department of Public Welfare, Milwaukee,
 Wisconsin. Support research in the causes of dependency.
 The 1970-71 academic year. MIL, S WELF, Social Work
 (133-5057)
13. 10.00 - Northside Pediatric Clinic, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana. Allergy
 Research. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (133-5277)
14. 5.00 - Mr. & Mrs. Edward P. Fitzgerald, Milwaukee, Wisconsin in
 memory of William Secord, Jr. Diabetes Research. MSN,
 MC-MED, Various (133-5320)
15. 28,900.00 - Various Donors. Support Food Research Institute. MSN,
 AG&LSC, Food Research Institute (133-5328)
16. Ethyl Corporation, Ferndale, Michigan in support of the
 following:
- 1) - Ethyl fellowship for the academic year 1971-72 for an
 American Citizen who is far enough along in his graduate
 program so that he is actively engaged in research. The
 fellow will receive a stipend of \$2,950 plus a full years
 tuition and fees and the Department of Chemistry will
 receive \$750 to be used at the Chairman's discretion. MSN,
 GRAD, Chemistry (133-3557) ✓
- 2) - Support fellowship in the Department of Mechanical Engineering
 for the academic year 1971-72. The fellowship will provide
 a stipend of \$2,750 plus a full year's tuition and fees and
 an award of \$750 to be used at the discretion of the
 Department of Mechanical Engineering. Each award shall be
 given to an American Citizen who is far enough along in his
 graduate program so that he is actively engaged in research.
 MSN, ENGR, Mechanical Engineering (133-5397) ✓
17. 800.00 - The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. Staphylococcus
 Research. MSN, AG&LSC, Food Research Institute (133-5654)
18. 100.00 - Dr. George A. Fiedler, New York, New York. Medical School
 Dean's unrestricted fund. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-5671) ✓

Research

19. \$ 40.00 - University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Chairman of Department of Genetics unrestricted grant. MSN, AG&LSC, Genetics (133-6056)
20. 5,000.00 - Wilkie Brothers Foundation, Des Plaines, Illinois. Investigation of ecology of certain wildlife species in order to develop efficient management programs. MSN, AG&LSC, Natural Resources, Wildlife Ecology (133-6219)
21. 4,933.00 - The Ford Foundation, New York, New York. Grant to aid in the development of the School of Economics in the University of the Philippines. 65-250A. MSN, L&S, Administration (133-6429)
22. 700.00 - Mrs. Charles E. Shimeall, Beloit, Wisconsin in memory of Charles E. Shimeall. Cancer Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-6761)
23. 4,000.00 - Stauffer Chemical Company, Mountain View, California. Study of the residues, if any, remaining in soils and crops following treatment of soils with insecticidal chemicals. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (133-6875)
24. 12,000.00 - Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, Green Bay, Wisconsin. Ecological Studies of Lake Michigan. MIL, L&S, Botany. (133-6883)
25. Chevron Chemical Company, San Francisco, California in support of the following:
- 1) 500.00 - Study of ornamental insect control. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology. (133-7087)
- 2) 750.00 - Bionomics and control of insects attacking vegetable crops. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (133-7418)
26. 250.00 - University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa. Support program of consultation and research on psychometric and measurement problems. MSN, EDUC, Instructional Research Laboratories (133-7158)
27. 2,000.00 - Welding Research Council, New York, New York. Energy distribution in Welding Plasma Arc Weld Metal. MIL, CAS&E, Energetics. MIL, CAS&E, Systems-Design (133-7264)
28. (420.00)- State of Wisconsin, Department of Natural Resources, Madison, Wisconsin. Reduces original award to cover publication charges incurred by the Department of Natural Resources in behalf of the research agreement. "Taxonomic Surveys of Genera and Species of Wisconsin Plecoptera, Epheneroptera and Trichoptera". Annual Supplement under Memorandum of Understanding for research effective July 1, 1965. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (133-7590)

Research

29. \$ 75.00 - William J. McGowan, Madison, Wisconsin. Psychophysiology Research. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (133-7593)
30. 750.00 - Home Mutual Insurance Company, Appleton, Wisconsin. Support of air pollution studies. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering (133-7679)
31. 1,015.00 - Unrestricted research use in the Department of Pediatrics. MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (133-7778)
\$1,000 - Juergen Spranger, Madison, Wisconsin in memory of Lisa Gattshall
\$ 15 - Various Donors
32. 36,940.00 - Pine Lake Residents, Chippewa County, Wisconsin. Provides for a 10 year period at the rate of \$4,000 per year (\$3,060 accepted previously). Pine Lake Limnology Project. MSN, L&S, Zoology (133-7788)
33. 1,720.00 - Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Madison, Wisconsin. Research and analysis of the vocational agriculture pilot program conducted as a joint venture of the Department of Public Instruction and the University of Wisconsin. ZC854. MSN, AG&LSC, Educ (Agr & Ext) (133-7815)
34. 32,086.00 - National Fund for Medical Education, New York, New York. Assessment Feedback to Medical Students as a Means of Personalizing Learning Experiences. 104/70B. MSN, MC-MED, Administration (133-7823)
35. 10,000.00 - Chemurgic Ventures, Inc., Manitowoc, Wisconsin. Study of protein utilization research in bakery products. MSN, AG&LSC, Food Science (133-7824)
36. 1,000.00 - Bio-Zyme Enterprises, South St. Joseph, Missouri. Biological effect of preparations having possible value as anthelmintics as parasiticides. MSN, AG&LSC, Veterinary Science (133-7829)
37. 500.00 - American Cancer Society, Inc., New York, New York. Institutional Allowance. PF 713. MSN, MC-MED, Oncology (133-7830)
38. 5,000.00 - American Angus Association, St. Joseph, Missouri. Identification and evaluation of the influence of eight distinctly different body types of beef cattle upon growth, body composition and meat quality. MSN, AG&LSC, Meat & Animal Sci (133-7835)
39. 350.00 - Wisconsin Fertilizer Association, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin. Defray cost of purchase of fertilizer test plot materials. MSN, AG&LSC, Soils. (133-7836)

