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# GROWTH, TAPER, and MERCHANTABLE VOLUME TABLES for <br> HEMLOCK 

SAWYER and ASHLANDCOUNTIES,
WISCONSIN
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## Growth Table <br> for

Hemlock
Flambeau River
Sawyer County, Wis.


Years Inches Inches Feet Feet Feet


Note: 1. Based on 20 large trees cut on an operation along Flambeau $R$. 2. Average stump height is 2.4 ft .
3. Trees cut to sn average top diameter nt 8.9 inches.
4. ill diameters given are inside bark.

Wis. Land Inventory
Table of
Merchantable Height and
Taper
for
Hemlock
Sawyer Co., Wisconsin

Merch. Height
from Stump
to an average
Top Diam. of
8.9 inches
as actually cut
Feet

5
10
15 20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70

Taper
(Diff. between diam. inside bark at stump and at any given point on stem) Inches
1.3
2.2
2.9
3.5
4.2
5.0
5.9
7.0
8.5
10.1
12.0
14.4

Av. Taper
per Foot for 10 ft . intervals

Inches
$0-10$ ft. . 22
10-20 ft. . 13
20-30 ft. . 15
30-40 ft. . 20
40-50 ft. . 31
50-60 ft. . 43

Note: l. Based on 20 trees in logging operation.
2. Taper table may be used when estimating hemlock to determine the diameter inside bark at any point in the tree and also the merchantable height to any top diameter limit, the diameter breast high being known.
3. The average diameter inside bark at the stump is practically the same as the average diameter at breast height ( $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. from ground) outside bark.

# Wis. Land Inventory 1930. 

## - Merchantable Volume Table <br> Hemlock

Flambeau River District
Sawyer Co., Wis.

| Diameter Breast High ( $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\text { }}$ above ground) Inches | Merch. Ht. <br> Above <br> Stump to a 6" Top - <br> Feet | Av. Volume in Bd . Ft . (Actually cut to 8.9 inches av. top diam.) | Av. Volume in Cu. Ft. (sutually cut to 8.9 inches av. top diam.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 5 |
| 4 |  |  | 1.7 |
| 5 |  |  | 2.5 |
| 7 |  |  | 4.5 |
| 8 | 23 |  | 7.0 |
| 8 | 28 | 25 | 10.0 |
| 10 | 32 | 45 | 12.8 |
| 11 | 36 | 70 | 16.1 |
| 12 | 40 | 95 | 20.5 |
| 13 | 43 | 120 | 25.0 |
| 14 | 46 | 150 | 30.0 |
| 15 | 50 | 170 | 35.0 |
| 16 | 52 | 195 | 38.0 |
| 17 | 54 | 230 | 42.5 |
| 18 | 56 | 260 | 47.9 |
| 19 | 58 | 290 | 52.2 |
| 20 | 60 | 345 | 59.0 |
| 21 | 61 |  | 66.0 |
| 22 | 63 |  | 76.0 |
| 23 | 64 |  | 86.0 |
| 24 | 65 |  |  |
| 25 | 67 |  |  |
| 26 | 68 |  |  |
| 27 | 69 |  |  |
| 28 | 70 |  |  |
| 29 | 71 |  |  |
| 30 | 72 |  |  |

Note: 1. Based on 20 hemlock on logging operation.
2. Cut to an average top diameter of $8.9^{\prime \prime}$ (inside bark)
3. Diameter breast high ( $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$ : outside bark) averaged practically the same as stump diameter inside bark.
4. Cubic foot volume figured to approximately 2 inches diameter in stem.

## Growth Table <br> for <br> Hemlock <br> Clam Lake Area Ashland County, Wis.

Age Av. Max. Av. Av. Total Av. Max. Av. Max. at Diam. Stump $\begin{array}{ll}\text { at } & \text { at } \\ \text { Stump } & \text { Stump }\end{array}$

Total March. Height Height ( Include ing. stump)
Years Inches Inches Feet Feet

. 8
1.2
2.1
3.0
4.2
5.5
7.5
10.0
16.0
19.0
22.7
26.8
31.0
36.7
43.3
50.5
59.0
$69: 0$
34.0
37.5
42.0
51.5
56.0
60.0
67.0
71.0
74.0
77.0
79.5
81.0

Vol. Bd. Ft. Bd.Ft. Cu. Ft. Cu. Ft. -

Wis. Land Inventory
Table of
$\frac{\text { Merchantable Height }}{\text { and }}$
$\frac{\text { Taper }}{\text { for }}$
Hemlock
Clam Lake Area Ashland County,Wis.

Merch. Ht. from Stump to an iv. Tor Diam. of 6.3 inches as actually cut Feet

5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65

Taper
(Diff. between diam. inside bark at stump and at any given point on stem)

Inches
1.6
2.2
2.9
3.6
4.4
5.3
6.2
7.0
8.0
8.8
9.7
10.6
11.5

Av. Taper per foot for

- 10 ft .

Intervals

Inches

0-10 ft. . 22
10-20 ft. . 14
20-30 ft. . 17
30-40 ft. . 18
40-50 ft. . 18
$50-60$ ft. . 18
60-65 ft. . 18

Note:

1. Based on 13 trees cut on logging operatioil.
2. Taper table may be used when estimating hemlock to determine the diameter inside bark at any point in the tree and also the merchantable height to any top diameter limit, the diameter breast high being known.
3. The average diameter inside bark at the stump is practically the same as the average diameter at breast height ( $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. above ground) outside bark.

Wis. Land Inventory 1930.

Merchantable Volume Table for
Hemlock Ashland County, Wis.

Diameter Breest High ( $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{1}$ a,bove ground)
inches

Merchantable Ht. above Stump to a $4^{\prime \prime}$ top Feet

Av. Volume in Bd. Ft.
(ictually cut to 6.3 inches av. top diam.)
iv. Volume
in Cu. Ft. (Actually cut
to 6.3 inches av. top diam.)


Note:

1. Based on 13 trees cut on logging operation.
2. Cut to an average top diameter of 6.3 inches (inside bark)
3. Diameter breast high ( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ft. outside bark) averaged practically the same as stump diameter inside bark. 4. Cubic foot volume figured to approximately 2 inches diameter in stem.
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## YELLOW BIRCH

By number of trees yellow birch forms only approximately $4 \%$ of the hardwood stand of Bayfield County and most of these are in the adult stand, four inches or more in diameter. There is very little yellow birch in the youne reproduction of the dark virgin stands of hardwood, the reproduction on these areas being mainly young maple. Studies in the Adirondacks of New York have shown that yellow birch comes in to best advantage on clear cut areas where it can get plenty of light, and our own studies here in Wisconsin also, bear out the fact, that if yellow birch is to continue with us as an important commercial tree, it must be given more light for its germination and reproduction. At present yellow birch is the most valuable of our hardwood species, and the state is getting a stumpage price of $\$ 9.00$ per thousand board feet for it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MERCHANTABLE Vo/, } \\
& \text { for } \\
& \text { YELISLE } \\
& \text { VILAS COUNTY, WISC ONSIN. }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Age | Av. <br> Vol. <br> Cu. <br> Ft. | Max. <br> Vol. <br> Cu. <br> Ft. | Av. <br> Vol. <br> Bd. <br> Ft. | Max <br> Vol. <br> Bd. <br> Ft。 | Av. Dia. I <br> At Stump - <br> Inside <br> Bark | Inches Max. Dia. Inches at Stump Inside Bark | Av. <br> ht. <br> Ft. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & \text { ht. } \\ & \text { Ft. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | . 3 |  | 5 | 7 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | .7 | . 9 | 9 | 15 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 2.0 | 14 | 23 |
| 20 |  | . 4 |  |  | 1.8 | 2.9 | 18 | 28 |
| 25 |  | . 8 |  |  | 2.3 | 4.8 | 23 | 33 |
| 30 |  | 1.2 |  |  | 2.8 | 5.6 | 28 | 38 |
| 35 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 3.5 | 6.5 | 32 | 42 |
| 40 | 1 | 2.8 |  | 15 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 36 | 45 |
| 45 | 2 | 4.0 |  | 25 | 4.8 | 8.2 | 40 | 49 |
| 50 | 2.5 | 5.0 |  | 30 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 43 | 52 |
| 55 | 4.0 | 6.7 |  | 40 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 46 | 54 |
| 60 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 10 | 50 | 7.2 | 10.7 | 48 | 57 |
| 65 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 20 | 60 | 7.8 | 11.4 | 51 | 59 |
| 70 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 30 | 70 | 8.7 | 12.2 | 53 | 61 |
| 75 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 30 | 80 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 55 | 63 |
| 80 | 10.0 | 19.0 | 50 | 95 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 58 | 65 |
| 85 | 12.0 | 22.5 | 60 | 110 | 11.0 | 14.3 | 60 | 67 |
| 90 | 14.0 | 26.0 | 70 | 120 | 11.8 | 15.0 | 62 | 69 |
| 95 | 16.0 | 29.5 | 80 | 135 | 12.5 | 15.6 | 63 | 71 |
| 100 | 18.0 | 33.0 | 90 | 150 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 65 | 73 |
| 105 | 20.0 | 36.0 | 105 | 165 | 14.0 | 16.7 | 67 | 75 |
| 110 | 22.0 | 39.5 | 120 | 180 | 14.7 | 17.3 | 68 | 77 |
| 115 | 25.0 | 42.5 | 130 | 195 | 15.3 | 17.8 | 70 | 79 |
| 120 | 28.0 | 45.5 | 150 | 210 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 72 | 81 |
| 125 | 30.0 | 48.0 | 165 | 230 | 16.7 | 18.9 | 73 | 82 |
| 130 | 33.0 | 51.5 | 180 | 240 | 17.4 | 19.4 | 75 | 84 |
| 135 | 36.0 | 54.0 | 195 | 255 | 18.0 | 19.8 | 77 | 86 |
| 140 | 39.0 | 57.0 | 210 | 270 | 18.6 | 20.3 | 79 | 87 |
| 145 | 41.5 | 60.5 | 225 | 285 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 80 | 88 |
| 150 | 44.4 | 64.0 | 240 | 300 | 19.7 | 21.3 | 82 | 89 |

Tops taken to approx. $10^{\prime \prime}$ inside barls
No limb wood included
Average merchantable length $36 \frac{1}{2}$ feet
Table based on 20 trees, on just what was
actually taken as merchantable on a logging
operation.

# -0゙- <br> COMPARISON of GROWTH <br> of YELLOW BIRCH <br> in Diameter \& Height <br> in <br> Bayfield and Vilas Counties Wisc onsin. 

## Bayfield County

| AE® | Av. <br> Dia. <br> inches | Av. Ht. Growth Ft. | Av. <br> Vol <br> Bu. | , | $A_{c}$ e | Av. <br> Dia. <br> Inches | Av. Ht. <br> Growth <br> Ft. | Av. <br> Vol. <br> Bd. Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | . 5 | 10 |  | , | 10 | . 7 | 9 |  |
| 20 | 1.3 | 22 |  | ' | 20 | 1.8 | 18 |  |
| 30 | 2.4 | 31 |  | ' | 30 | 2.8 | 28 |  |
| 40 | 3.6 | 38 |  | 1 | 40 | 4.2 | 36 |  |
| 50 | 4.7 | 43 |  | 1 | 50 | 5.6 | 43 |  |
| 60 | 5.8 | 48 |  | , | 60 | 7.2 | 48 | 10 |
| 70 | 7.0 | 51 |  | ' | 70 | 8.7 | 53 | 30 |
| 80 | 8.3 | 54. | 10 | ' | 80 | 10.2 | 58 | 50 |
| 90 | 9.6 | 57 | 20 | , | 90 | 11.8 | 62 | 70 |
| 100 | 10.8 | 59 | 30 | 1 | 100 | 13.3 | 65 | 90 |
| 110 | 12.0 | 61 | 50 | ' | 110 | 14.7 | 68 | 120 |
| 120 | 13.2 | 63 | 70 | ' | 120 | 16.0 | 72 | 150 |
| 130 | 14.4 | 65 | 90 | ' | 130 | 17.4 | 75 | 180 |
| 140 | 15.6 | 67 | 110 | , | 140 | 18.6 | 79 | 210 |
| 150 | 16.8 | 69 | 140 | ' | 150 | 19.7 | 82 | 240 |

Soil - Superior fine sandy loam
Based on actual cut taken from seven trees.
Average merchantable length $30^{\prime}$
Cut to $10^{\prime \prime}$ in tops
No limbwood taken.

Soil - Vilas sandy loam
Based on actual cut taken from twenty trees.
Average merchantable length $36 \frac{1}{2}$ ' Cut to $10^{\prime \prime}$ in top.
No limbwood taken.

## HARD IAAPLE

This species comprises $41 \%$ of all of the trees in the hardwood stands of Bayfield County and forms a large per cent of all the reproduction now coming in under all the dense dark stands. It is very tolerant of shade, and therefore will form more and more the climax or final forest unless fire or the hand of man disturb the balance of nature.

In natural stands, as it is found, crowing for the greater part of its life under suppression, it is a very slow grower as the tables indicate. Hard maple is probably out of its range in Bayfield County, but further south and east in the state it grows more rapidly. The tables show that both hard maple and yellow birch grow faster in Vilas County than in Bayrield County even under similar soil conditions.
rol.
-5-
Hat TABIE
for
$H A R D M \wedge P I E$
VILAS COUNTY, WISCONSIN

AE Av. Vol. Av. Vol. Cu. Ft.

Bd. Ft.

Av. Stunp Dianeter Inches

Max. Stunp Av. Height Dianeter Inches Inside Bark

Feet

| 5 |  |  | . 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | $\cdot{ }^{4}$ |
| 15 |  |  | 7 |
| 20 |  |  | . 9 |
| 25 |  |  | 1.2 |
| 30 |  |  | 1.3 |
| 35 |  |  | 1.6 |
| 40 |  |  | 1.8 |
| 4.5 |  |  | 2.2 |
| 50 |  |  | 2.5 |
| 55 |  |  | 2.7 |
| 60 |  |  | 3.1 |
| 65 | . 7 |  | 3.4 |
| 70 | 1.0 |  | 3.7 |
| 80 | 1.5 |  | 4.1 |
| 85 | 1.8 |  | 4.5 |
| 90 | 2.0 |  | 4.8 |
| 95 | 2.5 |  | 5.3 |
| 100 | 3.5 |  | 5.7 |
| 105 | 4.3 |  |  |
| 110 | 5.2 | 15 | 6.6 |
| 115 | 6.5 | 20 | 7.2 |
| 120 | 7.5 | 25 | 7.7 |
| 125 | 8.5 | 32 | 8.2 |
| 130 | 10.0 | 40 | 8.7 |
| 135 | 11.5 | 48 | 9.2 |
| 140 | 13.0 | 55 | 9.8 |
| 145 | 15.3 | 65 | 10.3 |
| 150 | 17.2 | 75 | 10.9 |


| .2 | .7 |
| ---: | ---: |
| .4 | 1.5 |
| .5 | 2.5 |
| .8 | 4.0 |
| 1.1 | 5.6 |
| 1.4 | 8.0 |
| 1.7 | 10.0 |
| 2.1 | 13.0 |
| 2.5 | 16.0 |
| 2.8 | 19.5 |
| 3.2 | 23.5 |
| 3.6 | 27.5 |
| 4.0 | 31.5 |
| 4.3 | 35.0 |
| 4.8 | 38.5 |
| 5.2 | 41.5 |
| 5.7 | 44.0 |
| 6.1 | 47.0 |
| 6.6 | 49.0 |
| 7.1 | 52.0 |
| 7.6 | 54.0 |
| 8.2 | 56.0 |
| 8.7 | 58.0 |
| 9.2 | 60.0 |
| 9.8 | 62.0 |
| 10.3 | 64.0 |
| 10.9 | 66.0 |
| 11.5 | 67.5 |
| 12.1 | 69.0 |
| 12.8 | 70.5 |

## Note*

Based on cut from only 4 trees Small height growth in early life due to suppiession
Average merchantable length $34^{\prime}$
Cut to approximately $9^{\prime \prime}$ in tops
No limbwood taken

# -6- <br> CONPARISON of GROWTH 

of<br>HARD MAPIE<br>for<br>Bayfield and Vilas Counties, Wisconsin.

## Bayfield County

## Vilas County

Age Av. Max. Av. Ht. Av. 'Age Av. Max. Av.Ht. Av. Dia. Dia. Growth Vol. ' Dia. Dia. Growth Vol. Inches Inches Feet Bd. Ft.' Inches Inches Feet Bd.Ft.

| 10 | . 2 | . 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | . 7 | 1.0 | 18 |
| 30 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 30 |
| 40 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 38 |
| 50 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 43 |
| 60 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 46 |
| 70 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 48 |
| 80 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 51 |
| 90 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 53 |
| 100 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 54 |
| 110 | 5.7 | 9.9 | 56 |
| 120 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 57 |
| 130 | 7.0 | 11.7 | 59 |
| 140 | 7.7 | 12.7 | 60 |
| 150 | 8.4 | 13.6 | 61 |
| Note* |  |  |  |
| Based on cut from 15 trees |  |  |  |
| Average merchantable length |  |  |  |
| Cut to $9^{\prime \prime}$ in tops |  |  |  |
| No 1 | mbwoo | taken |  |


| $:$ | 10 | .4 | .4 | 2 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $:$ | 20 | .7 | .8 | 4 |  |
| $:$ | 30 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 8 |  |
| $:$ | 40 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 13 |  |
| $:$ | 50 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 20 |  |
| 160 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 28 |  |  |
| 1 | 70 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 35 |  |
| 180 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 42 |  |  |
| 190 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 47 |  |  |
| 1 | 100 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 52 |  |
| 1 | 110 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 56 | 15 |
| 120 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 60 | 25 |  |
| 1 | 130 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 64 | 40 |
| 1 | 140 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 67 | 55 |
| 1 | 150 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 70 | 75 |

Note*
Based on cut from only 4 trees Sinall height growth in early life due to suppression Average merchantable length $3 \cdot 1$
Cut to approximately $9^{\prime \prime}$ in tops No limbwo od taken
Maximum diameter growth for Bayfield County is greater than maximum for Vilas County as no unsuppressed trees were included in the 4 examined for Vilas County.

COMPARISON Oİ GROWTH
of
NORWIY PINE
on the so-called
"Barrens" of Bayfield and Vilas
Counties, Wisconsin 1

## Bayfield County

Vilas County

| Age Years | Diameter <br> Inches | Height <br> Feet | AV ${ }^{\text {Voiume }}$ Bd. Feut | Aefe <br> Years | Diameter Inches | Height <br> Feet | Av. Vol. <br> Bd.Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 8.5 | 38 | 20 | 50 | 13.8 | 67 | 100 |
| 60 | 10.4 | ti | 60 | 60 | 15.7 | 75 | 160 |
| 70 | 12.0 | 48 | 85 | 70 | 17.5 | 81 | 230 |
| 80 | 13.5 | 52 | 125 | 80 | 19.0 | 86 | 300 |
| 90 | 15.0 | 56 | 170 | 90 | 20.3 | 90 | 380 |
| 100 | 16.3 | 60 | 220 | 100 | 21.7 | 94 | 470 |
| 110 | 17.4 | 64 | 270 | 110 | 23.0 | 98 | 550 |
| 120 | 18.2 | 68 | 310 | 120 | 24.2 | 100 | 650 |
| 130 | 19.0 | 73 | 350 | 130 | 25.3 | 101.5 | 750 |
| 140 | 19.4 | 76 | 375 | 1 140 | 26.7 | 102.2 | 870 |
| 150 | 19.8 | 80 | 400 | 1 150 | 27.7 | 102.5 | 1000 |

## HEMLOCK

Very little hemlock in commercial quantities is found in Bayfield or Vilas counties. A few heavy stands of small area near Phelps, and on the shores of Star lake were found, and study plots made in these.

