



LIBRARIES

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Minutes of the regular meeting of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin: November 14, 1969. 1969

Madison, Wisconsin: Board of Regents of the University of
Wisconsin System, 1969

<https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/RQYWOYGVMZFOA86>

Copyright 2008 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

Madison, Wisconsin

Held in Room 1820 Van Hise Hall
Friday, November 14, 1969, 9:02 A.M.

President Nellen presiding.

PRESENT: Regents Dahlstrom, Gelatt, Kahl, Nellen, Pasch, Pelisek, Sandin,
Walker, and Ziegler.

ABSENT: Regent Renk. Regent Renk entered the meeting at 9:10 A.M.

- - -

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, it was
VOTED, That the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board held on
October 17, 1969, be approved as sent out to the Regents.

- - -

REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY

President Harrington presented the list of Gifts, Grants, and U. S. Government Contracts. Referring to the summary on Page 24 of the list, he noted that the warnings that he had previously made now seemed to be becoming a reality, since we had received less than half as much from Federal agencies, private foundations, and like organizations, as compared with the year before. However, he noted that the total for the year to date was still at a level comparable to the preceding year. He also called attention to the supplementary item attached to the list which was a gift-in-kind from United Artists Corporation, New York City, of materials to be used for research, classroom use, and educational purposes under the direction of the Wisconsin Center for Theatre Research. He noted that this was the largest and most impressive gift we have



received in the mass communication theatre area, and is a gift of great value. Referring to the summary page, Regent Pasch inquired as to the relatively small amount received for student aid compared to the comparable period of a year ago. President Harrington explained that this merely was a result of the date on which certain funds are received, such as the funds from the Federal Government for the student loan program. Although student aid money is much tighter, he estimated that we may expect as much in this category this year as we received last year.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Sandin, it was VOTED, That the gifts and grants listed in the statement of Gifts, Grants, and U. S. Government Contracts, presented at this meeting (copy filed with the papers of this meeting), be accepted and the appropriate officers of the University be authorized to sign the agreements; and that the Federal Contracts listed therein be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

Vice President Clodius presented the Report of Personnel Actions by the President of the University and the Report of Non-Personnel Actions by Administrative Officers and of Informational Items. He noted that the large number of personnel items was due to getting school started in September. He reported that there were 4,234 personnel actions included in this list, involving about \$6,000,000 from State funds and about \$6,000,000 from non-State funds.

Upon motion by Regent Gelatt, seconded by Regents Pasch and Sandin, it was

VOTED:

1. That the actions by the President of the University since the last meeting of the Regents, relating to appointments, resignations, leaves of absence, and changes of status of faculty personnel with rank less than that of Associate Professor and other non-classified civil service personnel with salaries at the annual rate of \$13,600 or less, on file with the Central Business Office, and to tuition remissions, be approved, ratified, and confirmed.
2. That the Report of Non-Personnel Actions By Administrative Officers To The Board of Regents and Informational Items Reported for The Regent Record (EXHIBIT A attached), be received for the record; and that actions included in the report be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

President Harrington presented the following recommendations relating to the acceptance of bequests:

1. That the bequests by the late Dr. Marie L. Carns, Madison, Wisconsin, to The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, be accepted in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Last Will and Testament of Dr. Marie L. Carns, Deceased; and that the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign receipts for these bequests and to do all things necessary to transfer these bequests to the University of Wisconsin.
2. That the bequest by the late William K. Fitch, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, to The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, for the establishment of the William K. Fitch (ME 1913) Scholarship Fund be accepted in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Last Will and Testament of William K. Fitch, Deceased; that the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign receipts for this bequest and to do all things necessary to transfer this bequest to the University of Wisconsin; and that the Regents of the University of Wisconsin accept the funds contributed by William K. Fitch to the U.W. Foundation and add them to the William K. Fitch (ME 1913) Scholarship Fund, which is to be maintained in the University Trust Funds, with one-half of the net income and so much of the appreciation of principal as provided for in Mr. Fitch's Will to be used to provide financial assistance to one or more qualified students enrolled in the Madison Campus of the University of Wisconsin with preference being given to those that are majoring in American History, that the awards be made in accordance with the University's financial aids policies, and with the other one-half of such available funds to be used by the Madison Campus University Lectures Committee to bring to Madison prominent businessmen to give lectures on the American Free-Enterprise System.

The Secretary reported that the late Dr. Marie L. Carns, after making a number of specific bequests to individuals, bequeathed the residue of her estate to The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, one-half of which is to be used as a scholarship fund for worthy female undergraduate and graduate students, citizens of the United States or foreign students, majoring in the Department of Physical Education for Women at Madison, and that the other one-half of the bequest is to be used for the benefit of the Middleton Medical Library, preferably in furnishing a study room in the library.

The Secretary reported that the late William Kountz Fitch, who was born in Rockford, Illinois, in 1889, received a B.A. degree from Yale in 1910, and an M.E. degree from the University of Wisconsin in 1913, bequeathed \$25,000 to The Regents of the University of Wisconsin to establish the William K. Fitch (ME 1913) Scholarship Fund, to be used to provide financial assistance to one or more qualified students enrolled at the Madison Campus of the University of Wisconsin, preference being given to those who are majoring in American History, the awards to be made in accordance with the University's financial aids policies; and/or to bring to Madison prominent businessmen to give lectures on the American Free-Enterprise System. The Secretary also reported that, during his lifetime, Mr. Fitch had made gifts to the University of Wisconsin Foundation,

which amounted to something in excess of \$10,000, which Mr. Fitch, prior to his death, had requested be transferred to the University to be added to the William K. Fitch (ME 1913) Scholarship Fund.

Regent Ziegler moved approval of the above recommendations accepting bequests, the motion was seconded by Regent Walker, and it was voted.

(Regent Renk entered the meeting at 9:10 A.M.)

President Harrington noted that the next three items related to plans for buildings and asked Vice President Lemon to discuss them. Vice President Lemon presented the following two recommendations:

1. That authority be granted to prepare preliminary plans and specifications for the Parkside Physical Education Building.
2. That authority be granted to prepare preliminary plans and specifications for the Milwaukee Humanities Building.

Vice President Lemon noted that these two recommendations were in the same category, noting that last winter the State Building Commission had released advance planning money for all projects that were recommended in the 1969-71 biennium except for these two projects. He noted that the effect of these recommendations was to go to the State Building Commission for authority to hire architects and begin the planning.

Regent Walker moved approval of the above two recommendations, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted.

President Harrington asked Chancellor Klotsche to present the next two items relating to Milwaukee building projects. Chancellor Klotsche presented the following recommendation:

That the preliminary plans and specifications be approved and authority be granted to prepare final plans and specifications for the Milwaukee Nursing Building.

Chancellor Klotsche stated that he would like to have the preliminary plans for this building approved at this time, because the nursing program at UWM has really skyrocketed, involving 400 students in that program who are scattered all over the campus. He noted this building had been approved in the 1969-71 biennium, and had previously been discussed with the Regents in the concept stage.

Director William Murphy distributed data sheets covering the Milwaukee Nursing Building Project. He showed the location of the proposed project on a map of the Milwaukee Campus and showed and explained a more detailed plot plan of the site. He showed and explained the floor plans, and explained the functional use to be made of the various areas. He also showed elevation drawings

of the project. Director Murphy explained that an underground parking facility in the area of the Nursing Building was originally planned but that was now being held up, pending the study of the entire parking plans and the overall development of the UWM Campus. He indicated that, in the meantime, two structures on the corner adjacent to the Nursing Building could probably be razed to provide sufficient parking for the faculty using the building. He referred to the data sheets which had been distributed to the Regents including the outline specifications, areas, time schedule, and estimated budget of the project, totaling \$5,164,900.

Regent Walker inquired whether all the funds were available for this project. Referring to the \$1,721,634 in Federal funds for this project, Director Murphy stated that it was hoped that this money would become available, since the School of Nursing at UWM was a prime candidate to get this money, but that the availability of it would not be known until probably the end of November. Regent Dahlstrom inquired what would happen if the money from the Federal government is not received. Chancellor Klotsche stated that the whole project would have to be re-examined. He noted that there had been two site visitations from people in Washington regarding this project, and that the University had been given every reason to feel encouraged about this. President Harrington noted that many of the Federal building grants are in some uncertainty, but, in looking at the somewhat over a half dozen building grants that we have, it would appear that this one has about the best chance of being approved. He estimated that, if there is going to be any difficulty regarding these funds, it will probably be a difficulty in delay, rather than in getting them. President Nellen noted that approval at this time of the preliminary plans by the Regents would be contingent upon the receipt of this money.

Regent Gelatt noted that the total cost of somewhat over \$5,000,000 for this project was exclusive of the new sales tax, and inquired how funds would be provided for the sales tax. Vice President Lemon reported that the State Building Commission has in effect directed all state agencies to adjust their building programs to come within their existing budgets, including the pick up of the sales tax, because the State Building Commission presently does not plan to provide additional money to meet the sales tax cost. However, he noted that there are several bills in the Legislature which might exempt state and local facilities from the sales tax, but that, at the present time, the University is working with the State Building Commission and the Bureau of Capital Development, trying to bring these buildings within the budget which means including the sales tax within the existing budgets. Regent Walker, noted that, in the meantime, prices will be going up. President Harrington stated that the State Building Commission might take care of providing funds for some of the escalation of costs, but that, if the costs keep escalating, we obviously will not get the bottom building on the building program list.

Regent Ziegler stated that the cost of these buildings continues to give him concern, since we are running at a cost in excess of \$35 a square foot on the gross area, and the costs are continuing to go up. He expressed the opinion that the University has had consistently higher than normal construction costs, and he inquired as to how we arrive at the quality of buildings that we are building. Director Murphy explained that the University never asks the architects to build the best buildings they can. Noting that we work under the

scrutiny of the State Building Commission, the Bureau of Capital Development, and the University Planning Office, he expressed the opinion that they were now building bare-bone buildings, for example using unfinished concrete and concrete block in the corridors, etc. He agreed that the cost of going highrise in construction was probably a factor in the higher construction costs, but this was a price that had to be paid when it is necessary to go highrise. Vice President Lemon explained the procedure in developing the estimates for buildings, working with the Bureau of Capital Development and the State Building Commission, using comparative costs for similar buildings around the State, developing the kind of programs to be included in the buildings, and arriving at a reasonable budget to carry out these programs, within which budget the architect is to prepare the necessary plans when the money is approved by the Legislature.

There was discussion of the number of pupil stations included in this facility; and Director Murphy explained that the building was designed for a total occupancy for nursing for an enrollment in 1983 of something like 700 students. Chancellor Klotsche suggested this number would not be less than that, and might well be as high as 800 students. Director Murphy explained that, initially, this building will be occupied primarily by the School of Nursing and its functions, but it would be shared by other University departments, but that, as the School of Nursing grows, it would be used entirely by the School of Nursing by 1983. There was also discussion of the cost of the project per pupil station.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regents Sandin and Ziegler, and it was voted.

Vice President Clodius asked Chancellor Klotsche to explain the following recommendation:

That authority be granted to request the State Building Commission for advanced planning funds for the development of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Campus Development Plan.

Chancellor Klotsche recalled the Milwaukee Campus Development Plan, which the Regents had previously approved, including a 55 acre expansion area, which was subsequently rejected by the Joint Finance Committee of the Legislature. He pointed out that there had been a great deal of consideration of this matter since the rejection of that phase of the development of the Milwaukee Campus. He also recalled that subsequent to the previous Regent approval of the Milwaukee Development Plan, the Coordinating Council for Higher Education had approved a ten-year academic plan for all the institutions in the State of Wisconsin, which includes UWM; and he noted that this ten-year plan has tremendous implications for the Milwaukee Campus. He stated that, therefore, he felt that the time was now at hand to re-examine the physical plan of the Milwaukee Campus. He also recalled that late in the summer, the two educational committees of the Legislature had met on the Milwaukee Campus to discuss this entire matter, out of which meeting emerged the general consensus that the time was at hand for the re-examination of the Milwaukee Campus again in terms of physical planning in

relation to the academic plans approved by the Coordinating Council and the rejection by the Joint Finance Committee of the previous plan. He noted that it was also agreed that, in planning for the future of the Milwaukee Campus, it would be necessary to get the community involved, since the neighborhood groups were very much concerned about this whole question during the last biennium. He explained that, at the meeting with the two educational committees of the Legislature, it was indicated that we should be prepared to work cooperatively with the neighborhood groups, and with the City and other governmental agencies in the area, in the development of this master plan. Chancellor Klotsche estimated that it will take the better part of a year to accomplish this plan, and stated that it would be desirable to have it ready for incorporation in our 1971-73 building program. He noted that the request presented to the Regents at this time was to request the State Building Commission for planning funds for development of the campus plan, in light of the rejection of the 55 acre expansion by the Joint Finance Committee, and in light of the ten-year academic plan approved by the Coordinating Council for Higher Education this summer.

Regent Ziegler inquired as to the cost of developing a new plan; and Vice President Lemon explained that they were still working with the Bureau of Capital Development on the estimate, but that it might amount to from \$100,000 to \$150,000. President Nellen inquired when similar studies had been made. Chancellor Klotsche explained that the last plan was approved by the Regents in 1965 and the previous plan was approved by the Regents in 1960, so that, on a basis of a five-year cycle, the time was certainly appropriate for a re-examination.

Regent Gelatt noted that this request was only for funds for study without any indication of the direction of the study; and he inquired what the rules of the game to be followed would be. Chancellor Klotsche was of the opinion that the key direction of study would have to be the ten-year academic plan of the Coordinating Council, which now determines the character of the Milwaukee Campus, which specifically indicates that UWM is to be one of the two graduate centers in the State of Wisconsin, and which generally lays out the academic direction of the Milwaukee Campus as a professional school, with graduate programs being introduced at the rate of about one a year. Regent Gelatt suggested that the recommendation should indicate that the scope of the plan is to study the Milwaukee Campus development in light of or in the context of the Coordinating Council academic plan; and Chancellor Klotsche agreed.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the recommendation revised to read as follows, the motion was seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, and it was voted:

That authority be granted to request the State Building Commission for advanced planning funds for the development of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Campus Development Plan, in light of, or in context of the ten-year academic plan of the Coordinating Council for Higher Education.

Vice President Clodius noted that the next several items related to building projects on the Madison Campus. Chancellor Young asked Architect Sites to discuss the following recommendation:

That the revised preliminary plans and specifications be approved and authority be granted for the preparation of final plans and specifications for the Madison Letters and Science - Zoology Building.

Architect Sites noted that this project, as well as those included in the next two recommendations, namely the Madison Computer Sciences - Statistics Building - Unit II, and the Madison Pharmacy Building - Stage I, were all located in the same general area south of University Avenue. He showed a model of the Madison Campus area south of University Avenue, and pointed out a number of the existing and proposed structures in that area.

With reference to the Madison Letters and Science - Zoology Building, Architect Sites showed an outline of the building on a plot plan, and explained that it would be a five-story building with an entrance off of North Mills Street. He showed and explained the floor plans of the proposed building; and showed a model of the proposed building and also of the existing Zoology Research Building. He explained that the exterior of the proposed building would be similar to that of the existing building. He also explained that there was a third unit contemplated for future construction, which would be a research facility, to be located in the area now occupied by the parking lot, and that it would probably be a tower of perhaps ten or twelve stories.

Regent Gelatt inquired where the people are now housed who would be housed in this proposed building; and Architect Sites explained that they are now housed in Birge Hall. In answer to questions by Regent Gelatt, Architect Sites explained that the space vacated by these people in Birge Hall would be used to permit expansion of the Botany Department, but that this unit will not allow all of the Zoology Department to move out of Birge Hall until the third phase of the Zoology complex is completed. He explained that the total facilities planned for Zoology were based on enrollment projections of about a 40,000 enrollment on the Madison Campus. He noted that this project was in the 1965-67 biennium project list, and had been before the Regents previously in connection with the second level walkway system, which was subsequently abandoned, with the result that it was necessary to redesign it to eliminate the walkway system concept. Because of the delay, he explained that it was necessary to reduce the size of the project. He referred to the data sheets that had been distributed to the Regents in connection with several Madison Building projects to be considered at this meeting. He explained that the total construction cost estimated for this project was \$3,442,350 of which about \$2,983,000 would be provided by the State and \$458,000 from Federal funds. Referring to the data material, he also noted that it was necessary to reduce the programmed net area some 5200 square feet, because of the escalation of costs. He pointed out that the space eliminated was largely in classrooms.

Referring to Regent Gelatt's previous questions relating to the amount of space to be provided in the new facilities as compared to the old facilities,

President Nellen stated that he believed that it was pertinent that the Regents know how much square footage we now have for these purposes and how much is contemplated. He stated that he did not feel that these people should be moved two or three blocks and have the same amount of space just to provide a new building. Architect Sites said that he could prepare that information and bring it in to the meeting later in the day. Regent Gelatt expressed the opinion that the Regents should have this information on every new building, because it is essential to look at the buildings in a total situation, not just one situation at a time as they have been presented to the Regents. There followed a long discussion indicating the desire of the Regents to have more information presented to them regarding the needs for and utilization of space in new building projects. Architect Sites pointed out that this data was always presented to the Regents in connection with the presentation of the biennial building program requests. There was also discussion of the desirability of having information relating to the extent of utilization of the assignable space in new buildings. Architect Sites indicated that all of these factors were considered at the time of presenting the biennial building program requests. Regent Walker suggested that this item be deferred until the afternoon session in order that the additional information could be provided. Regent Kahl expressed the opinion that he was more concerned with the question as it deals with what the Regents might do from this point on, since he was concerned more with future presentations. Regent Walker moved that consideration of the above recommendation be tabled until the afternoon session, the motion was seconded by Regent Ziegler, and it was voted on a split vote, with the Chair ruling that the motion had carried.

Architect Sites presented the following recommendation:

That the concept drawings be approved and authority be granted for the preparation of preliminary plans and specifications for the Madison Computer Sciences - Statistics Building - Unit II.

Architect Sites pointed out the location of the proposed building on a model of the campus. He explained that this was the second stage of a three-stage program, the first stage having been started about four or five years ago and was now fully occupied. He pointed out that the growth of the two departments, Computer Sciences and Statistics, make necessary this particular addition at this time. He noted that the future third addition will be a research facility, probably a high-rise unit, and pointed out the proposed location of it. He noted that the facility now under consideration would be a teaching facility, and would be a four-story unit plus basement. He also explained that a smaller future addition had been planned to be added to the east side of the existing building, as part of this proposed project, to permit expansion of a computer room, but that it was necessary to delete this portion of the project because we were unable to obtain Federal funds for it. Architect Sites explained the concept of the floor plans for this project, noting that there were instructional laboratories on the lower levels with offices in the upper stories. He pointed out that there was an open area in the center of the structure for a light well. He explained that, since this project was merely at the concept stage, there had been no exterior treatment developed as yet for the project. He referred to the

data sheets on this project which had been distributed to the Regents showing the estimated budget, area calculations, and the time schedule. He noted that the total cost was estimated at \$2,471,000, which would all be in State funds. Because of the inability to obtain Federal funds, he noted that the net square foot area of the building had been reduced from 49,750 square feet to 40,580 square feet. He stated that it was hoped that bids could be obtained next spring or early in the summer.

With reference to the reduction in the square footage of the project, Regent Walker inquired whether, if the project could be reduced by 20%, the original request was grandiose or essential, or whether the presently proposed space would be inadequate. Architect Sites stated that the original programmed space was not grandiose, that it was essential, and that it was only possible to build with the funds that are available.

President Nellen inquired whether computer hardware would be included in this building or whether it would be just for teaching. Architect Sites explained that this project was strictly a teaching facility, and that the computer equipment was housed in the existing structure, which housed the central computer facilities for the campus. In answer to questions, he explained that most of the research computers on the campus are tied back to the central computer in the original structure at this location, although there are some other computers for teaching and business purposes on the campus that are not tied to the central computer. Vice President Engman noted that the central computer serves the entire University, and that the computers at UWM are tied to the central computer in Madison.