Research

40. \$ E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Company, Wilmington, Delaware in support of the following:
- 1) 60,000.00 - Department of Chemistry Grant-in-Aid. MSN, L&S, Chemistry. (133-0878 \$30,000). Department of Chemical Engineering Grant-in-Aid. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering. (133-2810, \$10,000). Young Faculty Grant-Chemical Engineering. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering (133-6237 \$20,000)
 - 2) 3,000.00 - Support research program on plant physiology. MSN, L&S, Botany. (133-7833)
41. University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin in support of the following:
- 1) 385.00 - Cancer Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-3651 \$200). General Medical Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-4152 \$35). Multiple Sclerosis Research. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-4349 \$100). Parkinsonism Research. MSN, MC-MED, Neurology (133-6719 \$50)
 - 2) 500.00 - Further research and scholarships in the application of computers in the area of real estate, appraisal and urban land economics. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-7825)
 - 3) 500.00 - Representing a gift from Mrs. Eugene Reinerio, Madison. Expansion of graduate study and research and to strengthen undergraduate courses in the practical applications of geophysics. MSN, L&S, Geology & Geophysics (133-6788)
 - 4) 100.00 - Representing a gift from Mr. & Mrs. Charles Frey, Scarsdale, New York. Support research programs in the Department of Nutritional Science. MSN, AG&LSC, Nutritional Sciences. (133-7390)
42. 350.00 - American Association for Nephrology Nurses, Seattle, Washington, to be added to the Jean L. Harris Memorial Fund for biomedical research in the Department of Medical Genetics - Medical School (Trust)
43. 29,977.00 - Department of the Air Force, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Arlington, Virginia. "Stabilized Detonation Wave Chemical Laser Systems" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1973. Grant AFOSR-71-2033. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Experiment Station (144-B589)
44. 41,164.00 - Department of the Army, Army Research Office-Durham, Durham, North Carolina. "Viscoelastic Properties of Dilute Polymer Solutions" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1973. Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G75. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-B650)

Research

45. \$ Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 19,000.00 - "Fourth Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Thermo-nuclear Fusion" for the period December 1, 1970 through November 30, 1971. Contract AT(49-12)-3192. MSN, ENGR, Electrical Engineering (144-B514)
 - 2) 24,000.00 - "Mechanism of Calcium Transport in Small Intestines" for the period January 1, 1971 through December 31, 1971. Contract AT(11-1)-1668, Mod. No. 2-1. MSN, AG&LSC, Biochemistry (144-B562)
 - 3) 23,188.00 - "Plasma Instabilities and Waves Excited by Electron Temperature Anisotropy Produced by Electron Resonance" for the period March 15, 1971 through March 14, 1972. Contract AT(11-1)-1695, Mod. No. 2-2. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Experiment Station (144-B656)
46. 78,098.00 - Environmental Protection Agency, Air Pollution Control Office, Durham, North Carolina. "Satellite Platform Study" for the period July 1, 1970 through July 31, 1971 including eleven (11) months for the technical phase and two (2) months for the reporting phase. Contract 68-02-0002 and Mod. No. 1. MSN, GRAD, Space Science and Engineering Center (144-B524)
47. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 72,855.00 - "Medical Diagnosis Using Subjective Probabilities" for the period November 1, 1970 through October 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-HS-00316-03. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-B444)
 - 2) 197,630.00 - "Comprehensive Behavioral Studies" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-MH-11894-06. MSN, L&S, Psychology. MSN, L&S, Primate Research Center (144-B584)
 - 3) 26,542.00 - "Aversive Conditioning Techniques with Alcoholics" for the period January 1, 1971 through December 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-MH-17982-02. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-B617)
 - 4) 24,490.00 - "Experimental Depression Induced in Infant Monkeys" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-MH-18070-02. MSN, L&S, Psychology. MSN, L&S, Primate Research Center (144-B620)
48. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 2,543.00 - Provides additional funds and extends termination date from January 31, 1971 to August 31, 1971. "Reactions of Bio-chemical Interest" at a total cost of \$48,392. Grant 3-R01-AM-08064-07S1. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-A606)

Research

48. (contd) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- 2) 195,940.00 - "Central Oncology Group" for the period January 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 1-R10-CA-12271-01. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (144-B569)
 - 3) 25,394.00 - "Central Oncology Group" for the period January 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 1-R10-CA-12272-01. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (144-B570)
 - 4) 27,012.00 - "Intestinal Mucosal Function in Diabetes" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-AM-13927-02. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-B597)
 - 5) 45,838.00 - "Wildlife Reservoirs of Arboviruses" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-AI-00771-17. MSN, AG&LSC, Veterinary Science (144-B601)
 - 6) 45,526.00 - "Factors Influencing Bladder Carcinogenesis" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-CA-10017-05. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (144-B614)
 - 7) 39,956.00 - "The Molecular Biology of Visual Receptor Outer Segments" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-EY-00463-03. MSN, GRAD, Laboratory of Molecular Biology (144-B621)
 - 8) 24,381.00 - "Factors Determining Regional Blood Flow" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 5-R01-HE-11249-02. MSN, MC-MED, Physiology (144-B631)
 - 9) 29,507.00 - "Proline Metabolism in Salmonella Typhimurium" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant 2-R01-AM-12153-04. MSN, AG&LSC, Bacteriology (144-B642)
 - 10) 30,424.00 - Bio-Interconversions of Clinically used Antibiotics for the period March 1, 1971 through February 29, 1972. Grant 5-R01-AI-09320-02. MSN, School of Pharmacy (144-B653)
 - 11) 29,500.00 - "Protective Role of Urinary Immuno-Globulins" for the period March 1, 1971 through February 29, 1972. Grant 1-R01-AM-14945-01. MSN, MC-MED, Surgery (144-B655)
49. 1,502,500.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning for the period February 1, 1971 through November 30, 1971. Contract OEC-5-10-154, Mods. No. 12 and 13. MSN, EDUC, Research and Development Center (144-B587)