Hemlock is one of the most tolerant of shade of all of the trees of this state, but does not reproduce itself under its own mature dense stands as the following table indicates, as the accumulation of hemlock needlus on thick duff under such stands, does not contain moisture enough for the germination and growth of the young seedling. Youñ maple and balsam, however, can germinate even under these conditions. When dense stands are opened up extensively by cutting the remaining hemlock usually dies or is blown down. The sudden exposure to the light, and drying winds probably accounts for this mortality. Only in moist conditions with plenty of humous in the soil will hemlock reproduce to advantage. The vast clcan cutting of our northern woodlands and subsequent fires, removing the moist humous has stopped to a great extent the reproduction of this species and slow and its practical anninilation is only a question of time. It is a $\wedge$ grower and will probably nover be used for planting purposes.

A study of the composition of homlock stands of Vilas County shows that while the mature stands have a heavy per cont of hemlock with considerable yellow birch and hard maple, the reproduction under these stands is mainly maple and balsam.

STUDY of the COMPOSITION and VOLUME of HEMILOCK STANDS



Age Av, Vol. Max. Vol. Volume Average Dianeter Max. Dia. iv. Ht. Cu. Ft. Cu. Ft. Bd. Ft. Growth (Inchos) Growth Growth (inches) (Feet)

| 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |
| 50 |  | . 3 |  |
| 55 |  | . 5 |  |
| 60 |  | . 7 |  |
| 65 |  | 1.0 |  |
| 70 | . 1 | 1.2 |  |
| 75 | . 5 | 1.8 |  |
| 80 | 1.0 | 2.2 |  |
| 85 | 1.5 | 2.8 |  |
| 90 | 2.2 | 3.6 |  |
| 95 | 2.9 | 4.5 |  |
| 100 | 3.5 | 3.6 |  |
| 105 | $\pm .4$ | 6.8 |  |
| 110 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 10 |
| 115 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 15 |
| 120 | 7.6 | 11.2 | 2.5 |
| 125 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 30 |
| 130 | 10.2 | 15.4 | 40 |
| 135 | 11.5 | 17.7 | 55 |
| 140 | 13.0 | 20.0 | 65 |
| 145 | $14_{4} .8$ | 22.0 | 80 |
| 150 | 16.5 | 2.1.5 | 90 |

Generally speaking Vilas Cuunty is not what would be termed a desirable site for the growth of hardwood timber, as the soil is mainly of a sandy nature, and favors an optimum growth for pine and spruce. In the eastern part of the county, however, on some of the agricultural soils of kennan silt loams, are found a few very good hardwood stands, with maple and basswood predominant, but none of large area. The heaviest volume found on one acre, was 68 cords as compared to 41 cords on the best mature hardwood stand found in Bayfield County. This does not include the limb wood. Although there is considerable basswood and yellow birch in the mature stands, the reproduction coming in under these stands is mainly hard maple.

Over eighty per cent of the species in mixture by number of trees is hard maple. By volume $79 \%$ is maple.

The diameter classes average as follows: Above one inch in diameter there are 20: trees per acre, above 4 inches there are $1 \dot{f}^{4} 4$, above six inches there are 120, above 10 inches there are 91, and above 18 inches there are 20 trees per acre. The number of trees in the various diameter classes are as follows:

| 1" diamoter | class.............. $8^{77}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2-4" | inclusive.......... 60 |
| 5-6" | inclusive.......... 24 |
| 7-10" | inclusive......... 29 |
| 11-18" " | inclusive......... 71 |
| Above 18" |  |
| Total tree | per acre........ 291 |

EASTERN VILAS COUNTY, WISCONSIN


Plots $\frac{1}{4}$ acre
Soil: Kennan Silt Loam.

Ior
BLIICK SPRUCE
VILAS COUNIY, WISCONSIN

| $\mathrm{A}_{0}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\underset{\text { Ft. }}{\text { Vol. }}$ | Av. Stump Diemotor Inches | Av.Height Feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | . 2 | . 9 |
| 10 |  | . 6 | 3.0 |
| 15 |  | 1.0 | 6.0 |
| 20 |  | 1.4 | 10.0 |
| 25 |  | 1.8 | 14.0 |
| 30 | . 1 | 2.3 | 18.0 |
| 35 | . 4 | 2.7 | 22.0 |
| 40 | .7 | 3.2 | 27.0 |
| 45 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 31.0 |
| 50 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 35.0 |
| 55 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 39.0 |
| 60 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 42.0 |
| 65 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 45.0 |
| 70 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 49.0 |
| 75 | $\pm .8$ | 6.3 | 52.0 |
| 80 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 54.0 |
| 85 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 58.0 |
| 90 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 61.0 |
| 95 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 64.0 |
| 100 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 67.0 |

COMPARISON in DInNETER
ND
HEIGHT GROVTH for BLiCK
SPRUCE in Bayfield and
Vilas Counties,Wisconsin

Bayfield County

| Age | Diameter | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | .4 | 3 |
| 20 | .8 | 8 |
| 30 | 1.6 | 14 |
| 40 | 2.1 | 21 |
| 50 | 2.8 | 24 |
| 60 | 3.5 | 29 |
| 70 | 4.1 | 31 |
| 80 | 4.7 | 32 |
| 90 | 5.2 | 33 |
| 100 | 5.7 | 34 |

Vilas County Diameter

| .6 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.4 | 10 |
| 2.3 | 18 |
| 3.2 | 27 |
| 4.1 | 35 |
| 5.0 | 42 |
| 5.8 | 49 |
| 6.8 | 54 |
| 7.7 | 61 |
| 9.1 | 67 |

Wm. W. Morris
In Charge of Growth Study Investigations Land Economic Irventory Wisconsin Department of igriculture \& Markets.

In connection with the Wisconsin Land Economic Inventory made in Vilas County in the summer of 1929, a complete stem analysis was made of twenty-three large white pine, fifteen large Norway and twenty-four large white spruce. This study was made on lands being cut over by the Stang Lumber Company of Merrill in township 43 North, Range 8 East, Vilas County, Wisconsin. The white pine averaged twenty-six inches in diameter breast high, the largest one being forty-four inches, the Norway pine averaged twenty-four inches in diameter, the largest one being twenty-nine inches and the white spruce averaged nineteen inches, the largest one being twenty-eight inches in diameter. These trees had been cut up into log lengths (mainly sixteen feet), enabling measurements to be taken at each cross section. The age of the section was counted along the average radius from the outside to the center, every ten year period being marked off by placing a pin or pencil mark at the ten year interval. Measurements of the growth of these periods were made from the center of the tree outward in inches and tenths. These measurements enabled an accurate computation of the volume in cubic and board feet, diameter and height growth at any period in the life of the tree. These figures of growth were placed on coordinate paper and curves made showing the average and maximum growths at any given year. Tables showing average and maximum volumes and diameters and heights were made from these curves.

The stumps for white and Norway pine were cut down to one foot in height. The stumps of white spruce averaged $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in height due to the unusual flare or swelling at the butt of the white spruce, often taking a square form.

The trees were cut in the tops to an average diameter of seven inches for white pine, eight inches for Norway, and six inches for white spruce. The volume in cubic feet was figured to approximately two inches in the diameter of the logs and the volume in board feet to six inches.

The relationship between diameter breast high and stump diameter inside the bark for white pine is 2.5 inches, for Norway pine 1 inch, and for white spruce 3.5 inches greater than the diameter breast high.

## (A) Average and Maximum Growths

The growth tables show the maximum growth of all the trees measured as well as the average growth found. A comparison of the growth of tolerant or shade enduring species, such as white spruce, with the intolerant species such as Norway pine, in their natural state would not be just, as the shade endurers have often grown in a suppressed condition for years, while the light loving species cannot do this, and have therefore grown under more favorable light conditions. The maximum growth therefore represents more what can be expected under more optimum conditions of light and less root competition, such as are found in planted stands, and those grown under forest management.

Table I
VOIUME TABIE
for
WHITEPINE
VIIAS COUNTY, WIECOISIN

Age Av. Max. Av. Max. Av. Max. Av. Max. at Stump Vol. Vol. Vol. Vol. Dia. Dia. ht. ht. Cu. Ft. Cu.Ft.Bd. Ft. Bd.Ft. Growth Growth Growth Growth Inches Inches Ft. Ft.

| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 2. | 3 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 7 | 15 |
| 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 11 | 22 |
| 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 16 | 27 |
| 25 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 | 9.0 | 22 | 32 |
| 30 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 6.3 | 10.5 | 27 | 38 |
| 35 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 7.2 | 11.8 | 32 | 44 |
| 40 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 30 | 8.0 | 13.1 | 37 | 48 |
| 45 | 7 | 18 | 20 | 50 | 8.9 | 14.4 | 42 | 54 |
| 50 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 70 | 9.7 | 15.6 | 47 | 59 |
| 55. | 15 | 33 | 50 | 100 | 10.5 | 16.8 | 51 | 64 |
| 60 | 19 | 43 | 60 | 140 | 11.3 | 18.0 | 55 | 69 |
| 65 | 25 | 52 | 70 | 180 | 12.2 | 19.3 | 60 | 73 |
| 70 | 32 | 63 | 100 | 230 | 13.1 | 20.4 | 65 | 78 |
| 75 | 38 | 73 | 120 | 280 | 14.0 | 21.5 | 69 | 83 |
| 80 | 45 | 83 | 150 | 340 | 15.0 | 22.5 | 73 | 87 |
| 85 | 5.2 | 93 | 180 | 420 | 15.9 | 23.5 | 77 | 92 |
| 90 | 59 | 104 | 220 | 490 | 16.9 | 24.5 | 81 | 95 |
| 95 | 66 | 114 | 270 | 570 | 18.0 | 25.5 | 84 | 99 |
| 100 | 73 | 125 | 330 | 650 | 18.8 | 26.5 | 87 | 102 |
| 105 | 82 | 137 | 400 | 750 | 19.9 | 27.3 | 91 | 105 |
| 110 | 90 | 148 | 460 | 860 | 20.9 | 28.2 | 93 | 107 |
| 115 | 100 | 160 | 540 | 970 | 21.9 | 29.2 | 96 | 108 |
| 120 | 110 | 172 | 620 | 1090 | 23.0 | 30.2 | 98 | 110 |
| 125 | 123 | 185 | 710 | 1200 | 24.0 | 31.0 | 100 | 111 |
| 130 | 135 | 197 | 800 | 1320 | 25.2 | 31.8 | 102 | 112 |
| 135 | 143 | 210 | 900 | 1450 | 26.3 | 32.7 | 104 | 113 |
| 140 | 162 | 223 | 1000 | 1600 | 27.3 | 33.5 | 106 | 114 |
| 145 | 175 | 235 | 1100 | 1720 | 28.6 | 34.4 | 108 | 114.5 |
| 150 | 187 | 248 | 1200 | 1850 | 29.7 | 35.2 | 109 | 115 |

## Note*

Based on 23 trees
Stump height l ft.
Add 4 years to age at stump for total age
Trees cut to 7 " in top. No volume below $2^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter.

Table

## VOLUME TABLE

for
NORWAY PINE
VILAS COUNTY, WISCONSTN

Age Av. Max. Av. Max. Av. Max. Av. Max. at Vol. Vol. Vol. Vol. Dia. Dia. Ht. Ht. Stump Cu. Ft. Cu. Ft.Bd. Ft.Bd.Ft. Inches Inches Growth Growth

| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 20 |
| 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 22.0 | 29 |
| 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6.0 | 11.2 | 31.0 | 37 |
| 25 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 40.0 | 45 |
| 30 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 50 | 9.0 | 14.5 | 46.0 | 53 |
| 35 | 13 | 24 | 20 | 100 | 10.3 | 15.8 | 53.0 | 60 |
| 40 | 19 | 32 | 50 | 140 | 11.6 | 17.0 | 58.0 | 66 |
| 45 | 24 | 40 | 70 | 180 | 12.7 | 18.0 | 63.0 | 72 |
| 50 | 30 | 48 | 100 | 230 | 13.8 | 19.2 | 67.0 | 77 |
| 55 | 36 | 57 | 130 | 280 | 14.8 | 20.0 | 71.0 | 81 |
| 60 | 43 | 65 | 160 | 330 | 15.7 | 20.7 | 75.0 | 84 |
| 65 | 48 | 72 | 200 | 380 | 16.5 | 21.5 | 78.0 | 87 |
| 70 | 56 | 80 | 230 | 430 | 17.5 | 22.1 | 81.0 | 89 |
| 75 | 63 | 87 | 270 | 470 | 18.2 | 22.7 | 83.0 | 91 |
| 80 | 69 | 95 | 300 | 500 | 19.0 | 23.3 | 86.0 | 93 |
| 85 | 76 | 102 | 350 | 550 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 88.0 | 95 |
| 90 | 82 | 108 | 380 | 580 | 20.3 | 24.3 | 90.0 | 97 |
| 95 | 88 | 116 | 420 | 650 | 21.0 | 24.9 | 92.0 | 99 |
| 100 | 95 | 124 | 470 | 700 | 21.7 | 25.5 | 94.0 | 101 |
| 105 | 101 | 132 | 510 | 760 | 22.3 | 26.0 | 96.0 | 103 |
| 110 | 107 | 140 | 550 | 820 | 23.0 | 26.4 | 98.0 | 104 |
| 115 | 113 | 147 | 600 | 880 | 23.6 | 26.9 | 99.0 | 106 |
| 120 | 118 | 156 | 650 | 950 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 107 |
| 125 | 125 | 163 | 700 | 1000 | 24.8 | 27.7 | 101.0 | 108 |
| 130 | 132 | 170 | 750 | 1070 | 25.3 | 28.2 | 101.5 | 109 |
| 135 | 137 | 178 | 800 | 1120 | 26.0 | 28.7 | 102.0 | 110 |
| 140 | 143 | 186 | 870 | 1180 | 26.7 | 29.1 | 102.2 | 111 |
| 145 | 150 | 194 | 940 | 1250 | 27.2 | 29.5 | 102.4 | 112 |
| 150 | 155 | 202 | 1000 | 1300 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 102.5 | 113 |

Note*
Based on fifteen trees
Stump height 1 ft .
Add 4 years to age at stump for total age
No volume figured below $2^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter.

Table III.

## VOLUMETABLE

for
WHITESPRUCE
VILAS COUNTY,WISCONSIN

| Age | Av. | Max. | Av. | Max. Av. | Max. | Av. | Max. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at | Vol. | Vol. | Voi. | Vol. | Dia. | Dia. | Height | Height |
| Stump | Growth | Growth | Bd. | Bd. | Growth | Growth | Growth | Growth |
|  | Cu. Ft. | Cu.Ft. | Ft. | Ft. | Inches | Inches | Feet | Feet |


| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .2 | . .9 | 1.5 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .5 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 10 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 17 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 24 |
| 25 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 13.0 | 34 |
| 30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 8.5 | 18.0 | 42 |
| 35 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 3.3 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 50 |
| 40 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 40 | 4.3 | 11.7 | 31.0 | 58 |
| 45 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 70 | 5.3 | 13.2 | 38.0 | 64 |
| 50 | 5 | 23 | 10 | 100 | 6.5 | 14.5 | 46.0 | 70 |
| 55 | 7 | 29 | 20 | 120 | 7.7 | 16.0 | 53.0 | 76 |
| 60 | 10 | 35 | 30 | 150 | 9.0 | 17.3 | 58.0 | 80 |
| 65 | 13 | 42 | 40 | 180 | 10.1 | 18.5 | 63.0 | 84 |
| 70 | 17 | 48 | 60 | 200 | 11.2 | 19.5 | 68.0 | 88 |
| 75 | 20 | 53 | 70 | 230 | 12.3 | 20.6 | 72.0 | 91 |
| 80 | 23 | 60 | 90 | 270 | 13.3 | 21.5 | 76.0 | 94 |
| 85 | 28 | 67 | 120 | 290 | 14.3 | 22.4 | 79.0 | 96 |
| 90 | 33 | 72 | 140 | 320 | 15.3 | 23.3 | 82.0 | 98 |
| 95 | 40 | 79 | 170 | 360 | 16.3 | 24.0 | 85.0 | 100 |
| 100 | 45 | 85 | 200 | 400 | 1.7 .2 | 24.7 | 88.0 | 102 |
| 105 | 52 | 91 | 230 | 430 | 18.2 | 25.3 | 90.0 | 103 |
| 110 | 58 | 97 | 270 | 470 | 19.0 | 26.0 | 92.0 | 104 |
| 115 | 65 | 103 | 320 | 520 | 19.8 | 26.6 | 93.0 | 105.5 |
| 120 | 72 | 110 | 350 | 550 | 20.7 | 27.0 | 95.0 | 106.5 |
| 125 | 78 | 117 | 400 | 600 | 21.5 | 27.5 | 96.0 | 108.0 |
| 130 | 85 | 122 | 440 | 640 | 22.2 | 28.0 | 98.0 | 109.0 |
| 135 | 91 | 127 | 480 | 680 | 23.1 | 28.5 | 99.0 | 110.0 |
| 140 | 97 | 132 | 530 | 730 | 23.8 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 110.5 |
| 145 | 103 | 137 | 570 | 770 | 24.5 | 29.5 | 101.0 | 111.0 |
| 150 | 110 | 142 | 620 | 820 | 25.2 | 30.0 | 102.0 | 112.0 |

Note*
Based on 24 trees
Stump height $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.
Add six years to age at stump for total age
Trees cut to $6 "$ in tops
Naximum volume shows probable growth under optimum conditions.

The average growth of the white spruce under natural conditions, being a tolerant species, would likely be less than the white pine, a less tolerant species, and both the average growths of white spruce and white pine would be less than that of Norway, which is the least tolerant of shade. The comparison of maximum growths therefore, is probably more just, as showing the real merits of the growth of the various species considered, and what might be expected if growing under optimum conditions, such as in planted stands. The average growth shows, however, the relative merits of the species as they grow under natural conditions, and also shows to some extent how they are kept back by suppression.

## THE SOIL

The soil on which the species were growing was mainly Vilas sandy loam, some of it ranging into Vilas sand. None of it was agricultural in nature but the pinc measured had grown intermixed with hardwoods, mostly yellow birch, and maple of small size.

## HEIGHT GROWTH

Norway pinc leads the other two species in height growth in early life. At twenty-five years from the stump the maximum height growth of Norway pine is eleven feet higher than the maximum height growth of white spruce and thirteen feet higher than the maximum height growth of white pine. The average height growth of the Norway pine at this period is greater than the maximum height growth of the other species. White spruce, at this period, leads white pine by two feet in a comparison of maximum height growths.

At fifty years of age Norway pine still leads in height growth followed by white spruce rapidly coming to the front and lastly white pine.

At one hundred years of age a change is seen for white pine and white spruce show practically the same height growth, and their maximum height growth has now gone ahead of the Norway pine.

At one hundred and fifty years white pine leads slightly in maximum growth, loads Norway pine in average height growth, and is lead slightly by white spruce in average growth. This is probably due to the fact that in these last years white spruce that has survived has come out of suppression and has its crown high in the light in the dominant stand. A comparison of the maximum height growths of white pine and white spruce shows that white spruce leads white pine in height growth up to about its one hundredth year and then falls slightly in the rear of white pine steying about the same as Norway pine up to its one fundred and fiftiethyear.

Table Showing Comparative Height Growths at Various Aces


Note* Age computed at stump Add 4 years to reach stump height of pines and six years for white spruce

## GROWTH in DIAMETER

Norway pine leads the other two species in diameter growth in the early life of the stand. A comparison of maximum diameter growths shows that Norway pine leads up to ninety years of age when white pine overtakes it and forges rapidly ahead, until at one hundred and fifty years the maximum diameter of white pine is five inches greater than Norway pine. White pine has the ability of putting on excellent diameter growth even up to two hundred years of age, while Norway begins to slow down in diameter growth at about ninety years of age.