Referring to the light well proposed in the center of the structure, Regent Sandin inquired whether, when it was necessary to cut back on the number of square feet because of lack of funds, any consideration had been given to building a compact type of structure to make use of that interior open space. Architect Sites explained that that had been considered but that there was need for a great many private offices for faculty members so the project was approved in this manner so that it would have outside light. Regent Sandin stated that her point was that in many of the new school buildings, they are building with the concept that you don't have to have outside light, and that, with airconditioning and artificial lighting, usable space can be constructed without windows. Regent Walker inquired whether there was a policy that professional offices are entitled to outside windows; and Architect Sites replied in the affirmative. President Harrington stated that the administration recommended approval of the concept for this project.

Regent Ziegler moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Kahl, and it was voted.

-

President Harrington asked Architect Sites to explain the following recommendation, which he stated was related to the concept stage of a project:

-10-



That the concept drawings be approved and authority be granted for the preparation of preliminary plans and specifications for Madison Pharmacy Building - Stage I.

Architect Sites showed the location of this project on the model of the Madison Campus area south of University Avenue, explaining that this project was part of the Urban Renewal Plan for that area. He explained that, in the block in which the Pharmacy Building is to be located, the University will acquire all of the block for the Pharmacy facilities and for parking with the exception of the Bank Building and the Rennebohm Drug Store. He explained that the Pharmacy units are now located in the present Chemistry, Pharmacy Building; and he explained that, when the central portion of that building is razed and rebuilt, the Physics Department will move into that area. He explained that the Pharmacy Department will continue to occupy the west wing of the Chemistry, Pharmacy Building and also this proposed Stage I, until the second unit for Pharmacy is constructed, at which time the Pharmacy Department will leave the west wing of the Chemistry Building and the Physics Department will expand into that area. He noted that Stage I of the Pharmacy Building would be a four-story structure with basement, and that the future unit would probably be a tower with from twelve to fifteen stories. He showed the location of the Stage I unit on a plot plan and explained the concept of the floor plans, noting that this unit will be used for both teaching and research. Referring to the data sheet on this project which had been distributed to the Regents which showed approximately 40,000 square feet of space in this building, he explained that the total project cost is estimated at \$2,700,000, of which we now have \$1,700,000 and we have an application for a federal grant of approximately \$1,000,000. He noted that there was no information available at this time on the application for the federal grant, so an adjustment might have to be made in the plans if it is not received.

Regent Sandin moved approval of the above recommendation.

Regent Renk inquired whether the parking area was in the Urban Development Agreement with the city. Architect Sites explained that the parking lot was presently operated by the City of Madison, and that the site would be acquired by the University for construction of a future parking ramp.

Regent Pasch seconded the motion, and it was voted.

-

President Harrington asked Architect Sites to discuss the following recommendation:

That the area of the proposed Madison Medical Center on the West Madison Campus be approved for the site for two relocatable buildings, and the grounds of the Neurological Rehabilitation Center on East Washington Avenue, be approved as the site for one relocatable building to be used by the Madison Medical Center.

Architect Sites noted that the Regents had previously acted on the question of these relocatable units for the Medical Center, having authorized

a request to the State Building Commission for an allocation of funds for these units. He explained that one unit would be located near the Neurological Center on East Washington Avenue, and would be used for neurological and rehabilitation purposes. He pointed out, on a map of the Madison west campus area, the proposed location of the other two units, one of which would be an animal facility, and the other a clinical research facility.

Regent Renk inquired whether these were included in the overall Medical Center plan. Architect Sites explained that, because of the long delay in developing the Medical Center plan and because of the extreme need for space, the Regents had previously approved leasing these relocatable structures for housing equipment, which can be later taken out and put into the new units when they are built. He stated that this was a stop-gap procedure between now and the time we can begin to build the facilities for the Medical Center. Regent Renk inquired what would be done with the buildings eventually; and Architect Sites explained that eventually they would be phased out, in ten or fifteen years, noting that they are being leased from a company that will erect them on our sites.

Regent Walker moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted.

-

President Harrington asked Director Edsall to explain the following recommendation:

That an increase of \$116,434 be approved in the Schedule of Costs for the South Lower Campus Project (Humanities Building and Elvehjem Art Center) to a revised total of \$13,993,971, to be financed from State Building Trust Funds.

Director Edsall explained that there are a number of items in the Elvehjem Art Center and the Humanities Building which are not completed and which are necessary to make the buildings useful for the designed purposes. He noted, in the data sheets that had been distributed to the Regents, there was a list of these uncompleted items which totaled \$116,434, which will be requested from unencumbered funds in the allocations for 1967-69 for remodeling and minor construction purposes.

President Nellen inquired how many times the Regents had been asked to increase the budget for these projects; and Director Edsall stated that this was the second time, and that these items related to the Humanities Building. President Harrington stated that this request did not include the Elvehjem Art Center.

Regent Walker moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Pelisek, and it was voted.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That authority be granted to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise for bids for Greenhouse Facilities at the Arlington Farms, to be financed from Hill Farm Receipts.

Director Edsall explained that, in the summer of this year, the U.S.D.A. had constructed a new headhouse and two new sections of greenhouse to assist in the research programs of the Department of Horticulture. He explained that, because of expansion of this activity, this was a request to increase the greenhouse units by five units primarily for research in graduate work and to go ahead with the additional work in the existing headhouse.

Regent Sandin moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That authority be granted to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise for bids or to construct by University forces facilities in the Madison Campus Far West Recreation Area to include twelve outdoor tennis courts, basketball half courts and bounding boards, and grading, top soil and seeding, to be financed from State Building Trust Funds.

Director Edsall recalled that, in 1962, the Regents had approved, in principle, the overall development of the University Bay marsh area for recreational purposes; and that, in the course of the years, the University has been building intramural playing fields for recreation and sports. He noted that this was a continuation of that program, and that it includes the adding of tennis courts, basketball half courts and bounding boards, and the necessary grading, etc. He referred to the breakdown of the cost totaling \$173,000 as shown on the data sheets distributed to the Regents.

Regent Gelatt moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regents Sandin and Walker, and it was voted.

President Harrington stated that, before the Regents recess for committee meetings, there would be time for a report on the use of sound-amplifying equipment and perhaps on the recent arrests on the Milwaukee Campus and on the actions that had been taken on the paint throwers on the Madison Campus. Vice President Taylor referred to the report on sound-amplifying equipment which was included in the material before the Regents. He noted that, since the last report, there were three requests, two of which had been refused in line with the policy set by the Regents, and that the one allowed was in connection with homecoming activities at UWM. He noted that one of the requests refused was for the use of sound-amplifying equipment on the Madison Campus, and that sound-amplifying equipment was subsequently used with the announced intention that it

would involve a test of the constitutionality of the policy. He explained that the unauthorized use of sound-amplifying equipment had taken place on the South Lower Campus Mall and that three arrests had been made by the Madison Campus police, with individuals arrested being turned over to the Dane County District Attorney for the issuance of judicial warrants. Regarding that incident, Regent Gelatt stated that it was correctly reported that he was in the Memorial Library at the time of the incident, and that it was disrupted.

Professor George Bunn reported on the status of the two girls who were apprehended in connection with the paint throwing incident in the Wisconsin Center Building in October. He recalled that, at the last meeting of the Regents, Chancellor Young's recommendation was approved that charges be brought against these two students and charges were prepared by the Attorney General's Office and signed by the Chancellor. He explained that in those charges the Chancellor recommended expulsion of the two students. He reported that, on November 3, the two girls submitted letters indicating their intention to withdraw from school. He explained that the proceedings, as far as the University was concerned, were terminated and the students right to petition for readmission is to be the same as if they had in fact been expelled, which is in line with Chapter V of the Regent By-Laws. He also reported that the letter acknowledging the students' withdrawal from school indicated that the following would be placed on their transcripts: "resigned under charges - may not return", and also indicated that the students' responsibility to the University did not end with their withdrawal, since the total damage from the paint throwing incident amounted to more than \$4,000. He reported that suit for damages of \$5,000 was then brought, and that they were personally served here in Madison on November 10. He also noted that they had been arrested and charged with disorderly conduct, with bail posted in the amount of \$107 each, with the trial originally scheduled for November 10, but subsequently being adjourned without date. He reported that their counsel filed a motion to dismiss the charges against them, which was now scheduled to be held on December 9. President Nellen inquired whether they appeared or whether they were represented by counsel; and Professor Bunn expressed the opinion that they did appear at their arraignment, but he did not know whether they would appear on December 9, since it would be appropriate just to be represented by counsel at that point.

Regent Renk inquired whether any progress had been made in apprehending the other individuals involved in the paint throwing incident. Professor Bunn explained that there had been a very thorough investigation made and that there were attempts to identify two others of the eight or ten involved in the incident, but that witnesses were unable to identify them to the satisfaction of the District Attorney. He explained the difficulty of identifying with individuals because of the circumstances under which the paint throwing was carried out.

Regent Gelatt inquired as to what the position of the University would be at the December 9 hearing on the dismissal of the charges. Professor Bunn explained that the University would not take a position, since that is a prosecution by the Dane County District Attorney.

President Harrington stated that there was one other angle in this case which should be called to the attention of the Regents, which was what should be done when students, who leave the University under Regent charges, later apply for readmission to the University. He recalled the situations in the Cohen and Stark cases back in 1967. He noted that Cohen had withdrawn under charges and did not return, but that the Regents had specified that he could not return through the normal channels without it being brought to the attention of the Regents, which was in accordance with the resolution adopted in the Stark case. He pointed out that there was no general Regent rule that specified that a student withdrawing from the University when under Regent charges has to have his readmission considered by the Regents. He suggested that the Regents might want to make such a specification in this case, relating to the two students involved in the paint throwing incident, or the Regents might want to make a general rule on this subject, to the effect that, when a student withdraws while under Regent charges, the Regents should be brought back into the matter before a student is readmitted.

Regent Pelisek moved the adoption of the above suggestion made by President Harrington, and the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt.

There was discussion of whether the above motion was intended to refer to the two students who have withdrawn under charges or whether it was a general rule. It was agreed that it should be a general rule. Regent Renk suggested that the motion should include the words "all expulsions from the University," intending that it should cover cases in which the Regents are not involved as well as those in which they are involved. Regent Pelisek responded that he did not believe he was prepared to go quite that far. President Harrington agreed that that would be stretching it quite a bit; and he stated that he would like the opportunity to review this subject, at least before it was expanded to that extent.

Regent Pelisek restated his motion to read as follows:

That the question of readmission of any student who has been suspended or expelled under Regent charges, or resigns under Regent charges, will be reviewed by this Board before permission to re-enter is granted.

President Nellen suggested that we are about at a point where all charges of a disciplinary nature come before the Regents. Regent Pelisek disagreed with that viewpoint, stating that, at this point, he was talking about those cases involving specific charges that the Regents approve and send to a hearing examiner. President Nellen stated that we have had other methods of taking charges against students, none of which seem to have been effective; and he suggested that it had come to the point that now these cases ordinarily come before the Board of Regents. President Harrington pointed out that some may not, such as cases of cheating in examinations, which he suggested the Regents probably would not want to take over. Regent Gelatt inquired whether anyone had been expelled for cheating in examinations in the past five years; and President Harrington stated that some have resigned under charges.

Chancellor Young suggested that the proposed motion might be expanded to provide that, if a person is expelled under charges, he cannot come back to the University for a specified time. He noted that one student, who had withdrawn, returned to the campus on the preceding day and was active in the demonstration that occurred on that day. Regent Pelisek, with agreement by Regent Gelatt, withdrew his motion for the purpose of redrafting it for consideration by the Regents later in the day.

Professor George Bunn reported on the case of the disruptions of the ROTC Office at University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, which had occurred earlier in the week. He reported that Assistant Attorney General Warren Schmidt was at UWM on the preceding day (Thursday) helping in the preparation of charges, and that he had discussed with Assistant Attorney General Schmidt whether or not this was the type of case that should be considered by the Regents, and said he was of the opinion that it was primarily a disruption case, not primarily a property damage case, so it was the type that the faculty ordinarily handles. Professor Bunn reported that they were proceeding on the assumption that it should be handled by the appropriate faculty committee at UWM. He stated that he did not have any investigated reports or detailed information on the occurrence, but he recommended that the Regents not handle this case.

President Nellen inquired as to what machinery there was for the faculty committees to reprimand or to have charges brought against another faculty member (there having been a faculty member involved in the ROTC disruptions at UWM). Chancellor Klotsche reported on this case to the extent that he had information on it at this time. He reported that it involved students, non-students, and a tenured faculty member. Stating that, although he did not have all the facts as yet, a group of students, after a rally at the UWM Union on Wednesday noon, went to the ROTC Offices on the third floor of Mitchell Hall and forced their way into the office. He reported that, when this was brought to his attention, he authorized the calling of the Milwaukee police, because of the possibility of a major difficulty. He reported that, when the University police force arrived at the ROTC Office, there were nine people in the ROTC Office who were arrested, three of them being non-students, five being students, and one being a tenured faculty member. He reported that, after the arrests were made by the University police, the Milwaukee police arrived and conveyed the nine people to the precinct. Chancellor Klotsche explained that, on the basis of the information that he had at his disposal at that time, he had recommended that there be immediate administrative suspension of one of the students, and that that charge was filed on the preceding afternoon after consultation with Professor Bunn and with Assistant Attorney General Schmidt. He explained that this one particular student was suspended because he had been involved in a previous disruption the night before and had also been involved in some difficulty a month ago when there was some activity on the campus; and he stated that it was his view that, in view of the provision in Chapter V which stated that, if there appeared to be evidence that the student was engaged in continued activities of this kind, there was justification for administrative suspension. He reported this student is being given an opportunity to appeal his suspension, which matter might be heard as early as next Monday. He reported that the other four

students will go through the regular channels of student conduct hearings, with charges filed against them, and with a recommendation from the administration, although he did not know what it would be at this point.

Chancellor Klotsche stated that the case of the tenured faculty member raised still a different question, since it was a new problem for the Milwaukee Campus, and probably for the University, for a tenured member of the faculty to be arrested on campus. He expressed the view that the case of the faculty member should not be governed by a different standard than for the students, but noted that we have procedures that have to be followed, which are provided for in Chapter 10 of the Rules and Regulations Governing the University of Wisconsin regarding faculty members. He reported that, after having consulted with various members of the Milwaukee campus people, it was his intention to proceed with the appointment of a fact-finding committee, and that, if the Milwaukee Campus Committee agreed, a meeting would be held with that committee either on Monday or Tuesday to determine the selection of a fact-finding committee, to determine what the facts are. He stated that as soon as the facts are made available to him, he would make a determination whether a charge should be filed against the faculty member or what the charge should be.

Regent Ziegler inquired of Chancellor Klotsche, whether, when the faculty comes through with a recommendation for a salary increase for that member of the faculty, it would be retroactive to the time of the incident. He suggested that was usually the result of those kinds of proceedings; and he stated that he would like a report back to the Board on this matter. Chancellor Klotsche was of the opinion that, by the December meeting of the Regents, more information should be available for a report back to the Regents. President Nellen suggested to Chancellor Klotsche that he keep the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures, through Regent Gelatt who is chairman, apprised of what is going on in this case.

Regent Dahlstrom stated that this was something that had bothered him for some time, since there have been members of the faculty on both campuses who have participated in, or promoted, by virtue of their conversation with their student groups, dissatisfaction among these members, and in so doing put the stamp of approval on many of the disruptive activities. He inquired whether the reason for appointing a fact-finding committee in this case was by virtue of the fact that this particular professor now said that he was an innocent bystander, or that he was inadvertently in that particular room. Chancellor Klotsche stated that he had talked with the professor, who did not say that he was an innocent bystander. Regent Dahlstrom then inquired why the University chose to institute proceedings against the students, but not against the faculty member. Chancellor Klotsche explained that the proceedings followed in student discipline cases are different from those followed for the faculty, as he had indicated above. President Harrington pointed out that, in the case of faculty members, the administration brings charges against the faculty member, and that the purpose of the proposed fact-finding committee was to assist Chancellor Klotsche in the preparation of charges. Chancellor Klotsche pointed out that there was a difference of opinion as to what had happened in the ROTC Office at UWM, and he had not yet had an opportunity to examine those differences of opinion. He assured the Regents he was deeply concerned about this matter, and intended to do whatever was necessary to move as expeditiously as possible on this matter.

President Nellen inquired what machinery the University had to reprimand or otherwise discipline this faculty member, if he should be arraigned in a court in the City of Milwaukee and found guilty. Chancellor Klotsche explained that he understood that the arraignment and the trial would not be held until December 29, and he assumed that the University would be involved in this case long before that time. He noted that there are several options that the University has ranging anywhere from censored reprimand on one end to dismissal on the other, with others in between, such as leave without pay, etc. There was further discussion of the difference between handling of such cases of students and faculty members. There was also discussion of whether a faculty member should be suspended or permitted to continue teaching while the case was being considered. Professor Bunn explained that he had discussed this with Assistant Attorney General Schmidt on the preceding day, taking the view that, if we are suspending a student, then the faculty member, if he had done the same thing, should also be suspended. He explained that Assistant Attorney General Schmidt, who was on the grounds and had been able to find out as much of the facts of the situation as possible, had indicated there was a dispute as to what the faculty member had actually done, whereas it was clear what the one student had done, who was suspended. He also noted that only one of the students involved had been suspended so far.

Regent Renk inquired what was going to be done about the faculty member who had been arrested on the Madison Campus on the preceding day. Chancellor Young reported that, on investigation, it was determined that there was disruption and that there was one witness who takes this view. Consequently, he stated that charges will be brought against the students and the staff member and the Madison Campus will have a procedure that will parallel the Milwaukee procedure. He pointed out that this was not a faculty member, but a staff member who is the Director of the Afro-American Race Relations Center. He pointed out that the procedures are not as firmly written for handling cases involving staff members as they are regarding students, faculty, and classified civil service people, but he felt he could find a rule that would apply in this case.

Regent Renk inquired who furnished the bail for the paint throwers, and Mr. David Schaefer, President of the Wisconsin Student Association, stated that the Wisconsin Student Association did not, and that he believed that the students provided it themselves.

Regent Gelatt inquired whether charges, other than arrests, could be made against those arrested on the Library Mall on the Madison Campus on the preceding day. Chancellor Young stated that this matter will have to be investigated to see whether there can be, because charges can only be drawn if it is determined there was disruption. Regent Gelatt stated that he was personally disrupted and would testify to that, and he also stated that the library staff could testify to that also, and that, if they were subpoenaed under oath, they would have to testify that there was disruption.

-

The meeting recessed for committee meetings at 10:45 A.M.

The meeting reconvened at 2:25 P.M. with
President Nellen presiding.

PRESENT: Regents Dahlstrom, Gelatt, Kahl, Nellen, Pasch, Pelisek, Renk, Sandin,
Walker, and Ziegler.

ABSENT: None.

- - -

The meeting continued with consideration of the item that had been deferred from the morning session relating to approval of the revised preliminary plans and specifications for the Madison Letters and Science - Zoology Building. Architect Sites distributed to the Regents copies of data sheets showing the computations for the current space and the projected space requirements, based on an ultimate student enrollment of 40,000 at Madison, for the Departments of Zoology and Botany. (Copy filed with the papers of this meeting.)

President Harrington suggested that, before Mr. Sites discussed the data distributed, Vice President Lemon should comment briefly on the general question of developing space requirements as they carry into this specific project. Vice President Lemon recalled that, in the summer of 1968, the Regents had had a special conference which dealt entirely with this question of how you put together the building budget. He recalled that the material submitted to the Regents at that time was an attempt to show the Regents the process that is gone through to estimate the amount of square footage needed to carry out the University's responsibilities. He explained that, essentially, this was a process of using estimates of enrollment broken down into various categories and using space guidelines which are amounts of square feet of space needed for each student. These categories, he explained, are developed for classrooms, laboratories, research, and service purposes, and are worked out in agreement with the Coordinating Council for Higher Education and the State Building Commission. He stated that the documentation which has to be prepared in connection with the building program requests sets forth how much space we currently have, how much we will have as additional space is completed, and then, using the space guidelines, an attempt is made to estimate how much additional space is needed, or if there are any areas which result in having more space than is required. He stated that was the process for the development of the building program which was finally put together. He noted that each campus had an analysis of its space problems and a projection of its building needs, with each building broken down by the various categories of space within the building. He explained that, following this procedure, when the building program needs were developed, it was estimated that we were somewhere near one million square feet of space short of having the standard of space that we all think we should have. He noted that the Regents had recommended about 800,000 square feet for meeting that situation, the State Building Commission recommended about 500,000 square feet, and, when the Legislature finished with it, we ended up with about 200,000 square feet. Consequently, he explained that, after building the Computer Science Building and the Teacher Education Building, we were somewhere in the neighborhood of 800,000 square feet short of meeting our estimated needs, based upon the space

guidelines. He also noted that we are renting somewhat near 300,000 square feet of space toward that deficit.