Research

50. \$ 5,000.00 - National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C. (Prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health). "Study, initiate and report on courses of action to solve the difficult basic problems connected with trying to influence the developmental phase of engineering in biology and medicine" for the period February 1, 1969 through June 30, 1971 at a total cost of \$43,750. Amendment #4 to Bio-Med Subcontract No. 22-69-12 under Contract PH-43-64-44, Task Order #39. MSN, ENGR, Instrumentation Systems Center (144-9625)
51. 124,000.00 - National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland. "Develop a Satellite Borne Instrumentation System" at a cost of \$5,609,000. Contract NAS5-1348, Mod. No. 39. MSN, L&S, Space Astronomy Lab (144-3634)
52. 118,000.00 - National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D. C. "Development and Construction of X-Ray Astronomy Experiment SO-27." Total amount obligated for the period September 30, 1966 through December 31, 1972 is \$925,116. Total estimated cost through September 30, 1973 is \$939,061. Contract NAS8-21015, Supplemental Agreement No. 12. MSN, L&S, Physics (144-7202)
53. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas in support of the following:
- 1) 19,000.00 - "Mineralogy, Chemistry, and Phase Relations of Opaque Materials in Lunar Samples" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1972. Grant NGR-50-002-155. MSN, L&S, Geology and Geophysics (144-B611)
- 2) 76,000.00 - "Studies of Rare Earth and Other Trace Elements in Lunar Samples" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1974. Grant NGL 50-002-148. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-B612)
54. National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 50,000.00 - "Biological Fixation of Nitrogen" for the period February 1, 1971 through January 31, 1973. Grant GB-21422. MSN, AG&LSC, Biochemistry. MSN, AG&LSC, Bacteriology (144-B632)
- 2) 43,700.00 - "Molecular Orbital Calculations for Transition Metal Complexes" for the period June 1, 1971 through May 31, 1973. Grant GP-27636. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-B633)
- 3) 38,300.00 - "Mathematical Logic and Foundations" for the period June 1, 1971 through May 31, 1972. Grant GP-27633. MSN, L&S, Mathematics (144-B634)
- 4) 30,000.00 - "Automated Measurement of Dielectric Constant and Loss" for the period February 15, 1971 through February 14, 1973. Grant GP-27751. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-B647)

Research

55. \$ U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Denver, Colorado in support of the following:
- 1) 47,985.00 - "Aspects of Mechanical Behavior of Rock Under Static and Cyclic Loading" for the period January 29, 1971 through January 28, 1972. Contract H0210004. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Experiment Station (144-B638)
 - 2) 42,715.00 - "Effect of Material Properties on Materials Handling Processes" for the period January 29, 1971 through January 28, 1972. Contract H0210005. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Experiment Station (144-B639)
56. 8,000.00 - U. S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Washington, D. C. "Job Bank: A Case Study of a Manpower Program" for the period June 15, 1970 through August 31, 1972 at a total cost of \$80,204. Contract 71-53-70-01, Mod. No. 1. MSN, School of Business (144-B023)
57. (1,714.00) - Wisconsin Regional Medical Program, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin (Prime Contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health). Reduction due to transfer to Medical College of Milwaukee. "An action Program for Detection and Management of Gynecologic Malignancy" for the period September 1, 1970 through August 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$107,811. Letter dated September 1, 1970 under Prime Grant 3-G03-RM-00037. MSN, MC-MED, Gynecology and Obstetrics (144-B326)

Libraries

1. \$ 175.00 - Anonymous Donors. Friends of the University Library Fund. MSN, LIBR, General Library (133-0822)
2. 260.00 - Anonymous Donors \$250. Thompson Clinic, Moss Point, Mississippi \$10. Friends of the Medical Library Fund. MSN, MC-MED, Library (133-5690)
3. 1,250.00 - Peat, Marwick, Mitchell Foundation, New York, New York. Peat, Marwick Mitchell & Co. Tax Library Fund in the School of Business. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-6839)
4. 4,800.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. "Medical Library Resource Support" for the period March 1, 1971 through February 29, 1972. Grant 5-G01-LM-00711-03. MIL, LIBR, Library (144-B654)

Extension and Public Service

1. \$ 54,798.00 - Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. Partial support for services of nine University Extension staff members located in Milwaukee County. UEX, COM PROGRAMS, Community Affairs (133-5290)

Extension and Public Service

2. \$ 220.00 - National Corrugated Steel Pipe Association, Schiller Park, Illinois. Unrestricted grant for furnishing the Milwaukee Extension Conference Center. UEX, ADM SV, Space & Facilities (133-7450)
3. 3,500.00 - CUNA International, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin. To Defray cost of production of a correspondence course in Credit Union Management. UEX, EED, Business & Management (133-7817)
4. 10,000.00 - Learn Incorporated, Haddonfield, New Jersey. Defray cost of production of a 10 minute color TV program based on the Learn Incorporated Reading Program. UEX, ED, Television Television (133-7819)
5. 69,400.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland. Planning Proposal for Consumer Health Education for the period December 1, 1970 through May 31, 1971. Contract HSM-110-71-54. UEX, Professional & Human Development, Health Sciences Area (144-B568)
6. 43,188.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. "Institute for Non-Academic Administrators with Responsibilities for Developing Institutions" for the period June 1, 1971 through June 1, 1972. Grant 89. UEX, EED, Institute of Governmental Affairs. UEX, PHD, Center for Extension Programs in Education, Education (144-B643)
7. 61,587.00 - National League of Cities, Washington, D. C. (Prime Contractor with the Department of Housing and Urban Development). Milwaukee Urban Observatory Project for the period September 26, 1969 through December 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$138,287. Amendment No. 3 to Subcontract under Prime Contract H-987. UEX, GEA, Urban Observatory (144-A420)
8. North Carolina Central University, Durham, North Carolina (Prime Contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education) in support of the following:
 - 1) 2,305.00 - Administrative Costs incurred in connection with the Cooperative Program under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the 1970-71 academic year. Subgrant UEX, E&ED, Committee on Cooperation with Developing Universities (144-B380)
 - 2) 2,561.00 - "North-South Student Exchange Program" under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the period September 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Subgrant. UEX, EED, Committee on Cooperation with Developing Universities (144-B392)