A comparison of average diameter growths of the two species shows Norway to be well in the lead until its one hundred and thirtieth year when white pine catches up with it and rapidly forges ahead. The average diameter growth of an intolerant species is liable to be greater than the average growth of one possessing the ability to endure shade, as the former cannot grow under the suppressed conditions of the later, and hence the whole stand must approach more nearly the maximum growth.

White spruce remains somewhat behind the others in diameter growth at all its early life until it catches up to Norway at the latter . part of its life, at about one hundred and forty years, but never quite approaches the diameter growth of white pine. Under optimum conditions of light such as in planted stands this condition might be different.

# -7- <br> COMPARISON OF DIAMETER GRO:ITHS 

of

## WHITE \& NORWAY PINE \& WHI IE SPRUCE

Age at Av. Dia. Max. Dia. Av. Dia. Max. Dia. Av. Dia. Max.Dia. Stump Inches Inches Inches Inches Inches Inches

| 25 | 5.3 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 2.0 | 6.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 50 | 9.7 | 15.6 | 13.8 | 19.2 | 6.5 | 14.5 |
| 75 | 14.0 | 21.5 | 18.2 | 22.7 | 12.3 | 20.6 |
| 100 | 18.8 | 26.5 | 21.7 | 25.5 | 17.2 | 24.7 |
| 125 | 24.0 | 31.0 | 24.8 | 27.7 | 21.5 | 27.5 |
| 150 | 29.7 | 35.2 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 25.2 | 30.0 |

## GROWTH in VOLUME

Norway pine leads the other two species in early volume production. The maximum tree observed shows a production of two cubic feet at fifteen years from stump height and 25 board feet at twentyfive years from stump height.

Norway pine leads all others until apprøximately one hundred years when the maximum volume growth of white pine becomes greater, and at one hundred and thirty years the average volume growth of white pine overtakes the Norway, and from that time on maintains a strong lead in volume production. The maximum cubic feet production of white spruce seems to be about equal to that of white pine up to approximately fifty years, after which it falls behind both other species.

## COMPARISON OF VOLURE PRODUCTION OI WHITE \& NORWAY PINE \& WHITE SPRUCE

| Age <br> of <br> Stump |  |  |  |  | Av. NORWAY PINE |  |  |  |  | WHITE SPRUCE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | .Vol | . Vol | .Vol. |  |  |  |  | - Ma | Max |  | Max. |
|  |  | Cu. | - | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yol | Vol. | Vol | Vol. |  |  | l.Vo | Vol | Vol. | Vol. |
|  |  |  |  | Bd. | Cu. | Cu. | Bd. | Bd. |  |  | Cu. | Bd. | Ba. |
|  |  |  | Ft. |  | Ft. | Ft. | Ft. | Ft. |  |  | Ft. | Ft. | Ft. |
| 25 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 48 | 100 | 230 | 5 |  | 23 | 10 | 100 |
| 75 | 38 | 75 | 120 | 280 | 63 | 87 | 270 | 470 | 20 |  | 53 | 70 | 230 |
| 100 |  | 125 | 330 | 650 | 95 | 124 | 470 | 700 | 45 |  | 85 | 200 | 400 |
| 125 | 123. | 185 | 710 | 1200 | 125 | 163 | 700 | 1000 | 78 |  | 17 | 400 | 600 |
| 150 | 187 | 248 | 1200 | 1850 | 155 | 202 | 1000 | 1300 | 110 |  | 42 | 620 | 820 |

Note* Add 4 years to pines for total age
and 6 years for white spruce.

## PRi. ${ }^{\text {ICTRED }}$ YIELD per ACRE from FULLY STOCKED STANDS

In ol ler to predict the yield per acre it is necessary to have information on the number of trees of the approximate diameters of those in the table that one acre of land will best support.

A comparison of the rates of growth of the three species studied in Vilas County compared with the rates of growth of the species found in European yield tables and Bulletin 142 for the Northeastern United States would tend to show that the Vilas County Suecies are growing under optimum conditions of site, which would be classified as Site 1.

From a study of the European yield tables for spruce and Technical Bulletin No. 142 on "Yields of Second Growth Spruce and Fir in the North East" by Walter H. Meyer, the number of trees per acre in the tables given below has been ascertained.

The number of trees used for white and Norway pine per acre was obtained from studies made of fully stocked stands of Scotch pine in Germany by Schwappach. It is likely in the late life of the stand that there would be more white pine trees per acre than in a stand of Norway or Scotch pine, but this figure for Scotch pine has been used.

The maximum yields are based on the greatest yield found in a given species multiplied by the number of trees per acre and of course is theoretical as it assumes that every tree could be made to produce as great a volume as the one maximum tree produced. This is not improbable, however, with better light conditions, less root competition, and the possibility of cultivation and fertilization.

The maximum predicted yields could probably seldom be obtained, but the yields of a planted stand should range from the present average towards the maximum with the more optimum conditions for growth that planted stands afford.

YIELD TABLE WHITE SPRUCE
One acre

| Age <br> from seed | No. of <br> Trees | Av. Vol. <br> Cu. Fu. | Av. Vol. <br> Sd. Ft. | Max. Vol. <br> Cu. Ft. | Max. Vol. <br> Bd. Ft. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 1120 | 0 | 0 | 7,840 | 22,400 |
| 50 | 716 | 1432 | 0 | 12,172 | 42,960 |
| 60 | 500 | 3500 | 10,000 | 14,000 | 60,000 |
| 70 | 380 | 4940 | 15,200 | 15,580 | 64,000 |
| 80 | 308 | 6160 | 21,560 | 16,324 | 67,760 |
| 90 | 256 | 6912 | 28,160 | 16,640 | 71,680 |
| 100 | 220 | 8360 | 35,200 | 16,940 | 77,000 |
| 110 | 200 | 10000 | 46,000 | 17,600 | 84,000 |
| 120 | 189 | 12285 | 56,700 | 19,278 | 94,500 |

One acre

| Age <br> from seed | No. of <br> Trees | Av. Vol. <br> Cu. Ft. | Max. Vol. <br> Cu. Ft. | AV. Vol. <br> Bd. Ft. | Max. Vol. <br> Bd. Ft. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 696 | 1392 | 6960 | 1 None | 13,920 |
| 50 | 464 | 3248 | 8816 | 9280 | 23,200 |
| 60 | 328 | 4920 | 11480 | 16400 | 36,080 |
| 70 | 256 | 6400 | 13312 | 17920 | 46,080 |
| 80 | 218 | 8284 | 16350 | 22236 | 61,040 |
| 90 | 196 | 10092 | 18620 | 35280 | 82,320 |
| 100 | 160 | 10720 | 18400 | 44800 | 92,800 |
| 110 | 140 | 11620 | 19320 | 56000 | 107,800 |
| 120 | 120 | 12240 | 19.440 | 66000 | 117,700 |

YIELD TABIE NORWAY PINE
One acre

| Age <br> from seed | No. of <br> Tres | Av. Vol. <br> Cu. Ft. | Max. Vol. <br> Cu. Ft. | Av. Vol. <br> Bd. Ft. | Max. Vol. <br> Bd. Ft. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 696 | 9,744 | 17,400 | 13,920 | 69,000 |
| 50 | 440 | 11,000 | 18,500 | 30,800 | 83,600 |
| 60 | 320 | 11,840 | 18,600 | 41,600 | 89,600 |
| 70 | 260 | 13,000 | 18,800 | 52,000 | 98,600 |
| 80 | 218 | 13,734 | 19,184 | 61,000 | 102,400 |
| 90 | 196 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 68,600 | 107,800 |
| 100 | 160 | 14,400 | 18,700 | 68,800 | 104,000 |
| 110 | 140 | 14,280 | 18,600 | 71,400 | 106,400 |
| 120 | 120 | 13,560 | 18,000 | 72,000 | 108,000 |

Note* The ages given in these tables represent total age of tree.

## Comparis on of Natural With Planted Stands.

A study was made of the growth of the Norway pine plantation at Star Lake planted in 1913 and now sixteen years of age. This stand was planted $6 \times 6$ feet and now at sixteen averages 900 trees to the acre. The average diameter is 4.5 inches, and the average height twenty feet. It averages about fifteen feet in height to a $2^{\prime \prime}$ top and has produced an equivalent of 819 cubic feet per acre in the best portions of the stand. Its total basal area, at breast height, is 91 square feet per acre.

A dense natural stand of Norway pine near Land O'Lakes was also studied and the comparison of growth between this overstocked natural stand and the plantation is interesting. This stand is now twentysix years of age with a total basal area of 165 square feet. The average diameter is 3.5 inches and the average height 27 feet. The stand averaged 2416 dominant and intermediate trees per acre and produced a volume of 956 cubic feet per acre at twenty-six years of age.

At sixteen years of age this overstocked stand had an average diameter of 2.3 inches, an average height of sixteen feet, a basal area of 70 square feet, and practically no volume figured to a $2^{\prime \prime}$ diameter.

A table of comparis on of the two stands follows:

## PLANTED STAND

Age 16 years
No. of trees 900
Average height 20 feet Average diameter 4.5 inches Basal area 91 square feet Volume 819 cubic feet to $2^{\prime \prime}$

PREDICTED YIELD (at 26 years)

Age 26 years
No, of trees 800
Average height 40 feet Average diameter 7.5 inches Basal area 245 square feet Volume 3200 cubic feet

## CVERSTOCKED NATURAL STAND

int age of 16
No. trees 24.16
Average height lof feet Average diameter 2.3 inches Basal area 70 square feet Volume None to $2^{\prime \prime}$

PRESENT YIELD
(at 26 years)
Age 26 years
No, of trees 2416
Average height 27 feet
Average dianeter 3.5 inches
Basal area 165 square feet Volume 956 cubic feet

Very few stands average as many trees to the acre as the above mentioned natural stand. Ordinarily they are not stocked to half the extent of a planted stand, and although the diameter growth is then greater than it would be in a heavy stocked stand, yet the small and uneven stocking reduces the volume as compared with the evenly spaced planted stand.

## REPRODUCTION UNDER PINE STANDS

A careful study of the reproduction coming in under old pine stands made on approximately thirty pine plots, shows that at least on one-third of them young maple is coming in as the understory, and this will eventually be the climax forest unless fire or cutting change this sequence. About one-third of them are coming up to a good reproduction of white and Norway pine. In most of these cases where the pine reproduction is especially dense, fire has preceded the pine reproduction, opening up the mineral soil, but not completely killing seed trees. Those plots where maple is the predominant reproduction, show an average density of $80 \%$. Those plots where white and Norway pine reproduction is coming in have an average density or stocking of $60 \%$, showing the need of light for these trees to appear, and the shade tolerance of maple.

Under Norway and jack pine stands the principal reproduction that is found is white pine. On a $1 / 4$ acre Norway pine plot, No. 42 , on St. Germain Lake, consisting of 38 Norway pine 13 inches in diameter, twenty jack pine 9 inches in diameter, and one seven inch white pine, the only reproduction found were 84 white pine seedings.

On a $1 / 4$ acre jack pine plot, No. 43, about $70 \%$ stocked and consisting of one hundred and fifteen jack pine and one Norway pine, the reproduction consisted of a good sprinkling of white pine with a few Norway. No jack pine was coming in.

This shows therefore that unless open cutting or fire take place Norway and jack pine do not succeed themselves but will gradually be eliminated by the more tolerant species. White pine on account of having a greater degree of tolerance can hold its own somewhat better than the other two on good sandy soils; but on the better heavier soils, maple and the other shade tolerant hardwoods, will eventually be the climax forest under natural conditions.

## ESTIMATES Of MATURE STANDS of TIMBER FOUND in GROWTH STUDY

In conducting the growth study in Vilas County studies and estimates were made in the heaviest stands of mature pine obtainable. It is interesting to compare these with the predicted yields for any given age as given above.

These plots were mainly one-fourth of an acre in an area and the volume obtained multiplied by four for one acre. The best stands observed are as follows:

## ESTIMATE of SOIE of the BEST PLOTS of TIMBER FOUND <br> in

VILAS COUNTY, WISCONSIN
Age of Stand
Species
Volume Board Feet per acre

Approx. 100
Approx. 125
Approx. 150
Approx. 150
Approx. 150

11,600
Norway
19, 760
Norway
39,280
White pine
White pine

44,280
71,280

The last stand is about the average as given in the predicted yield for a normal or fully stocked forest for white pine at one hundred and fifty years of age.

Wm. W. Morris.
In Charge of Growth Study Investigations
Land Ec onomic Inventory Wisconsin Dept.of Agriculture and Markets.

The growth study made in connection with the Wisconsin Land Economic Inventory has developed a few fundamental facts relative to the present forest cover. The figures presented are for Bayfield County but they are probably fairly representative of conditions in a large part of the northern section of the state.

1. Only $15 \%$ of the area is now growing to pine of some kind as compared to possibly $75 \%$ formerly.
2. $31 \%$ of the area has some into popple, practically all of which area was formerly growing to pine, and $17 \%$ is growing to hardwoods.
3. $17 \frac{1}{2} \%$ is bare and not doing anything, but is valuable potential planting land.
4. The reproduction coming in under most of these stands is of an inferior nature, or mostly hard maple to the exclusion of other species. By number of trees maple composes $41 \%$ of the stand as compared to yellow birch composing under $4 \%$ of the stand.

In other words a large per cent of our pine area is now taken up by an inferior species of little commercial value.

The great forestry problem, therefore, is as I see it, to restore these areas by scientific forestry management to the original valuable species. This can be done partly through planting, and partly through proper methods of cutting on timber sales to encourage the most valuable species. Here the problem is to increase our yellow birch and basswood in our hardwood stands and to encourage the growth of pine in our popple and worthless brush areas as well as on pine areas.

The sane problem of inferior species coming in, presented itself to us twenty years aso in the Forest Service, in the great white pine forests of northern Idaho. The reproduction under these forests was found to be of an inferior type, white fir, hemlock, etc. To encourage the reproduction of white pine, therefore, a clear cutting strip method was adopted cutting a strip 450 feet wide and leaving a strip $150^{\prime}$ wide for seeding purposes. This clear cut strip enabled a better disposal of slash, a more systematic management and eliminated the necessity of marking the individual trees for cutting. Later on this method was modified leaving groups of seed trees instead of strips. The point was that the necessity of having light, so as not to eliminate the valuable species, (pine), which could not develop in the shade was soon recognized.

Silvicultural studies of all these species therefore are important in order that their requirements for germination and subsequent growth be ascertained. A silvicultural system of cutting that keeps the able forest too dark may prove to be a method of eliminating our most valuk species from the stand and encouraging the shade endurers. On the other hand too open methods of cutting might bring in grass or induce windfall.

Cuttine of timber must be justified both from the silvicultural as well as the economic vicwpoint. Cutting methods which make logging unprofitable are not practical, and logging without considerins the future of the forest is not forestry.

In cutting for the future of the forest one of the first things necessary to know is what species we want to favor, that is, those bringing the best price and always in demand. I believe here in Wisconsin white and Norway pine, white spruce among the soft woods, and yellow birch and basswood among the hardwoods, are our most valuable species. Assuming that they are the most valuable the object of our management should be to encourage their growth by a method of cutting which will favor them to the greatest possible extent. Studies of these species have shown that none of them are especially tolerant or shade enduring, that is, they will not grow to advantage when the stand is dark, as in the case of hard maple, hemlock, balsam, etc. These last mentioned, the tolerant shade endurers, will eventually form our climax or final forest - unless disturbed by some unnatural cause such as fire or the hand of man.

I have just recently made a study of the growth of our three leading species of conifers in Vilas County. I find that in fifty years from the stump for instance - the averace volume of a white pine tree is ten cubic feet, while the maximum volume found is twenty-five cubic feet. For Norway pine the average volume of the individual tree at fifty years from the stump is thirty cubic feet, while the maximum volume is forty-eight cubic feet. Most of this difference in volume production is caused by difference in light conditions and root competition. In planted stands this is eliminated to a considerable extent by regular spacing causing maximum production.

From such a study it becomes quite apparent that unless a cutting method is determined upon that will give sufficient light for the development and subsequent growth of the seedlings of the species to be favored the forest will more and more be dominated by the weed tree, and be greatly retarded in growth.

Two methods of cutting have been in use in a rough way in Wisconsin. The first might be termed clear cutting but it has beon without forestry management to provide for the future which enables the tolerant specics to come in in the light open spaces, and is applicable to the even aged pinc stands especially.

## Clear Cutting

It must be borne in mind that clear cutting does not mean desolation as has been practiced here in this state, but it is a systematic form of forestry management producing the greatest returns.
"The popular impression is that forestry consists of thinning the forest in the manner described for the selective system. The method of cutting only the largest trees and leaving the smaller ones is applicable to stands having trees of difforent age classes. In handling a stand in which all or nearly all the trees are mature, the design is to remove the whole stand, and replace it with new growth in as short a time as possible. This is accomplished by the clear cutting or shelter wood systems." (Methods of Handling Woodlands by Graves)

1. Danger from windfall.
2. Where all tho trees are large and mature
3. Where a clearing is necessary to remove trees of undesirable form or poor species in order to establish a better species artificially, or to secure good natural reproduction of a species that cannot thrive under shelter.

Sheltor Wood System. I want to refur briefly to this system as a possibility here in this state in cutting second growth pine. It is a system lying between clear cutting and selection. The stand is removed in 2-4 cuttings.

1. Preparatory cutting to encourage seeding $20 \%$ of volume removed Open up crowns.
2. Reproduction cutting
$30 \%$ volumc removed.
3. Final cuttings after reproduction established.

The second is what is known as the selection method, a shelter wood system which keeps the forest more or less dark, and has general+ ly consisted in cutting trees to a diameter limit, taking out the largest mature timber, defectives, etc. It is of course especially adapted for the uneven aged hardwood forests. The tree favored in this system is theoretically cut lightest that is to the highest diameter limit while the inferior ones should be cut to a lower diameter limit. Unfortunately, the revurse has generally been true and those trees most in demand have suffured most, at the expense of the reproduction as well. The selective method is used in mounta in countries as a protective method, and is nature's method in hardwood stands of unevon age, but does not favor those species demanding light. It is not a method for even aged stands of pine, where naturc's method is a form of clear cutting with seeding from tho side or seed trees.

About $7 \%$ of all German Forests are seluctive forests. Clear cutting with artificial ruproduction has displaced all others in most of the German and Swiss forests.

In the bulletin on Yellow Birch and its relation to the Adirondacks Forest the author shows the need of light as essential for its reproduction. On one arca in that rugion where selective cutting has been employed the following statement is made:
"Reproduction is shown to be largely hard maple and beech on the hardwood type at the expense of the yellow birch, where the light cutting was not enough to open up the crownsto allow birch to succeed. This characteristic is so pronounced and important that a table is given to show reproduction under theso conditions as compered to cluar cutting. The table shows that on cn area logged to a diameter limit by the selection method thirty years ago theru are now 224 yellow birch and 3779 sugar maplu seedlings and trees under 1.5 inches in diameter por acre as compered with 2530 yellow birch and 83 suger maple scedines end troes under 1.5 inches in diametur per
acre in a cloar cut aroa of largust extent whero all the merchantable timber was taken. This I think shows pretty conclusively the need of more light for the reproduction of yellow birch.

Most of our cuttings at the present time are in hardwoods or mixed stands and the probloms of cutting in such uneven aged stands are more complicated than in even aged stands of pine. Roth says the selection method costs more to log, producus less lumber and is more difficult to manage as compared to othor cutting systums.