Regent Ziegler inquired whether, in order to obtain the total gross square footage needed, you would have to divide the million usable square feet by 75. Vice President Lemon estimated that it would be less than 75, more like 60. Regent Ziegler pointed out that this represents quite a large figure, something like \$75,000,000. Vice President Lemon stated that the estimates were based on meeting the needs of enrollment for 1972, and at that time we were estimating about 36,000 students. He pointed out that the estimate of 40,000 was for about 1976, but that would probably slow down because of the action of the Regents on changing the out-of-state enrollment policy, so that the 40,000 enrollment would not come along until sometime beyond 1976.

Regent Gelatt indicated that the figures that had been submitted were satisfactory in their own way, if tied to these general rules, but that the question raised by himself and Regent Kahl in the morning session was related more to what are the enrollment estimates, the classroom estimates and the research estimates for the Departments of Zoology and Botany. Director Edsall stated he would be glad to go into detail of the method of the projections. Regent Gelatt stated that he believed what he and Regent Kahl were after was to know, when the building is done and the campus enrollment reaches 40,000, and becomes stabilized at that figure, whether Botany and Zoology will have a deficit or a surplus of space based on the estimates that are now being made. Director Edsall stated that on the basis of the information given to the Regents and on the estimates of the space requirement for Zoology and Botany, when the enrollment reaches 40,000 students under the guidelines provided by the Coordinating Council, the exact needs can almost be determined. Regent Gelatt inquired how the estimated enrollment of 40,000 was broken down to determine how many were going to take courses in these two fields. Director Edsall explained that they had taken the last seven years of experience of how the students have distributed themselves over the majors or degrees that are granted in the University, and then used that as a measure with a 40,000 enrollment to determine the space required for each department. He explained that this was worked out with each of the colleges. In response to questions by Regent Gelatt, Director Edsall stated that they do come up with a figure of the estimated number of majors in each of these departments and the needs for classrooms, instructional laboratories, staff offices, and research space, all of which are based on utilization guidelines based on the Coordinating Council guidelines.

President Harrington stated that the administration was quite ready to go into these matters in greater detail, but suggested it might be more suitable to do so in getting ready for the next biennial building estimates, rather than at this point in connection with considering preliminary plans and specifications.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted:

That the revised preliminary plans and specifications be approved and authority be granted for the preparation of final plans and specifications for the Madison Letters and Science - Zoology Building.

President Nellen announced that the Regents would now consider the matter of rules and regulations for student housing in connection with the Report of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing, and that, because of the lateness of the hour, we would first hear from those groups that had requested to make appearances on this matter.

President Nellen first called upon representatives of the State Council for Home and Family to make their appearances.

Monsignor Edward M. Kinney appeared on behalf of the State Council for Home and Family and read a prepared statement (copy filed with the papers of this meeting), urging the maintenance of hours and visitation restrictions for student housing at the University.

Reverend Frederic Gilbert also appeared before the Regents on behalf of the State Council for Home and Family. He stated that the Council for Home and Family had studied the matter of the questionnaire which the University had sent out to the parents to obtain their reactions to this subject; and he stated that he wished to commend the University for this study. He stated that the Council for Home and Family was pleased with the results of that study on the attitude of the parents, which indicated that 80% of the parents wished to have supervision for their sons and daughters. He noted that the other 20% of the parents were mainly out-of-state parents. He stated that the Council for Home and Family was dedicated to the purpose of upgrading family life living as such, and believed that the future leaders of our State will come from University graduates, and as such must have a proper appreciation of what home life, family life living consists of. He expressed appreciation for the efforts of the Regents in this matter. President Nellen asked Reverend Gilbert whether, in their communication with parents, they found that the parents knew the definition of "visitation" as it applies to a campus, since he had found in his association with parents that they really did not understand it, being of the impression that it meant visitation in a lounge or hall of a dormitory. Reverend Gilbert expressed the opinion that what is actually meant by "visitation" in connection with this subject seems inconceivable to the great majority of the parents. He stated that he was gratified by the fact that parents are concerned about their sons and daughters and their behavior and by the fact that the great silent majority believe that the moral picture in Madison is still good but needs encouragement.

Assemblyman Manny Brown appeared before the Regents and read a prepared statement (copy filed with the papers of this meeting) in which he recommended the approval of the recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing.

Professor John Hetherington, Chairman of the Madison Campus Student Housing Committee, appeared before the Regents. He noted that this was one of the three successor committees of the Student Life and Interest Committee, which had been authorized by this Board, and which had been operating, in fact, since last Spring on an ad hoc basis, and was now an official committee since its existence was officially approved last August. He stated that he was appearing before the Regents with reference to the report that that committee had made to the Regents, noting that the committee was concerned with three aspects of the

housing regulations. He stated the first of these concerned visitations, the second concerned hours, and the third concerned parental consent for living in unsupervised housing. He emphasized that the Madison Campus Student Housing Committee shares the concern expressed about student conduct, about the younger generation, and about the best way in dealing with the educational experience that we provide for them at the University of Wisconsin. He noted that this was a subject that is of wide concern throughout the state, and is a subject that is of great importance to this institution and the future generations of leaders which we are attempting to educate as best we know how. He discussed at length the stresses to which the society of the United States is now subject, which result in widespread concerns regarding various aspects of present day society, and result in greater concern by the students of this day in matters which affect, not only them, but society in general.

Regarding the visitation programs, he reviewed the various visitation programs in existence on comparable campuses in the Big Ten, noting that the Regents had received summaries of that information, which indicate that current practices in Wisconsin are generally much more restrictive than those that prevail elsewhere. He reviewed the composition of the present large student population on the Madison Campus, noting the relatively small proportion that live in University operated supervised housing, or in privately operated supervised housing. He noted the fact that the University does not impose restrictions on those who do not live in supervised housing. He pointed out that actually the restrictions under consideration would affect only about 8500 out of the possibly 23,000 undergraduate students. He also pointed out the University has already made the decision to permit the majority of undergraduate students, with parental consent, to live in housing where they are not subject to any social restrictions by the University. Professor Hetherington explained the process through which his committee had gone in preparing its report on this matter, including the conducting of a questionnaire both of student opinion and parents' opinion. He noted that the results were approved by a seven-man member committee, three of whom were students and four of whom were former students. He noted that the recommendation of the committee had been approved by the Madison faculty at its October meeting. Agreeing that the Regents and others are entitled and really obligated to come to their own conclusions, he pointed out that the recommendations approved by the faculty are motivated by a high degree of knowledge of the situation with which they are dealing and to an intense concern over the welfare of this student body with which the faculty deals on a daily basis. Of the three proposals of his committee, he noted that only the one on visitation had been presented to and approved by the faculty. He explained that all of his committee and the faculty are urging that the University offer choices to the student body, that, with respect to the student under 21, they and their parents can decide whether they want to live in a dormitory where there is to be no visitation by members of the opposite sex, or in a dormitory where, by a two-thirds vote, a certain amount of visitation may be permitted within prescribed limitations, or in a dormitory in which the students, with parental consent, may live without any visitation restrictions. He stated that, if the parents have such a degree of confidence in their children that they wish them to live under the latter arrangement and have the confidence that they are responsible and can handle the freedom such an arrangement can give them, it is not the place of the University to say that they are incompetent and it should not step between the parents and

the students as to the choices they wish to make. He noted that under the proposal by the faculty, the parents who favor restrictions have a very simple choice open to them by refusing to consent that their student live in a dormitory where no visitation restrictions will be permitted. He again noted that the University is already allowing the parents of 11,000 undergraduate students on this campus to make that decision. Approval of the Madison Campus Student Housing Committee recommendations on visitation were approved by the Madison faculty in Madison Campus Faculty Document 289, dated October 6, 1969, a copy of which is filed with the papers of this meeting.

Regarding the matter of hours for women students, Professor Hetherington explained that the Madison Campus Housing Committee recommendation, which was a unanimous one, is that hours not be restricted for any students, neither men, women, freshmen, sophomores, juniors, nor seniors, noting that this proposal had been approved by the Madison faculty on April 1, 1968 and by the Regents on May 17, 1968. He agreed that approval of this recommendation would be a departure to some extent from the parental choice principle, which he had referred to in connection with the recommendation on visitation. He explained that the committee had come to this recommendation because of the experience which had been had during the preceding year, when there were no hour restrictions in effect, during which time it was found that it does not have any deleterious effects or harmful effects on anyone with respect to patterns of conduct by the undergraduate women who have so in this fashion been released from imposed rules. He stated that there were certainly no harmful academic effects, and that there might be some beneficial effects. He pointed out that, when hours for women were restricted, many made a point of returning to their housing units at the last possible moment. He also noted that, when hours restrictions were in effect, some women students would prefer not to return to their dormitories rather than incur an hours violation. He also commented on the difficulty of really meaningful enforcement of hours restrictions for women students unless there is some sort of a bed check instituted. Professor Hetherington commented at length on the great majority of the students who are of high moral character, are concerned about participating in the rules that govern them, and want responsibility in the institution and in the society outside of it. Noting that the Regents have already spoken to the question that students should participate in questions concerning them, he pointed out that they had participated essentially with one voice in this matter, and he said that he believed they had shown that they were responsible with the freedom they had been given thus far, and had requested that it not be withdrawn, but that it be extended, despite our understandable concern about the occasional abuses by some.

Professor Hetherington then discussed the matter which his committee had not acted upon, which was the question of the increase in the age of parental consent for living in unsupervised housing from 20 to 21. He noted that his committee had split three to three on whether or not this should be done, but had agreed that it was a matter of very little importance one way or the other. He noted that, in most of the privately operated student housing in the campus area, when renting to students under the age of 21, the lessors require that the parents sign the lease agreement, so that the parents are in effect consenting to the arrangements that are included.

President Nellen stated that apparently all presentations had been made by people who had requested and been authorized to speak on this subject; and he asked Regent Renk to present the Report of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing.

The recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on the Practices and Policies of University Housing, as submitted to this meeting, are attached as EXHIBIT B. Regent Renk explained the recommendations of his committee, mainly as set forth in his statement which is attached as EXHIBIT C. On behalf of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing, Regent Renk moved the adoption of the recommendations set forth in EXHIBIT B attached.

President Nellen expressed the appreciation of the Regents for the time and effort that had been spent on this matter by Regent Renk and the other individuals who had assisted him in preparing these recommendations.

Regent Ziegler stated that he believed that Regent Pasch and himself would like to second Regent Renk's motion, but would want to make a few prior comments. He felt that he should make some comments because he was instrumental in having the hours restriction removed last year for freshmen women, beginning with the 1968 school year. He explained that his reasons at that time were based on interviews with students, housefellows, faculty, and administrative people, and that on the basis of the reactions being unanimous, except for perhaps one or two students, he was convinced that they wanted no hours for freshmen women, and he was convinced that they were mature enough to handle the situation. The other reason he explained was that he thought that the Regents ought to test their maturity, because he felt that, if it was all right socially to give this responsibility to freshmen women, then he was sure the Regents were going to receive more requests from students who wanted to carry more responsibilities, and he thought that was a good method of testing them. He noted that, in the consideration given to this subject over a year ago, there was one factor that was not put into the census and that was the parents. He also noted that there were two other inputs that had not been considered, mainly the taxpayers and the members of the Legislature, who have reacted violently to our deviating from the traditional regulations on social conduct here at the University of Wisconsin. He pointed out that the comprehensive survey that was made by the Wisconsin Survey Research Laboratory certainly shows what we mean when we talk about the other public that was not considered last year. He noted that, on the question of hours for freshmen women, that survey showed that 76% of the parents wanted some form of hours for their freshmen daughters, whereas 80% of the students polled wanted no freshman hours. He also noted that the report indicated that 85% of the parents voted against self-determination visiting policies and 73% of the students voted in favor of them. Consequently, he stated that this was a conflict between the parents and the students, and he felt that the parents should be heeded. Regarding the second reason that he had given for elimination of hours last year, which was the matter of testing the maturity of the students, Regent Ziegler explained that many people had been consulted during the past year from all walks of life and provided a great deal of information, some of which is confidential, but which was sufficient to convince him that, although the majority of our students have high moral standards, there must be some restrictions within those facilities owned and supervised by the University. In

summary, he stated that it had taken a great deal to convince him that a student's social life should not be autonomous, because he really wanted to believe that it should be autonomous, but that, in looking at other schools in the Big Ten, there is only one school that has self-determination in their visiting policies, which is the University of Michigan. A second reason for having changed his position, Regent Ziegler explained, was the current problem today relating to drugs across this country which is quite different than it was a year ago. He also pointed out that the attitude of the parents is important, and also that he agreed with Regent Renk that the University should create a climate conducive to learning. Regent Ziegler stated that he urged acceptance of the recommendations of the Committee; and he seconded Regent Renk's motion.

Regent Pasch stated that he had never had a more exhilarating nor more interesting experience in all the years he had been on the Board than the long hours spent over the past months working with Regent Renk's committee on this matter. He expressed the opinion that the members of this committee had approached this matter with an open mind even though some may have had preconceived notions with respect to what they personally might like or not like. He expressed the opinion that he did not believe that there was anyone who served on this Board who did not feel that he had the responsibility and an obligation beyond his own opinion on a question which is as far reaching as this one, which involves our student body and the parents of the students that make up our university. He stated that he completely and wholeheartedly supported the recommendations of this committee, and that he did not believe it was necessary for him to repeat the arguments that had already been made by Regent Renk and Regent Ziegler in connection with this issue. He recalled that he was initially instrumental in at least suggesting that there be hours for freshmen and sophomore women, and that, when the recommendation was presented a year ago that the hours be taken off freshmen women, he had voted against that particular resolution because he felt he was speaking for the parents of the men and women on this campus when he took that position. He stated that he had not in any sense changed his mind with respect to that matter for the reasons he had stated at that time. He pointed out that he had been around this campus for some 43 years, and stated that except for the past year, during which we have had no hours, this University and the students have governed themselves by hours. He stated that he had seen nothing in the record that the women who were students on our campus during those many years, and were subject to the rules as far as hours were concerned, had in any way been materially influenced or that it had any effect on their social life or on their obtaining an education on this campus. He expressed the opinion that parents were inclined to send their daughters to the University of Wisconsin because they knew that here was an institution that had some concern for the welfare of their daughters. He indicated the relatively large percentage of freshmen and sophomore students that were women, and stated that these were the ones for whom he was mainly concerned, especially the freshmen women. He expressed the opinion that the freshmen girls, except those who may come from large metropolitan centers, are from small towns and cities and villages in the State of Wisconsin and elsewhere, and are exposed here for the first time away from home to a new way of life. He stated that, consequently, he felt that he owed some responsibility and some duty to those young women and their parents, who have entrusted them to us by having them enrolled in our University. Regarding the question as to what happened during the last year that would want to

make you want to return to hours for freshmen, he stated he did not believe it was necessary to support his position by citing statistics as to incidents of unsocial conduct, but rather that it is enough that we show that the freedom from the limitation on hours is not conducive to study. He also suggested it was sufficient to indicate that freedom from limitation on hours encourages illicit relations that are illegal under our civil law, which is the basic law of our society, and that it was also sufficient to show that the parents disapprove. Regent Pasch discussed at some length the matter of data obtained by questionnaires and polls and the many questions that can be raised from them on the basis of there being silent majorities, and silent minorities, and also on the basis that the results may be considerably influenced by students requesting their parents to request particular viewpoints. He stated that, personally, of the mail that he received, only a small percentage supported the recommendation of the Campus Student Housing Committee on visitation hours. He read excerpts from a number of letters he had received on this subject to illustrate his viewpoint with respect to the attitude of the majority of the parents. Regent Pasch seconded the above motion by Regent Renk.

President Nellen asked the University administration for its viewpoint on this subject. President Harrington noted, in reply to President Nellen, that he and Chancellor Young had presented their views in a letter which they had sent to the Regents. He expressed appreciation to the Regents and Regent Renk's Committee for having given the administration time to consider this matter, recalling that Regent Renk's Committee had presented the recommendation last summer and had deferred consideration at the request of the administration to permit the faculty, students, and administration time to work on this question and to discuss it with Regent Renk's Committee. As a result of meetings held during this period with Regent Renk's Committee and others, he noted that the committee had made some modifications in its report which brought it closer to the position of the administration. He stated that he and Chancellor Young had only three points in their recommendation, and one apparently had been taken care of, which was the point as to when the changes would become effective. He stated that he and Chancellor Young felt strongly that if any changes are made, they should be made effective in the Fall of 1970 and not earlier. He noted that the recommendations of the committee had moved toward that view, but indicated a preference that they be put into effect the second semester of this year. Regarding the other two points, the point on hours and the point on visitation, he stated that he and Chancellor Young wished to particularly stress the point on hours. He stated that they recommended that the present policy of no restriction on hours in supervised housing be continued. He was of the opinion that the hours should not be re-imposed on freshmen girls, because it involved the question of treating the two sexes alike, and because the removal of hours restrictions during the past year had been a successful experiment. Recognizing that something must be done in the face of substantial objection, he stated that they recommended that the present policy of no restriction on hours be continued; and he stated that that was their stronger point. He explained that the other point, with reference to visitation was not quite so strong, but that they agreed that there ought to be choices, and that they tended to side with the faculty that three choices would be desirable, namely no visitation, visitation, or self-determined visitation. He explained, however, that the administration was not as strong as the faculty regarding the question of unlimited visitation.

He pointed out that the Madison Campus officials directly connected with the responsibilities for student housing see certain administrative difficulties in handling security matters, and other matters in connection with unlimited visitation. He suggested that Chancellor Young, who is immediately responsible for the Madison Campus, might want to express himself on this matter.

Chancellor Young stated that he agreed with what President Harrington had said.

Regent Sandin recommended that the Regents take up the three issues involved in the recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing separately, since they are very definitely three separate issues, which she felt it would be fairer to be taken up one at a time. President Nellen noted that there was a motion on the floor that had been properly made and seconded, so that it would require a motion to amend it to accomplish Regent Sandin's recommendation. Regent Sandin moved that the three issues, of supervised housing, visitation, and hours for women, be considered separately, with separate votes. The motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt.

A called vote was taken to amend the original motion, with Regents Dahlstrom, Gelatt, Kahl and Sandin voting "Aye", and with Regents Nellen, Pasch, Pelisek, Walker and Ziegler voting "No". The motion to amend was declared lost.

Regent Sandin stated that she would like to speak first to the point of hours for women, noting that in May 1968, which was her first meeting as a Regent, this was the issue that was decided; and she stated that she had not changed her mind since that time. She stated that she had had a lot of experience with girls and how responsible they are, and stated that she felt very firmly that they are more responsible and more mature at 18 than boys are, or at least equally so. She stated that she had seen no report or heard any data that would make her change her mind that they haven't accepted their responsibility. She reported that she had heard from a great number of parents, the majority of whom were in favor of taking the hours off. She agreed that she had some letters, such as Regent Pasch had quoted from, from people in favor of restoring the hours, but most of them felt that the girls can handle this very capably and would like to see the present situation maintained. Stating that we really cannot discriminate against girls any more, she suggested that, if the Regents wanted to control the student body, hours should be instituted also for the boys. Regent Sandin stated that she knew many eighteen year olds that go away to school, go away to a job, or go away to technical schools, and are living on their own, who handle themselves very maturely and very responsibly. She stated that she was very much opposed to putting hours back on women.