Extension and Public Service

9. \$ 4,936.75 - North Carolina A&T, Greensboro, North Carolina (Prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health). "North Carolina A&T Student Exchange Program" under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Contract NIH-74-0077. UEX, E&ED, Committee on Cooperation with Developing Universities (144-B397)
10. 4,627.20 - Texas Southern University, Houston, Texas (Prime Contractor with Department of Health, Education & Welfare, Office of Education). "North-South Student Exchange Program" under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the period February 1, 1971 through June 30, 1971. Subgrant. UEX, EED, Committee on Cooperation with Developing Universities (144-B640)

Physical Plant

1. \$ (49,854.00) - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C., reduction in approved Title I grant, from \$410,414.00 to \$360,560.00, required because of a reduction in eligible project development costs resulting from underrun in construction bids, awarded to defray a portion of the costs of constructing and equipping of a library building and a physical education building on the Marinette County Campus, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay (3-5-00506-0) (144-9623)

Miscellaneous

1. \$ 5,000.00 - Shell Companies Foundation, Incorporated, New York, New York. Shell Aid in Chemical Engineering. The 1971-72 academic year. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering (133-0368)
2. 4,000.00 - Wisconsin Society for Jewish Learning, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Support of special projects in the Department of Hebrew and Semitic Studies. MSN, L&S, Hebrew & Semitic Studies (133-2310)
3. 2,750.00 - Summer Evenings of Music Program at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. MIL, F ARTS, Administration (133-2593)
\$2,650 - Various Donors
\$ 100 - The Marine Foundation, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin
4. 84,019.69 - Members of the Consultation Practice Plan, Medical School. Consultation Practice Plan Fund. MSN, MC-MED, Various (133-3566)
5. The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan in support of the following:
- 1) 2,000.00 - Department of Chemical Engineering Chairman's discretionary grant. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering (133-3852)
- 2) 500.00 - Department of Mechanical Engineering Chairman's discretionary grant. MSN, ENGR, Mechanical Engineering (133-5294)

Miscellaneous

5. (contd) The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan
- 3) 2,500.00 - Department of Chemistry Chairman's discretionary grant. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (133-5295)
6. 145,000.00 - Trustees of the Trust Estate of the late Thomas E. Brittingham. Oceanography Research. MSN, ENV ST, Marine Studies (133-4957, \$35,000). Rheology. MSN, ENGR, Engr Exper Sta (133-7820 \$25,000). EDSAT (Educational Satellite Program). MSN, GRAD, Space Science & Engr Center (133-7771, \$25,000). Cancer Research. MSN, MC-MED, Medical Genetics (133-7821, \$7,000). Technology and Society. MSN, ENGR, Engr Exper Sta (133-7822 \$12,000). Scandinavian Studies. MSN, L&S, Scandinavian Stu (133-7808, \$5,500). Interdisciplinary Studies. MSN, ENV ST, Interdiscip Prog (133-7323 \$35,500)
7. 3,000.00 - Oscar Mayer Foundation, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin. Consortium for Graduate Study in Business for Disadvantaged. MSN, BUS, School of Business (133-5984)
8. 13,921.00 - Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri. Graduate Study in Business for Disadvantaged. MSN, BUS, School of Business. (133-5984 \$2,049.83). MSN, GRAD, School of Business (133-6087 \$11,871.17)
9. General Mills Foundation, Minneapolis, Minnesota in support of the following:
- 1) 1,000.00 - Department of Chemical Engineering Chairman's discretionary grant. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engr (133-6740)
- 2) 1,000.00 - Department of Chemistry Chairman's discretionary grant. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (133-7337)
10. 63.24 - American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island. Department of Mathematics Chairman's Discretionary Fund. MSN, L&S, Mathematics (133-7391)
11. 3,500.00 - Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company, St. Paul, Minnesota. Grant-in-aid to support Department of Chemical Engineering programs. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering (133-7364)
12. 200.00 - Wisconsin Art Education Association, Madison, Wisconsin. Defray cost of printing charges incurred for publishing of the Wisconsin Visual Arts Monograph. MSN, EDUC, Art (133-7417)
13. 544.00 - Various Donors. Kowalczyk Memorial Fund to be used at the discretion of the Chairman of the Veterinary Science Department. MSN, AG&LSC, Veterinary Science (133-7826)
14. 500.00 - Combustion Engineering, Inc., Windsor, Connecticut. Nuclear Engineering Program Fund to be used at the discretion of the Chairman of the Department of Nuclear Engineering. MSN, ENGR, Nuclear Engineering (133-7827)