In writing of the selection system, Mr. Graves, our former forester and Professor of Forestry at Yale, states in his "Principles of Handling Hardwoods" - "Successful reproduction depends not only on a proper distribution of seed, but also on the conditions for germination and for the development of seedlings. The problum is very simple with tolerant specios, for these are able to grow in very small openings and often a good ruproduction is alroady established where the openings are to bo made.

With intolerant spocies on the other hand special meesures often have to bo taken if they are to be roproduced successfully. If such measures are not taken other more tolerant specios may oceupy the opening to their oxclusion. The opening must be large enough not only to give the trees a start but also to \&llow thom to make striicht and thrifty growth. It is therefore sometimes desirnble to enlarge an opening boyond what is necussary to romove a single mature tree. In such a case one would a im to cut severol trees in a group and in so doing it would often be necessary to cut trees under the diameter limit."

Often improvement cuttings are made. The aim is to luave enough trues close unough togethur to protect thom from wind fall, and to form a basis for $\&$ suc ond cut, and to reseed the ground with the most valuablu specius taking out the dofoctivo and inforior trues.

In Bayficld County an average of sixteun hardwood plots of virgin timbor showed 635 trues pur acre above $l^{\prime}$ in diamutur, 252 trees above $4^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, 149 trees above $6^{\prime \prime}$ in diametor and 60 above $10^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. Only $7^{\prime}$ plots had trees over 18" in diameter, and thesc 7 plots aver ged 8 trees per acro over $18^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. Therofore cutting only to an 18 " diameter in those arcas could not be justified.

These young trous are now Erowing under supprossion and reluesing them to the light would accelcrate tromondously this young growth. Maplo partic ularly has the ability to rocover quickly and grow rapidly after years of suppression. Thunce 16 hardwood stands indicete that the sec classes are woll represented.

If all merchantablo timbor of 60 treas down to $10^{\prime \prime}$ were romoved, aftor 20 years 89 trees would be loft 110 yoers old and averacing $10^{\prime \prime}$ in diametor. In forty years 103 trees ninety yoars old would be ready to cut and in 60 yuars we would have 383 trees 85 yuars old, hardiy largo unough to producc morchantablu material. I doubt if it would bo possible to cut this timbur on a twonty year cycle and eet enough to justify a logging operation aftur tho second or third cut.

Summary. I have endocvorud to point out the fact that liefht is essenticil for the growth and porpotuation of our most valuablu species.
(1) A study oi tho specius is nocessary bufore any cutting system can be adoptod.
(2) The system of cutting must depend on the species wanted and the tolerance of these species.
(3) The selection method is gencrally usod in herdwoods, but is not adapted to pine. If usod in hordwoods lorgor openings should be mede to encourage intolerants like yollow birch, as the studics in the Adirondncks have shown as well es our studies herc, thet yellow birch produces itself to best advantage when it hes plenty of light.

Wm. W. Morris
In Charge of Growth Study Investigations Wisconsin Land Economic Inventory Wisconsin Dupt. of Agriculture \& Mrkets.

Lessons from the Forest Service Experience Applied to

Given a vast unknown inaccessible mountainous region of several million acres to manage so as to produce the greatest possible sustained income from the land was the problem that confronted us in the Forest Service over twenty years ago in the West.

Today, in Wisconsin, the same problem confronts us and is especially acute in the northern hall of the state, although the land is not so rough or inaccessible. One of the first essentials of the management of anything is a plan, and so the first thing done by the Forest Service was to have some plan of management, crude though it might be, which could be improved and modified with better knowledge of conditions.

## Essentials of a Forest Working Plan.

In order to have any kind of a rough plan for the forest I found it was necessary to know the following things:

1. How much timber there was and where it was located.
2. The age classes of timber, its rate of growth, and whether it was going backward or not. This decrement or loss due to overmaturity of the timber is of great importance, for in the case of over-maturity, where the market would warrant, we would cut there first, before windfall and disease coot the upper hand. I just recentmy received a letter from a friend of mine in the Canadian Service who states that Canada is putting on a bis National Forest Inventory and will have twenty growth study parties in the field, and will not only study the annual increment that its forests are putting on each year, but will also make studies of the annual decrement or loss ecoing on due to over -maturity of its forests. That is the way canada is meeting the need of a land inventory and appreciates the use of growth studies and the necessity of selling and cutting timber before it foes backward.
3. The burned areas and the areas of no timber where planting might be resorted to.
4. The areas of better soils which might be blocked out as agricultural.
5. A stud of the silvicultural requirements of the trees and the reproduction in order to determine cutting methods to perpetuate the valuable species.

In order to ascertain the above it involved a land inventory. My bear paw snowshoes were in demand all winter taking mine in many inaccessible regions mapping timber, old burns, etc, that we might better know our forest, and its most marketable timber.

How does this apply to us here? The Wisconsin Land Economic Inventory is making just such a study to determine the above facts
which were considered necessary by the forest service before any crude plan of forest manageinent could be adopted. Our present inventory will give us maps showing the location of the timber of the state, its kind, size, age, etc. It will give the total estimate of timber on the ground by species, its rate of growth and predictions for the future yield. It will sive all the opon and burned over areas where planting might be desirable, the areas of swamps, lakes, land now in agriculture, ete., busides many things on game and fish not portaining to this article.

And so then we are just starting something (we have only been in two counties) to give us such facts which are essential before any kind of a working plan or system of management for the northern part of the state can bo attempted.

In any plan of timber management ono of the first essentials is to know the kind of timber producing the groatust income and its silvical requirements so that a proper method of cutting may be used to perpetuate this desired spocies. I think here in this state we arc inclined to consider all arcas of green as satisfactory timber land, wherc as a matter of fact, wo have many thousands of acres that are producing no income whatever, I refur to our vast forests of pin-cherry, scrub-oak, and scattered popple. Mr. Goodman rightly pointed out that rather than talk of sclective cutting as appliud to all timber, it is botter to say a plan of management, as selection cutting does not, in my judgment, apply to pine timbor, and if practiced too rostrictively in this state is liable to lead to the prodominance of maple, and the exclusion of yellow birch and basswood. Restrictive cutting may lead, thorefore, to the predominance of the weod tree and the exclusion of the desirable.

I quoto the following from a technical report I wrote for the Coeur d' Alone National Forest over twenty ycars ago, which shows a similar problem to that hure. "The problea of maintaining the white pine stand on this forest will not. be an casy onc. Actual counts of seedings and youne trocs made on plots where white pine runs over fifty per cent of the mature stand, show that for every yound white pine tree found in the roproduction, there are from one to three hundred white fir or hemlock. Numerous oxamples of this inferior reproduction can be found on all parts of the forest.

The loss incurrud by allowing fine stands of white pine to deteriorate into stands of white fir and hemlock is great, as the following stand of merchantable timber is not only poorer, but the forest has no longer the ability to soed up with the proper spucies. It is noticeable, however, on this forust that wherever there are old burns or open places tho reproduction is in most all cases of the more desirable kinds of timber. Such examples as these have helped in the solution of a proper cutting system. The problem has beun to find some muthod of cutting that would meet tho silvical dumands of the specius to be favored, and keep the pooror species from becoming the predominant stand." This lead to cluar cutting in strips and the group seed tree method.

In my last papor I endeavored to show how much more favorable clear cutting methods were for the reproduction of yellow birch than a restrictive or selective system, as shown by a recent study made in the Adirondacks. Clear cutting does not moan desolation or destruction of our forcsts as so many seum to think, but it is a
scientific method of forestry management adapted for the reproduction and better growth of intolerant species such as our yollow birch, basswood and pine.

## Knowledge of Rato of Growth Fundenental.

In any plan for forest management it is necessary to know how fast the various specius grow so that wo may determine the most valuable species to raise, predict its growth in fully stocked stands at any age, and the finencial return. The growth study recently made in Vilas County, Wisconsin, reveals a wide range in the rate of frowth of the various species in board foct production and I think is quito conclusive as the most desirable species for financial return.

|  | Volume in | Volume in | Volume in |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50years | 100 years | l50 years |
|  | Bd.Ft. | Bd. Ft. | Bd. Ft. |
|  | 30 | 330 | 1200 |
| White pine | 100 | 470 | 1000 |
| Norway " | 10 | 200 | 620 |
| White spruce | none | 90 | 240 |
| Yellow birch | none | Av. Dia. |  |
| Maple | 6 in. |  |  |
|  |  | None | 75 |

In one hundred and fifty years the white pine has produced a board foot volume of sixteen times that of the hard maple, and in one hundred yoars Norway pinc has producod twonty-seven times the cubic foot volume of the hard maple. Can thore be any doubt, therefore, as to the spocies to encourage in the northern part of the state, especially as most of our land is adapted to the growing of pine and spruce as well as having a favorable climate for these species?

In fifty years Norway pine has a bie lead over the others and probably would be most valuable for short rotations for the production of pulp. Spruce will probably do much better in planted stands as in natural stands it is growing the groeter part of its life under suppression. Therefore the figure of 820 board feet, tho maximum grovth found for white spruce for one hundrod and fifty yoars, would probably be nearer correct for this species.

## Planting

Onc of the first thinE゙s we endeavored to do on our National Forest twenty yoars ago was to locate old burns and opon areas not rustocking with timber, and endeavor to rostock them either by seeding or planting. I had charge of seoding twenty-four hundred acrus with corn planter and planted possibly two hundred acres to white pine and Douglas fir another time and we endeavored to seed and plant on the most open and most favorable plenting areas first. Planting was soon found to be the most successful method.

Here, in Wisconsin, therofore I believe it would be very desirable to plant up with pinc or spruce our most fevorable open areas first, as mapped by the inventory, then underplant with the same species our scattered popple, pin-cherry and scrub-ook lands, graduelly converting them beck to their original pine typo and to a species that
will yiuld good financiel returns. The grow'th study has shown the.t white pine will frow well undor popple stands up to a density of about $50 \%$. After that it is shaded out.

I do not believe it would be possible to convert our hordwood stands, evon if we so desired, over to pinc and spruce and therefore, I believe thuy should be left under a good form of manegement to produce the most rapid growth of the most dusirable species. Growing as they do on the more valuable soils they will doubtiess be used more and more as a woodlot proposition.

The popple, pin-cherry and scrub-oak stonds, however, could be converted by planting into pine and sprucc. This, of course, would call for a far greater nursury output which would be the first thing necessary in any planting plan.

## Finencial Rotation or When to Cut

There was one othur important point we endeavored to ascertain on our national forest. This was as to the bost rotation or when to cut or what is known as the best financiol rotation. I believe we found this to be only about seventy-five yuars even for saw log timber.

Here wo find that for pulp matericul in a planted stand the best financial rotation for white pine would be about sixty or seventy years and for Norway pine about forty years, figuring 3\% compound interest on the investment.

For saw log material the bust financial rotation for white pine would be probably from 110 to 130 years and for Norway pine about ninety years, at $3 \%$ compound interest on the investmunt. This would mean a diametor at breast height for pulpwood on an average of eleven inches for both white and Nomay pine and twenty threc or twenty-four inches for white pine and twonty inches for Norwey when cut for saw timber.

## Summary

The expericnce from National Forest work shows the following needs:

1. An inventory of our stock. (To show our timber, its kind, location, age, rate of growth, decremont, and its silvicnl requirements to perpetuato itself.)
2. To know our large areas of open non-agricultural lends that are not restocking to a species of value.
3. To plant first, these very favorable oreas, to pine and spruce, and second, undurplant our vast aruas of popple, pin-cherry and scrub-oak gradually converting them into revenue producing specius, so they will be assets and not losses to the community.
> W.W.Morris,

> In Charge of Grovith Stud y Investiçations Wisconsin Land Economic Invontory Wisconsin Dept. of Agriculture \& Markets.

# TABLES SHOWING GROWTH AND MERCHANTABILITY 

FOR
WHITE, NORWAY, and JACK PINE
NORTHERN WISCONSIN
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JUL 9 103?
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## Wis. Land Inventory

 1931Merchantable Table for


Inches Diam. cu.ft. Bd.ft.

(Sec Note on Nollowine page)

Note:

1. Based on 26 trees on logछing operations.
2. Av. stump height is 1.0 foot.
3. Diameter breast high is measured at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet above ground, outside bark.
4. D.B.H. $x 1.08=$ D.I.B. stump.
5. An average tree will produce its first 10 foot sawlog, 6 inches at top, in 38 years. Stump diameter is $7.8^{\circ}$ inches, volume is 2.5 cubic feet.
6. The Scribner Decimal "C" log rule was used in computing board foot volumes.
7. Trees were cut to 7 inches average top diameter, and ranged from 18 to 44 inches D.B.H.


Note:

1. Based on 26 trees on logging operation.
2. Diameter groupings are inclusive (0-9", 10-19", 20-44")
3. The difference in taper between the diameter groupings lies mostly in the first 15 feet above the stump, the larger trees having more taper.
4. This table may be used in estimating white pine to determine average diameter at any height, or the averace merchantable height to any desired top diameter, on good sites.

# Comparison of 

D.B.H. and D.I.B. Stump
for White Pine

Diameter Breast High Inches

1
2
3
4
4
5
6
7
8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 44

Diameter at Stump $\frac{\text { inside bark }}{\text { Inches }}$
1.0
2.1
3.2
4.3
5.4
6.5
7.6
8.7
9.8
11.0
12.0
13.1
14.2
15.3
16.4
17.5
18.6
19.7
20.8
21.9
23.0
24.1
25.2
26.3
27.4
28.4
29.5
30.6
31.8
33.0
34.0
35.0
36.1
37.2
38.2
39.0
40.0
40.7
41.5
42.2
42.8
43.4
43.8
44.2

Note:
Average stump height is approximately one foot.

Taper Table
for
Norway Pine
Vilas County,Wis.

| Heigh |  | Taper |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above | ' (Av. di | erence be | en diamete | ' fiv. | Taper por | r Foot | for |
| Stump | ' at st <br> - above | $\begin{aligned} & \text { and at } \\ & \text { ump) } \end{aligned}$ | given heig | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 5-foot | interva |  |
|  | - Trees | 1 Trees | Trees | I Inter- | 'Trees | Trees | Trees |
|  | 10-10 | - 11-20 | 1 21-30 | 'vals | '0-10 | 11-20 | 21-30 |
|  | 'inches | - inches | - inches | ' | 'inches | inches | Inches |
|  | 1 D.I.B. | - D.I.B. | D.I.B. | 1 1 | 'D.I.B. | D.I.B. | D.I.B.' |
|  | - Stump | - Stump | Stump | , | 'stump | stump | stump |
| Feet | Inches | Inches | Inches | Feet | Inches | Inches | Inches |
| 5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0-5 | . 16 | . 26 | 52 |
| 10 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 5-10 | . 14 | . 24 | . 34 |
| 15 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 10-15 | . 14 | . 18 | . 22 |
| 20 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 15-20 | . 14 | . 18 | . 18 |
| 25 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 20-25 | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 |
| 30 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 7.6 | 25-30 | . 14 | . 14 | . 12 |
| 35 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 30-35 | . 16 | -14 | -14 |
| 40 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 35-40 | . 14 | . 14 | -12 |
| 45 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 9.5 | 40-45 | . 16 | . 14 | . 12 |
| 50 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 45-50 | . 14 | . 18 | . 14 |
| 55 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 50-55 | . 14 | . 20 | . 14 |
| 60 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 55-60 | .14 | . 16 | . 14 |
| 65 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 12.7 | 60-65 | . 14 | . 24 | . 22 |
| 70 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 65-70 | . 16 | . 22 | . 20 |
| 75 | 10.9 | 14.1 | 15.0 | 70-75 | . 14 | . 26 | . 26 |
| 80 |  | 15.3 | 16.3 | 75-80 |  | . 24 | . 26 |
| 85 |  | 16.7 | 18.0 | 80-85 |  | . 28 | . 34 |
| 90 |  | 18.2 | 19.8 | 85-90 |  | . 30 | . 36 |
| 95 |  | 19.7 | 22.0 | 90-95 |  | . 30 | . 44 |
| 100 |  |  | 24.8 | 95-100 |  |  | 56 |

Note:

1. Based on 17 trees on logging operation.
2. Diameter groupings are 0 - 10.5 incl., 10.6-20.5 incl., and $20.6-30.5$ incl.
3. This table may be used in estimating Norway pine to determine average diameter at any height, or the average merchantable height to any desired top diameter, on good sites.

Merchantable Table for Norway Pine<br>Vilas County, Wis.



| 5 | 8 | 1.0 |  | 1 | - $8^{1}$ | pulpwood | stick |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 16 | 1.5 |  | 2 | - $8^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 24 | 3.5 | 10 | 1 | $-16^{\prime}$ | sawlog, | $1-81$ | pulp | stick |
| 8 | 31 | 6.5 | 20 | 1 | -16' | " | $2-81$ |  |  |
| ¢ | 36 | 9.5 | 30 | 1 | -16' | " | $2 \frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | " | " |
| 12 | 42 | 12.5 | 40 | 2 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | $1-8^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| 11 | 47 | 17.0 | 60 | 2 | -16' | " | $2-8{ }^{\prime}$ | ' |  |
| 12 | 52 | 22.0 | 80 | 2 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | $2 \frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | " | " |
| 13 | 56 | 27.0 | 100 | 2 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | $3-8^{\prime}$ | " | \% |
| -4 | 60 | 32.5 | 130 | 3 | -16' | " | 1 $\frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | " |  |
| 15 | 64 | 38.5 | 165 | 3 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | $2-8{ }^{\prime}$ | " | " |
| 16 | 68 | 45.5 | 205 | 3 | -16' | " | $2 \frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | " |  |
| 17 | 72 | 53.0 | 250 | 4 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | $1-81$ | " | ' |
| 18 | 75 | 60.0 | 295 | 4 | $-16^{\prime}$ | n | $1-81$ | " | ' |
| 19 | 79 | 70.0 | 355 | 4 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | 2-8' | " | " |
| 20 | 82 | 80.5 | 415 | 4 | -16' | " | $2-81$ | " |  |
| 21 | 85 | 93.0 | 490 | 4 | $-16^{\prime}$ | 1 | $2 \frac{1}{2}-8{ }^{\prime}$ | " | " |
| 22 | 88 | 106.0 | 570 | 5 | $-16^{\prime}$ | Ir | $1-81$ | " | I' |
| 23 | 91 | 119.0 | 660 | 5 | $-16^{\prime}$ | 1 | $1-8^{\prime}$ | IT | If |
| 24 | 93 | 130.5 | 740 | 5 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | 1 $\frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | II | \% |
| 25 | 95 | 143.0 | 840 | 5 | -16' | " | 2-8' | 1 | I |
| 26 | 97 | 154.0 | 925 | 5 | $-16^{\prime}$ | II | 2-8' | 1 | I |
| 27 | 99 | 165.0 | 1015 | 6 | -16' | " | $\frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | " | " |
| 28 | 101 | 176.0 | 1105 | 6 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | $\frac{1}{2}-8^{1}$ | I' | " |
| 29 | 102 | 186.5 | 1190 | 6 | $-16^{\prime}$ | II | $\frac{1}{2}-8^{\prime}$ | 1 | " |
| 30 | 103 | 198.0 | 1295 | 6 | $-16^{\prime}$ | " | 1-8' | I' | " |

Note:

1. Based on 17 trees on logging operation.
2. Av. stump height is 1.0 foot.
3. Diameter breast hich is measured at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet above the cround, outside bark.
4. Trees were cut to 8 inches in top, and ranged from 20 to 30 inches D.B.H.
5. An average tree will produce its first 10 ft. log, 6 inches at top, in 25 years. D.I.B. stump $=7.5$ inches. Volume $=2.4$ cubic feet.
6. The Scribner Decimal "C" log rule was used in computing board foot volumes.

| Diameter | Diameter at |
| :---: | :---: |
| Breast | Stump |
| High | Inside bark |
| Inches | Inches |
| 1 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 3.2 |
| 4 | 4.2 |
| 5 | 5.2 |
| 6 | 6.3 |
| 7 | 7.4 |
| 8 | 8.5 |
| 9 | 9.5 |
| 10 | 10.5 |
| 11 | 11.6 |
| 12 | 12.7 |
| 13 | 13.7 |
| 14 | 14.7 |
| 15 | 15.8 |
| 16 | 16.9 |
| 17 | 18.0 |
| 18 | 19.0 |
| 19 | 20.1 |
| 20 | 21.1 |
| 21 | 22.2 |
| 22 | 23.3 |
| 23 | 24.4 |
| 24 | 25.4 |
| 25 | 26.5 |
| 26 | 27.5 |
| 27 | 28.5 |
| 28 | 29.6 |
| 29 | 30.6 |
| 30 | 31.7 |

Note: Average stump height is approximately one foot.