Referring to Item 6. of EXHIBIT B attached, Regent Kahl expressed the opinion that anytime you say you maybe should do it, you put a certain amount of indecision into something that need not have it. He suggested that, since central administration had indicated that it will be very difficult to institute these regulations by the beginning of the second semester, the material in Item 6. be deleted from before the word "effect" in the second line to before the word "effect" in the fifth line of Item 6. of the Recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on the Practices and Policies of University Housing, (EXHIBIT B

attached). Regent Kahl moved that delation, and the motion was seconded by Regent Walker.

Regent Renk stated he was sure that his committee would have no objection to that revision. Regent Pasch suggested that the administration should be heard from on that point. Chancellor Young stated that he had said that, if the Regents requested, they would try to put the rules into effect for the second semester, but he strongly urged against it. Regent Kahl also noted that, by deferring the effective date to the next year, a new group of students would be attending.

The question was put on the motion to amend Item 6. of EXHIBIT B attached, and it was voted.

Regent Pelisek inquired how the determination of the so-called "No Visitation" housing was going to be established under Item 4. (a) of EXHIBIT B attached. He inquired whether the administration or the Student Housing Division was going to designate certain houses as no visitation houses, and which, if no one wanted to live in them, would remain vacant or whether they would be converted to limited visitation houses. Regent Renk explained that this matter had been discussed with the administration, and he explained that the designation of houses would be based on the number of students who elect to live under any one of the different categories.

Regent Pelisek stated that he wanted to support Regent Sandin's position of being opposed to freshman hours for women, because it seemed to him it would be highly discriminatory and impractical to administer, since the dormitories that he had visited had many class levels, involving more than freshmen students. In order to reasonably administer such a rule, he felt it would be necessary to go to having freshmen women dormitories. He was of the opinion that the proposed type of approach was putting an intolerable burden on the house-fellows in these dormitories. Regent Pelisek also expressed the viewpoint that visitation as it presently stands is certainly reasonable, and seemed to him to some extent to be slightly inadequate. He stated he would personally favor an expansion of visitation, rather than a restriction of visitation, and stated that, for the reasons stated, he proposed to vote against the recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing.

Regent Gelatt stated that he seconded quite a bit of what Regent Pelisek had just said. He indicated that he probably had more reservations than Regent Pelisek had about the beneficial effects of the proposal if it were practical, but he had equally strong reservations about the practicality of it. He stated that it did not seem to him that the Regents could impose at this time restrictions which had been removed only a year ago. He stated that he would want to go as slowly as possible on the expansion of visitation, but he did not agree that the Regents should impose hours on freshmen women. Regent Gelatt expressed the opinion that the Regents must address themselves on the question of how to provide study opportunities for those students who come here to study and not to become activists.

Regent Gelatt stated that it is a mistake to judge students as being great or good by how active they are. He expressed the opinion that one of the shortcomings of the present atmosphere on the campus is that a student, who applies himself to study, is by too many of his professors considered to be a sheep, whereas he is rewarded or at least encouraged to become an activist, which could only mean that he is spending a lot less time studying. He urged the administration and faculty to consider giving as much attention as possible to providing places for those who wish to study. He stated that, for reasons of what he considered to be the practical problems involved, he would vote against the recommendation.

Regent Walker pointed out that, prior to a year ago last May, there were hours restrictions for freshmen and sophomore women, and that he had made a proposal that the sophomore women be relieved of any hours, but that that proposal had failed, and consequently the freshmen women were also relieved of any hours responsibility. He pointed out that there had only been about a year and a half period, during which there were no hours restrictions for underclass women. Regent Pelisek pointed out that he had not been a Regent at that time, but had reviewed the minutes of that particular meeting, and realized that the abolition of hours for women was done on an experimental basis. He stated that he had seen no clear and hard facts up to this time that would indicate that the experiment had been a failure. He stated he saw no justification for reimposition of these hours, because he failed to see how the experiment had been a failure.

Regent Dahlstrom stated that the University, in its teaching function, tries to provide a quality education for students, and he expressed the firm opinion that most of the students come here for that purpose of getting an education, for achieving a quality education. However, he pointed out, the University would turn around and not provide the atmosphere wherein they can get rest and relaxation and where they can have their study time to absorb and to assimilate that quality education. He stated that he was very much opposed to visitation hours of any kind. He stated that he did not want to see this recommendation go down the drain though by voting against it, if there was not any way better than what he would like to see happen. He stated that, under no stretch of the imagination, with all the facilities that are provided for students, for boy-girl relationships, and for social centers around Madison, would he like to see the University of Wisconsin Campus turning a study room into a social arena. He stated that that was not the intended purpose of student rooms, since the students have to have time for individual relaxation, individual study and quiet. He pointed out that there isn't enough privacy for these people as it is; and stated they have to be provided with an atmosphere wherein they can have some privacy and where they can have some place of their own if they wish. He again stated that he was unalterably opposed to visitation of any type, because it represents a complete disruption and invasion of privacy. He also stated that he would prefer to have a sliding scale of hours for women students. He stated that, if there was no alternative for further elimination of visitation, he would vote for the recommendations of Regent Renk's Committee, because he considered it the lesser of two evils.

President Nellen stated that he was going to support the motion. He stated, however, that he agreed with everything that Regent Sandin had said, admitting that we have a double standard, although probably it is changing, but unfortunately nevertheless still exists. He stated that he would like to say that, while there are certain things to be said against the recommendations, his vote was a vote against the permissiveness that is going on in universities. He stated that it did not bother him at all to buck the trend, because this has been a great university and a leader, and that, if we are initiating against this, he would be happy to vote for it.

The Secretary questioned the inclusion of both items 1. and 2. of EXHIBIT B attached, since the adoption of item 2. would cause item 1. to become redundant, and would result in misunderstandings if item 1. were quoted or referred to out of context of the total report. After some discussion, and upon recommendation by Regent Walker, seconded by Regent Ziegler, it was voted that item 1. of the recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing (EXHIBIT B attached) be deleted, and that the other items be renumbered accordingly.

Chancellor Young called the attention of the Regents to note, before voting on this matter, that, if it is passed, it would tremendously change the present visitation rules for graduate students. He expressed the opinion that it would be a very serious mistake to include graduate students in these undergraduate regulations, since we think of these regulations as applying primarily to undergraduates. He stated that there is no evidence that the graduate students need supervision, since they have a long record of taking care of themselves; and he stated that he was dismayed that the Regents were including them in this resolution. Regent Renk reported that his committee had discussed that matter at great length. Agreeing that we do not have many graduate students under 21, he stated that there was a problem of having graduate students living in dormitories, and, by giving them unlimited visitation privileges, they would be setting undesirable examples for those in the dormitory who had limited visitation privileges. Chancellor Young suggested it might be necessary to arrange to have graduate students live in other dormitories. President Nellen raised the question of, regardless of whether or not there were rules, whether it was desirable or not to have graduate and undergraduate students living together. President Harrington suggested that the administration would like an amendment to the recommendations to strike out reference to graduate students. Regent Renk suggested that, if that was struck out of the recommendations, something should be inserted to provide that graduate students must be housed in separate buildings. President Harrington suggested having an understanding that we would move toward that sort of separation. Regent Ziegler pointed out that the committee had considered this matter, and agreed that, if students lived in supervised housing, they should be prepared to live under the regulations of that house or dormitory, and that, if you did not want graduate students to have regulations, then housing should be provided for them elsewhere. President Harrington pointed out that the way the recommendations were written, they would apply to graduate students even if they were housed entirely in a graduate University housing facility. Regent Ziegler pointed out that that was not the intent of the recommendations, but rather that graduate students that lived in supervised housing have to abide by the applicable rules. There was discussion of the problems resulting from having two sets of rules in a single housing unit, both

with respect to visitation regulations and with respect to hours for freshmen women, it being pointed out that in the women's dormitories the hours would be applicable to freshmen women under 21, but not to other students in such dormitories.

President Nellen suggested moving on to acting on the motion; and stated that he believed the administration would find the Regents reasonable in making revisions at a later date, provided they did not change the substance of the recommendations.

Noting that a resolution was adopted by the Regents at their last meeting providing for the Presidents of the student organizations to sit in on Regent meetings and to be available to speak if requested to, Chancellor Young stated that the President of the Wisconsin Student Association was present and would like very much to be requested to speak on the subject of these recommendations. President Nellen stated, unless the Board felt otherwise, he felt that the President of the Wisconsin Student Association had had ample opportunity to speak to the Board by letter and to appear before Regent Renk's committee, or to meet with various members of the Board on this matter, and stated that, consequently, he felt that the request should not be granted. Regent Pasch stated that, although opportunities had been available for individuals to appear before the committee, he felt that it was reasonable to permit the President of the Wisconsin Student Association to take a few minutes at this point to comment on these recommendations. Regent Pelisek agreed, and stated that to do otherwise would nullify the resolution adopted at the last meeting providing for the presence of the Presidents of the student organizations at the Regent meetings.

Mr. David Schaefer, President of the Wisconsin Student Association, appeared before the Board and presented the viewpoints of students regarding the proposed recommendations. He discussed at some length the misunderstanding over the term "visitation." He pointed out that formerly visitations were of a social nature taking place primarily on weekends and in connection with social functions of the various housing units, at which time the housing facilities were completely open for such occasions. He referred to that type of visitation as a dating-type social function outside of the regular study times. He explained that the visitations with which the students were now primarily interested are of the type to permit students to communicate with each other, to talk, to listen to records, to study together, etc. He noted that there are no facilities available anywhere on this campus for such type of communications, neither in our libraries, nor in the Union, nor in the study lounges or elsewhere on the campus that would permit two people to get together alone and communicate. He emphasized that this was a very important part of visitation. He also pointed out that, under this type of visitation, the environment became more natural and, even with the members of the opposite sex visiting during the specified hours, the dormitories became quieter. He pointed out that it is now easier to study during visitation periods because people are considerate of the other people in the dormitories. He noted that, when students in the dormitories are given the responsibility to set regulations and impose their regulations on themselves, the dormitories are quieter, and it is easier to study during such visitation, and the general decorum of the dormitories improves. He stated that he did not believe that visitation was the setting aside of hours when people will not sleep, when they will not study, and when they will have fun and games

and engage in social dating-type activities, because he believed that was not true. He reported that surveys taken of students with respect to inconveniences in connection with visitation indicated that it was not a substantial problem. He expressed the opinion that the visitation regulations having originally started with Sunday afternoons started the whole thing in the wrong direction, and he hoped the Regents would take this into account and understand that the problems underlying the moral conduct of students are much broader and almost unrelated to the question of visitation, because it deals with the way people live in a situation and environment, so that they can study and so they can conduct both private and public visitation and interrelations with other people in a dormitory and in the university. Regarding the matter of hours for women students, he stated he was very much opposed to them. He expressed the opinion that, through experience, it will be found that it not only violates the civil rights of the students, but that there are also constitutional questions of discrimination. He expressed the opinion that the reason we do not have freshmen dormitories is because upper classmen can have an effect on the other people in the dormitories, a maturing influence, an influence of study and direction with the other people in the dormitories. Requiring hours of one set of people in a dormitory, and not for the others, will result in having tension inside a girls' dormitory floor, he stated. Mr. Schaefer stated that he felt that both the visitation regulations and the women's hours proposed by Regent Renk's committee were very unrealistic. He noted that a study that had been done indicated that most students study between the hours of 11:00 P.M. and 2:00 A.M., and that he felt that that should be taken into account in establishing hours and visitation regulations. Mr. Schaefer stated that the proposals brought forth by the Madison Campus Student Housing Committee were not what the students wanted by any means, and were definitely a compromise.

A roll call vote was taken on the motion to adopt the Recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on Practices and Policies of University Housing, as amended and revised to read as set forth in EXHIBIT D attached, with Regents Dahlstrom, Kahl, Nellen, Pasch, Renk, Walker and Ziegler voting "Aye", and with Regents Gelatt, Pelisek, and Sandin voting "No." The motion was declared carried.

- - -

President Nellen noted that representatives of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, who had requested to appear before the Regents, and whose appearance had been deferred on previous occasions, were present and should be given an opportunity to speak at this time because of the lateness of the hour. Mr. Robert Goodman, Racine, Chairman of the Wisconsin Regional Advisory Board of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, appeared before the Regents and presented a statement, a copy of which is filed with the papers of this meeting. Mr. Goodman's statement covered objections to the policy adopted by the Regents of the University of Wisconsin in March of 1969, which provided for a reduction in out-of-state enrollment to an eventual level of 15% by 1971. In his statement he expressed the opinion that the present admissions policies of the University would diminish the opportunity of minority group students to enroll, and have already achieved a drastic cut in enrollment of Jewish students. The statement contended that these policies threatened the quality of education

at the University and would tend to make the University an insular institution. The statement expressed the opinion that the vast majority of minority group students, who come to the University, have contributed greatly toward its quality of excellence. The statement concluded that the policy of restricting out-of-state enrollment should be reconsidered and reversed.

Regent Ziegler commented on the large number of fee remissions which are granted to non-resident students, and also that about 75% or 80% of the dormitory housefellows are non-resident students, with the result that Jewish students are probably receiving a considerable portion of such fee remissions. He also commented on the large number of fee remissions granted to non-resident students who are on the campus and who are teaching assistants or research assistants.

Mr. Goodman noted that, during all the years that the University has been in existence, during which time it had become great, one of the greatest universities in the country, it became great because of its open-door policy, during which time there were no restrictions on out-of-state enrollment. Regent Gelatt pointed out that there were restrictions for a period of time after World War II, when no nonresidents were admitted to the University of Wisconsin. He also noted that, with the beginning of the post-war population surge reaching the University in the early 60's, a maximum enrollment of 33-1/3% non-resident students was imposed. He pointed out that historically the percentage over the last thirty years has been about 15%. He noted that the Regents, in setting the policy, looked at what was the historical percentage during the period when the University achieved, or at least maintained, its greatness, and that it also looked at the percentages of other great universities, such as California, Minnesota, and Michigan, and found that our percentage of 15% was an average of theirs. Regent Gelatt discussed at some length the changes that had been made in restrictions on non-resident enrollment, and noted that the restrictions under discussion apply only to the undergraduate students, and pointed out that a large percentage of graduate students are non-resident students. He stated that he did not believe that this policy of the Regents could be looked at as discriminatory against Jewish people. He referred to an example of restrictions in New York State against enrollment of minority group students. He also commented at length on the fact that states such as Illinois, New York and New Jersey, had not, in the past, provided adequate higher educational opportunities for residents of their states, with the result that many of them came to Wisconsin; and he pointed out that, if such states would tax themselves to adequately fund their own public education to the same extent that Wisconsin does, at that point this question would no longer be a crucial one, and the people from those states would no longer find it necessary or beneficial to leave their states in the proportions that they now do. Regent Gelatt also pointed out that the 15% limitation on non-resident students applies throughout the University, and he believed that this semester the non-resident enrollment at UWM was less than 2%, so that the door is wide open for at least 13% more in total to apply and to be admitted at UWM, which is a very reputable institution. He also noted that the two new four-year campuses at Green Bay and Parkside were also open to increased non-resident enrollment.

Regent Walker referred to statistics included in Mr. Goodman's statement regarding the number of freshmen enrolled for 1968-69 indicating that there has already been a very drastic cut in the number of Jewish students attempting to enroll at the University. Regent Walker pointed out that that reduction might also be accounted for to some extent by the considerably large increase in non-resident tuition. He also expressed the opinion that the curtailment imposed on out-of-state enrollment was not directed at young Jewish men and women who might choose to come to the University of Wisconsin.

Mr. Goodman expressed appreciation for the statements of good faith by the Regents; however, he stated that the context in which the resolution was adopted led them only to the conclusion that the purpose and the ultimate effect was to reduce the number of Jewish students at the University. He also commented on the fact that many of the non-resident students come to the University of Wisconsin because they want to get away from home, not necessarily because facilities are not provided for them in their home states.

President Nellen inquired where the figures on the number of Jewish students had been obtained, since that information is not available to the Regents, because it cannot be shown on the registration records. Mr. Saul Sorrin, from New York, Regional Director of the Anti-Defamation League, explained that the figures on Jewish students came from the cards which were made available to the Hillel Foundation, which is the religious foundation for the Jewish community on this campus, which cards are filled out at the time of registration, at the option of the student, depending on whether or not he wishes to declare his religious affiliations. He then explained at some length the manner in which they had arrived at the estimated number of Jewish students on the campus, commented at length on various aspects of the discussion which had followed Mr. Goodman's presentation, and answered questions from the Regents. Mr. Sorrin expressed the hope that this matter would be reconsidered by the Regents; and he stated that they would continue to be concerned with the problem in the months and years ahead. President Nellen stated that, if any evidence comes before the Regents which would lead them to believe the policy set up should be changed, the Regents would certainly take steps to do that.

Regent Pasch expressed appreciation that the President of the Board had permitted these individuals to appear on this question at this time. Noting that his position is very well known on this subject, he pointed out that he happened to be a member of the Executive Committee of the Anti-Defamation League, and had been for a number of years, and for almost fifty years had been identified with the parent organization, which has fought against bigotry, intolerance, and prejudice, not only with respect to Jewish people, but also with respect to minority groups of all kinds. He expressed the opinion that the statement inviting review of this question was timely. He stated that he thought the contribution of out-of-state students to this University, which has made it great, is seriously curtailed by this action, which was taken by this Board following protest, dissension and unrest on our campus. He stated that, at that time and even now, the administration, the Board of Visitors, the Board of Directors of the Alumni Association, representatives of student groups, and the faculty are of the same opinion, namely that they were opposed to curtailment of out-of-state enrollment. He expressed the opinion that the reasons given for adopting the restrictions have not borne fruit to justify the seriousness of that action. He stated that, therefore, he would move at this time,

that this Board rescind the action that was taken by it in March, 1969, (relating to restrictions on non-resident enrollment). Regent Walker stated that he would second the motion for the reason that he would recommend that the Regents review this matter during the current school year and not wait until May or June to do it. He suggested that none of the Regents, other than Regent Pasch, would want to cancel the whole action at this time. Regent Dahlstrom expressed the opinion that a review was in order, but stated that he was not prepared to rescind the previous action at this time.

With the consent of the second to the above motion, Regent Pasch withdrew his motion and moved the following motion:

That the entire matter of the action taken by the Board of Regents (on March 14, 1969), with respect to the curtailment of out-of-state enrollment, be reviewed by the Board of Regents and the administration between now and the end of the school year.

The motion was seconded by Regent Walker; the question was put on the motion, and the President declared the motion carried on a split vote.

- - -

The Secretary reported, for the record, the receipt from Brown County of a Quit Claim Deed to The Regents of the University of Wisconsin conveying title to the Shorewood Country Club property, which is now part of the campus of the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay.

- - -

Regent Pasch presented the report of the Educational Committee.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Sandin, it was VOTED, That the Campus Elementary School, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, be phased out by June 30, 1971; and new programs of a proposed Child Study Center be initiated as it may be feasible.

-

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regents Dahlstrom and Sandin, it was

VOTED, That the Honors Program in the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, as set forth in Madison Faculty Document 284, dated October 6, 1969, (EXHIBIT E attached), be approved.

-

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted:

1. That Vincent C. Hinck be appointed Professor of Radiology, Medical Center, Madison Campus, beginning December 1, 1969 at an annual salary of \$19,100 (plus 110% C.P.P. supplement).
2. That Bernard C. Wentworth be appointed Associate Professor, Department of Poultry Science, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus, beginning December 1, 1969 at an annual salary of \$15,500.

-

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted:

1. That Edith Lida Kirchberger, Professor, Department of German, College of Letters and Science, Madison Campus, be granted a leave of absence, without pay, for the second semester of the 1969-70 academic year.
2. That Warner Bloomberg, Jr., Professor of Urban Affairs, College of Letters and Science, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, be granted a leave of absence, without pay, during January, February, and March, 1970.
3. That David H. Miller, Professor of Geography, College of Letters and Science, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, be granted a leave of absence, without pay, for the second semester, 1969-70.