Miscellaneous

15. \$ 885.00 - Anonymous Donor. Defray cost of maintenance, improvement or replacement of geophysical instrumentation equipment. MSN, L&S, Geology and Geophysics (133-7828)
16. 200.00 - E. D. Reinerio, Madison, Wisconsin. Department of Geological Sciences Chairman's discretionary grant. MIL, L&S, Geological Science (133-7831)
17. 750.00 - The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Alumni Association, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Support publication of the alumni newsletter. MIL, GEA, University Relations-Alumni (133-7834)
18. 242.00 - Various Donors. A. J. Wojta Memorial Fund to provide Short Course student awards and to purchase books, films and equipment relating to soil and water conservation. MSN, AG&LSC, Engineering. MSN, AG&LSC, Soils (133-7837)
19. \$ 5,000.00 - The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. Support operations of the Astrophysical Journal. MSN, L&S, Astronomy. For one year beginning April 1, 1971 (133-7839)
20. - Members of the Consultation Practice Plan, Medical School, to be added to the Consultation Practice Plan Special Fund:
397,616.92 - (133-3566)
64,930.65 - (Trust)
21. 3,684.53 - Special Clinical Oncology Fund, Madison, to be added to the Clinical Oncology Educational Fund in accordance with terms approved May 9, 1969 (Trust)
22. 100.00 - Dr. Robert T. Capps, Portland, Oregon;
100.00 - Dr. Harold E. Gaspar, Dallas, Texas;
200.00 additional contributions to be added to the O. Sidney Orth Memorial Trust Fund, to be administered for the benefit of the Department of Anesthesiology in accordance with terms approved April 9, 1965 (Trust)
23. Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 802,890.00 - A Program of Technical Assistance to the Federation of Nigeria in Developing a Land Grant Type Agricultural College at the University of Ife for the period December 15, 1964 through December 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$3,705,776. Contract AID/afr-262, Amendment No. 11. MSN, AG&LSC, International Agricultural Programs (144-5695)
 - 2) 293,999.00 - A Program to render Technical Advice and Assistance to the Federation of Nigeria by Providing Developmental, Advisory, and Teaching Services for the Ibadan and Okur Schools of Agriculture for the period October 1, 1966 through September 30, 1971 at a total cost of \$1,213,640. Contract AID/afr-350, Amendment No. 9. MSN, AG&LSC, International Agricultural Programs (144-6837)

Gifts-in-Kind

1. *Art* Professor and Mrs. Willard Hurst, Madison, Wis., a gift of two lithographs by Thomas Hart Benton - "Planting" and "Haystack" - evaluated by the donors at \$50 each, to the Elvehjem Art Center.
2. Professor George deVries Klein, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill., a gift to the Library of the University of Wisconsin-Parkside of The American Journal of Science, January 1955 through 1970, evaluated by the donor at \$240.
3. Professor J. Kenneth Little, Madison, Wis., the gift of a collection of more than 250 professional books, reports and articles in educational psychology and administration of higher education to be housed in the Instructional Materials Center - School of Education for use by students in these fields, evaluated at approximately \$1,482.
4. *Books* Gifts of library materials to the Memorial Library evaluated by the Director at the amounts shown:
 - (1) Mrs. Porter Butts, Madison, Wis. - a set of 16 volumes entitled the Classics - \$48
 - (2) Mr. and Mrs. Walter Hamady, Mt. Horeb, Wis. - 70 volumes of private press books - \$945
 - (3) Emeritus Professor R.M.S. Heffner, Madison, Wis. - four books on English grammar - \$20
 - (4) James L. Weil, New Rochelle, N. Y. - little magazines and press books - \$80
5. Gifts to the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee:
 - (1) Perfex Corporation, Milwaukee, Wis., a gift to the Energetics Department of a shell-and-tube heat exchanger assembly valued at \$345
 - (2) Walker Music, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis., a gift of sheet music valued by the donor at \$46.95 to the Department of Music
 - (3) Library materials for the Library evaluated by the Director at the amounts shown:
 - (a) Education Journal, Milwaukee, Wis. - 42 volumes - \$102.91
 - (b) Modern Language Journal, Milwaukee, Wis. - 51 volumes of current titles - \$290.10
6. Gifts of books to the University of Wisconsin-Parkside Library evaluated by the donors at the amounts shown:
 - (1) Spencer L. Clope, Racine, Wis. - 6 books - \$100
 - (2) Lloyd E. Smith, Racine, Wis. - 463 books - \$1,725

Additional Grant - Student Aid

1. \$ 200.00 - Brebner Machinery Co., Inc., Green Bay, Wis., to be added to the Robert P. Brebner Memorial Student Loan Fund at the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay in accordance with terms previously approved. (Loan)

GIFTS, GRANTS, AND U. S. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

12 March 1971

	Items Processed 2/2/71 through 3/1/71 to be <u>Accepted 3/12/71</u>	Items Processed 1/27/70 through 2/23/70 <u>Accepted 3/6/70</u>
Unrestricted	\$ ---	\$ 1,000.00
Instruction	67,211.00	186,930.36
Student Aid	168,903.61	119,074.51
Research	3,389,559.63	5,066,438.69
Libraries	6,485.00	3,000.00
Extension and Public Service	257,122.95	64,830.50
Physical Plant	(49,854.00)	---
Miscellaneous	1,839,896.03	787,389.01
Gifts-in-Kind	<u>5,524.96</u>	<u>16,117.25</u>
Totals-March	\$ 5,684,849.18 (1)	\$ 6,228,663.07 (2)
Previously Reported	<u>54,246,218.12</u>	<u>47,729,759.61</u>
GRAND TOTALS	\$59,931,067.30	\$53,958,422.68

(1) Includes \$4,561,126.95 from Federal Agencies

(2) Includes \$4,894,208.17 from Federal Agencies

REPORT OF NON-PERSONNEL ACTIONS BY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

to the

BOARD OF REGENTS

AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS REPORTED FOR THE REGENT RECORD

March 12, 1971

I. Report of Action Taken - Easement for Utility Service

Under the general authorization permitting the granting of easements for utilities which serve the campuses of the University, the following document has been executed:

To Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Milwaukee - Underground electric service to UW-Milwaukee Pumping Station for Chilled Water Project (Kenwood Conference Center Property)

II. Report of Actions Taken - Miscellaneous

1. Contract with Madison Gas and Electric Company for temporary interruptible gas service for the No. 5 boiler at the U.W. Charter Street Heating Plant - February 4 to September 1, 1971 (to be superseded by contract for firm service)

2. Public Radio Program Agreement with National Public Radio, Inc., Washington, D. C., covering operation of WHA (AM), Madison - One year beginning January 6, 1971.

III. Report of Actions Taken by Wisconsin State Building Commission on December 17, 1970, Affecting the University

1. Approved the allotment of \$100,000 of Building Trust Funds to prepare final working drawings for the remodeling of Engelmann Hall, UWM, subject to final Subcommittee approval. (Release 2705)

2. Approved the advance of \$85,000 of Building Trust Funds to prepare working drawings for the Classroom Building, UWP, for a total project cost of \$4,566,000, subject to final Subcommittee approval.