Northern Wisconsin

| Age at Stump | ! | Av. D.I.B. Stump | ! | Max. <br> D.I.B. <br> Stump | : | Av. Total Height | 'Av. Merch. <br> 'Height (in- <br> 'cluding stump) <br> 'to $4^{\prime \prime}$ top | Av. <br> Merch. <br> Volume to <br> $4^{\prime \prime}$ top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  | Inches |  | Inches |  | Feet | Feet | Cu. ft. |
| 5 10 |  |  |  | 2.4 4.6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 15 |  | 2.7 |  | 6.6 |  | 13 |  | . 7 |
| 20 |  | 3.6 |  | 8.3 |  | 22 |  | 1.75 |
| 25 |  | 4.6 |  | 10.0 |  | 31 |  | 1.5 2.4 |
| 30 |  | 5.5 |  | 11.4 |  | 38 | 20 | 3.4 |
| 35 |  | 6.4 |  | 12.7 |  | 43 | 29 34 | 3.5 4.9 |
| 40 |  | 7.3 |  | 13.8 |  | 47 51 | 37 | 4.9 |
| 45 |  | 8.2 |  | 14.9 |  | -51 | 40 | 8.4 |
| 50 |  | 9.1 |  | 15.8 |  | 54 <br> 57 | 44 | 8.2 |
| 55 |  | 10.0 |  | 16.7 |  | 57 60 | 47 | 11.0 |
| 60 |  | 11.0 |  | 17.5 18.2 |  | 64 | 49 | 14.4 |
| 65 |  | 11.9 |  | 18.2 |  | 64 | 49 | 14.4 |
| 70 |  | 12.8 |  |  |  | 67 |  |  |
| 75 |  | 13.7 |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |

## Note:

1. Based on stump and stem measurements of 78 trees in Bayfield, Vilas, and Oneida counties.
2. Usual stump height is 1 foot, although some are cut at ground.

## Wis. Land Inventory 1931

## $\frac{\text { Taper Table }}{\text { for }}$ <br> Jack Pine

Northern Wisconsin


## Note:

1. Based on 24 trees in Bayfield and Vilas counties.
2. All diameter measurements were made inside bark.
3. This taper table may be used to indicate average diameters at different heights, and also the average height to any given top diameter.

Wis. Land Inventory 1931

## Merchantable Table for $\frac{\text { Jack Pine }}{\text { in }}$

Northern Wisconsin

| Diameter | Av, Merch. | Av. Merch. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Breast | Height | Volume to | Merchantable Units |
| High | Above | $4^{\prime \prime}$ top |  |
|  | Stump |  |  |
|  | to $4^{\prime \prime}$ top |  |  |


| Inches | Feet | Cu. Ft. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 14 | 1.9 | 112 | - 8 | ft. | pulp | sticks |
| 6 | 25 | 3.1 | 3 | - 8 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 32 | 4.6 | 4 | - 8 | " | " | " |
| 8 | 36 | 6.2 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -8 | " | " | " |
| 9 | 40 | 8.4 | 5 | - 8 | " | " | " |
| 10 | 43 | 10.5 | 1 | -10 | " | sawlog | ,4-8-ft |
| 11 | 46 | 12.8 | 2 | -10: | " | " | 3-8 " |
| 12 | 48 | 15.0 | 3 | -10 |  |  | 2-8" |

Note:

1. Merchantable height based on 15 trees, volume based on 38 trees.
2. Usual stump height is 1 foot, although some are cut at ground.
3. Diameter breast high is measured at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet above ground, outside bark.
4. An average tree (according to these figures) will produce one 8 foot log, 4 inches at the small end, in 26 years at the stump. Stump diameter inside bark is about 4.8 inches and volume is 0.85 cubic feet. The best single tree produced the same in 19 years.

Comparison of
D.B.H. and D. I. B. Stump
for
Jack Pine

| Diameter <br> Breast <br> High | Diameter <br> at Stump <br> Inside Bark |
| :--- | :---: |
| Inches | Inches |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 3.2 |
| 4 | 4.1 |
| 5 | 5.1 |
| 6 | 6.1 |
| 7 | 7.1 |
| 8 | 9.2 |
| 9 | 10.2 |
| 10 | 11.2 |
| 11 | 12.2 |
| 12 | 13.2 |
| 13 | 14.2 |
| 14 | 15.2 |

Note:
l. Usual stump height is 1 foot, although some are cut at ground.
2. Jack pine in Bayfield and Vilas counties, Wisconsin.

## Produce the Fiwst Merchantable Unit Northern Wisconsin



Note: White pine, Norway pine, and white spruce figures are from a logging operation in Vilas County. Jack pine data were largely from Bayfield County. Hemlock figures are from the Flambeau River in Sawyer County. Near Clam Lake, ishland County, the growth was poorer, hemlock requiring 69 years and 115 years respectively, for maximum and average growth, to produce an $8 \mathrm{ft} . \log , 4$ inches at the top, and requiring 87 years and 140 years, respectively, for maximum and average srowth, to produce a $10 \mathrm{ft} . \log , 6$ inches at the top. Yollow birch and aard maple show a little faster growth in Bayfield County than in Vilas County, according to the few trees measured. Balsam, black spruce, white cedar, and aspen figures are from southwestern ashland County. The aspen is growing under optimum conditions. The age given in these tables does not include the number of jears required for the tree to reach stump height.

# DETAILED STUDY of the <br> $\frac{\mathrm{GROWTH}}{\text { of }}$ <br> WHITE SPRUCE in <br> VI LAS and ASH L AND Counties With <br> GROWTH, TAPER and MERCHANTABLE VOLUME TABLES 

c
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## Possibilities of White Spruce for <br> Reforestation Purposes

White Spruce. White spruce in northern Wisconsin by reason of its value for paper pulp as well as for other important uses, and from the fact that it is one of our most rapidly growing trees, especially in early life, bids fair to become an important tree for reforestation purposes. Red spruce, Norway spruce, and white spruce, all make a very desirable paper pulp of about equal merits regarding strength, color, etc., and experiments should be made to determine the relative rate of growth of these three species growing under similar conditions in the northern part of the state. White spruce, being the only one that is native to the state, would naturally be the one to use for reforestation until any of the others prove by experimentation to be more desirable. Unlike the black spruce, white spruce is not a swamp spocies, but grows to its best advantage on moist upland conditions, and is usually found growing in mixture with white and Norway pine or with hemlock hardwood stands. It has a very cylindrical bole, a scant crown, a short top to a three inch diameter, and very little of the tree is wasted in branches and tops.

Opportunity was offered to make a complete stem analysis of 24 large white spruce cut for sawlogs on a logging operation in vilas County, and 13 smaller white spruce cut for sawlogs and pulp in Ashland County. The largest white spruce measured had a diameter breast high of $28^{\prime \prime}$ and a height of 107 ${ }^{\prime}$. Five $16^{\prime}$ logs were taken from this tree to a $8^{\prime \prime}$ top and two more $8^{\prime}$ pulpwood sticks could have been taken from it to a $3^{\prime \prime}$ top. It had a total volume to a $3^{\prime \prime}$ top of 134 cubic feet and 730 board feet to a $6^{\prime \prime}$ top. The fastest growing white spruce which produced the greatest volume in the shortest time was $23.5^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter breast high and $112 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ tall when cut. This spruce not only grew in diametor faster in its early life than any of the other spruce, but also in height. It produced a total volume of 600 board feet and 120 cubic feet when cut. At 17 years of age from the stump it produced its first merchantable unit, an $8^{\prime}$ pulpwood stick $4.3^{\prime \prime}$ at the stump and $3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter inside
 white spruce measured in Ashland County, made its first merchantable pulpwood stick $8^{\prime}$ long and $3^{\prime \prime}$ at the top in 18 years from the stump. This tree was about $46^{\prime}$ in height at 35 years of age as compared to $4^{\prime \prime}$ in height at this age for the preceding tree. The average time required to produce the first pulpwood stick $8^{\prime}$ long with a $3^{\prime \prime}$ top is 40 years.

## Detailed Analysis of Growth

The following table shows the rate of growth in diameter, height, and volume for the average tree taken from all the white spruce studied, and the diameter growth of the maximum tree:

## TABLE Showing Growth for Every Five Year Period for White Spruce

## Vilas Co. Wis.



Based on 24 large trees.

Diameter Growth. It will be noticed that a comparison of average and maximum diameter growth shows a growth in diameter of $1.6^{\prime \prime}$ for the period of 10 to 15 years for the maximum tree, with only a quarter as much as this for the average tree for the same period. The diameter growth of the average tree never equals the most rapid growth of the maximum, which attains its greatest diameter growth in early life; but at the age of 60 to 65 , the average growth has increased until it equals the growth of the maximum tree which has now begun to diminish. From then on the diameter growth per year of the average exceeds the growth of the maximum tree, but it never attains the total diameter of the maximum.

Height Growth. In height growth the maximum tree is making its best growth ( 2 a year) at the age of 30 to 35 years. This growth is nearly attained by the average tree at the age of 50 to 55 years. The most rapid growth in height of the maximum tree is made in early life up to about 45 years, after which its height growth diminishes below that of the average tree.

Volume Growth. Volume growth is always of importance for it is directly proportional to the actual value which the tree is producing yearly. It will be seen that the maximum tree first puts on its greatest volume of 6 cubic feet in a five-year period, at the age of 45 to 50 years. From this time on, the volume increased regularly at 6 cubic feet every five years until the tree was cut. It was not until the five-year period of 120 to 125 years, that the average tree first put on a volume of 6 cubic feet. Seventy-five such trees growing at this rate would produce a cord a year. A fully stocked stand at 50 years of age should have approximately 500 trees to the acre.

The analysis of the growth shows that what is needed for raisinc pulpwood material is a growth in early life corresponding to the growth of a maximum tree. The great difference in growth is due to lack of sufficient light in early life of the white spruce growing under average conditions. A study of the maximum tree shows no suppression due to this cause. Planting will do away with suppression as every tree of a planted stand has light on all sides in early life. A planted stand, therefore, should grow more nearly like the growth made by the maximum tree which was not suppressed by too much shade and root competition. The growth, therefore, of a stand of white spruce growing under natural conditions is not a just criterion as to its rate of growth if given light in early life as in a planted stand.

The study shows that a tree like the white spruce can endure a. great deal of suppression in early life, but when finally its crown becomes dominant and it receives full sunlight, it makes a quick recovery, and if not too badly suppressed, ends its life having approximately the same volume as its neighbor which made the greater part of its most rapid growth in early life. It makes a vast deal of difference, however, from the standpoint of financial returns whether the tree produces this volume early or late in life,
and a planted stand has this groat advantage, namely: Of quick growth in early life by reason of its having desirable light conditions at this stage.

Soil. As this area grew the finest white spruce and pine thus far in the inventory work, a study of the soil is interesting and important. This fine growth was made on soil classified generally as Vilas sandy loam. The spruce was intermixed with beautiful large white and Norway pine and rather poor hardwoods. The soil was greatly mixed grading from a fine sandy loam to sand with here and there a little clay mixture. A cross-scction of the soil down to $3^{\prime}$, which would include practically all the main tree roots, showed the following: Needles and duff down to 2 inches, a loamy sand or gray podsil 2-6", a coffee brown sandy loam 6-14", transitional soil 14-18", anguiar sand and fine gravel 18-26", gray fine sand 26"-3'. If' level this area would probably have been classified as Plainfield sandy loam instead of Vilas sandy loam. No fire had burned over this area for yoars except in local spots lcaving the humus still available, and this fact together with desirable soil and moist conditions probably accounts for the remarkable growth of the timber. It is interosting to note that this splendid white spruce and pine was growing on land that is not good hardwood land, as the hardwoods are small and more or less defective.

Desirability for Paper Pulp. White spruce has a fiber length of 2.8 millimeters and an oven dry weight per cubic feet of 24 pounds. By the sulphite process it makes an excellent pulp, is strong, and bleaches easily to excellent white. Used for news, wrapping, book, high-grade printing end bond papers. By the sulphate process it makes an excellent pulp, very strong and of fine texture. It is used for high grade kraft, wrapping papers, and fiber board. By the mechanical process, it reduces readily, is of excellent color and standard strength, and is used for all purposes requiring ground wood.

The following tables show the growth, taper, and volume in cubic feet, board feet, and merchantable units for white spruce.

> Wm. West Morris
> In charge Growth Study Investigations Wisconsin Land Inventory

Assistance in the preparation of the following tables was given by Lamar Wood of the Forestry School of Michigan State College.

## Growth Table for <br> White Spruce

Under Best Growth Conditions
Site I - Vilas County

Age at Av. Max. Av. Max. Av. Max. Av. Merch. Stump Diam. Diam. Total Total Merch. Merch. Height (incl. at at Height Height Vol. to Vol. to
stump) to $3^{\prime \prime}$ top Stump Stump
Years Inches Inches Feet Feet Cu. Ft. Cu. Ft. Feet

| 5 | .2 | 1.0 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | .5 | 2.0 | 5 | 9 |  | .15 |  |
| 15 | .9 | 3.6 | 8 | 14 |  | 1.0 |  |
| 20 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 10 | 20 |  | 2.5 |  |
| 25 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 14 | 27 |  | .1 | 5.0 |
| 30 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 19 | 36 | .2 | 9.5 |  |
| 35 | 3.5 | 10.0 | 24 | 46 | .6 | 13.0 | 10 |
| 40 | 4.4 | 11.6 | 29 | 55 | 1.7 | 19.0 | 18 |
| 45 | 5.3 | 13.2 | 35 | 63 | 1.7 | 24 |  |
| 50 | 6.2 | 14.8 | 42 | 69 | 2.8 | 25.0 | 31 |
| 55 | 7.3 | 16.2 | 51 | 75 | 4.5 | 31.0 | 36 |
| 60 | 8.4 | 17.4 | 58 | 79 | 7.0 | 37.0 | 36 |
| 65 | 9.6 | 18.6 | 64 | 83 | 10.1 | 43.0 | 42 |
| 70 | 10.8 | 19.6 | 69 | 86 | 13.7 | 49.0 | 47 |
| 75 | 12.0 | 20.8 | 73 | 88 | 18.0 | 55.0 | 53 |
| 80 | 13.1 | 21.6 | 77 | 91 | 22.5 | 61.0 | 57 |
| 85 | 14.1 | 22.4 | 80 | 93 | 27.0 | 67.0 | 62 |
| 90 | 15.2 | 23.2 | 83 | 95 | 32.4 | 73.0 | 67 |
| 95 | 16.2 | 24.0 | 86 | 97 | 37.4 | 79.0 | 71 |
| 100 | 17.2 | 24.6 | 88 | 99 | 42.7 | 85.0 | 75 |
| 105 | 18.1 | 25.3 | 91 | 101 | 47.6 | 91.0 | 78 |
| 110 | 19.0 | 25.9 | 93 | 102 | 52.7 | 97.0 | 81 |
| 115 | 20.0 | 26.5 | 95 | 104 | 58.5 | 103.0 | 84 |
| 120 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 97 | 106 | 64.0 | 109.0 | 87 |
| 125 | 21.8 | 27.6 | 99 | 107 | 70.0 | 115.0 | 89 |
| 130 | 22.6 | 28.1 | 101 | 109 | 75.0 | 121.0 | 90 |
| 135 | 23.4 | 28.5 | 102 | 110 | 80.5 | 127.0 | 91 |
| 140 | 24.3 | 28.9 | 104 | 111 | 87.7 | 133.0 | 92 |
| 145 | 25.2 | 29.0 | 105 | 113 | 95.1 | 139.0 | 93 |
| 150 | 26.0 | 29.2 | 107 | 114 | 102.0 | 145.0 | 94 |

Note: 1. Based on 24 large trees cut on logging operation.
2. Average stump height is 1.5 feet.
3. Morchantable height includes stump height.
4. All diameters given are inside bark.
5. Note great height growth ns comparod to that under open conditions on area in Ashland.

Growth Table
for
White Spruce
Open Grown With Popple on Burned-over and Cut-over Land. Soil poorly drained, fine sandy loam

Ashland County

| Age <br> at <br> Stump | Av. | Max. | Av. <br> Total | Max. <br> Tot. <br> Ht. | Av. <br> Merch. | Max.Merch. <br> Vol. to | Av.Merch. Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Max. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diam. | Diam. |  |  |  | 3' top | (incl.stump) |
|  | at | at | Height |  |  |  | to $3^{\prime \prime}$ top |
|  | Stump | Stump |  |  |  |  | Diam. |

Years Inches Inches Feet Feet cu. Feet cu. Feet Fuw Feet

| 5 | . 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | . 4 | 3.2 | 5 | 12 |  | 1 |  |
| 15 | . 7 | 4.8 | 8 | 18 |  | 1.8 |  |
| 20 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 10 | 24 |  | 3.3 |  |
| 25 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 13 | 32 |  | 6.0 |  |
| 30 | 1.5 | 9.6 | 16 | 39 |  | 9.5 |  |
| 35 | 1.9 | 11.2 | 19 | 45 |  | 13.4 |  |
| 40 | 2.3 | 12.8 | 22 | 50 |  | 17.3 |  |
| 45 | 2.7 | 14.4 | 25 | 55 |  | 21.0 |  |
| 50 | 3.2 | 16.0 | 29 | 6 |  | 24.5 |  |
| 55 | 3.8 | 17.6 | 33 | 64 | 1.0 |  | 10 |
| 60 | 4.4 | 19.2 | 37 | 68 | 1.5 |  | 17 |
| 65 | 5.2 |  | 41 |  | 2.4 |  | 23 |
| 70 | 6.0 |  | 44 |  | 3.7 |  | 29 |
| 75 | 6.9 |  | 48 |  | 5.0 |  | 34 |
| 80 | 7.9 |  | 51 |  | 6.6 |  | 38 |
| 85 | 8.8 |  | 55 |  | 8.5 |  | 43 |
| 90 | 9.8 |  | 58 |  | 10.5 |  | 47 |
| 95 | 10.7 |  | 61 |  | 13 |  | 51 |
| 100 | 11.6 |  | 64 |  | 16.7 |  | 55 |
| 105 | 12.6 |  | 66 |  | 20.5 |  | 60 |
| 110 | 13.5 |  | 69 |  | 25.0 |  | 64 |
| 115 | 14.5 |  | 71 |  | 29.5 |  | 68 |
| 120 | 15.4 |  | 74 |  | 35.0 |  | 72 |
| 125 | 16.4 |  | 76 |  | 40.0 |  | 76 |
| 130 | 17.3 |  | 78 |  | 45.5 |  | 79 |
| 135 | 18.2 |  | 80 |  | 45.5 |  | 82 |
| 140 |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |
| 145 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |
| 150 |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |  |

Note: 1. Based on 13 trees cut on small pulpwood operation.
2. Average stump height is 1.3 feet.
3. Merchantable height includes stump height.
4. All diameters given sre inside bark.
5. Note smaller height growth and slightly greater diameter growth of maximum trees as compared to those on area in Vilas County, on account of open growth.