-

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, and it was voted:

1. That the resignation of Leonard Freedman, Professor of Zoology, College of Letters and Science, Madison Campus, be accepted at the close of the first semester of the 1969-70 academic year (January 24, 1970).
2. That the resignation of Frank H. Reighard, Assistant Professor, with tenure, Department of Commerce, Division of Economic and Environmental Development, University Extension, be accepted, effective November 30, 1969.
3. That Arthur D. Larson, Secretary of the Faculty and Lecturer in the Department of Political Science, University of Wisconsin-Parkside, be granted Faculty Status, effective November 14, 1969, with no change in salary.

President Nellen presented the report of the Executive Committee.

Upon motion by Regent Gelatt, seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, it was VOTED, That the resolutions adopted by the Executive Committee since the October 17, 1969 regular meeting of the Board, as set forth in EXHIBIT F attached, be included in the record as official actions of the Executive Committee.

- - -

Regent Renk presented the report of the Business and Finance Committee.

Upon motion by Regent Renk, seconded by Regent Walker, it was VOTED, That the Executive Committee be authorized to act for the Board in the award of contract and approval of the schedule of costs for the following construction project:

Boiler for Heating Plant - Central Heating Plant, Madison
 Project No. 6709-3, Bids 11/6/69 (Estimated Project Cost
 \$1,200,000 - Wisconsin State Agencies Building Corporation)

-

Upon motion by Regent Renk, seconded by Regent Gelatt, it was VOTED, That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, Wisconsin State Agencies Building Corporation be authorized to award the contract for installation and piping for the Air Compressor for the Central Heating Plant for the Engineering Research Building, Madison. Campus, Project No. 6406-14 (bid as No. 6707-4), HEW OE 2-5-00377-0, NSF No. GU-2023, to the low bidder, Welch Plumbing Company, Madison, on the basis of the base bid in the amount of \$52,300.00; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

<u>Schedule of Costs</u>	
Total Construction Contract	\$52,300.00
Design and Supervision	7,000.00
Contingency	<u>6,500.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$65,800.00

(To be charged to the line item for contingencies in the Engineering Research Building Project budget.)

-

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to Change in Award of Contract for Reroofing Slichter Hall, Madison, (EXHIBIT G attached) the motion was seconded by Regent Walker, and it was voted.

Upon motion by Regent Renk, seconded by Regent Gelatt, it was VOTED, That the Executive Committee be authorized to act for the Regents of the University of Wisconsin in adoption of resolutions relating to the leases and subleases of building project sites for the University of Wisconsin-Madison Communication Arts Building and the University of Wisconsin-Madison Heating Plant Addition projects and to the interim financing of said projects by the Wisconsin State Agencies Building Corporation.

Upon motion by Regent Renk, seconded by Regent Gelatt, it was VOTED, That authority be granted to join with the University of California in submitting an application to the Fleischmann Foundation for a grant in support of a 90-inch telescope at Junipero Serra Peak, California. The facility is estimated to cost \$5,290,000 and is proposed to be funded as follows:

Fleischmann Foundation	\$4,075,000
University of California	590,000
University of Wisconsin	625,000

The University of Wisconsin's contribution is available from Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation Contributions, \$225,000 of which is presently available and \$400,000 of which is committed to be granted in fiscal years 1970-71, 1971-72, 1972-73, and 1973-74. This schedule can be revised to recognize the dates when the funds will be needed. (Copy of proposed application on file with the papers of this meeting.)

Upon motion by Regent Renk, seconded by Regent Walker, and, with Regent Gelatt voting "No", it was VOTED, That, as recommended by the Chancellor of the Madison Campus and concurred in by Central Administration, authority be granted to lease the following property:

Approximately 3,900 square feet of space at 2304 University Avenue, Madison - University Courts-Join Venture, Lessor - January 1, 1970 \$1,334 per month to December 31, 1972 - Lessee has option to renew for one period of six months from January 1, 1973 (For use by the School of Education's Center on Mental Retardation for developing a clinical training program in work with retarded children. Rent is chargeable directly to a grant from the Children's Bureau of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.)

Upon motion by Regent Renk, seconded by Regent Ziegler, it was VOTED, That authority be granted for any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University to sign Change Order No. 1, in the additional amount of \$62,200, to Contract No. 5497 with C. A. Hooper Company, Madison, to cover acceptance of Alternate No. 1 (Phase II) in connection with air conditioning of the Engineering Building on the Madison Campus of the University, Project

No. 6812-2; and that an increase of \$72,000.00 be approved in the schedule of costs for this project included in the Report of the Executive Committee to the Board of Regents, July 25, 1969, chargeable to State Building Trust Funds as allotted by the State Building Commission on October 13, 1969, Release No. 2410:

Change Order No. 1	\$62,200.00
Design and Supervision	3,500.00
Contingencies	<u>6,300.00</u>
Total Additional Schedule	\$72,000.00

Regent Renk moved approval of the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regents Pelisek and Walker:

That the \$1,200,000 released by the Board on Government Operations to teach additional students and fund start-up costs be allocated in the 1969-70 operating budget as follows:

1. \$ 75,000 UW-Green Bay for central start-up support
 2. 75,000 UW-Parkside for central start-up support
 3. 105,000 UW-Parkside for additional enrollment costs
 4. 800,000 UW-Milwaukee for additional enrollment costs
 5. 145,000 For Fringe Benefit charge on 1-4 and on prior BOGO funds
- \$1,200,000 TOTAL

Regent Sandin inquired whether there was a reason for no funds included in this item for the increased enrollment at Madison. Chancellor Young explained that the Madison Campus would have been entitled to \$19,000, which the administration thought was not a large enough sum to include in this distribution. Regent Walker inquired how far under anticipation was the Green Bay enrollment. Associate Vice President Lorenz reported that it was about 300 students below the estimate, which was about 10%, but that the enrollment had actually increased considerably over last year.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to the Contract Award and Cost Schedule for the Feed Mill at the Arlington Farm (EXHIBIT H attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Walker, and it was voted.

Regent Renk reported that the Special Regent Committee on the Budget would be meeting with the administration very soon.

Regent Ziegler reported very briefly on actions by the Coordinating Council for Higher Education. He reported that the Program Committee of the Coordinating Council approved at long last a Ph.D. program in Anthropology at UWM on the preceding day. He anticipated that it would pass the Council since the Program Committee approval was unanimous. He also reported that the Program Committee of the Council had reviewed the libraries within the University system and the targets for them for 1980, based on an elaborate report. As an example, he noted that we now have at Madison 2,000,000 books and the report suggested the goal should be 3,250,000 volumes. He reported that a report on enrollment was also considered which indicated that we seem to be on target for the total system, but a little bit off target on the various campuses. He noted that we have doubled our total enrollment over a six-year period.

- - -

Regent Pelisek reported for the Regent Study Committee on Student Government at the University of Wisconsin. He referred to the discussion in the morning session of this meeting about restrictions on readmission of students who have been expelled or have resigned under charges brought by the Regents. In this connection, he presented and moved adoption of the following resolution, and the motion was seconded by Regents Sandin and Walker:

Resolved, that no student who has been expelled under charges brought by the Board of Regents, or who has resigned while under charges, shall be readmitted to any campus of the University of Wisconsin without prior review by this Board of Regents of said student's readmission application.

Regent Dahlstrom stated the thing that bothered him about the resolution was that he had no concept of how many student cases the Regents would have to review, and that he was not particularly interested in having to review 30 cases a year of students seeking readmission to the University, because he felt that the Regents have functions other than expelling and readmitting students into school, which was a matter which he would like to see passed down the line someplace.

Regent Pelisek pointed out that the present number of cases that had involved expulsion by the Regents under charges was zero, and the number of resignations under charges by the Regents was two. He personally saw no difficulty in spending the requisite amount of time to review readmission applications of two students, in the event they apply for readmission. He stated that, under the present circumstances, he believed that the time commitment was well warranted.

Regent Renk inquired why the resolution did not cover students who are expelled by the Faculty Committee on Discipline and then come back for readmission. Regent Pelisek explained that he was also somewhat concerned with the same thing that Regent Dahlstrom was concerned with. He expressed the opinion that, if the Regents felt that a particular offense is serious enough to warrant the hearing agent procedure and review by this Board, then he believed they ought to feel the offense was serious enough for the Regents to review that individual's readmission.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Pelisek presented for consideration a revision of Section UW 1.07(19)(b) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code to apply the sanctions contained therein to any person who has left or resigned under charges after being charged with conduct described in that section. He explained that this was a clarification that Chancellor Young had suggested in the morning session. He suggested a public hearing be held on this proposed revision.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the following motion. The motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted.

That a public hearing be held at Chapman Hall, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, at 1:45 p.m. on December 12, 1969 to consider the adoption by the Regents of the University of Wisconsin of an amendment to Section UW 1.07(19)(b) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code relating to excluding from campus persons who have resigned or taken leave under charges (EXHIBIT I attached).

Regent Pelisek reported that Senate Bill 592 was pending in the Legislature, and explained that it provides that Regent rules defining misconduct must be enacted pursuant to Chapter 227 of the Wisconsin Statutes (as rules under the Wisconsin Administrative Code). He reported that this bill had passed the Senate and was now in the Assembly, and that the Assembly leadership had reported that the Assembly would take up this bill before the Assembly adjourns on the following day. He stated that, therefore, it was felt that, if the bill were passed and the Regents decide to prosecute student disciplinary cases solely under the Regent By-Laws, they would not be complying with the mandate of Senate Bill 592, which will then be the law of this State. He therefore proposed the adoption of emergency rules which would codify the provisions of the Regent By-Laws relating to non-academic misconduct. He moved adoption of the following motion, and the motion was seconded by Regent Walker:

The Regents of the University of Wisconsin finds that an emergency exists, that the attached Rules (EXHIBIT J attached) are necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety or welfare.

A statement of the facts constituting the emergency is: The Senate of the State of Wisconsin this week passed Senate Bill 592 requiring that Regent rules defining student misconduct be enacted pursuant to Chapter 227 Wisconsin Statutes. The Assembly is considering this bill and may pass it before adjourning tomorrow. If and when the Governor signs this bill into law and it is published, the Regent rules establishing standards for student misconduct will have to be in the Wisconsin Administrative Code. The rules are therefore adopted as emergency rules to take effect upon publication and filing with the secretary of state and the revisor of statutes, as provided in section 227.027 Wis. Stats.

Professor George Bunn explained that this dealt only with the standards for conduct, not with the procedure, and that there was a slight change in that, instead of referring to any rules which were properly authorized, it refers specifically to those things in the Wisconsin Administrative Code, which is a requirement under the new legislation.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

- - -

Regent Dahlstrom reported for the Regent Study Committee on Faculty Government at the University of Wisconsin. He reported that the Madison University Committee had had open hearings among members of the faculty regarding a proposed change in the Madison Faculty government, but as yet had not come in with a final recommendation.

- - -

Regent Sandin reported for the Regent Study Committee on Student Aids. She reported that the federal legislation, which increased the interest on loans, was passed by both the Senate and House and signed by the President on October 27. She noted that the Regent action, which established the Knapp Guaranteed Student Loan Fund, provided that this program would cease 30 days after passage of such federal legislation. Therefore, she said, no application for loans under this Knapp Program would be accepted after November 21, 1969. She reported that, under the Knapp Program, seventeen loans in the amount of \$18,980 had been made. Regent Sandin also reported that the Student Financial Aids Committee is presently attempting a long-range study of the problems connected with student financial aids, including the problems and needs on the respective campuses, the major goals which the student financial aids program should strive to achieve, and data on student employment.

- - -

Regent Ziegler recalled that at the meeting in Green Bay he had presented a resolution regarding the seating of the chairman of the student organization most representative of the student body at the Regent meetings in each campus area. He stated that he would like to add one word to that resolution to include the campuses of the University Center System.

Upon motion by Regent Ziegler, seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, it was VOTED, That the first sentence in the second paragraph of the resolution on the bottom of Page 38 of the minutes of the meeting of the Regents held on October 17, 1969 be amended to read as follows:

THEREFORE be it resolved that the current president or chairman of the student organization most representative of the student body on each of the Madison, Milwaukee, Green Bay, Parkside, and Center campuses be invited to meet with the Board of Regents at any of its regular or special public meetings.

Regent Ziegler recalled that, at the meeting in Green Bay, he had asked Vice President Taylor how much money the University spent on publications, because he believed that all of the Regents had received inquiries from friends who had received publications from this institution. He reported that the total amount for this fiscal year was \$506,000; and stated that he would have the report duplicated and sent to each of the Regents.

Regent Gelatt recalled that, at the close of the meeting in Green Bay, the Regents adopted a resolution relating to a study of methods by which faculty salaries are set. He stated that he would like to bring to the attention of the Board that question, and he requested that it be put on the agenda for the December meeting of the Regents. He also requested that whatever information is available regarding what has been done in the past or regarding what the present policy is and any recommendations by members of the Board or administration for improvement in the faculty salary establishment procedure be circulated before the December meeting. He expressed the opinion that the present definition of what a good faculty member is is probably limited, but that, nevertheless, he believed there should be some circulation among the Regents of what kinds of standards they look at, or is it merely to cut up all the money that is available. President Harrington inquired whether Regent Gelatt's request was for the administration and the faculty to present material in this area; and Regent Gelatt stated that it was for the faculty, administration, and the Regents to present information between now and the December meeting.

President Harrington noted that after the adoption, at the Green Bay meeting of the Regents, of the resolution referred to by Regent Gelatt, the University Faculty Council had expressed interest in that matter. He stated that obviously the determining of faculty salaries was of great concern on all of our campuses. He noted that the University Faculty Council, which is a single body for meeting with the Regents, has requested that this subject be discussed with them prior to any Regent action. He also noted that this was obviously a very suitable subject for the University Faculty Council. Regent Ziegler stated that he believed that this was in order, because of the budget build up that the Regents are about to begin, in which they will need ground work on salaries. Regent Sandin inquired whether it would be better that this be done at a special meeting the day before the regular meeting or whether there would be enough time to take it up at the regular meeting. President Nellen expressed the opinion that it would have to be assumed that it would be a rather preliminary report, and he suggested that there would probably be time to take it up at the regular meeting. Regent Kahl stated that he certainly wanted to emphasize and underline the word "preliminary" because he would hope that the Regents would not start moving any action or resolution at that early time in an area that needs very complete review. President Harrington stated that they would suggest that the University Faculty Council come in at the December meeting, and that there be a preliminary discussion of this subject. Regent Pelisek stated that he hoped that this would not preclude the dissemination of written information in advance, which he would prefer as opposed to a presentation made at a meeting. President Harrington noted the Regents were committed to meet with the University Faculty Council at least once a year, and that Regent Gelatt had expressed the hope that the Regents, and members of the administration would circulate material before

the next Regent meeting. He stated he did not know whether the University Faculty Council would like to make a presentation, but that it would be present for discussion.

- - -

President Nellen announced that the Regents would go into Executive Session following which there would be no report to be made.

The meeting recessed at 6:25 P.M.

The Regents went into Executive Session
at 6:30 P.M.

The Regents arose from Executive Session
at 6:47 P.M., without having taken any
action on which to report.

The meeting adjourned at 6:48 P.M.

Clarke Smith, Secretary

Unrestricted

1. \$ 100.00 - Gulf Oil Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.;
- 575.00 - The S & H Foundation, Inc., New York City;
- 675.00 - unrestricted gifts made in connection with scholarships supported directly by the donors, to be added to the President's Special Fund. (Trust)

Instruction

1. \$ 1,000.00 - Mrs. Lucille Backus Newcomb, Wilmette, Illinois, to be added to the Backus Lectures Fund. (Trust)
2. 2,500.00 - Haskins & Sells Foundation, Inc., New York City, for the support of an instructorship in Accounting in the School of Business during 1969-70. (133-6111)
3. 125.00 - Various donors, to support staff requirements in the Laboratory School for Special Learning Disabilities, UWM. (133-6994)
4. 4,480.00 - Wisconsin State University, La Crosse;
- 980.00 - Wisconsin State University, Superior;
- 5,460.00 - Wisconsin State University, Whitewater;
- 10,920.00 - to defray the costs of operation of a central office for the Wisconsin Improvement Program in accordance with terms previously approved - School of Education. (133-7082)

✓

Instruction

5. \$ 4,257.74 - Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C. Support for not more than twelve (12) 1966 regional summer institutes for Engineering Teachers in India for the period January 28, 1966 through November 30, 1969 at a total cost of \$140,257.74. Contract AID/nesa-220, Amendment No. 1. MSN ENGR, Administration (144-6545)
6. 5,400.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. Undergraduate-Clinical Training Program for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$27,000. Grant 5-T02-HE-05038-18, Revised. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A247)
7. 13,125.00 - Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, New York, New York (prime grantee with the National Science Foundation). Operation of an Engineering Concepts Curriculum Project for the period September 1, 1968 through June 30, 1970 at a total cost of \$37,525. Subgrant under Prime Grant GW-2247. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Experiment Station (144-9123)
8. National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 142,532.00 - Academic Year Institute in Mathematics and Science for Secondary School Teachers" for the period October 9, 1969 through September 30, 1971. Grant GW-4809. MSN, L&S, Mathematics. (144-A427)
- 2) 51,130.00 - "Summer Institute in Mathematics for College Teachers" for the period October 13, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant GY-7007. MSN, L&S, Mathematics (144-A432)
- 3) 14,720.00 - "Short Course in Physics for College Teachers" for the period October 13, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant GY-6981 MIL, L&S, Sciences, Physics (144-A441)

Student Aid

1. \$ 1,000.00 - Arthur Andersen & Company, Milwaukee, Wisconsin;
 1,000.00 - Employees of above;
 2,000.00 - to continue support of a scholarship to an outstanding student in the School of Business Administration or a student majoring in accounting at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-4130)
2. 100.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, a gift made by Gwen Andrew, Lansing, Michigan, to be added to the Elizabeth Andrew Memorial Scholarship. (Trust)
3. 500.00 - Chicago Bridge & Iron Foundation, Oak Brook, Illinois, to support a fellowship for students working towards a Bachelor's or Master's degree in engineering who need assistance to further their education specifically in the area of welding - Department of Mechanical Engineering. (133-7175)
4. Ernst & Ernst, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, to continue programs in the School of Business Administration, UWM, in accordance with terms previously approved:
 - (1) 200.00 - Accountancy Grant (133-5748)
 - (2) 500.00 - Accounting Scholarship (133-5749)
5. 300.00 - Mr. and Mrs. George G. Schneider, Milwaukee, Wis., to support the program of the UWM Fine Arts Quartet in accordance with terms previously approved. (133-5060)
6. 1,000.00 - General Electric Foundation, Schenectady, N. Y., matching a gift from Mr. and Mrs. Harry Robbins, to be used in an unrestricted manner to support the program for disadvantaged students at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-6584)
7. 1,200.00 - Harry J. Grant Foundation, Milwaukee, Wis., a gift for scholarship aid to Journalism students at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-6890)
8. 35.00 - Members of the faculty of the Department of History, to be used to assist disadvantaged students to pursue graduate studies in that department. (133-7089)
9. 255.00 - Various donors, to be added to the Human Resources Development Fund for the benefit of undergraduate and graduate students from impoverished backgrounds - UWM. (133-7114)
10. 250.00 - Friends of the late Professor Merion Johnson, Milwaukee, Wis., given in his memory, to establish the Merion Johnson Memorial Scholarship Fund for scholarships in music - UWM. (133-7180)
11. 206.50 - Various donors, to be added to the Martin Luther King Memorial Scholarship Fund, to be administered in accordance with terms approved May 17, 1968. (Trust)
12. 49.10 - Phi Beta Fly Readings, Madison, Wisconsin, to be added to the Phi Beta Award of Merit in Speech and Music Fund. (Trust Income)