3. Approved the allotment of \$150,000 of minor project funds for several minor construction and remodeling projects, UWMSn, as summarized in Appendix A of the agenda with priorities to be set by the University.

4. Authorized planning, bidding and construction of the remodeling for the Medical School (Catheterization Unit - \$42,000; Entry Canopy - \$10,000), UWMSn, as detailed in Appendix A, for a total project cost of \$52,000 of Medical Center Funds. (Authorization A-713)

5. Approved the allotment of \$20,725 of minor project funds to complete construction of several minor remodeling projects (Agriculture and Letters & Science - 12/8/69 Commission action; Medical - 3/9/70 Commission action), UWMSn.

EXHIBIT A

III. Report of December 17, 1970 Building Commission Actions (Contd.)

6. Authorized enlarging the scope of the air conditioning project in University Hospitals, UWMSn, to include updating mechanical support systems within operating room facilities, and the increase of the project budget by \$60,000 of University Hospital Funds, for a total project cost of \$210,000. (Authorization A-713)
7. Approved the allotment of \$55,000 of 1969-71 Building Trust Funds, or unused project balances, to install a packaged sewer treatment plant and construction of related services to control storm water conditions, UWMSn.
8. Approved the allotment of \$31,500 of 1969-71 Building Trust Funds, or unused project balances, to construct a sidewalk, curb, gutter, and storm sewer on the west end of the campus, UWMSn.
9. Deferred the request for \$17,000 of 1969-71 Building Trust Funds to install a pedestrian and bicycle path and for new construction or expansion of existing bicycle parking areas, UWMSn.
10. Deferred the request for \$30,000 of 1970-71 Building Trust Funds to construct walks and bicycle parking and improvements in the area of the intersection of North Park and Langdon Streets, UWMSn.
11. Approved the allotment of \$175,000 of 1965-67 Building Trust Funds to carry out work necessary to bring Mitchell Hall, UWM, into compliance with the safety requirements of the State Building Code.
12. Approved the allotment of \$14,000 of Building Trust Funds to remodel a portion of Greene Hall, UWM, for the purpose of creating a permanent display area for the "Frederick Rogers Collection".
13. Approved the allotment of \$100,000 of Building Trust Funds to prepare plans for a number of utility projects at UWM as set forth in the agenda attachment.
14. Approved the allotment of \$196,250 of Building Trust Funds to complete the Union Stage II plaza and pedestrian approaches, UWM.
15. Approved the allotment of \$105,000 of 1969-71 Building Trust Funds to plan, bid and construct various top priority minor campus improvement projects, UWM.
16. Authorized an increase of \$4,250 of 1969-71 Building Trust Funds in the budget of the miscellaneous space conversion project for Greenquist Hall, UWP, and allotment of these funds, for a revised total project cost of \$24,750.
17. (Approved the following motion to replace and correct the motion before the Building Commission on September 21, 1970, regarding the UWM Chemistry Building - change necessitated by a change in federal funding policies)

III. Report of December 17, 1970 Building Commission Actions (Contd.)

17. (Contd.)

"That the Building Commission approve the concept and budget report for the UWM Chemistry Building and advance \$195,000 of Building Trust Funds to prepare working drawings, bid and construct, at a total project cost of \$6,864,000 to be financed by State bonding."

(Authorization A-698 - corrected)

18. Approved an increase in the budget for the Temporary Student Activities Center, UWGB, of \$8,395, for a revised total project cost of \$123,510, to be financed by student fees and charges.

19. Approved the allotment of \$12,800 of Building Trust Funds to complete street repairs at UWM. (This approval followed discussion concerning street repairs required upon completion of utility building projects. It was felt that the State has an obligation to restore the street to the condition it was in before start of the project.)

IV. Report of Actions Taken by Wisconsin State Building Commission on February 19, 1971, Affecting the University Organizational Meeting

The following officers were elected for the indicated offices:

Vice-Chairman	Senator Risser
Secretary	Mr. Emmer

The following Subcommittees were appointed by the Governor:

Administrative Affairs Subcommittee

Chairman	Senator Risser
Members	(Senator Knowles
	(Representative Schowalter
	(Mr. Emmer

Higher Education Subcommittee

Chairman	Representative Baldus
Members	(Senator Knutson
	(Representative Vanderperren
	(Mr. Emmer

IV. Report of February 19, 1971 Building Commission Actions (Contd)

In regard to the building program recommendations from the Department of Administration, Governor Lucey expressed his intention to tour proposed building sites and inspect existing structures that are proposed to be replaced. He said he would attempt to schedule these tours so that subcommittee members would be available to accompany him if they desired.

The following members of the Building Commission were elected to the State Bond Board:

Senator Risser
Senator Knutson
Representative Baldus
Representative Schowalter.

Mr. Travis was elected as citizen member to the Building Corporation.

Chronology Relating to the Appointment of the Regent Study Committee on Drug Matters on the Madison Campus; to the establishment of the Drug Information Center; and to other relevant events.

March 11, 1970

The Regent Committee on Drug Matters on the Madison Campus was appointed. Members: Regents Pasch, Renk, and Walker as chairman. Regent Dahlstrom replaced Regent Pasch when the latter's term ended.

April 24, 1970

Open panel discussion. The participants were Doctors Benforado, Weston, Weinswig, Professor Udell, Chief Hanson and Mrs. Frances Hurst, Drug Education Coordinator, Division of Student Affairs.

May 26, 1970

Open panel discussion. Participants were six students invited because of their interest in and knowledge of drug use and abuse.

August 5, 1970

A faculty committee requested of Chancellor Young that a fund of \$65,000 be created to support a one-year educational program on the Madison Campus. The budget calling for this fund was prepared under the direction of Mrs. Hurst as Drug Education Coordinator, Division of Student Affairs.

August 7, 1970

Chancellor Young submitted a request for the one-year funding of this proposed program to Mr. Robert Rennebohm, Executive Director of the University of Wisconsin Foundation.