# Taper Table <br> White Spruce <br> Vilas and Ashland Cos. 

| Height | Taper | Taper | Taper | ' Av. Taper | per $f$ | for 51 | intervals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above | for | for | for |  |  |  |  |
| Stump | Trees $0-9 \mathrm{D}$ D.I.B. | Trees | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trees } \\ & 20-30 " \end{aligned}$ | I Intervals | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trees } \\ & 0-9 " \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trees } \\ & 10-19 " \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trees } \\ & 20-30 " \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Stump | D.I.B. | D.I.B. | , | D.I.B. | D.I.B. | D.I.B. |
|  |  | Stump | Stump | , | Stump | Stump | Stump |
| Feet | Inches | Inches | Inches | Feet | Inches | Inches | Inches |
| 5 | . 9 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 0-5' | . 18 | . 46 | 1.12 |
| 10 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 5-10' | . 14 | . 20 | . 26 |
| 15 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 10-15: | . 10 | .14 | . 16 |
| 20 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 15-20' | . 12 | . 14 | . 14 |
| 25 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 9.0 | 20-25' | . 10 | . 10 | . 12 |
| 30 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 9.6 | 25-30' | . 12 | . 12 | .12 |
| 35 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 10.3 | 30-35' | . 14 | . 12 | . 14 |
| 40 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 11.0 | 35-40' | . 14 | . 14 | . 14 |
| 45 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 11.8 | 40-45' | . 18 | . 16 | . 16 |
| 50 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 12.6 | 45-50 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | . 16 | . 18 | . 16 |
| 55 | 7.9 | 9.7 | 13.5 | 50-55' | . 20 | . 18 | . 18 |
| 60 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 14.5 | 55-60' | . 22 | . 20 | . 20 |
| 65 |  | 11.7 | 15.5 | 60-65' |  | . 20 | . 20 |
| 70 |  | 12.7 | 16.5 | 65-70* |  | . 20 | . 20 |
| 75 |  | 13.8 | 17.6 | $70.75{ }^{\prime}$ |  | . 22 | . 22 |
| 80 |  | 14.9 | 18.8 | 75-80' |  | . 22 | . 24 |
| 85 |  | 16.3 | 20.2 | 80-85 ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | . 28 | . 28 |
| 90 |  |  | 21.7 | 85-90' |  |  | . 30 |
| 95 |  |  | 23.5 | 90-95' |  |  | . 36 |
| 100 |  |  | 25.8 | 95-100' |  |  | . 46 |
| 105 |  |  | 28.8 | 100-105' |  |  | . 60 |

Note: 1. Based on 37 trees ( 24 in Vilas Co., 13 in Ashland Co.)
2. Diameter classes are all inclusive (0.9", 10-19", 20-30")
3. Above 20 feet from stump, rate of taper is practically the same for trees of all diameters. The great difference in taper lies below 20 feet. The larger trees have much more taper (below 20 ft .) than have small trees.
4. This taper tablo may be used when estimating white spruce to determine the diameter at any point in the tree, and also the merchantable height (approximate) of any white spruce to a 3-inch top or any desired dinmeter in the top.

## Merchantable Table

for
White Spruce

Vilas County

| Diam. <br> Breast <br> High | Av. | Av. | Av. | Merchantable Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Merch. | Merch. | - Mer. |  |
|  | Height | Vol ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | Vol. |  |
|  | Above | to 3" | to 6" |  |
|  | Stump to 3" top | top | top |  |



Note: 1. Based on 24 large trees cut in logging operation.
2. Average stump height cut was 1.5 feet.
3. Diameter breast high is measured at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet above the ground, outside bark.
4. To convert D.B.H. to diameter inside bark at stump, multiply by 1.1 for D.B.H.'s of 0.19 inches inclusive, and by 1.2 for D.B.H.'s of 20 inches and above.
5. To produce one 8 -foot pulpwood stick, 3inches at small end, an average tree must be 4.3 in . at the stump, or 3.9 in . D. B. H. The age of this average tree is 40 years at the stump. The stick hes \& volume of 0.55 cu. feet.
6. The Seribner Docimal "C" log rule was used in computing bord foot volumes.

Forestry for Profit. It should always be borne in mind by those about to engage in reforestation projects that a forest is a long time crop. No return can possibly be expected on timber for most commercial purposes, as for instance pulpwood, for at least thirty years. Returns at this time will probably only be in the nature of thinnings to provide enough money to retire the initial cost of producing and caring for the forest.

For this reason a corporation, state or government, is best able to care for and follow out a forest plan of planting, thinning, and harvesting as well as protection, over a long time period. Individuals may, however, make a start on a forest project, and they themselves may have the pleasure and benefit of seeing the trees they have planted grow to good size. The planted forest will give protection and added value to their land, and finally may be turned over to their children as a rapidly growing insurance policy, for as soon as land is planted to timber of a valuable species, the young growing stock has a definite value which increases with every year of growth. Such a forest could be dedicated Irom generatio: to generation as a family forest.

Size of Planting Unit. In planting any area for financial returns enough land should be reforested to warrant a logging operation at a reasonable figure. As a rule the larger forest unit can be planted and maintained at a lower cost per acre. Forty acres would be a good working unit to use, as the smallest area to plant for a profitable forestry investment.

Choice of Land. As a general thing the lighter soils raise pine and spruce more rapidly than the heavier soils, and thus a forestry crop need not encroach on agriculture. On such land a good financial return can be made from growing the right kind of a forest crop such a.s pine and spruce under proper forest management, but owing to the long time element involved, few individuals attempt it. Idle land, however, whether held by the individual or the nation, is nonproductive and all such should be put to some work wherever possible.

Protection of Area. Before any planting is done the area must have adequate protection from fire. Stock also must be kept out. Unless the area to be planted can have this protection it is useless to plant.

When to Plant. Planting should be done in the spring or fall; in the spring from the time the ground is open until about the end of May, depending on the year, and in the fall from about the first of September until the ground freezes. One advantage of spring planting is that the young stock is not injured by winter heaving the first year, before their roots get a foothold. This is especially tirue for a heavy soil. A hard and fast rule giving a definite date for planting, or not planting, is not always reliable, as planting conditions are controlled by the weather. It must always be remembered that the roots shoudd never be allowed to dry out as might occur in planting in very hot or dry weather, and that the soil should be moist but not too wet. Tnese conditions in spring or fall should be the determining factors.

Species to Plant. In the northern part of the state white and Norway pine and white spruce are recommended for general planting, and possibly jack pine on a few of the driest sites. There is very little land in Bayfield or Vilas counties too poor for Norway or white pine. In the southern part of the state Norway spruce on the moist soils is recommended. All these species make good paper pulp especially white and Norway spruce, and all are fast growers, Growth tables for these various species can be furnished on request to the State Department of Agriculture and Markets, Madison.

Soils Jack pine is found growing on the poorest and driest sandy soils of the northern part of the state. Norway pine grows on the poorer light sandy soils also, where it can get moisture. Jack and Norway pine need plenty of light for good growth, and are not desirable to plant under old stands. White pine will grow on most of the sandy soils of the state, but needs moisture and grows most rapidly on a sandy loam. It can stand a little more shading than Norway pine. It will grow well under a thin stand of popple, but not a dense stand above approximately 50 per cent stocking. White spruce needs moist soil, and prefers a moist fine sandy loam. It can stand considerable shade, and therefore is good for underplanting moist popple lands. However, its most rapid growth is made in full light. A stand of popple or any other forest cover, however, serves to improve the soil and hold the moisture on the surface for the young spruce to get a start.

## How to Plant

Heeling in. As soon as a shipment of young trees is received from the nursery, if they are not to be set out immediately, they should be heeled in in order to keep them from drying out. Before opening the bundle, dig a trench in cool moist ground, open bunde of seedlings, and place in trench. Cover roots with earth, firm down, and place a little loose earth on top. They can be kept in this manner for several weeks without injury.

Spacing. A spacing of $6 \times 6^{1}$ apart, making 1210 trees per acre, is recommended. When plowing is possible, furrows can be made $6^{\prime}$ apart, and the young trees planted every $6^{\prime}$ in these furrows.

Care of Young Trees. The roots of the young trees should never be allowed to dry out. Conifers are especially susceptible and will soon be killed from this cause. In planting it is well to keep them in a wet burlap sack, taking them out one by one as each hole is plented. They should be planted in loose moist ground, the little rootlets well spread out, and firmed in well with the hands or heel, after which a little loose soil should be plnced as a mulch on the top. They should be planted at about the snme depth as they were formerly growing. The general tendency is to plant them too deep. Moisture is needed in early life. Usually this is supplied naturally, but sometimes a little watering in a dry time will save the day. Cultivation is desirable, but not necessary. Sod should be removed around young trees, as it will choke them out, and overtopping brush should also be removed where possible.

Species in Mixture. To avoid serious insect damage or a possible total loss of one species, it is well to mix the three
species, white and Norway pine and white spruce together, as they are found growing naturally in these mixed stands. In planting, a bunch of each of these three species of young trees can bo put together and planted by chance just as they are taken from the burlap seck.

Slow Growth at Start. It should be remembered that all conifers grow very slowly the first few years, usually until they are over five years old. During this period some of them may not appear to grow at all, but after this period they should quickly respond and eventually grow from two or even three feet in height a year. This slow growth at the stert is the hard period for the owner, and the cause of many failures in forest plantations through neglect at this stage.

History of a Planted Stand. A young planted stand with $6 \times{ }^{\prime \prime}$ planting starts out with 1200 trees per acre. Some of these trees will die at the stert, and others will gradually be suppressed until at the end of 30 years a fully stocked stand may have about 800 trees and be approximately $6^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. The fewer the trees, the greater the diameter and tho less the height growth. The trees that are suppressed and dic are uscful as they help to prune and force the height growth of thoir neighbors. At 60 years the fully stocked stand may have only 400 trees per acre and be approximately l2" in diameter. At 80 yoars, it may have only 250 trees approximately 17 " in diameter, and at 100 years, only 100 or 200 trees, maybe less, with an average diameter of approximately $20^{\prime \prime}$.

## Suggested Plans of Forest Manegement for Pulpwood and Sawlogs

A vury good plan of forest management for a planted timber tract at present would be to manage it for the production of pulpwood and sawlogs, cutting the pulpwood from thinnings as early in the life of the stand as possible. In following out this plen for a plantation of mixed white and Norway pine and spruce, a thinning should be made at about 30 years in which 10 cords (or approximately 250 trees) of pulpwood could be sold, which should have a value of $\$ 40.00$. This would cancel the initial cost of planting and expenses up to 30 years and leave a small net income. An early return is very necessary for a profitable investment. The demand for pulp material, taking spruce to $3^{\prime \prime}$ in the tops, makes a market for a thinning of this nature. At the end of 60 years, 30 or 40 more cords could probably be sold for pulp. After this time the stand could be allowed to grow on to be cut for sawlogs and a better grade of material, at possibly 80 years, and the balance taken at 100 years, after which it should again be replented and another timber crop raised. As much as possible of the early thinning should be taken from the Norway pine and white spruce. White pine is the best tree to leave for growth in late life, for high quality sawlogs and dimension material. Even at the age of 200 years and above, white pine has the ability to grow on in diameter, long aftor the other two species have practically stopped aking any growth.

Wm. West Morris
In Charge of Growth Study Investigetions

[^0]
# POSSIBLE PRODUCTION from THINNINGS <br> and FINAL CUTTING of a planted stand of SPRUCE or WHITE PINE under FOREST MANAGEMENT 

## Rotation 100 years

Clear Cut and Plant


Allow for the death by disuase or suppression for 640 trees per acre which is probably high where thinning is practiced.
Stumpage value per cord $\$ 4.00$
Stumpage value per M. bd. ft. \$15.00
Cost of plenting $\$ 6.00$ per sore
Cost of land \$2.00 per acre
Protection and troxes $18 \phi$ per acre
Interest - $4 \%$ compound

Growth of timber based on
Growth Studies for white pinc made by the Wisconsin Land Economic Inventory, Vilas County, 1929 Bayfield County, 1928

Based on the formula
$m_{G}=c\left(1.0 p^{m}\right)+\left(S_{c}+E\right)\left(1.0 p^{m}-1\right)-$ (valuo of thinnings)
when ${ }^{m} G_{c}$ means the cost at $m$ years, e the cost of planting, l.Op one plus the interest rate, $S_{c}$ cost of land, and E capitalized annual
expenses.

## Value of Reproduction and Young Forest Growth

There is often an occasion to place a value on young growing crops of timber before they are mature or large enough to cut. For instance, if a considerable amount of reproduction is destroyed by fire, it is well to have a scientific basis on which a value for this young growth can be determined. The following tables show two methods by which the value of such young growth at any age can be found.

The expectation value of the growing young crop of timber is obtained by adding together all gross incomes from thinnings with interest to the end of the rotation, and the value of the final cut, and subtracting all expenses incurred such as cost of land and yearly expenses for protection, taxes, supervision, etc., with interest to the end of the rotation. This net income is then discounted by the number of years which will elapse before cutting. This is obtained by subtracting the present age of the stand of young growth from the age at which the stand will be cut to produce the estimated yield. The growth studies give very reliable information as to this final yield at any period. Under the forest crop law, our taxes are also known, namely: $10 \notin$ an acre per year.

The cost value of the growing young crop of timber is based on the cost of planting a similar stand, the cost of the land, and the yearly expenses, taxes, protection, supervision, etc., with compound interest to the age of the young growth in question.

TABLE SHOWING THE COST and

## INCOME VALUE of ONE ACRE of

## YOUNG GROWTH of WHITE PINE.

(Raised for Pulp or Box Board Material before it is large enough to have a merchantable value)
if
PLANTED and PROTECTED
Expectation Value $=\frac{X_{r}+\text { Thinninss }-\left(S_{c}+E\right)\left(10 p^{r-m}-1\right)}{10 p^{r-m}}$
Cost Value $=c\left(10 p^{m}\right)+\left(S_{c}+E\right)\left(10 p^{m}-1\right)-$ Thinnings.
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ stumpage vaiue of final yield
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ cost of land per acre
$\mathrm{E}=$ capitalized annual expenses
$1.0 \mathrm{p}=1 \mathrm{plus}$ the rate of interest
$m=$ the age of the young growth
$\mathbf{r}=$ rotation, 50 years in this case
$c=$ cost of planting
Based on the following interest rate $3 \%$ - Rotation 50 years.
Value at 50 years 52 cords @ $\$ 2.00$ a cord $\$ 104.00$
Cost of planting $\$ 6.00$ per acre
Cost of land $\$ 2.00$ per acre
Protection, Administration and Taxes $24 \phi$ per acre.

| Age | Cost Value <br> of Growing Stock | Income Value of <br> Growing Stock |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8.54 | $\$ 20.15$ |
| 10 | 11.44 | 23.91 |
| 15 | 14.93 | 30.51 |
| 20 | 18.80 | 36.97 |
| 25 | 23.50 | 44.44 |
| 30 | 28.72 | 53.00 |

Note*
The income or expectation value would be the maximum one could afford to pay. Theoretically it would pay the investor $3 \%$ compound interest on the investment with no profit.

## Values for Commercial Popple on Good Soil

Expected Return - 30 cords at 50 years - $\$ 1.00$ per cord.
Formula for Expectation Value $=$ total income - the total expense carried to the end of the rotation and this net income discounted to the present.

```
Cost of land =$2.00. Rotation 50 years. e or expenses
```

capitalized at $3 \%=\$ 8.00$.
Formula $=m_{G e}=\frac{Y_{3}+\text { thinnings }\left(S_{e}+E\right)\left(10 p^{r-m}\right)}{10 p^{r-m}}$
For 10 years $=\frac{30-22.62}{3.36}=\$ 2.20$
For 20 years $=\frac{30-14.27}{2.43}=\$ 6.50$
30 years $=\frac{30-8.06}{1.81}=\$ 12.12$
40 years $=\frac{30-3.44}{1.34}=\$ 19.82$

Value of Virgin Stand of Hardwoods Under Natural Conditions of Growth
Rotation 200 years. Interest 3\%. Young growth now 20 years old. Value of most hardwood land at least $\$ 5.00$ an acre. Expected returns, 10,000 board feet per acre. Value at end of rotation at stunpage price of $\$ 10.00$ per thousand, $\$ 100.00$. Thinnings removed during life of stand, 50 cords at 1.00 a cord, $\$ 50.00$.

Value of young growth at 20 yre $\frac{150-\left(5+8 j\left(1.03^{180}-1\right)\right.}{1.03^{180}}=-\frac{\$ 12.25}{\text { per acre }}$

These values are of interest in the case of determining the value of young growth destroyed and in land sales where the land has a growth of young timber upon it. With a crop of valuable pine or spruce which grows rapidly and from which early returns can be expected, it will be seen that the expectation value of the young growth at only five years of age is worth \$20.00 an acre. With commercial popple on good soils under present conditions the expectation value at five years is practically nothing, and at ten years it has a value of \$2.20 an acre. On sandy soils popple has no expectation value because it will not produce a merchantable crop.

The negative value for hardwoods growing under natural conditions shows that it would not pay to protect and pay taxes for very young hardwood timber growth hoping to make any financial profit from same, unless the area is put under forest management and thinnings made for which there is a market, and the growth of the remaining timber thereby stimulated. There are, however, other values in natural hardwood stands to be considered, such as the aesthetic value for recreational purposes, and for protection for wild life, watersheds, etc., which values cannot be figured from a financial point of view.

It should be remembered that in logging off our present hardwood and hemlock stands, we are cutting the accumulated accretion of ages which has grown in merchantable volume too slowly under natural conditions to pay for its care and protection. Some of these old stands now are very valuable, but they have taken years to develop. It may be possible that by planting some hardwood stands or by proper methods of thinning, volume production may be so speeded up that raising hardwoods can be made profitable from a financial point of view.

Wm. West Morris,
In Charge of Growth Study Investigations Wisconsin Lend Inventory,

Growth, Taper, and Merchantable Volume Tables for Three Important Swamp and Low Land Species of Timber Growing in the State of Wisconsin

General Nature of Swamp Land. A large per cent of the land area in northeastern Sawyer County and southwestern Ashland County consists of timbered swamp. It is, therefore, of interest to know what kind of timber these swamps contain, what it is utilized for, and its rate of growth and ability for natural restocking, especially as a considerable amount of this land is owned by the state and industrial concerns. From the standpoint of the forest cover those swamps which contain any timber of commercial value are of two distinct types, namely: Black spruce and cedar-balsam.

There is a larger amount of the cedar-balsam type in this region than of the pure black spruce type, but both types are well represented. Sixteen miles of strip covering an area of 22 acres were run in this vicinity, and all timber tallied down to a oneinch diameter class to determine just what amount these swamps contain, the diameter classes of each, and the per cent of each species in mixture. Opportunity was offered to make a complete stem analysis of 34 balsam, 32 cedar, and 42 black spruce on a logging operation in southwestern Ashland County.

The table on page 2 shows the total number of trees of all diameter classes and the average per acre found on a strip 8 miles in length covering 8 acres run through southwestern Ashland County.

Management. Planting of these species in swamps will probably never be resorted to. Therefore, natural reproduction must supply the forest cover. From the standpoint of a forest property to be placed under forest management for raising timber on the shortest possible rotation such as in the case of an industrial concern interested in the production of paper pulp, swamp land would not be desirable. The growth of the timber is too slow as compared to growth on upland conditions, planting cannot be done advantageously, the cost of logging, road building, etc., would be greatly increased, and the large area of more desirable open uplands now available for planting would make them the first natural choice for the production of pulp timber under a plan of forest management. Some of these swamps may eventually be drained, but the high initial cost per acre would not now be warranted. The swamps are a very desirable refuge for wild life and should naturally be held as such by the state or federal government, given careful fire protection, and a silvicultural cutting policy adopted for the removal of the large timber when it arrives at a merchantable age.