Student Aid

13. \$ 150.00 - Northeastern Wisconsin Dairy Technology Society, Green Bay, Wis., to continue support of their scholarship during the 1969-70 academic year, to be awarded to a sophomore majoring in the field of Dairy and Food Industries, in accordance with terms previously approved. (133-4490) \$
14. Standard Oil Company of California, San Francisco, California, in support of the following student aid programs during 1970-71, to be awarded to U. S. citizens or holders of permanent immigration visas:
- (1) 750.00 - A scholarship in connection with the Superior Student Program of the College of Engineering (133-4153) S
- (2) 750.00 - To continue an undergraduate scholarship in the Department of Mechanical Engineering for a student in any undergraduate year (133-2292) S
- (3) 750.00 - To continue an undergraduate scholarship in the Department of Chemistry for a student in any undergraduate year (133-2291) S
- (4) - To renew a fellowship in the Department of Chemical Engineering. The gift provides tuition and fees to the student, plus a stipend of \$2,000 if single or if married without children, and \$2,600 if married with children, and a grant of \$1,000 to the Department. The award may be made in any graduate year. (133-814) S
15. 3,000.00 - Stauffer Chemical Company, New York City, to be used by the Department of Chemical Engineering for support of a scholarship (\$1,000, 133-6113) and an unrestricted grant for the benefit of the department (\$2,000, 133-6114) S
16. 35.00 - Friends of the late Professor Wray Strowig, given in his memory, to be added to the Wray Strowig Memorial Loan Fund administered by the Office of Student Financial Aids. (Loan)
17. 10,000.00 - United Community Services of Greater Milwaukee, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis., to be used for stipends, tuition and supervision on the authorization of the Dean of the School of Social Welfare, UWM, in continuing the student unit in community organization. (133-5800) S
18. 189.00 - Various donors, to be added to the athletic grant-in-aid account at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee administered by the UWM Athletic Board and the Financial Aid Committee. (133-6363) S
19. 500.00 - Wisconsin Society of Certified Public Accountants Educational Foundation, Inc., Milwaukee, to continue support of a scholarship to an undergraduate accounting major at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, chosen on the basis of scholastic standing and financial need. (133-6112) S
20. 300.00 - Various donors, to be used for the support of disadvantaged students enrolled in the program of the Department of Urban and Regional Planning. (133-7164) S

Student Aid

21. Donors listed below, for renewal of scholarships in the College of Engineering in accordance with terms previously approved:
- (1) \$ 500.00 - ~~Aring Equipment Company, Inc., Butler, Wis. - scholarship in Civil Engineering for 1969-70 (133-2507)~~ ✓
- (2) 2,600.00 - ~~Bates & Rogers Foundation, Chicago, Illinois (133-1129)~~
- (3) 500.00 - ~~Wisconsin Road Builders Association, Madison - for civil engineering students (133-4867)~~
22. Donors listed below, for support of 1969-70 Farm & Industry Short Course Scholarships:
- (1) 900.00 - ~~Clark Taylor Bankers Association, Gilman, Wis. - 4 @ \$225 each (133-3006)~~
- (2) 200.00 - ~~Janesville Production Credit Association, Janesville, Wis. - 1 @ \$200 (133-4400)~~
- (3) 100.00 - ~~Lake to Lake Dairy Cooperative, Manitowoc, Wis. - 1 @ \$100 (133-3072)~~
- (4) 400.00 - ~~Manitowoc County Bankers Association, Manitowoc, Wis. - 4 @ \$100 (133-3057)~~ ✓
- (5) 800.00 - ~~Pierce-St. Croix County Bankers Association, New Richmond, Wis. - 2 @ \$200; 3 @ \$133.33 (133-2731)~~
- (6) 800.00 - ~~Rock County Bankers Association, Janesville, Wis. - 4 @ \$200 (133-3009)~~
- (7) 3,350.00 - ~~Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, Green Bay, Wis. - 10 @ \$275; 6 @ \$100 (133-186)~~
23. 2,000.00 - Anonymous donor, for continued support of the Special Racial Background Scholarship Fund in accordance with terms previously approved. (133-1040) ✓
24. 276.00 - Friends of the late Charles A. Halbert, given in his memory, to be added to the College of Engineering Loan Fund. (Loan)
25. 250.00 - ~~Earl W. Gsell & Co., Inc., Highland Park, Illinois, for continuation of the Earl W. Gsell Grant in Pharmacy in accordance with terms approved September 8, 1956. (133-2233)~~ ✓
26. 200.00 - ~~Dr. and Mrs. Warner Bloomberg, Jr., Milwaukee, Wis., to be added to the Robert F. Kennedy Fund for aiding disadvantaged students enrolled at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-6620)~~ ✓
27. 600.00 - ~~University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, income from the Margaret Snyder bequest, to be added to the Margaret Snyder Sigma Alpha Iota Alumnae Loan Fund. (Loan)~~

Student Aid

28. \$ 3,000.00 - National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C. (prime contractor with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration). To defray costs in connection with a NASA International University Fellowship for the period November 1, 1969 through October 31, 1970. Grant letter dated September 25, 1969 under Contract NASr-62. MSN, GRAD, L&S, Astronomy (144-A425)
29. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 5,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period September 8, 1969 through September 7, 1970. Grant 5-F01-MH-40973-02. MSN, GRAD, Psychology (144-A408)
 - 2) 5,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 6-F01-MH-43419-01A1. MSN, GRAD, Zoology. (144-A446)
30. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 6,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-F01-GM-43449-01. MSN, GRAD, Anthropology (144-A409)
 - 2) 5,300.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period September 18, 1969 through September 17, 1970. Grant 5-F01-DE-37545-03. MSN, GRAD, Anthropology (144-A410)
 - 3) 1,000.00 - Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-F02-GM-44328-01. MSN, L&S, Botany (144-A412)
 - 4) 9,204.00 - International Postdoctoral Fellowship Award for the period October 6, 1969 through October 5, 1970. Grant 1-F05-TW-01497-01. MSN, AG&LSC, Biochemistry (144-A416)
 - 5) 1,000.00 - Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-F02-HD-42913-01. MSN, MC-MED, Physiological Chemistry (144-A423)
 - 6) 1,000.00 - Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-F02-AM-43354-01. MSN, AG&LSC, Biochemistry (144-A424)
 - 7) 1,000.00 - Special Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period September 2, 1969 through September 1, 1970. Grant 1-F03-AI-43659-01. MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (144-A434)

Student Aid

31. \$ 195,688.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. National Defense Foreign Language Graduate Fellowship Program under Title VI of the NDEA of 1958 for the first semester of the 1969-70 academic year (Total awarded under this program to date is \$1,365,173). MSN, GRAD (144-9847)
32. (6,300.00) - Department of the Interior, Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, Washington, D. C. Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period September 18, 1969 through September 17, 1970 cancelled due to fellow's induction into the Army. Grant 5-F1-WP-26,207-03. MSN, GRAD, Civil Engineering (144-9875)

Research

1. \$ 2,000.00 - ~~Ayerst~~ Laboratories, New York City, for continued support of a study of control of estrus and ovulation in the mare - Department of Meat and Animal Science. (133-5489)
2. 895.60 - ~~Burgess~~ Publishing Company, Minneapolis, Minn., to be added to an unrestricted fund to be used at the discretion of the Department of Medical Genetics, as approved by the Dean of the Medical School, without regard to the limitations imposed by State fiscal and purchasing policies and procedures. (133-4379)
3. Chemagro Corporation, Kansas City, Mo., for support of research:
 - (1) 100.00 - ~~On~~ fruit and vegetable insect control - Department of Entomology (133-6532)
 - (2) 225.00 - Evaluation of soil treatment chemicals - Plant Pathology (133-6529)
4. 111.67 - The ~~COES~~ Managers Society, Madison, Wisconsin, for the support of research in the School of Business. (133-6187)
5. 5,000.00 - ~~General~~ Motors Corporation, Warren, Michigan, for support of research in the Department of Mechanical Engineering. (133-7191)
6. 12,000.00 - ~~Green~~ Giant Company, LeSueur, Minnesota, for support of research on pea virus disease in the Department of Plant Pathology during the period February 1, 1970 to January 31, 1973. (133-7176)
7. 200.00 - ~~Health~~ Research, Inc., Buffalo, N. Y., an additional contribution allotted to the Division of Clinical Oncology on a "per patient" basis for costs incurred in connection with a cooperative study entitled "Chemotherapy as an Adjuvant to Surgery". (133-3908)
8. 500.00 - ~~Eli Lilly~~ and Company, Indianapolis, Indiana, for support of research on methods and materials for weed control in fruit and vegetables in the Department of Horticulture. (133-7179)
9. 7,500.00 - ~~Malleable~~ Founders' Society, Cleveland, Ohio, for continued support of "A Study of Methods of Eliminating Surface Defects on Malleable Castings" - Department of Minerals and Metals Engineering. (133-3772)
10. 14,970.00 - ~~Muskegon~~ County Metropolitan Planning Commission, Muskegon, Michigan, for the support of the Norton Shores Transport Corridor Study to be conducted by the Department of Urban and Regional Planning during the period September 15, 1969, through June 15, 1970. (133-7181)
11. 6,030.00 - ~~National~~ Research Council, Washington, D. C., representing a grant made by the James Picker Foundation, to be used for support of research in the Department of Radiology entitled "Alterations in the microcirculation of transplanted normal and malignant tissues during growth and following radiation" during the period October 1, 1969 to June 30, 1970. (133-7187)

Research

12. \$ 13,000.00 - The Rockefeller Foundation, New York City, to be used for the support of research on the problems of university government - College of Letters and Science. (133-7182)
13. The Merck Company Foundation, Rahway, N. J., unrestricted Merck Grants for Faculty Development for independent research:
 (1) 5,000.00 - Biochemistry (133-7177)
 (2) 5,000.00 - Chemistry (133-7178)
14. 35,500.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, representing a grant from United Artists Corporation, New York City, to defray salary and cataloguing costs - Department of Speech. (133-7163)
15. 500.00 - Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Madison, for support of research in the Department of Food Science during the period October 1 to December 31, 1969, to determine ways to prepare Lake Michigan Coho salmon for home consumption. (133-7183)
16. 97.80 - Members of the staff of the Circulation Department of the University of Wisconsin Memorial Library, given in memory of the late Peter Hartzheim, Madison, Wisconsin, to support the programs of the Rehabilitation Center of the Medical Center. (133-7168)
17. 15.00 - Friends of the late LeRoy O. Garey, given in his memory;
 10.00 - Dr. and Mrs. Robert Anderson, Winchester, Mass., given in memory of the late Duke Garey;
 5.00 - Friends of the late Awald Treptow, given in his memory;
 256.50 - Ronald R. Degroot, Abrams, Wis., given in memory of the late Kathleen Degroot;
 10.00 - Roger F. Krentz, Princeton, Wis., given in memory of the late Mrs. Maurice Hovland;
 315.00 - Family and friends of the late Mrs. Peter Kowalkowski, Pewaukee, Wis., given in her memory;
 50.00 - Family and friends of the late William Pond, Lake Geneva, Wis., given in his memory;
 25.00 - Friends of the late Stanley C. Beggs, Green Bay, Wis., given in his memory;
 45.00 - Friends of the late Charles W. Livingston, given in his memory;
 3.00 - Mr. and Mrs. Alvin J. Smith, Portage, Wisconsin;
734.50 - to support research in the cause and cure of cancer - Division of Clinical Oncology. (133-1038)
18. 110.00 - United Fund of Clintonville and Vicinity, Inc., Clintonville, Wis.;
70.00 - United Fund of Kinnickinnic Township, River Falls, Wis.;
 180.00 - to support cancer research in the Medical School. (133-3671)
19. 697.20 - Various donors, additional contributions to assist in the work of the Regional Rehabilitation Research Institute, School of Education. (133-4868)

Research

20. \$ 13,500.00 - ~~Central~~ Exchange Foundation, St. Paul, Minn., for support of research on the availability and use of sulphur in Wisconsin from October 31, 1969, to October 31, 1972 - Department of Soils. (133-7193)
21. 250.00 - ~~Chemagro~~ Corporation, Kansas City, Mo., for continued support of research on truck crop and potato insects and their control - Department of Entomology. (133-6855)
22. 25.00 - ~~Rainbo~~ Lodge, Inc., Boulder Junction, Wis., for continuation of an investigation of high-level bass-trout competition in Dadek Lake, Vilas County, Wis. - Department of Zoology. (133-2031)
23. 1,000.00 - ~~The Upjohn~~ Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan, for support of research on aminoglycoside antibiotics and the ribosome - Department of Biochemistry. (133-7192)
24. 10.00 - Mrs. H. E. Schreiner, Lancaster, Wis., given in memory of the late Dr. R. W. Huegel, for heart research in the Medical School. (133-3832)
25. 25.00 - Mrs. Al Katz, Racine, Wisconsin, given in memory of the late Edward Shovers, to support heart research in the Department of Medicine. (133-4099)
26. 100.00 - ~~American~~ Bacteriological and Chemical Research Corporation, Gainesville, Fla.;
- 2,500.00 - ~~American~~ Meat Institute, Chicago, Illinois;
- 1,000.00 - ~~American~~ Potato Company, Blackfoot, Idaho;
- 200.00 - ~~Anaconda~~ Aluminum Company, Louisville, Ky.;
- 500.00 - ~~Dole~~ Company, Honolulu, Hawaii;
- 1,500.00 - ~~The Pillsbury~~ Company, Minneapolis, Minn.;
- 1,000.00 - ~~The R. T. French~~ Company, Rochester, N. Y.;
- 6,800.00 - for support of the Food Research Institute in the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences. (133-5328)

Research

27. \$ 5,000.00 - Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Madison, Wisconsin. "The Use of Wood Products in Animal Nutrition" for the period July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Contract 12-11-012(560), Amendment No. 2 to Supplement No. 9. MSN, AG&LSC, Dairy Science (144-8119)
28. - Department of the Air Force, Office of Aerospace Research, Arlington, Virginia. Basic Agreement. Provides for the negotiation and execution of cost reimbursement research and development contracts replacing contract F44621-69-A-0063, effective August 21, 1969. Contract F44621-70-A-0063. Various departments (no acct. no.)
29. - U. S. Army Research Office, Durham, North Carolina. Changes title of program from the "Operation of the Mathematics Research Center" to "Inter-disciplinary Research in the Mathematical Sciences." A Program of Basic Research entitled "Inter-disciplinary Research in the Mathematical Sciences" for the period July 1, 1966 through June 30, 1972 with funds allotted in the sum of \$5,281,559.52. Contract DA-31-124-ARO-D-462, Mod. No. P005. MSN, L&S, Mathematics Research Center (144-6750)
30. - U. S. Army Biological Laboratories, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland. Early termination and reduction in total estimated contract amount due to unavailability of funds. "Investigation of New Types of Staphylococcus Enterotoxins" for the period August 1, 1966 through October 31, 1969 at a total estimated cost of \$109,000. Contract DA 18064-AMC-534(A), Mods. No. 9 and 10. MSN, AGL&SC, Food Research Institute (144-7009)
31. 8,000.00 - Atomic Energy Commission, Argonne, Illinois. "Diffusion of Zeolites and Glasses" for the period August 16, 1969 through August 15, 1970. Contract AT(11-1)-1550, Mod. No. 4. MIL, L&S, Sciences, Chemistry (144-A418)
32. 28,888.00 - Department of Commerce, Environmental Science Services Administration, Rockville, Maryland. "Empirical and Theoretical Studies of Atmospheric Energetics" for the period September 1, 1968 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$66,448. Grant E-8-69(G), Amendment No. 1. MSN, L&S, Meteorology (144-9016)
33. 18,000.00 - General Services Administration, National Historical Publications Commission, Washington, D. C. "Documentary History of the First Federal Elections" for the period September 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Grant 70-7. MSN, L&S, History (144-A383)

Research

34. \$ Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Consumer Protection and Environmental Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 51,609.00 - "Soil and Plant Interactions with Pesticides" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-FD-00258-11 (formerly CC-00297). MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (144-A265)
 - 2) 31,771.00 - "Ecology of Clostridium botulinum Type F in Green Bay" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-FD-00090-05 (formerly UI-00165), Amended. MSN, AG&LSC, Food Research Institute (144-A306)
 - 3) 27,930.00 - "Coalescence of Drops in a Gaseous Medium" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-AP-00421-05. MSN, ENGR, Chemical Engineering (144-A316)
 - 4) 29,187.00 - "Mastitis Screening Tests for Mixed Herd Milk" for the period October 1, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant 5-R01-FD-00217-08 (formerly UI-00124). MSN, AG&LSC, Veterinary Science (144-A411)
35. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 23,165.00 - "Intramodality Discrepancy and Perceptual Learning" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-MH-16390-02. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A206)
 - 2) 37,482.00 - "Classical Conditioning in Retardates and Normals" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-MH-10235-06. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A326)
 - 3) 65,631.00 - "Psychophysiological Aspects of Adaptive Capacities" for the period October 1, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant 5-R01-MH-12858-04. MSN, MC-PSY, Wisconsin Psychiatric Institute (144-A360)
 - 4) 12,793.00 - "Organization Retrieval Processes in Human Memory" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-R01-MH-17222-01. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A419)
 - 5) 5,000.00 - "Peripheral and Central Controls of Food Intake" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-R03-MH-17933-01. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A430)
36. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 565.00 - "Synthesis of Compound Saccharides" for the period June 1, 1969 through May 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$42,994. Grant 3-R01-AM-10588-04S1. MSN, AG&LSC, Biochemistry (144-9954)

Research

36. (contd.) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 2) 42,185.00 - "Submicroscopic Organization of Chromosomes" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-04738-14, Revised. MSN, L&S, Zoology (144-A182)
 - 3) 47,468.00 - "Virus Effects on Cells: Cell Growth Versus Cell Death" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-CA-05682-09. MSN, MC-MED, Medical Microbiology (144-A209)
 - 4) 27,338.00 - "Exocrine Gland Function in Cystic Fibrosis" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-AM-06365-08. MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (144-A231)
 - 5) 38,840.00 - "Analytical Procedures for Isozymes" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-10978-06. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A249)
 - 6) 37,194.00 - "Studies on Tumor Virus-Host Cell Interactions" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-CA-10395-03. MSN, MC-MED, Medical Microbiology (144-A264)
 - 7) 87,182.00 - "Components and Pathways in Biological Oxidation" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-12394-06. MSN, GRAD, Institute for Enzyme Research (144-A277)
 - 8) 33,654.00 - "Structure and Synthesis of Bacillus Sphaericus Cell Wall" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-HD-02972-03. MSN, MC-MED, Pharmacology (144-A283)
 - 9) 83,620.00 - "Enzyme Biosynthesis and Regulation Urea Biosynthesis" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 2-R01-CA-03571-13. MSN, MC-MED, Physiological Chemistry (144-A284)
 - 10) 60,741.00 - "The Control of the Replication of Bacterial Episomes" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 2-R01-GM-14398-04, Revised. MSN, GRAD, Laboratory of Molecular Biology (144-A289)
 - 11) 44,395.00 - "Control Mechanisms in Gluconeogenesis" for the period August 1, 1969 through July 31, 1970. Grant 2-R01-GM-14033-04, Revised. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A290)
 - 12) 24,894.00 - "Phase Transitions and Flow Properties of Polypeptides" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-15432-03, Revised. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-A291)
 - 13) 32,793.00 - "Determination of Ribonulceic Acid Structure" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-12395-06, Revised. MSN, GRAD, Laboratory of Molecular Biology (144-A293)