August 12, 1970

Mr. Lester Clemons, President of the University of Wisconsin Foundation advised Chancellor Young of the Foundation's decision to support the program for one year with a fund of \$65,256.

August Regents
meeting

At this meeting the Regents accepted the offer of the Foundation and authorized Chancellor Young to set the program in motion. Chancellor Young promptly authorized Mrs. Hurst to proceed.

In September

The University made available as the Madison Campus Drug Information Center the building at 420 North Lake Street. Also in September seven of the most distinguished and qualified faculty members accepted Mrs. Hurst's invitation to serve as a Drug Education Advisory Committee.

By October

420 North Lake Street had been made ready for occupancy and staff was being assembled. The Drug Information Center was ready to start its service.

Since October

Seven qualified students have been added to the Drug Education Advisory Committee.

GRW/rjt
3/10/71

EXHIBIT B

Request to the Trustees of the
William F. Vilas Trust Estate
for Support of Scholarships,
Fellowships, and Professorships

EDUCATIONAL COMMITTEE (March 12, 1971)

Recommendation:

That the Trustees of the William F. Vilas Trust Estate be requested to supply the following funds for the fiscal year July 1, 1971 to June 30, 1972, subject to the availability of funds, as provided by the terms of the William F. Vilas Trust:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|
| 1. Continuation of 10 Vilas Undergraduate Scholarships
at \$400.00 each | | \$ 4,000.00 |
| 2. Continuation of 10 Vilas Graduate Fellowships | | |
| (a) 5 at \$600.00 each | \$3,000.00 | |
| (b) 5 traveling Fellowships at \$1,500.00 each | <u>7,500.00</u> | 10,500.00 |
| 3. Continuation of 13 Vilas Research Professorships
at \$10,000.00 each for the academic year, as
follows: | | 130,000.00 |

Leonard Berkowitz - Vilas Research Professor in
Psychology, College of Letters and Science,
Madison Campus

Germaine Bree - Vilas Research Professor in
French and the Humanities Institute, College
of Letters and Science, Madison Campus

David Fellman - Vilas Research Professor in
Political Science, College of Letters and
Science, Madison Campus

Fred Harvey Harrington - Vilas Research Professor
of History, University of Wisconsin

Ihab Hassan - Vilas Research Professor in English
and Comparative Literature, College of Letters
and Science, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Jost Hermand - Vilas Research Professor in
German, College of Letters and Science,
Madison Campus

J. Willard Hurst - Vilas Research Professor in
Law, Law School, Madison Campus

Merrill M. Jensen - Vilas Research Professor
in History, College of Letters and Science,
Madison Campus

EXHIBIT

C

Henry A. Lardy - Vilas Research Professor
of Biological Sciences, College of
Agricultural and Life Sciences and Graduate
School, Madison Campus

Willard F. Mueller - Vilas Research Professor
of Agricultural Economics, College of
Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus

Antonio Sanchez-Barbudo - Vilas Research
Professor in Spanish and Portuguese, College
of Letters and Science, Madison Campus

William H. Sewell - Vilas Research Professor
in Sociology, College of Letters and Science,
Madison Campus

John E. Willard - Vilas Research Professor in
Chemistry, College of Letters and Science,
Madison Campus

4.	Auxiliary Allowances per detailed budgets previously submitted to the Trustees for the 13 Professors named above		\$66,090.00
5.	(a) Continuation of 50 additional Scholarships at \$400.00 each	\$20,000.00	
	(b) Continuation of 50 additional Fellowships at \$600.00 each	<u>30,000.00</u>	50,000.00
6.	(a) Continuation of two additional Vilas Research Professors to be appointed under the provisions of Paragraph (E), Article Fourth, of the Deed of Gift and Conveyance by the Trustees of the Estate of William F. Vilas, at \$10,000.00 each	\$20,000.00	
	(b) Auxiliary allowances for the above two additional Professors, at \$5,000.00 each	<u>10,000.00</u>	30,000.00
7.	Continuation of forty (40) additional Scholarships at \$400.00 each under the provisions of Paragraph (E), Article Fourth of the Deed of Gift and Conveyance by the Trustees of the Estate of William F. Vilas		16,000.00
8.	Continuation of forty (40) additional Scholarships at \$400.00 each under the provisions of Paragraph (E), Article Fourth of the Deed of Gift and Conveyance by the Trustees of the Estate of William F. Vilas		<u>16,000.00</u>
	GRAND TOTAL		\$322,590.00

March 12, 1971

. Mental Retardation Center - Madison Campus
Schedule of Costs

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION:

That the following schedule of costs be approved for construction of the Mental Retardation Center on the Madison Campus, Project No. 6704-4, Federal Projects 1J03-FR-HD-00192-01A1 and 1J04-MR-00192-01:

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contracts including Fixed Equipment and Site Development (Roads, Walks, Paving, etc.)	\$5,492,609
Allowance for changes to contracts to carry out intent of program	92,750
Allowance for Mechanical Equipment Central Monitor Control System	30,000
Allowance for Additional Site Development	47,000
Contingency for Construction	113,250
Design and Supervision	469,862
Movable Equipment	585,600
Planting	<u>30,000</u>
Total Schedule	\$6,861,071

Source of Funds:

State of Wisconsin General Obligation Bonding	\$2,112,948
Grants (Federal)	
Dept. of Health, Education & Welfare	
1J03-FR-HD-00192-01A1 (Fund 144-7652)	\$2,263,000
1J04-MR-00192-01 (Fund 144-9555)	<u>2,616,783</u>
	4,879,783
Gift (Non-Federal)	<u>100,000</u>
Total Funds	\$7,092,731 ^{*/}

^{*/} Original approved total, including State borrowing. The distribution of the reduction in total funds required between Federal and State sources to meet the schedule of costs has not yet been determined.