Balsam. Although not occurring in such dense stands as some other species, balsam is more widely distributed over the northern section of the state than any other species. It is the one tree found in all types from the dry Jack pine sands to the hardwood and swamp types where it occurs in its greatest numbers. In the dense hardwood types though present, it is not found in such great amounts. In a count on 17 acres of hemlock-hardwood state timber land on the
tand Tabl Showing Average Number of Trees per Acr and Diameter Classes for the Bear Lake Region, Southwestern Ashland county. (The area covered by this table is fairly representative of the eneral swamp and low land region in southwstern part of
Ashland County.)


Flambeau River, it averaged 6.4 trees per acre, the trees ranging from 1 to 11 inches in diameter. In southern Ashland County balsam occurs in largest amounts mixed with cedar in what are designated as cedar-balsam swamps. Under these conditions in southwestern Ashland County it averaged 282 trees to the acre ranging in diameter from 1 to 12 inches as compared to 47 black spruce and 93 cedar per acre.

The average growth of balsam under natural conditions is not fast, and as is the case of all trees tolerant of shade, probably does not represent the possible growth under better light and soil conditions. The average time required to produce one 8 foot 10 g 4 inches in diameter at the top under average swamp conditions is 76 years. The base of this log is about $5.8^{\prime \prime}$ and the diameter breast high of the tree from which it is produced, 5.3". However, one tree observed not growing under suppression and on upland soil, made this same growth in 24 years, showing that under optimum conditions of soil and light, balsam has some possibilities, but that the natural growth of average swamp timber must be a fairly slow process.

Balsam is at present being cut considerably for pulp material. The average diameter of all balsam logs observed cut for pulp was $6.2^{\prime \prime}$, with an average volume of 1.8 cubic feet, making an average number of logs per cord of 50. The total volume of the average tree cut was 5.4 cubic feet, or it takes 16.7 balsam trees in this section to make one cord of pulpwood (based on 90 cu. ft. of solid wood per cord).

Balsam has a medium long fiber of 2.7 millimeters as compared to 2.8 for white spruce, 2.6 for black spruce, 3 for hemlock, 2.5 for Jack pine, 4.1 for white pine, and 1.0 millimeter for poplar.

A stand table for the cedar-balsam type, Ashland County, giving total number of trees found in the various diameter classes for the cedar-balsam type follows:

## Diameter Classes

Total number of trees in fully stocked stand, $1^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and above, 66 2/3-100\%

| $0-3^{\prime \prime} \quad 0-6^{\prime \prime} \quad 0-9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total number of trees in medium stocked stand, $1^{11}$ in diameter and above, $331 / 3-662 / 3 \%$

Poorly stocked stand, $1^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and above, $0-331 / 3 \%$

The cedar and balsam constitute about 55 per cent of the total number of trees and the balsam about 75 per cent of the total number of cedar and balsam.

In the eight miles of strip run in southwestern Ashland County the merchantable balsam (5.3" in diameter breast high and above) ran 104 cubic feet, or $1 / 6$ cords to the acre, with a total of $58-8^{\prime}$ pulpwood sticks per acre.

The reproduction consisting of all trees up to $10^{\prime}$ in height was also counted on these strips and balsam led all other species in this area, averaging 180 small trees per acre, which was 72 per cent of the total amount of reproduction.

The growth, taper, and average volume tables for balsam follow.

Age

Av. Diam. Max. Diam. Av. Max. Av. Total at Stump inside bark
at stump Total Total Merchantable
*Av. Absolute Vol. inside bark Height Height Ht. to 4.1" Av.to 4.1"Av. Top Diameter (Cu.ft.)
$\overline{\text { Years Inches }} \overline{\text { Feet }}$ Fect Feet

| 5 | .2 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10 | .4 |
| 15 | .7 |
| 20 | 1.0 |
| 25 | 1.4 |
| 30 | 1.7 |
| 35 | 2.1 |
| 40 | 2.5 |
| 45 | 2.9 |
| 50 | 3.3 |
| 55 | 3.7 |
| 60 | 4.2 |
| 65 | 4.7 |
| 70 | 5.2 |
| 75 | 5.7 |
| 80 | 6.2 |
| 85 | 6.8 |
| 90 | 7.4 |
| 95 | 8.0 |
| 100 | 8.6 |
| 105 | 9.3 |
| 110 | 10.0 |
| 115 | 10.7 |
| 120 | 11.4 |
| 125 | 12.1 |


| .3 | 1 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .8 | 2 | 14 |
| 1.2 | 3 | 22 |
| 1.7 | 5 | 29 |
| 2.2 | 7 | 36 |
| 2.8 | 9 | 41 |
| 3.5 | 11 | 45 |
| 4.3 | 14 | 49 |
| 5.1 | 17 | 52 |
| 5.9 | 20 | 54 |
| 6.8 | 24 | 56 |
| 7.8 | 29 | 59 |
| 8.9 | 35 | 61 |
| 10.1 | 39 | 63 |
| 11.3 | 43 | 65 |
| 12.5 | 47 | 66 |
| 13.7 | 50 | 68 |
| 14.9 | 53 | 70 |


|  | .1 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | .2 |
|  | .3 |
|  | .5 |
|  | .7 |
|  | .9 |
|  | 1.3 |
| 7 | 1.6 |
| 15 | 2.1 |
| 21 | 2.6 |
| 25 | 3.3 |
| 28 | 4.2 |
| 31 | 5.2 |
| 33 | 7.3 |
| 35 | 7.7 |
| 36 | 9.1 |
| 38 | 10.6 |
|  | 12.1 |

Table of Merchantable Height and Taper

Balsam
Ashland County, Wis.

Merch. Ht.
from stump
feet

5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40

Taper (Av. diff. between diameter at stump and at any given height) inches

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.4 \\
& 1.9 \\
& 2.4 \\
& 3.0 \\
& 3.8 \\
& 4.8 \\
& 6.0 \\
& 7.7
\end{aligned}
$$

Av. Taper per ft. for 10 ft.intervals - inches

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0-10 \mathrm{ft} .-.19 \\
10-20 \mathrm{ft} .-.11 \\
20-30 \mathrm{ft} .-.18 \\
30-40 \mathrm{ft} .-.29
\end{array}
$$

## Note:

Based on average of 32 trees.
This taper table may be used when estimating balsam to determine the diameter at any point in the tree, and also the merchantable height of any balsem to a $4^{\prime \prime}$ point, or any desired diameter in the top.
To convert D.B.H. (Diameter breast high, outside bark, $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{1}$ from ground) to diameter at stump inside bark, multiply D.B.H. by l.1.

## Merchantable Table for Balsam Showing D.B.H. and Merchantable Height from Stump to a 4.1" Top Diameter Inside Bark with Merchantable Units an $\overline{\text { d }}$ Money Value



Notc: Based on 32 trees cut on logging operation.
Cut to an average top diameter at 4.1 inches (inside bark).
Diameter breast high (4 $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. outside bark) can be converted
into diameter inside bark at the stump by multiplying the D.B.H. by 1.1.

Average number of pulpwood sticks to cord, 50 .

Arbor Vitae or white cedar. White cedar is found in quite dense stand s mixed with balsam in many of the swamps of southwestern Ashland and northern Sawyer counties. It is not of great size, the merchantable timber ( $6^{\prime \prime}$ and over in diameter) averaging about $2^{\prime 7}$ cedar trees to the acre on a count of 8 acres in this section, with an average diameter for the merchantable trees of approximately $8^{\prime \prime}$. The total number of cedar trees to the acre is 93. It is cut into poles, posts, and ties, and utilized in the tops to a diameter inside the bark of $4^{\prime \prime}$.

Cedar growing under natural conditions in these swamps grows quite slowly and evenly, averaging about 0.8 of an inch in diameter for every ten years over the periods from 30 to 180 years at the stump, after which time it drops to 0.4 of an inch in diameter every ten years at the stump at 180 to 190 years of age.

It makes its first merchantable post 7 r long with a $4^{\prime \prime}$ top when it has a diameter breast high of 6 inches, which occurs at the age of 89 years. The maximum growth of cedar showed the production of a merchantable post $7^{\prime \prime}$ long with a $4^{\prime \prime}$ top in' a period of 39 years from the stump. This probably represents the best growth cedar is capable of making under most favorable conditions in this area. Tne preceding stand table for this type shows the average number of cedar trees in mixture with balsam and other species for different diameter classes for fully stocked, medium stocked, and poorly stocked stands. The total number of merchantable cedar trees per acre as found in the strip run in southwestern Ashland County, consisting of 27 trees per acre, has a total volume of 225 cubic feet, or $21 / 2$ cords per acre. From this could be cut the following: 2-20' telephone poles, $6^{\prime \prime}$ top; 1-25' telephone pole, $6^{\prime \prime}$ top; 2-25' telephone poles, $7^{\prime \prime}$ top; $2-30^{\prime}$ telephone poles, $7^{\prime \prime}$ top; 2 ties $8^{\prime}$ long, $7^{\prime \prime}$ top; 22 - $10^{\prime}$ posts, and $16-71$ posts per acre.

Cudar is not reproducing itself heavily. A count of the reproduction over 24 acres on a strip 16 miles in length showed only $10^{\prime}$ trees per acre for seedlings and all small trees up to $10^{\prime}$ in height.

The growth, taper, and merchantable volume for cedar follow.

## Growth Table <br> for

White Cedar
Ashland County, Wısconsin

| Age | Av. Dia, | Max. Dia. | Av. | Max. | Av.Tutal Av. Max. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at | at Stump | at Stump | Total | Total | Merch. | Vulume Volume |
| Stump | Inside Bark | Inside Bark | Height | Height | Ht. to 4t Stem Stem |  |
| (feet) | (Inches) | (inches) | (feet) | (feet) | Top (ft.) | (cu.ft) (cu.ft) |


| 10 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2 | 9 |  |  | 0.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 7 | 19 |  | 1.9 |  |
| 30 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 14 | 25 |  | 3.5 |  |
| 40 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 18 | 31 |  | 0.2 | 5.3 |
| 50 | 3.4 | 8.4 | 22 | 34 |  | 0.7 | 7.2 |
| 60 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 26 | 38 |  | 1.2 | 9.3 |
| 70 | 5.0 | 10.7 | 29 | 41 | 5 | 2.0 | 11.6 |
| 80 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 33 | 43 | 10 | 14.8 |  |
| 90 | 6.6 | 12.5 | 36 | 46 | 15 | 14.2 |  |
| 100 | 7.3 | 13.3 | 39 | 49 | 18 | 3.7 | 16.5 |
| 110 | 8.1 | 14.0 | 42 | 51 | 23 | 4.7 | 18.4 |
| 120 | 8.9 | 14.6 | 45 | 54 | 26 | 5.8 | 19.8 |
| 130 | 9.6 | 15.2 | 47 | 56 | 29 | 7.1 | 21.3 |
| 140 | 10.3 | 10.9 | 15.6 | 50 | 58 | 32 | 8.7 |
| 150 | 11.8 | 52 | 59 | 34 | 10.4 | 23.4 |  |
| 160 | 11.5 |  | 54 | 61 | 37 | 12.5 |  |
| 170 | 12.0 |  | 56 | 62 | 38 | 14.6 |  |
| 180 | 12.5 |  |  | 57 | 63 | 40 | 17.0 |
| 190 | 12.9 | 13.3 |  | 59 | 64 | 41 | 19.0 |
| 200 | 13.7 |  |  | 60 | 65 | 42 | 21.0 |

Note: 1. Based on 32 trees in cutting operation.
2. Stump height is 2 feet.
3. Average total merchantable height to 4 inch top diameter inside bark includes stump height.
4. Cubic volume computed to a 5 inch top in the tree, and no volume computed below 2 inches in diameter in the stem.

Wis. Land Inventory 1930
Table
of
Merchantable Height and Taper
for
White Cedar
Ashland County, Wis.


Note:
Based on an average of 32 trees.
This taper table may be used when estimating cedar to determine the diameter at any point in the tree and also the merchantable height of any cedar to a 4-inch point or any desired diameter in the top.
To convert diameter (inside bark) at stump to diameter breast high (outside bark) multiply stump diameter by .08 and subtract from stump diameter.

Merchantable Table for White Cedar Showing

## D.B.H. and Merchantable Heirht from

 stump to a $4^{\text {" }}$ diameter inside bark with Merchantable Units and| D.B.H. <br> inches | Mer. Ht. from stump to a $4^{\prime \prime}$ top | Merchantable units when poles are cut | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { cars } \end{aligned}$ | Merchantable units when poles are not cut and only posts and ties taken | Value on cars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 6 | nothing |  | nothing |  |
| 6 | 12 | $1-4$ "-8' post | . 13 | $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ post | . 13 |
| 7 | 18 | 1-5"-10' post. 1-4"-7' post | . 36 | $1-5^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime}$ post. 1-4' $-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ post | . 36 |
| 8 | 23 | 1-6" $-10^{\prime}$ post. $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ post | . 43 | 1-6" $-10^{\prime}$ post. 1-4" -81 post | . 43 |
| 9 | 28 | $1-7 \frac{1}{4}-8^{\prime \prime}$ tie-8'. $1-6^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime}$ \& $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ pest | . 63 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ tie. $1-6^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime}$ post. $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ post | . 58 |
| 10 | 32 | 1-6"-20' tel, pole. $1-4{ }^{\prime \prime}-8{ }^{\prime}$ post | 1.13 | $2-7$ " \& 8' $8^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime}$ ties. $2-4^{\prime \prime} \& 5^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime}$ posts | 1.09 |
| 11 | 36 | $1-6^{\prime \prime}-25^{\prime}$ tel. pole. $1-5^{\prime \prime}+10^{\prime \prime}$ post | 1.75 | $3-7{ }^{\prime \prime}, 8^{\prime \prime} \& 9^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ ties. $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ post | 1.18 |
| 12 | 40 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-25^{\prime}$ tel. pole $2-4^{\prime \prime}$ \& $5^{\prime \prime}-7{ }^{\prime}$ posts | 2.25 | $3-8^{\prime \prime}, 9^{\prime \prime} \& 10^{\prime \prime}-8$ ' ties. $2-4^{\prime \prime}$ \& $5^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ posts | 1.30 |
| 13 | 43 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-30^{\prime}$ tel. pole. $1-4^{\prime \prime}-13^{\prime}$ post | 3.38 | $3-8^{\prime \prime}, 9^{\prime \prime} \& 11^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ ties. $1-6^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ and $1-4^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ pest | 1.86 |
| 14 | 46 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-30^{\prime}$ tel. pole, $2-4^{\prime \prime} \& 6^{\prime \prime}-7 \prime$ posts | 3.52 | $4-7{ }^{\prime \prime}, 9^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ \& $111^{\prime \prime}-81$ ties. $2-4^{\prime \prime}$ \& $5^{\prime \prime}$ " -81 posts | 1.94 |
| 15 | 48 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-35^{\prime}$ tel. pole. $1-4$ "'8' $^{\prime}$ pest | 5.13 | $4-7$ "', $9^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime} \& 11^{\prime \prime}-81$ ties, $1-4^{\prime \prime}-81$ post | 1.78 |
| 16 | 51 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-35^{\prime}$ tel. pole. $2-4^{\prime \prime}$ \& $6^{\prime \prime}$ ' $7^{\prime}$ ' posts | 5.27 | $4-8^{\prime \prime}, 9^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime} \& 11^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ ties. $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ post | 1.78 |
| 17 | 53 | $1-7^{\prime \prime}-40^{\prime}$ tel. pole. $1-4^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ post | 7.13 | $5-7^{\prime \prime}, 8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ \& $2-11^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ ties | 2.25 |

Note: D.B.H. means diameter breast high ( $4 \frac{1}{\circ}$ feet above ground)
Measurements obtained from 32 cedar trees cut on logging operations. Average top diameter taken to $5.1^{\prime \prime}$. Cedar can be utilized to $4^{\prime \prime}$ top diameter. Hei hts of stumps averaged $2^{\prime}$.

| All fence posts figured to a $4^{\prime \prime}$ top. | Tie cuts figured as follows: |  | Posts as follows: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telephone poles figured as follows: | $7 \frac{1}{4}-8^{\prime \prime}$ top, 8' long | 206 | $5^{\prime \prime}-10^{\prime \prime}-256$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}-8$ | - 204 |
| $1-20^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ telephone pole \$1.00 | $8^{\prime \prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ top, 8' long | 35¢ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}-10 '-30 \not \chi^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}-7$ | - 116 |
| $1-25^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $9-10^{\prime \prime}$ tor, $8^{\prime \prime}$ long | $50 ¢$ | $4{ }^{\prime \prime}-81-136$ | $5{ }^{\prime \prime}-7$ | - 146 |
| $1-25$ ' $\times 7^{\prime \prime}$ " " 2.00 | 10-11" top 8' long | $60 ¢$ | 5 "-8: -16¢ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}-7$ | - 166 |

In some localities on account of the rigid inspection of cedar poles some dealers can make a better profit, with the prevailine market conditions by only cutting posts and ties. A table is therefore given showing the possible merchantable units when only posts and ties are cut. Trees above 14 or 15 inches in diameter are too large at the base for the specifications required and therefore the first 81 butt cuts are not included in the table for merchantable units when only posts and ties are taken for trees above $14^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter.

Black Spruce. Black spruce is found growing almost entirely in the swamps of the state. It usually is growing practically pure or mixed with tamarack. It is rarely above $9^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, most of the black spruce stands running from 0 to $6^{\prime \prime}$ D.B.H. Nearly all the large tamarack is now dead, having been killed by the saw fly about eighteen or twenty years ago. This type also often contains a few slow growing white pine, dwarf yellow and white birch, and an occasional soft maple.

A fully stocked stand of black spruce from zero to $3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter contains from 1,000 to 1,300 trees per acre. A stand table for black spruce obtained from studies in Vilas, Ashland, Oneida, and Sawyer counties showing diameters and densities follows:

Stand Table for Black Spruce

| Diameter <br> Classes <br> D.B.H. <br> inches | Poorly stocked $0-331 / 3 \%$ | Modium stocked $331 / 3-662 / 3 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fully } \\ 662 / 3 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-3$ | 180 | 580 | 1100 |
| $0-6$ | 150 | 465 | 820 |
| 0-9 | 50 | 155 | 300 |

Black spruce is now being cut almost entirely for paper pulp. It is utilized to a top diameter of $3^{\prime \prime}$ and cut into 8 ft . leneths. The average stump height at which it is cut is about $6^{\prime \prime}$.

An average of 112 black spruce logs cut for paper pulp showed them to contain l. 2 cubic fuet to the log, or a total of 70 logs to the cord, with an average diameter of $5.3^{\prime \prime}$ (based on 85 cubic feet of solid wood per cord). The first merchantable log $8^{\prime}$ long and $3^{\prime \prime}$ at the small end is produced under average conditions in 54 years. Under the most favorable conditions such a log can be produced in 34 years. The average volume of all the 42 trees cut was 3.1 cubic Ieet per tree, or about $1 / 27$ of a cord. The average number of logs per tree was 2.6. It takes, therefore, about 27 merchantable black spruce trees above $3.6^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter breast high to make a cord. sbout 38 per cent of the total number of black spruce trees in southwestern Ashland County are large enough to be merchantable according to the average conditions found. This would make on some fully stocked stands as many as 450 merchantable trees to the acre, or about 17 cords per acre for a fully stocked stand.

Black spruce has a fiber length of 2.6 millimeters, and makes a sood strong grade of paper.