Research

36. (contd.) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- 14) 31,115.00 - "Function of Ribosomes in Protein Synthesis" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-12411-06, Revised. MSN, L&S, Zoology (144-A303)
- 15) 33,000.00 - Special Research Resource Grant for Support of Laboratory Computer Resources for the period May 1, 1969 through July 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$110,233. Grant 5-P07-RR-02249-05, Revised. MSN, MC-MED, Laboratory Computer Facility (144-A312)
- 16) 15,505.00 - "Ketogenesis in the Ruminant" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 2-R01-AM-08546-06. MSN, AG&LSC Dairy Science (144-A330)
- 17) 50,148.00 - "Regulation of Differentiation in Germinating Spores" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 2-R01-GM-12332-06. MSN, GRAD, Laboratory of Molecular Biology (144-A342)
- 18) 27,731.00 - "Cyto-morphology and Cell Differentiation in Hydra" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-06934-11, Revised. MSN, MC-MED, Anatomy (144-A358)
- 19) 12,203.00 - "Biochemical Studies on Experimental Epilepsy" for the period October 1, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant 5-R01-NS-08295-02. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A389)
- 20) 18,462.00 - Special Research Resource Grant for Budget for Support of Pilot Plant Operations for the period October 1, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant 5-P07-RR-00226-06. MSN, AGL&SC, Biochemistry (144-A391)
- 21) 34,791.00 - "Physiology of Insect Photoperiodism" for the period October 1, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-07557-10. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (144-A406)
- 22) 45,569.00 - "Potential Carcinogens Related to Tryptophan" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 1-R01-CA-11331-01. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (144-A428)
- 23) 85,769.00 - "Pathogenesis of Experimental Airborne Tuberculosis" for the period December 1, 1969 through November 30, 1970. Grant 5-R22-AI-07485-04. MSN, MC-MED, Medical Microbiology (144-A429)
- 24) 26,875.00 - Research Career Award in support of research entitled "Structure and Synthesis of Viruses" for the period January 1, 1970 through December 31, 1970. Grant 5-K06-AI-21942-07. MSN, GRAD, Biophysics Laboratory (144-A442)

Research

37. \$ 108,445.00 - Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C. "Utilization of Ferrous Urban Waste" for the period September 25, 1969 through September 24, 1970. Grant G0100160(SWD-29). MSN, ENGR, Minerals and Metals Engineering (144-A388)
38. 5,812.00 - Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Washington, D. C. Doctoral Dissertation research entitled "An Experimental Analysis of Training for Disadvantaged Workers Under the Job Opportunities in the Business Sector Program" for the period October 1, 1969 through December 31, 1970. Grant 91-53-70-08. MSN, L&S, Industrial Relations Research Center (144-A401)
39. - Mathematica, Princeton, New Jersey (subcontractor under grant from the Office of Economic Opportunity). Extends termination date and increases estimated cost and fixed fee of subcontract for services, materials and personnel. Experimental Study of Graduated Work Incentives for the period July 1, 1967 through June 30, 1970 at an estimated cost of \$1,353,858, with funds allotted in the amount of \$1,182,835. Modification No. 3 to Subcontract No. 1 under Grant CG-8486A/O. MSN, L&S, Institute for Research on Poverty (144-8306)
40. 11,650.00 - University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota (prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health). Services in connection with research entitled "Effects of Prolonged Visual Distortion" for the period May 1, 1969 through April 30, 1970. Letter Agreement dated September 18, 1969 under Grant 5-R01-HD-03082-07. MIL, L&S, Social Sciences, Psychology (144-A417)
41. - National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland. Facilities Use Agreement. Use of Observatory Facilities including the Boller and Chivens thirty-six (36) inch cassegraincoude telescope and supporting auxiliary instrumentation to further the research activities of the University for the period September 16, 1969 through September 15, 1970. Memorandum of Understanding dated September 16, 1969. MSN, L&S, Physics (no acct. no.)
42. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas in support of the following:
- 1) 25,000.00 - "Preparation for the performance of a program of research involving the measurement and analysis of rare earth element by neutron activation analysis on returned lunar samples" for the period May 13, 1968 through August 31, 1970 at an estimated cost of \$75,649. Funds allotted for the period May 13, 1968 through December 31, 1969 are \$67,000. Contract NAS9-7975, Modification 2S. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-8757)

Research

42. (contd.) National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas
- 2) 11,700.00 - "Analyses to determine structure, composition, texture, phases of opaque minerals in lunar samples" for the period August 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Contract NAS 9-9987. MSN, L&S, Geology and Geophysics (144-A340)
43. National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 121,200.00 - "A National Program Library and Central Program Inventory Service for the Social Sciences" for the period September 15, 1969 through March 14, 1972. Grant GS-2768. MSN, L&S Political Science (144-A384)
- 2) 25,000.00 - "Manno-Lipids of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis" for the period October 15, 1969 through April 14, 1972. Grant GB-13598. MSN, GRAD, Institute for Enzyme Research (144-A407)
- 3) 61,800.00 - "Polymer Solution Rheology" for the period November 15, 1969 through May 14, 1972. Grant GK-15611. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Mechanics (144-A444)
- 4) 40,100.00 - "Mechanics of Granular Media" for the period November 15, 1969 through May 14, 1972. Grant GK-15085. MSN, ENGR, Engineering Mechanics (144-A447)
- 5) 24,600.00 - "Research in Fundamental Particle Theory and in Nuclear Theory" for the period January 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Grant GP-15198. MSN, L&S, Physics (144-A448)
44. 30,000.00 - Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research, Washington, D. C. "Thymic Influence on the Immune Response" for the period September 1, 1966 through August 31, 1970 at a total estimated cost of \$120,044. Contract N00014-67-A-0128-0001, Amendment AD MSN, MC-MED, Surgery (144-7307)
45. 500.00 - Northside Citizens Neighborhood Conservation Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin (Prime Contractor with the Department of Housing and Urban Development). "An Analysis of the Customers of the Northside Citizens Neighborhood Conservation Corporation Project" for the period February 10, 1969 through December 31, 1969 at a total cost of \$5,423. Letter Agreement dated February 10, 1969 as modified by letters of June 25, 1969 and October 13, 1969 under HUD Grant H966. MIL, School of Social Welfare (144-9643)
46. State of Wisconsin, Department of Natural Resources, Madison, Wisconsin in support of the following:
- 1) 1,001.00 - "Taxonomic Surveys of the Wisconsin Families, Genera and Species of Plecoptera, Ephemeroptera, and Trichoptera for the period June 1, 1968 through June 1, 1970 at a total cost of \$21,301. Amendment to Annual Supplement under Memorandum of Understanding for Research effective July 1, 1965. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (133-6428)
- 15

Research

46. (contd.) State of Wisconsin, Department of Natural Resources,
Madison, Wisconsin
- 2) 4,000.00 - "Support of a Reference Room in the Water Resources Center" for the period July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Annual Supplement under Memorandum of Understanding for Research effective July 1, 1965. MSN, GRAD, Water Resources Center (133-7167)
47. Wisconsin Regional Medical Program, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin (prime contractor with Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health) in support of the following:
- 1) 16,204.68 - "Study Program for Uterine Cancer Therapy and Evaluation" for the period September 1, 1968 through August 31, 1969 at a total cost of \$168,159.68. Letter dated September 5, 1968 under Prime Grant 3-G03-RM-00037, as amended by letters of October 21, 1968 and October 1, 1969. MSN, MC-MED, Gynecology and Obstetrics (144-9261)
- 2) 75,106.00 - Systems Engineering and Operations Research Services for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Letter Dated September 10, 1969 under Prime Grant 3-G03-RM-00037. MSN, ENGR, Mechanical Engineering (144-A266)
- 3) 44,810.00 - "Cooperative Cancer Chemotherapy Program for Adults" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Letter dated September 22, 1969 under Prime Grant 3-G03-RM-00037. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (144-A307)

Libraries

1. \$ Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 37,177.00 - Basic Grant of \$5,000 and a Supplemental Grant of \$32,177 for the 1969 College Library Resources Program under Title II-A of the Higher Education Act of 1965. Grant period is June 17, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Grant OEG-0-9-590028-0502(314). MIL, Library (144-A364(Basic), 144-A365 (Supplemental))
 - 2) 5,703.00 - Basic Grant of \$5,000 and Supplemental Grant of \$703 for the 1969 College Library Resources Program under Title II-A of the Higher Education Act of 1965. Grant period is June 17, 1969 to June 30, 1970. Grant OEG-0-9-590031-9238(314). GB, MANIT, Library (144-A435 (Basic), 144-A436 (Supplemental))
 - 3) 5,579.00 - Basic Grant of \$5,000 and Supplemental Grant of \$579 for the 1969 College Library Resources Program under Title II-A of the Higher Education Act of 1965. Grant period is June 17, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Grant OEG-0-9-590030-9230(314). GB, MARIN, Library (144-A437 (Basic), 144-A438 (Supplemental))
 - 4) 5,632.00 - Basic Grant of \$5,000 and Supplemental Grant of \$632 for the 1969 College Library Resources Program under Title II-A of the Higher Education Act of 1965. Grant period is June 17, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Grant OEG-0-9-590030-9230(314). GB, FOX VA, Library (144-A439 (Basic), 144-A440 (Supplemental))
2. \$ 125.00 - Friends of the late William E. Ogilvie, given in his memory to be added to the Agricultural Library Trust Fund, to be administered in accordance with terms previously approved. (Trust)
3. 416.00 - Balcok Hall Food Science Club, a gift to provide support of a part-time librarian in the Department of Food Science. (133-7166)
4. 320.00 - Various donors, given in memory of the late David L. Bobroff, to establish the David L. Bobroff Memorial Fund to be used for the support of the Physics Department Library. (133-7165)

Extension and Public Service

1. \$ 1,610.65 - U. S. Armed Forces Institute, Madison, Wisconsin. Payment for 2,000 copies of Study Guide A 535.1, Introduction to Data Processing, with Solutions Manual Manuscript at a total cost of \$6,080.97. Contract DAHCl1-69-C-0160, Amendment A149. UEX (no acct. no.)

2. - The Board for International Cooperative Training, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin (subcontractor under Agency for International Development). Increases funding and extends period of performance. Funding for general backstopping and administrative support to the International Cooperative Training Center increased from \$28,010 to \$102,152 for the period April 16, 1967 through September 30, 1969. Amendment No. 2 to Task Order No. 1 under Memorandum Agreement under Basic Agreement No. AID/csd-1506 UEX, HRD, International Cooperative Training Center (144-7700)

3. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
 - 1) - Annual Cooperative Program. "Investigation of the Geology and Lead-Zinc deposits of southwestern Wisconsin and the mineral resource appraisal of the Butternut-Conover Area" for the period July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970, each party to contribute funds or services in the amount of \$8,000. Cooperative Agreement dated July 1, 1969. UEX, EED, State Geologist (no. acct. no.)

 - 2) - Annual cooperative program. "An Investigation of the Water Resources of the State of Wisconsin" for the period July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970, each party to contribute funds or services in the amount of \$155,000. Cooperative Agreement dated July 1, 1969. UEX, EED, State Geologist (no acct. no.)

 - 3) - Annual cooperative program. "Topographic Survey of the State of Wisconsin" for the period July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970 each party to contribute funds or services in the amount of \$45,000. Cooperative Agreement dated September 17, 1969 (a replacement of agreement dated June 30, 1969). UEX, EED, State Geologist (no acct. no.)

4. 21,250.00 - National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. "Short Course in Computer Matrix Methods in Structural Mechanics for College Teachers" for the period October 13, 1969 through September 30, 1970. Grant GY-6980. UEX, LPE, Civil Engineering (144-A433)

5. 3,574.00 - North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University, Greensboro, North Carolina (prime contractor with Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education). Administrative Costs incurred in connection with the Cooperative Program under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the 1969-70 academic year. Subgrant. UEX, HRD, Committee on Cooperation with predominantly Negro Universities. (144-A148)

Extension and Public Service

6. \$ 520.00 - Tennessee Valley Authority, Muscle Shoals, Alabama. "Test-Demonstration Farms in the Fertilizer Program" for Fiscal Year 1969 at a total cost of \$6,770. Amendment to Work Plan and Budget July 1, 1968 through June 30, 1969 under Contract TV-60555 and Project Agreement Effective January 1, 1945, as amended. UEX, EED, Soils. (144-9089)
7. 3,750.00 - Texas Southern University, Houston, Texas (prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education). Administrative Costs incurred in connection with the Cooperative Program under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the 1969-70 academic year. Subgrant. UEX, HRD, Committee on Cooperation with Predominantly Negro Universities (144-A148)
8. 73,318.00 - Wisconsin Regional Medical Program, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin (prime contractor with Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health). "Dial Access for Physicians", "Dial Access for Nurses", "Single Concept Films" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Letter dated September 30, 1969 under Prime Grant 3-G03-RM-00037. UEX, LPE, Health Science Area, Medicine, UEX, LPE, Health Science Area, Nursing (144-A262)
9. 22,000.00 - Community Relations Social Development Commission, Milwaukee, Wisconsin (Prime Contractor with the Department of Labor). Conduct and Administration of Concentrated Employment Program (Milwaukee New Careers Program) for the period April 15, 1968 through November 30, 1969 at a total cost of \$133,732. Sub-contract under Prime Contract W4-8429-53, Amendment No. 2. UEX, HRD, Social Work (144-9060)

Miscellaneous

1. \$ 100.00 - ~~Anonymous~~ donor, to be used for clerical help or other expenses in the Central University Services Retirement Office. (133-7188)
2. 58.63 - ~~Friends of the Arboretum~~, to be used for the benefit of the Arboretum as determined by the Arboretum Committee. (Trust)
3. 300.00 - Dean George A. Condon, Janesville, Wisconsin, an unrestricted gift to be spent at the discretion of the Dean of the Rock County Campus, University Center System, without regard to State regulations. (133-7185)
4. 262.40 - Mr. and Mrs. James ~~Junker~~, Ashland, Wis., given in memory of the late Sarah K. Junker, to be used to further clinical care in Pediatric Cardiology - Medical School. (133-6731)
5. 1,000.00 - ~~Marathon Oil Foundation, Inc.~~, Findlay, Ohio, an unrestricted grant for the Department of Chemical Engineering during 1969-70. (133-7184)
6. 10,000.00 - Russell ~~Sage~~ Foundation, New York City, for continued support of the expenses incurred in connection with the "Law and Society Review" - Sociology and Law Program. (133-4943)
7. 500.00 - ~~Wauwatosa Public Schools~~, Wauwatosa, Wis., to defray expenses incurred by the Instructional Research Laboratories of the School of Education in connection with an educational evaluation project. Additional Contributions may be added to the fund. (133-6920)
8. 6,000.00 - The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor;
12,000.00 - ~~Purdue Research Foundation~~, Lafayette, Indiana; for the use of
18,000.00 the CIC Program on Far Eastern Languages. (133-6877)
9. 1,330.00 - ~~Rural Sociological Society~~, Brookings, S. D., to be used for partial support of editorial work performed by the Department of Rural Sociology in publishing the Journal of Rural Sociology. (133-5316)

Miscellaneous

10. \$ Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C.
 in support of the following:
- 1) 90,000.00 - Program to render technical advice and assistance to the
 Government of the Federation of Nigeria in the development
 of Northern Nigeria Teachers Colleges for the period January
 1, 1967 through December 31, 1969 at a total cost of
 \$2,394,226. Contract AID/afr-450, Amendment No. 8. MSN,
 EDUC, Educational Administration, MSN, EDUC, Administration
 (144-7474)
- 2) 24,708.00 - Agricultural Assistance Program concerning Land Titling in
 Bolivia for the period September 1, 1968 through June 30, 1970
 at a total cost of \$43,742. Contract AID-511-56T, Amendment
 No. 1 (Revised) MSN, AG&LSC, Land Tenure Center (144-9374)
11. 290,135.00 - Office of Economic Opportunity, Washington, D. C. High School
 Equivalency Program for the period September 1, 1969 through
 August 31, 1970. Grant CG-9678 A/O. MIL, EDUC, Administration
 (144-A298)
12. 200.00 - Peace Corps, Washington, D. C. Rental of Conference Rooms,
 Projector and Screen, Projectionist Fee, Miscellaneous Supplies
 and Other Related Services in Conjunction with Peace Corps
 recruiting for the period September 1, 1969 through June 1, 1970
 Purchase Order No. 83-0680. MIL, G SERV, International Studies
 and Programs (144-A366)

Gifts-in-Kind

1. Professor W. W. Cleland, Madison, Wisconsin, a gift for use by the Wisconsin Hoofers of a Finn Olympic Class sailing boat and scow jib, evaluated by the donor at \$1,275.
2. Micro-Measurements, Romulus, Michigan, a gift of four strain gages evaluated at \$120 to be used in classes in Experimental Stress Analysis at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.
3. University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, a gift made by Professor James Watrous, Madison, of a color woodcut by the artist Hiroshige entitled "Tokaido Road #4", to be housed in the Elvehjem Art Center. The work of art has a valuation of approximately \$35.
4. Gifts to help equip the foundry laboratory in the Materials Department, College of Applied Science and Engineering, UWM:
 - (1) Inductotherm Corporation, Rancocas, N. J. - melting furnace and equipment evaluated at \$811
 - (2) Outboard Marine Corporation - Evinrude Division, Milwaukee, Wis. - equipment evaluated at \$50
5. Miss Anna Stark, Madison, Wisconsin, a gift of six volumes to the Memorial Library, evaluated by the Director at \$30.
6. Mrs. C. E. Drew, Racine, Wisconsin, a gift to the University of Wisconsin-Parkside Library of 163 books evaluated by the donor at \$500.
7. Gifts of library materials to the library of the Waukesha County Campus, University Center System, evaluated by the librarian at the amounts shown:
 - (1) Arpad Elo, Brookfield, Wis. - physics journals - \$455
 - (2) Mrs. Emory Fisher, Madison, Wis. - journals and books from the library of the late Professor Emory Fisher - \$420

GIFTS, GRANTS, AND U. S. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

14 November 1969

	Items Processed 10/9/69 through 11/3/69 to be <u>Accepted 11/14/69</u>	Items Processed 9/24/68 through 10/21/68 Accepted <u>11/1/68</u>
Unrestricted	\$ 675.00	\$ 1,035.00
Instruction	245,709.74	472,299.51
Student Aid	266,687.60	1,395,057.36
Research	2,025,273.45	4,176,764.62
Libraries	54,952.00	460.00
Extension & Public Service	126,022.65	
Physical Plant	--	8,019.00
Miscellaneous	436,594.03	446,785.10
Gifts-in-Kind	<u>3,696.00</u>	<u>2,959.00</u>
Totals - November	\$ 3,159,610.47 (1)	\$ 6,503,379.59 (2)
Previously Reported	<u>33,032,040.71</u>	<u>23,695,817.82</u>
GRAND TOTALS	\$ 36,191,651.18	\$ 30,199,197.41

(1) Includes \$2,931,934.07 from Federal Agencies

(2) Included \$6,067,384.82 from Federal Agencies

Additional
Gift-in-Kind

United Artists Corporation, New York City, the gift of records, still negatives, pressbooks, positive prints of the Warner Film Library and Monogram sound features, and positive prints of various television series, to be made available to scholars for research and for classroom use for educational purposes under the direction of the Wisconsin Center for Theatre Research. The material will be housed by the State Historical Society.

REPORT OF NON-PERSONNEL ACTIONS BY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

to the

BOARD OF REGENTS

AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS REPORTED FOR THE REGENT RECORD

November 14, 1969


I. Report of Action Taken - Authorization for Underground Telephone Lines

The Associate Vice President for Business and Finance, under his general authorization to sign agreements under \$5,000.00, has authorized the Wisconsin Telephone Company to place buried cables between the Spring Street Central Office of the Company and the future University-State Communications Center at 41 North Mills Street, Madison.

II. Report of Action Taken - Property Purchases

Pursuant to authority granted by the Regents, and subject to the approval of the Governor and clearance of titles by the Attorney General, the following purchases of property by the Regents, within the approved campus expansion area, Madison, have been agreed upon (purchases approved by the State Building Commission on October 13, 1969):

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1) 412 North Lake Street
Madison City Parcel #143-16
From Mrs. Anna Hamilton | \$66,025.00 |
| 2) 420 North Lake Street
Madison City Parcel #143-12
From Mrs. Rita Heiser Sperrer | \$75,025.00. |


EXHIBIT A

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE REGENT STUDY COMMITTEE
ON PRACTICES AND POLICIES OF UNIVERSITY HOUSING

1. The Committee recommends that all single freshmen and sophomore men and women under 21 years of age be required to live in supervised housing unless excused by written parental or guardian consent.
2. The Committee recommends that any unmarried students at the University of Wisconsin under 21 years of age must have written parental or guardian consent to live in unsupervised housing.
3. The Committee recommends that all freshmen women, under 21 years of age living in supervised housing, will be required to observe the following hours:

Weekdays: 12:00 o'clock midnight
Friday, Saturday, and on days preceding legal holidays:
2:00 A.M.