(Regent Action authorizing award of contracts for construction - 2/12/71)

Item II, 5, a

EXHIBIT D

3/12/71

Contract Awards for Remodeling
Sterling Hall, University of
Wisconsin-Madison

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the Director of the State Bureau of Engineering, contracts for Remodeling of Sterling Hall, Madison Campus, Project No. 7008-27, be awarded to the low bidder in each category of work on the basis of the base bids, as follows; and that any Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts:

Plumbing	Base Bid No. 1	
Larson Plumbing Service, Madison		\$ 19,315
Mechanical	Base Bid No. 2	
Kilgust Heating Division of Wolff, Kubly & Hirsig, Madison		\$ 99,890
Electrical	Base Bid No. 3	
Ace Electric Corp., Madison		<u>\$ 39,900</u>
	Total Contract Awards	\$159,105

Chargeable: To authorization by the State Building Commission -
9/21/70 - for repairs in the amount of \$1,185,000.

Item II, 5, e

3/12/71

EXHIBIT E

Board of Regents
Parkside - Private development of
student housing

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT
RECOMMENDATION:

That the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Parkside be authorized to sign an agreement with Global Business and Residential Centers, Incorporated of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, a private developer agreeing to provide housing for 220 students on land it is acquiring adjacent to the Parkside Campus for occupancy in September 1971 and such additional housing that is necessary as the need arises, said agreement to provide:

1. Parking will be made available in University lots on a fee parking permit basis for those University of Wisconsin students residing in the private housing development.
2. The University bus service shall be routed so as to provide service from the private housing development to the campus facilities including the parking areas. These bus routes will be cleared of snow by the University with the developers paying a negotiated fee for those portions of the route that are on the developer's property.
3. The University of Wisconsin-Parkside will avoid the building of student housing during a period of eight years providing that the developer supplies sufficient housing to meet the students' needs.
4. In the event that the union building currently shown in the southeast corner of the general campus development plan is constructed, it will be relocated near the complex adjacent to the community presently now shown on the east end of the said development plan.
5. The developer will agree to join the University in requesting the vacation of Wood Road from County Trunk E to County Trunk A.

A copy of the agreement form shall be approved by legal counsel for the University and filed with the Secretary of the Regents.

3/12/71

EXHIBIT F

P-11

Proposed Actions on Organization and
Personnel Items for Executive Session
March 12, 1971

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT:

THAT the Central Administration and Central University Services of the University of Wisconsin be restructured and streamlined as set forth in EXHIBIT X (President's Organizational Plan dated March 12, 1971), with the necessary budget changes to be completed in time for inclusion in the 1971-72 budget; and

THAT the following personnel changes of status be approved, with the revised salary rates specified in 1. and 2. below to be instituted by the President of the University at such time as he determines this can be accomplished through compensatory savings from the current budget:

1. That the status of Donald E. Percy be changed from Vice President (CA) to Executive Vice President (CA) effective March 12, 1971 at an annual salary rate of \$33,500.
2. That the status of Reuben H. Lorenz be changed from Vice President for Business and Finance (CA) to Vice President for Business Affairs (CA) effective March 12, 1971, at an annual salary rate of \$31,000.
3. That the status of Dallas O. Peterson be changed from Assistant to the Vice President (Director of Academic Program Development) (CA) to Assistant Vice President for Academic Affairs (US) effective March 12, 1971, at an annual salary rate of \$24,000, this rate to continue in 1971-72.
4. That the status of Robert Taylor be changed from Vice President (CA) to Associate Vice President for University Relations (US) effective March 12, 1971.
5. That the status of Wallace Lemon be changed from Vice President (CA) to Associate Vice President for Facilities Planning (US) effective March 12, 1971.
6. That the status of LeRoy E. Luberg be changed from Vice President (CA) to University Dean for Public Services (US-University Relations) effective March 12, 1971.
7. That the status of Joseph Corry be changed from Assistant to the Vice President of the University (CA) to Assistant to the Vice President for Academic Affairs (US) effective March 12, 1971 at an annual salary rate of \$17,500, this rate to continue in 1971-72.

THAT the position of Vice President for Academic Affairs (CA) be established.

THAT the Secretary be directed to prepare for action at a later meeting of the Regents, such revisions in the Regent By-Laws and the University of Wisconsin Laws and Regulations as are necessitated by the above changes.

EXHIBIT G

✓ p 457

Proposed Reorganization and Restructuring of Central
Administration and Central University Services

In order to streamline the central administrative and service functions of the University of Wisconsin, with an eye toward more effective and more efficient operation and to more closely associate operating authority and responsibility with the major Units headed by Chancellors, the following changes are proposed:

1. The Central Administration of the University shall consist of the following officers:

- President of the University
- Executive Vice President
- Vice President for Academic Affairs
- Vice President for Business Affairs

(The Secretary of the Regents shall be attached to the Central Administration for budget purposes, but shall continue to be responsible directly to the Board.)

The new Central Administration concept shall take effect upon approval by the Regents (recommended for action at March meeting). It replaces the present structure with fewer people reporting to the President and shifts some functions to the Universitywide Services section explained below. Allowing time for transition, the Central Administration budget level by July 1, 1971 should be just under half its present size.

2. The range of activities and offices presently designated Central University Services shall be redesignated Universitywide Services. A substantial shift of staff and budget resources to the Madison Campus will occur by July 1, 1971; these represent services that primarily or exclusively serve that campus.

As of July 1, 1971, the new Universitywide Services shall consist of the following major divisions:

*Business Services	*University Relations Services
*Academic Services	*Special Services
*Facilities Services	*Budget/Planning Services

It is estimated that the present Central University Services budget of approximately \$4.7 million will be reduced to approximately \$3.3 million as a result of shifts and reductions in services.

The new Universitywide Services concept shall take effect on July 1, 1971 allowing approximately four months for transition. It will be reflected in the 1971-72 annual budget proposal.

3. Savings generated by position and service reductions will approximate \$175,000; these shall be used, in line with the President's pledge, for improving undergraduate teaching. (An Undergraduate Teaching Improvement Fund shall be established for use as designated by the President.)