The reproduction of black spruce including all seedlings and trees up to 10 ft . high on a count of 24 ncres in this vicinity averaged 50 such trees per acre.

The growth, taper, and merchantable volume tables for black spruce follow.

Ashland County

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { at } \\ & \text { Stump } \end{aligned}$ | Av. <br> Diam. <br> at Stump | Max. <br> Dicm.at <br> Stump | Av. <br> Total <br> Height | Max. <br> Total <br> Height | Av. <br> Merch. <br> Height <br> to $3^{\prime \prime}$ top <br> Diameter | iv. Absolute <br> Volume to <br> 3" top <br> Diameter <br> (Cu. ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Inches | Inches | Feet | Feet | Feet |  |
| 5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| 10 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2 | 8 |  |  |
| 15 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 4 | 12 |  |  |
| 20 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| 25 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 8 | 22 |  |  |
| 30 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 10 | 28 |  | 0.05 |
| 35 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 13 | 31 |  | 0.1 |
| 40 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 18 | 35 |  | 0.2 |
| 45 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 23 | 38 |  | 0.4 |
| 50 | 4.0 | 8.8 | 29 | 41 |  | 0.7 |
| 55 | 4.8 | 9.9 | 34 | 44 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 60 | 5.5 | 10.7 | 38 | 46 | 14 | 1.6 |
| 65 | 6.2 |  | 41 | 49 | 18 | 2.3 2.9 |
| 70 | 6.8 |  | 45 | 52 | 22 | 2.9 3.6 |
| 75 | 7.4 |  | 47 | 54 | 26 | 3.6 4.3 |
| 80 | 7.9 |  | 50 |  | 29 | 4.3 5.2 |
| 85 | 8.4 |  | 52 |  | 32 | 5.2 5.9 |
| 90 | 8.7 |  | 55 |  | 34 | 5.9 7.9 |
| 95 | 9.0 |  | 57 |  | 36 | 7.1 |
| 100 | 9.3 |  | 58 |  | 38 | 7.9 |
| 105 | 9.5 |  | 60 |  | 39 | 8.6 |
| 110 | 9.7 |  | 62 |  | 40 | 9.4 |
| 115 | 9.8 |  | 63 |  | 41 | 9.7 |
| 120 | 10.0 |  | 64 |  | 42 | 10.4 |
| 125 | 10.0 |  | 65 |  | 42 | 10.5 |

Note: 1. Based on an average of 42 trees cut for pulpwood.
2. Average stump height is 0.6 ft .
3. Merchantable height includes stunp height.
4. All diameters given are inside bark.
5. Absolute volume includus the total volume for all trees to a $3^{\prime \prime}$ top and also shows diameter and volume of smaller trees that are not yet large enough to cut. Merchantable volume and absolute volume are nearly the same after a tree becomes merchantable.

Taper Table
for
Black Spruce
Ashland County.

Merch.
Height
From Stump

Fuet

| 5 |
| ---: |
| 10 |
| 15 |
| 20 |
| 25 |
| 30 |
| 35 |
| 40 |
| 45 |
| 50 |

Taper
(iv. difference between diam. at stump and at any given height) Inches
1.3
2.0
2.7
3.4
4.2
5.0
5.8
6.6
7.4
8.2
Av. Taper
per foot
for 5 foot
intervals
Inches

| 0-5 ft. | $\begin{array}{r} -0.26 \\ -\quad 0.14 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10-15 | - 0.14 |
| 15-20 | - 0.14 |
| 20-25 | - 0.16 |
| 25-30 | - 0.16 |
| 30-35 | - 0.16 |
| 35-40" | - 0.16 |
| 40-45" | - 0.16 |
| 45-50 " | --0.16 |

Note: 1. Based on an average of 42 trees cut for pulpwood.
2. This taper table may be used when estimating black spruce to determine the approximate diameter at any point in the tree and also the npproximate merchantable height to a 3 -inch point or any desired diameter in the top.
3. To convert diameter breast high (outside bark) to diameter at stump (inside bark), multiply by l.l. For example: D.B.H. $7.3^{\prime \prime} \times 1.1=8.0^{\prime \prime}$ D.I.B. stump.

## Merchantable Table <br> for <br> Black Spruce

ishland County.


Note: 1. Based on an sverage of 42 trees cut for pulpwood.
2. Diameter breast high is measured at $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. above the ground, outside bark.
3. To convert D.B.H. to diameter inside bark at stump, multiply by 1.1 .
4. iverage number pulpwood sticks per cord $=70$.

Age of Merchantability. The following table answers the question, how many years will it take for any of these species to produce a merchantable unit of some kind such as a stick of pulpwood or a post? imong the three swamp species noted here, balsam seems to be capable of the most rapid growth when growing under optimum conditions.

Table Showing Time Required to Reach Merchantable dge for Three Swamp Timber Species of Northern Wisconsin

Species
Age at stump when first

Cedar

Bleck sprude merchantable
Av. age ige of years max.growth
years
Balsam

89
39
6.0
3.6

Volume Cu. ft. of merchantable unit

8' pulp stick 1.0 $4^{\prime \prime}$ top

7' post, 4" 1.0 top

8' pulp stick, 0.65 3" top

Wm. W. Morris,
In Charge of Growth Study Investigations Land Economic Inventory, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture and Markets

Assistance in the preparation of the above tables was given by Mr, Lamar M. Wood, M.S.F. of Michigan State College, and Philip DeWalt of Ladysmith, Wisconsin,
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Popple. In view of the fact that popple lands now constitute at least one-third of the total forest cover crop of the northern part of the state, a knowledge as to their present and future value is desirable. Thirty-four and nine-tenths per cent of the total area of Vilas County is covered with a stand of popple. It is only a temporary crop and most of these popple stands have come in on land areas once supporting valuable stands of white and Norway pine and spruce. Stump counts of pine on these areas reveal the fact that in many instances this popple land once supported a pine growth of forty to fifty thousand board feet per acre. Most of this is found on sandy soils where popple will never amount to anything from a commercial point of view. Only one-sixth of the 228,000 acres of popple land in Vilas County is now growing on land that will produce a merchantable crop. A careful examination of this sandy land popple shows that much of it is diseased with a black knot or canker which appears at the base of the limbs and causes large swellings on the bole of the tree and often a rotten or discolored heart. There is no justification in holding popple land of this sandy type with the idea of future returns from the popple itself. The only possible way for financial returns on this sort of land is to restore it to pine and spruce by underplanting. Many people have the idea that by holding land with any kind of forest cover, it will soon grow into value. This is a mistake, for it is very necessary to have the right kind of timber growth on the land, which the industries of the state and nation demand before one can figure on any future returns. This is particularly true of sandy land popple which will never be of value except possibly for fuel.

The difference in present day stumpage value between a fully stocked stand of mature pine as compared to the value of a stand of popple raised on the same land is about five hundred and seventy dollars per acre. Four rotations of thirty-five year old popple could be raised in the time the above amount of pine was produced, but even then the four crops of popple would be worth only one hundred and twenty dollars, as compared to approximately six hundred per acre for the pine. It is evident, therefore, that our aim should be to convert our popple areas back into the original stands of pine.

## Reproduction Under Popple

As the popple stands cover such a widespread area in the northern part of the state, it is interesting to know what reproduction is coming in, and what the climax forest will be. On most of the popple areas studied sweet fern and bracken were the usual undercover with very little pine coming in. In two plots studied hard maple was coming in, and two plots were studied where young white pine averaged four hundred and thirty trees per acre growing as an understory in the popple. The first of these stands of popple, which was thirtythree years of age, had an estimated density of 50 per cent. In this stand the 280 white pine per acre averaged $3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. The pine has come along fairly well and will doubtless soon be the dominant stand. A popple stand with a density as great as fifty per eent could probably be underplanted to white pine and spruce with good results.

On the other hand a nearby area on a similar soil and site condition, and the same age of thirty-three years, with an estimated density of $80 \%$ popple was studied. Although this stand had 552 white and Norway pine to the acre growing as an understory, none of it had developed in diameter or height to any extent. It had produced practically no height growth in the last few years and in nany instances was dying at the top. It appears, therefore, that underplanting with pine would probably not be very successful in a dense popple stand of $80 \%$ stocking, unless the popple stand is thinned to a density of approximately $50 \%$ or less.

In general most of the areas now occupied by popple, if left to themselves, will gradually be converted into maple and inferior species unless underplanted or changed by man. This represents a tremendous loss in land potential when one considers the value of the pine crop which this same land formerly supported.

Estimated former Pine Stand on Popple Plots made from Stump Measurements.

Stump counts and measurements taken under two dense popple stands of approximately $80 \%$ density, the popple in each case being diseased and of no value, shows the amount of pine formerly growing on this land.

Plot No.

Former Stand
of Pine
Bd. Ft. per acre

Soil

Plainfield sand

25
48,960
61
47,160

No pine reproduction was coming in under these areas, and planting of pine or spruce would be the only means of restoring this land to its former commercial value.

## Table I.

Comparison of the Average Rate of Growth for Popple in Bayfield and Vilas counties.

Bayfield County
Vilas County


Table II.

## Growth Table for Popple per Acre

(Vilas County Fully Stocked Stand)

| Age | Av. | No. Dom. and | Yield | Yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dia. | Inter.Popple | cu. ft. | cords |
|  | Inches | per acre | feet |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 5 | .7 |  |  |  | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 1.5 | 2100 | 200 | 2 | 17 |
| 15 | 2.2 | 1800 | 540 | 6 | 25 |
| 20 | 3.0 | 1500 | 900 | 10 | 33 |
| 25 | 3.8 | 1250 | 1400 | 15 | 41 |
| 30 | 4.5 | 950 | 2000 | 22 | 48 |
| 35 | 5.2 | 650 | 2200 | 24 | 56 |
| 40 | 6.0 | 500 | 2400 | 27 | 63 |

Table III
Table Showing
Diameter and Yield for
Popple
Vilas County
Fully Stocked: Stand

| Av. Dia. of | Yield | Approx.Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stand - inches | Cu. ft. | Cords |
| 2 | 400 | 4 |
| 3 | 900 | 10 |
| 4 | 1600 | 18 |
| 5 | 2150 | 24 |
| 6 | 2400 | 27 |
| 7 | 2610 | 29 |

Note: First three volumes are for popple stands too small to be merchantable.

Table IV
Volume Table Diameter and Volume for
Popple
Vilas County, Wisconsin

| Diameter | Voluine <br> Cu. ft. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 2 | .2 |
| 3 | .6 |
| 4 | 1.3 |
| 5 | 3.0 |
| 6 | 4.8 |
| 7 | 6.3 |

There are only a comparatively few areas of popple land in the county studied by the inventory to date which is producing commercial popple, and it is found only on the best sandy or silt loam soils and here its remarkable volume growth shows that it is growing on a site which is favorable to its optimum development. On one such area in Ashland County which was growing splendid tall commercial popple, a stem analysis was made of 13 of the trees. All of this popple had been bought either for excelsior or to be made into pulpwood or woodenware. At 40 to 45 years of age it was sound and white with little heart discoloration. A comparison of the average volume growth for Vilas and Bayfield counties shows that this stand of popple far surpassed the average of these especially in their growth in later lif'e. A careful study and profile of the soil was made on this area to determine the cause of such remarkable growth. It showed the soil to be a poorly drained Kennan fine sandy loam with a slightly cemented layer at about 15 inches in depth holding the moisture on the surface. The roots of the popple penetrated all through this moist area and obtained all the moisture they needed to produce quick growth. Moreover, no fire had run over this region for approximately 50 years so that the organic matter had not been destroyed. A profile and description of this soil follows together with tables showing growth, taper, and merchantable volume of this stand of fast Erowing popple.

Description of Profile of poorly drained Kennan fine sandy loam.

$A_{o}^{\prime}$ - undecomposed forest litter (leaves of aspen, needles of balsam fir, mosses etc.);
$A_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ - half-decomposed organic natter of darkbrownish color, penetrated by mycelium (raw-humus);
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ - not present;
A2 - light-grey, ashlike podsolized fine sandy loam, penetrated by the roots of vegetation;

B - reddish-brown fine sandy loam, with some gravel; slightly cemented;

G - compacted loamy sand with reddish mottling and considerable amount of gravel and stones. The lower part of horizon is forming a sticky layer, saturated with clay particles.

Soil is grading into unsorted flacial till. Water table starts in the depth of about five feet. Root penetration reaches the upper part of $B$ - horizon.

Note: We are indebted to Dr. Sergius Wilde, expert on forest soils, for the Soil Profile of this area.

Growth Table for
Aspen
(Populus tremuloides)
under optimum growth
conditions
Ashland County.

| $\overline{\text { AE }}$ <br> at <br> Stump | TAv. <br> ' Diam. <br> 'at <br> 'Stump <br> 1 | Max. <br> Diam. <br> at <br> Stump | 1 Av. <br> - Total <br> ' Height <br> 1 (Includ- <br> ' ing Stump', | Max. total Height (includ- ing Stump: | Av-Merch. <br> Height to <br> 3"Top <br> Diam. <br> (incl <br> stump $)$ | Av.Merch. <br> Volume <br> to $3^{\prime \prime}$ <br> Top <br> Diam. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Inches | Inches | Feet | Feet | Feet | Cu.Ft. |
| 5 | . 5 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 13.0 |  |  |
| 10 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 14.5 | 24.5 |  | . 05 |
| 15 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 24.5 | 36.0 |  | . 25 |
| 20 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 37.0 | 47.5 |  | . 8 |
| 25 | 4.4 | 9.1 | 47.5 | 58.0 | 20.0 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 5.9 | 10.3 | 56.5 | 67.0 | 34.5 | 4.5 |
| 35 | 8.0 | 11.2 | 63.5 | 75.0 | 45.5 | 9.0 |
| 40 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 69.0 | 82.0 | 51.5 | 13.9 |
| 45 | 11.3 | 13.4 | 74.5 | 88.0 | 55.5 | 19.3 |
| 50 | 12.8 | 14.4 | 79.5 |  | 58.5 | 25.8 |
| 55 | 14.0 |  | 84.0 |  | 61.0 | 33.5 |

Note

1. Based on 11 trees cut for woodenware, pulp, and excelsior.
2. All diameters given are inside bark.
3. Av. stump height is 1.0 foot.
4. Av. D.B.H. $=$ Av. D.I.B. stump divided by 1.02 , or practically the same.

Wis. Land Inventory 1930
$\frac{\text { Taper Table }}{\text { for }}$
Under $\frac{\text { (Populus }}{\text { Aspen }}$ tremuloides)
Ashland County.


Feet
Inches

| 5 | .5 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 1.0 |
| 15 | 1.4 |
| 20 | 1.9 |
| 25 | 2.2 |
| 30 | 2.6 |
| 35 | 3.3 |
| 40 | 4.1 |
| 45 | 5.4 |
| 50 | 6.7 |
| 55 | 8.1 |
| 60 | 9.5 |
| 65 | 10.9 |

$0-5 \mathrm{ft} .-.1$ inch
$5-10 \mathrm{ft} .-.1$ inch
$10-15 \mathrm{ft} .-.08$ inch
$15-20 \mathrm{ft}-$..1 inch
$20-25 \mathrm{ft}-$..06 inch
$25-30 \mathrm{ft}-$..08 inch
$30-35 \mathrm{ft}-$..14 inch
$35-40 \mathrm{ft}-$..16 inch
$40-45 \mathrm{ft}-$..26 inch
$45-50 \mathrm{ft}-$..26 inch
$50-55 \mathrm{ft}-$..28 inch
$55-60 \mathrm{ft}-$..28 inch
$60-65 \mathrm{ft}-$..28 inch

Note:

1. Based on $l l$ trees cut for woodenware, pulpwood, and excelsior.
2. This taper table nay be used when estimating aspen of similar erowth, to determine the approximate diameter of any point in the tree and also the approximate merchantable height to a $3^{\prime \prime}$ point or any desired diameter in top.
3. Av. D.B.H. = Av. D.I.B. stump divided by l.02, or practically the same.

## Wis. Land Inventory

## Merchantable Table

for
Aspen
(Populus tremuloides) Under
optimum हrowth conditions. Ashland County.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diameter } \\ & \text { Breast } \\ & \text { High } \\ & \text { (0utside } \\ & \text { bark) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 Av. Merch. <br> - Height from <br> - stump to <br> - 3 " top <br> - (inside bark) | 1 iv. Merch. <br> - Volume <br> ' to 3" top <br> - (inside <br> - bark) | 'Merchantable Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inches | Feet | Cu. ft. |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3.6 | 8.0 | 0.5 | $1-8 \mathrm{ft} . \log$ |
| 4 | 17.5 | 1.8 | 2-8" " |
| 5 | 27.5 | 3.2 | $3-8$ " " |
| 6 | 35.5 | 5.0 | 4-8" |
| 7 | 41.5 | 7.3 | $5-8 \prime \prime$ |
| 8 | 45.5 | 9.7 | $5 \frac{1}{2}-8$ " |
| 9 | 48.5 | 12.4 | $6-8$ " |
| 10 | 51.5 | 15.1 | $6-8$ " |
| 11 | 54.0 | 18.5 | $6 \frac{1}{2}-8$ " |
| 12 | 56.0 | 22.7 | $7-8 "$ |
| 13 | 58.0 | 27.4 | $7-8{ }^{7}$ " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 14 | 60.0 | 33.5 | $7 \frac{1}{2}-8 "$ |

Note:

1. Based on 11 trees cut for woodenware, pulpwood, and excelsior.
2. Av. D.I.B. stump $=\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{x}$ l.02, or practically the same.
3. 3.6" in D.B.H. makes first $8^{\prime \prime} \log 3^{\prime \prime}$ at the top.

The above popple stand averaged 333 popple to the acre with a volume of 2292 cubic feet, or 25 cords per acre as determined by a strip run through it in two directions. The total number of trees of all species in this stand averaged 925 , balsam being the prevailing understory and everaging 500 trees per acre.

One other stand observed in Bayfield County grew with about the same rapidity as this one for 35 years, the age at which it was measured. A plot of onefourth acre taken on this area and representing almost a fully stocked stand averaged 2600 cubic feet, or about 29 cords per acre. It was $63^{\prime}$ in height, $6.3^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, with an average of 468 trues per acre. Neturally, a small picked plot like this one would be better stocked than the average strip run through an untire forty as in Ashland County, but the trees averaged practically the same height and diameter at 35 years of age. Both of these areas represent Site I for popple in this portion of the state of Wisconsin.

The best plot of popple found in Vilas County was also 35 years of age, $52^{\prime}$ in height, with an average diameter of $5^{\prime \prime}$ and had 684. trees per scre, producing 27 cords not all merchantable. The poorer the site, the greater is the numbor of trees found upon it as a general thing. The volume produced by the stind of popple in Ashland County, especially in its later life, was far greater than the average volumo produced in Bayficld or Vilas county. The heavier soils of Bayfield County produced greater volume growth of popple than the sandy soils of Vilas County, but the growth of pine and spruce in Vilas County was the best found to date. The average popple of this stand produced its first pulpwood stick at 23 years. The maximum tree produced this same pulpwood stick in 12 years. Such a pulpwood stick is $3.8^{\prime \prime}$ at the stump when it is $3^{\prime \prime}$ inside bork at a height of $8^{\prime}$ above the stump.

The following prices have been paid this year for logsing popple on one opuration:

## Cutting Popple



The owner of this operation was paid p7.00 a cord on the car, makine a net profit of $\$ 1.50$ a cord. The pulpwood sticks were taken to $3^{\prime \prime}$ in the tops.

> Wm. W. Morris, Forester,
> Wisconsin Land Inventory.

Assistance in the preparation of the popple tables for Ashland County was given by Mr. Lamar Wood, Forester, a graduate of Michigan State College.
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