These are maximum limitations. Any housing unit may adopt a schedule of hours within this recommendation.

4. The Committee recommends two types of supervised housing for undergraduate and graduate students. If the student is single and under 21 years of age, the choice will require written parental or guardian consent.
 - (a) Students may live in a supervised residence hall where the policy is the traditional no visitation between the sexes. Visitation in the foregoing recommendation shall exclude lounges and the first floor area.
 - (b) Students may live in a supervised residence hall that will permit visitation between the sexes in accordance with the following limitations: Friday and Saturday 12:00 Noon to 12:00 Midnight, and Sunday 12:00 Noon to 10:30 P.M. Visitation in the foregoing recommendation shall exclude lounges and the first floor area.

These are maximum limitations. Any housing unit may adopt a schedule of hours within this recommendation.

5. The Committee recommends to the administration, for review by the administration, the present system of housefellows and the supervision of housing at the University of Wisconsin.
6. The Committee recommends that these practices and policies of University housing go into effect beginning the second semester of the 1969-70 school year. If such implementation presents administrative problems, that make it inadvisable to effectuate these recommendations during the semester referred to, they shall be put into effect no later than the beginning of the 1970-71 academic year.

Recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on
Practices and Policies of University Housing -- 2

7. The above recommendations apply to only the Madison Campus of the University, with the understanding that appropriate policies will be promulgated for the other campuses of the University when the need arises.
8. The Committee strongly suggests that all parents of present and incoming students be informed in writing of any decision by the Board of Regents with respect to the foregoing recommendations.

Walter Renk, Chairman
Maurice Pasch
Bernard Ziegler
Mrs. Conrad A. Elvehjem

Recommendations of the Regent Study Committee on
the Practices and Policies of University Housing

November 14, 1969

Mr. President:

The Special Regents Committee on the Practices and Policies of University Housing, consisting of Mrs. Elvehjem of the Board of Visitors, Regent Pasch, Regent Ziegler and myself, started interviews in early February. To date, we have heard many people representing University administrators, counselors, psychiatrists, psychologists, housefellows, dormitory students (both men and women), sororities, fraternities, apartment dwellers, clergymen, owners of private rental units, legislators, parents, and University security officers. I am pleased, Mr. President, to report to the board that the recommendations that we are making here today have the unanimous approval of all Committee members.

1. The only difference in this recommendation than what we have had previously is that the age has been raised from 20 to 21.
2. The Committee feels that this recommendation is important so that parents know definitely under which type of housing their sons or daughters are living. It has been called to our attention that some students living in apartments, or other unsupervised housing, inform their parents that they are under University regulations the same as the dorms--which is not true. To eliminate any misunderstanding on the part of parents, the Committee urges the adoption of this recommendation.
3. The Committee recommends the reinstatement of Freshmen hours for women. We have liberalized the rules, extending weekly hours from 10:30 P.M. to 12:00 midnight and on Fridays, Saturdays, and days preceding holidays from 1:00 A.M. to 2:00 A.M. from regulations applying to freshmen women prior to May 1968. Freshmen need some supervision during the difficult period of adjusting to college life. This is a large University. It is impersonal. The Committee feels that in some way the University must assure parents that somebody cares. The young people argue vociferously against the University acting in loco parentis. Some students can discipline themselves but many are immature. They are the ones that need guidance.
4. The Committee recommends two types of housing: (1) No visitation in rooms. (2) Limited visitation on Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays. The limited visitation is in line with the recommendation of the faculty as of November 4, 1968. The Committee was surprised to find the office of student housing allowing visitation in rooms between sexes without getting the approval of the Board of Regents.

The faculty-student committee has recommended 5 days a week visitation from 10:30 A.M. to 2:00 A.M. which is 15½ hours per day. The Committee strongly feels that 12 hours on Friday and Saturday and 10½ hours on Sunday gives students liberal visitation privileges. We are opposed to so-called self-determination. Further, we believe that we must be precise in stating what this

actually means. Such provision means that there is unlimited visitation. Why does any student request visitation 24 hours a day? The reasons for our recommendation are:

- A. There should prevail in our dorms a good study climate. Unlimited visitation would only add to the distracting noises in the dorms.
- B. If students are to perform at their best at college, they must have proper rest. With students coming in and out of dorms 24 hours a day, how can this be possible? How does this affect their sleep and their health? What happens to a roommate whose partner wishes to entertain a friend? Where does he or she go? With limited visitation, there will be some control.
- C. The office of student housing is concerned about the matter of safety and security. The area surrounding the Madison campus has been the scene of increasing late night crime.
- D. Students will argue, "they can do at 9:00 P.M. anything they can do at 2:00 A.M.," but we as administrators should not offer additional opportunities for wrong doing. Everybody, including students, has a moral responsibility to society. Each individual is not an island or law unto himself.
- E. Students tell us they are mature and responsible. Though many events on this campus and behavior in the dorms refute their claims. Remember 50 percent of the undergraduate students living in dorms are freshmen.
- F. Some students ask, "What right have you to set the moral code under which we live?" Our answer to them is, "You are living in a public building, owned by the State of Wisconsin, and we know that the majority of our citizens do not approve of unlimited visitation."
- G. Rules and regulations imposed by the University administration also affect other young people living in the area outside the University community.
5. The Committee feels that more care must be exercised in selecting housefellows. We have had cases in the dorms, where the housefellow ignored his responsibilities and made no attempt to enforce rules and regulations set up for dorm living. We realize recruiting of responsible housefellows is not easy. Nevertheless, we urge careful review and study be made of the supervising staff and housing policies. Students have told us that conditions and regulations in the dorms have improved somewhat from last semester.
8. The Department of Admissions tells us that all parents are sent the rules and regulations and the disciplinary code of the University. Is this material read? The Committee feels it is important that the parents know and understand the regulations that apply to each type of housing, and that they are aware of where their student is living and under what regulations, if any apply.

Mr. President, I, on behalf of the Special Regents Committee on the Practices and Policies of University Housing, move the adoption of the foregoing recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE REGENT STUDY COMMITTEE
ON PRACTICES AND POLICIES OF UNIVERSITY HOUSING

(As amended and approved by the
Regents on November 14, 1969)

1. The Committee recommends that any unmarried students at the University of Wisconsin under 21 years of age must have written parental or guardian consent to live in unsupervised housing.
2. The Committee recommends that all freshmen women, under 21 years of age living in supervised housing, will be required to observe the following hours:

Weekdays: 12:00 o'clock midnight
Friday, Saturday, and on days preceding legal holidays:
2:00 A.M.

These are maximum limitations. Any housing unit may adopt a schedule of hours within this recommendation.

3. The Committee recommends two types of supervised housing for undergraduate and graduate students. If the student is single and under 21 years of age, the choice will require written parental or guardian consent.
 - (a) Students may live in a supervised residence hall where the policy is the traditional no visitation between the sexes. Visitation in the foregoing recommendation shall exclude lounges and the first floor area.
 - (b) Students may live in a supervised residence hall that will permit visitation between the sexes in accordance with the following limitations: Friday and Saturday 12:00 Noon to 12:00 Midnight, and Sunday 12:00 Noon to 10:30 P.M. Visitation in the foregoing recommendation shall exclude lounges and the first floor area.

These are maximum limitations. Any housing unit may adopt a schedule of hours within this recommendation.

4. The Committee recommends to the administration, for review by the administration, the present system of housefellows and the supervision of housing at the University of Wisconsin.
5. The Committee recommends that these practices and policies of University housing go into effect no later than the beginning of the 1970-71 academic year.

Recommendations of the Regent Study Committee
on Practices and Policies of University Housing -- 2

6. The above recommendations apply only to the Madison Campus of the University, with the understanding that appropriate policies will be promulgated for the other campuses of the University when the need arises.
7. The Committee strongly suggests that all parents of present and incoming students be informed in writing of any decision by the Board of Regents with respect to the foregoing recommendations.

Walter Renk, Chairman
Maurice Pasch
Bernard Ziegler
Mrs. Conrad A. Elvehjem



HONORS PROGRAM IN THE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURAL AND LIFE SCIENCES

The faculty of the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences recommends the establishment of an honors program in order to provide study programs of greater depth and breadth, to provide the outstanding student with an opportunity to develop a study program commensurate with his abilities, and to provide appropriate recognition for successful completion of such a program.

It is recommended that the program be implemented under the following guidelines:

1. Advising - The Associate Dean for Resident Instruction shall, upon departmental recommendation, designate one faculty member in each department as an adviser for honors candidates. These advisers shall be responsible for the development of appropriate honors programs for each of the honors candidates assigned to them. The adviser shall be responsible for obtaining the Dean's approval of the student's course program.
2. The Honors Committee - The Honors Committee shall consist of five departmental honors advisers appointed by the Dean plus the Associate Dean of Resident Instruction or his representative as chairman. This Honors Committee shall have as its responsibility the coordination of the honors program, making recommendations to the College faculty for such changes as necessary. It shall be concerned with the admission of students to the program as well as dropping of students from the program. It shall also develop and maintain a list of approved honors courses and make this available to all honors advisers in the College. It shall also encourage and assist departments in the development of honors sections or courses where such seem desirable.
3. Eligibility - The top ten percent of the incoming freshman class should be invited by the Dean of the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences to participate in the honors program. Sophomores with a cumulative grade point average of 3.0 or better should also be invited to participate. The latest that any student could start on the program would be the second semester of the junior year. Students who are not invited to become honors candidates may apply for admission to the honors program through the Associate Dean for Resident Instruction. A student may remain on the program as long as he maintains a cumulative grade point average of 3.0 or better. The cumulative grade point average shall include at least two semesters.
4. Requirements - The honors candidate is expected to:
 - a. Maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0 or better at and after the second semester of his program.
 - b. Complete a senior honors thesis (or departmentally approved substitute) for 2 to 4 credits with a grade of A or B.

c. Complete forty honors credits with grades of A or B. No more than 15 honors credits may be in any one department. Courses acceptable for honors credits are the following:

- (1) The senior honors thesis or departmentally approved substitute
- (2) Courses and sections approved for honors credits in the College of Letters and Science
- (3) Biocur 203, 204 and 205
- (4) 500 or above courses in the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences
- (5) Other courses approved for honors programs by the Honors Committee of the College
- (6) Honors credits earned at another university

It is recommended that students be recognized as follows:

1. Those students who, at the time they attain junior standing have completed 20 of the 40 honors credits and cumulative grade point average requirements listed above shall be awarded "Sophomore Honors" upon certification by their departments.
2. Students who have completed the requirements for a degree in the College, and the honors program requirements listed above shall be awarded a "B.S. _____ (Honors)".

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

to the

BOARD OF REGENTS

November 14, 1969

The following resolutions have been adopted by the Executive Committee since the October 17, 1969 regular meeting of the Board, and are presented for the record as official actions of the Executive Committee:

1. Resolution awarding contracts and approving the schedule of costs for construction of the Communication Arts Building, Madison Campus. (See Schedule I, attached.) (Telephone vote 10/21/69 - confirmed by mail ballot initiated 10/22/69.)

2. That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, the contracts for reroofing and roof repair to Buildings 706, 707, and 708 of the Married Student Apartments (Project No. 6907-1 - \$11,472) and reroofing Slichter Hall (Project No. 6907-2 - \$8,382.00) be awarded to the low bidder, Waukesha Asbestos Company, Waukesha, Wisconsin, on the basis of the base bids; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts; and that the following schedules of costs be approved:

Schedules of Costs

	<u>Married Student Apts.</u> <u>706, 707, and 708</u>	<u>Slichter Hall*/</u>
Total Construction Contract	\$11,472.00	\$8,382.00
Design and Supervision	1,050.00	800.00
Contingency	1,500.00	800.00
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Schedules	\$14,022.00	\$9,982.00

*/ See Business & Finance Committee Report, this meeting, for revision in contract award & cost schedule.

Source of Funds: Residence Halls Revolving Funds. (Mail ballot initiated 10/23/69.)

3. That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, Wisconsin State Agencies Building Corporation be authorized to award a contract for construction of sewer and water mains for the Communication Arts Building on the Madison Campus of the University, Project No. 6712-5 (Building Project No. 6512-22), to the low bidder, Burmester Excavating Company, Madison, on the basis of the base bid in the amount of \$28,470; chargeable to State Building Trust Funds. (The name of the owner on the sewer and water mains contract will, by change order, be corrected to read: "The Regents of the University of Wisconsin".)*/

(Mail ballot initiated 10/30/69.)

*/ Subsequent to this action, the correction in name of owner was made by the Bureau of Engineering directly on the contract, and it has been signed in behalf of the Regents.

p 37

4. That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, a contract for mechanical work for installation of lateral chilled water lines to University Hospitals, Madison Campus, Project No. 6903-4, be awarded to the low bidder, Welch Plumbing Company, Madison, on the basis of the base bid in the amount of \$79,400.00, less a negotiated deduction in the amount of \$150.00 - net contract award \$79,250.00; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contract; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contract	\$79,250.00
Design and Supervision	8,700.00
Contingency	<u>5,550.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$93,500.00

Chargeable: To University Hospitals Funds.

(Mail ballot initiated October 31, 1969.)



Communication Arts Building, Madison

RECOMMENDATION

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the Bureau of Capital Development, Wisconsin State Agencies Building Corporation be authorized to award contracts for construction of the Communication Arts Building on the Madison Campus of the University, Project No. 6512-22 (HEW 2/5-5-00438-0) to the low bidder in each division of the work on the basis of the base bids, with alternate bids accepted as indicated, as follows; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

<u>General Construction</u>	Base Bid No. 1	\$5,563,850.00
Orville E. Madsen & Son, Inc. Minneapolis, Minnesota	Alt. Bid No. 1A, Deduct	<u>15,000.00</u>
	Total Contract Amount	\$5,548,850.00
<u>Plumbing</u>	Base Bid No. 2	\$ 287,400.00
Welch Plumbing Co. Madison, Wisconsin		
<u>Heating & Air Conditioning</u>	Base Bid No. 3	\$1,548,200.00
Welch Plumbing Co. Madison, Wisconsin		
<u>Electrical</u>	Base Bid No. 4	\$1,589,000.00
Robert J. Nickles Co. Madison, Wisconsin		
<u>Elevator</u>	Base Bid No. 5	\$ 142,801.00
Armor Elevator Co. Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Alt. Bid No. 5A, Deduct	<u>33,044.00</u>
	Total Contract Amount	\$ 109,757.00

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contracts including Fixed Equipment and Site Development (Roads, Walks, Paving, Utilities Extension, etc.)	\$ 9,083,207.00
Design and Supervision	719,522.00
Movable Equipment	626,050.00
Contingencies	124,121.00
Chilled Water Charge	<u>170,000.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$10,722,900.00

Source of Funds:	
State Building Trust Funds	\$ 100,000.00
Wisconsin State Agencies Building Corporation Financing	8,985,000.00
William F. Vilas Trust Estate - Special Fund	1,137,900.00
Grant - U.S. Office of Education - 2/5-5-00438-0 (144-9865)	<u>500,000.00</u>
Total Funds	\$10,722,900.00

10/22/69

SCHEDULE I

Change in Award of Contract for
Reroofing Slichter Hall, Madison

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, upon recommendation of the Bureau of Engineering, the following actions be taken relating to Reroofing Slichter Hall, Madison, Project No. 6907-2 (see also Report of the Executive Committee to the Board of Regents, November 14, 1969, Item 2):

1) Due to a bidding error on the part of the contractor, forfeiture of the bid bond of Waukesha Asbestos Company, Waukesha, Wisconsin, low bidder for the project, be accepted;

2) The portion of Item 2 of the action of the Executive Committee of the Regents, by mail ballot initiated October 23, 1969, relating to Slichter Hall, awarding the contract in the amount of \$8,382 and approving the schedule of costs totalling \$9,982, be rescinded and made null and void; and

3) Subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, the contract for Reroofing Slichter Hall on the Madison Campus of the University, Project No. 6907-2, be awarded to the second-low bidder, Tilsen Roofing Company, Inc., Madison, on the basis of the base bid in the amount of \$12,565; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contract; and that the following revised schedule of costs be approved; chargeable \$13,326.80 to Residence Halls Revolving Funds and \$838.20 to bid bond forfeiture:

Total Construction Contract	\$12,565.00
Design and Supervision	800.00
Contingency	<u>800.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$14,165.00

Item II, 2, c

11/14/69

EXHIBIT G

✓ p 37

Contract Award and Cost Schedule
Feed Mill - Arlington Farm

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the Bureau of Capital Development, a contract for the construction of a new experimental feed processing mill, erected on the University's Arlington Farm, Columbia County, Wisconsin, Project No. 6902-14, be awarded to Harold A. Peterson, Inc., Watertown, Wisconsin, on the basis of a negotiated sum not to exceed \$363,000; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contract; and that the following schedule of costs be approved, chargeable to funds received through the sale of University property for the construction of Phase II, University Avenue, in the City of Madison:

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contract	\$363,000.00
Design and Engineering	21,780.00
Bureau of Capital Development (including supervision)	10,000.00
Contingency	<u>5,220.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$400,000.00.

Item II, 2, i

11/14/69

39
✓
EXHIBIT H

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF WISCONSIN AMENDING RULES

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Regents of the University of Wisconsin by section 36.06 Wisconsin Statutes, the Regents of the University of Wisconsin hereby amends and creates rules as follows:

Section UW 1.07(19)(b) is amended by adding at the end the following sentence: Any person who takes leave or resigns under charges after being charged by the university with conduct of the kind described in subparagraph (d)1 of this section, and who enters any campus of the university within one year of the effective date of his leave or resignation without such written consent may also be so penalized.

EXHIBIT I

P 41

Order of the Regents of the University of
Wisconsin Adopting Rules

Pursuant to authority vested in the Regents of the University of Wisconsin by Section 36.06 Wisconsin Statutes, The Regents of the University of Wisconsin hereby creates rules, as emergency rules, as follows:

Chapter UW 3 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code is created to read:

STUDENT MISCONDUCT SUBJECT TO UNIVERSITY DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES
UW 3.01 DEFINITION OF NON-ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT

To permit it to carry on its functions, the University may discipline students in non-academic matters in these situations:

- (a) for intentional conduct that seriously damages or destroys University property or attempts to seriously damage or destroy University property.
- (b) for intentional conduct that indicates a serious danger to the personal safety of other members of the University community.
- (c) for intentional conduct that obstructs or seriously impairs University-run or University-authorized activities on any campus, including activities either outdoors or inside a classroom, office, lecture hall, library, laboratory, theater, union, residence hall, or other place where a University-run or University-authorized activity is carried on. The kind of intentional conduct referred to is conduct which by itself or in conjunction with the conduct of others prevents the effective carrying on of the activity - a result which the student knew or reasonably should have known would occur.

In order to illustrate types of conduct which paragraph (c) is designed to cover the following examples are set out. These examples are not meant to illustrate the only situations or types of conduct intended to be covered.

- (1) A student would be in violation if he participated in conduct which he knew or should have known would prevent or block physical entry to, or exit from a University building, corridor, or room to anyone apparently entitled to enter or leave in connection with a University-run or University-authorized activity.
- (2) A student would be in violation if, in attending a speech or program on campus sponsored by or with permission of the University, he engaged in shouted interruptions, whistling, derisive laughter, or other means which by itself or in conjunction with the conduct of others, prevented or seriously interfered with, a fair hearing of the speech or program.
- (3) A student would be in violation if in a classroom he used techniques similar to those specified in the preceding paragraph, or filibuster-type tactics, or other tactics, which by themselves or in conjunction with the conduct of others, prevented or seriously interfered with the carrying on of the teaching and learning process.

EXHIBIT J

41

(d) The principles stated in this section 3.01 are not intended to preclude discipline for intentional conduct violating the rules contained in Chapters UW 1 and UW 2 of the Administrative Code.

UW 3.02 DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES

The procedures for taking university disciplinary action against students for conduct of the kind described in UW 3.01 are those set forth in Chapter V of the By-Laws of the Regents of the University of Wisconsin, and in legislation adopted by the faculties of the various campuses and approved by the Regents.