



# LIBRARIES

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

## **Minutes of the regular meeting of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin: April 10, 1970. 1970**

Madison, Wisconsin: Board of Regents of the University of  
Wisconsin System, 1970

<https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/RQYWOYGVMZFOA86>

Copyright 2008 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE REGENTS OF  
THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

Madison, Wisconsin

Held in Room 1820 Van Hise Hall  
Friday, April 10, 1970, 9:00 A.M.

President Nellen presiding.

PRESENT: Regents Dahlstrom, Gelatt, Kahl, Nellen, Pasch, Pelisek, Renk, Sandin,  
Walker, and Ziegler

ABSENT: None.

- - -

President Nellen stated that the first item of consideration was approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of the Board held on March 6, 1970. The Secretary requested that the minutes of the meeting held on March 6, 1970, be corrected by substituting the name of Regent Pelisek for that of Regent Renk in the ninth line from the bottom of Page 10 of said minutes.

Upon motion by Regent Dahlstrom, seconded by Regent Sandin, it was VOTED, That the minutes of the meeting of the Board held on March 6, 1970, be approved as sent out to the Regents and corrected as indicated above.

- - -

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY

President Harrington presented the list of Gifts, Grants, and U. S. Government Contracts. He noted the large number of individual groups in Wisconsin that had given funds in the course of this past month. He noted that the largest item in the list was a grant of \$1,600,000 from the Institute for International Development for our overseas programs. Referring to the summary on



page 26. of the list, he noted that the figures indicated the difficulties which we are encountering, which he had often previously predicted, which resulted in the receipt of less funds this month than for the same period a year ago, and also in the totals for the year being below those for the previous year to date. He noted that this unfortunately is hitting us hard in several particular areas, such as in the Medical School, and he expressed the opinion that we are going to have further troubles of this sort.

Upon motion by Regent Pasch, seconded by Regent Pelisek, it was VOTED, That the gifts and grants listed in the statement of Gifts, Grants, and U.S. Government Contracts, presented at this meeting (copy filed with the papers of this meeting), be accepted and the appropriate officers of the University be authorized to sign the agreements; and that the Federal contracts listed therein be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

Vice President Clodius presented the Report of Personnel Actions by the President of the University and the Report of Non-Personnel Actions by Administrative Officers and of Informational Items Reported for the Regent Record. He noted that the former included 3,056 separate actions relating to personnel, involving \$2,900,000 of State funds and \$3,900,000 of other funds.

Regent Dahlstrom moved approval of the following recommendations and the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin:

1. That the actions by the President of the University since the last meeting of the Regents, relating to appointments, resignations, leaves of absence, and changes of status of faculty personnel with rank less than that of Associate Professor and other non-classified civil service personnel with salaries at the annual rate of \$13,600 or less, on file with the Central Business Office, and to tuition remissions, be approved, ratified, and confirmed.
2. That the Report of Non-Personnel Actions by Administrative Officers to the Board of Regents and Informational Items Reported for the Regent Record (EXHIBIT A attached) be received for the record; and that actions included in the report be approved, ratified, and confirmed.

President Nellen inquired whether it had been a long-time, routine procedure for the Regents to approve these personnel actions and non-personnel actions in this manner. Vice President Clodius replied in the affirmative; and President Harrington noted that there had been a few cases in which the Regents had chosen to take up particular items in the lists and look at them with some care. The Secretary explained that, quite a number of years ago, each of the individual personnel actions was acted on by the Regents and included in the body of the minutes, but that the University has grown to the extent that it is not practical to do that now. President Nellen stated that this procedure did concern him somewhat, because he had no idea of the contents of the list of personnel actions nor the amount of money and the personnel involved. He inquired

whether it would be possible to obtain, for one month, a summary of what personnel actions are being taken. The Secretary noted that, in response to a similar request made previously, Vice President Clodius has had available, at the Regent meetings, a computer run of all personnel actions taken. President Nellen pointed out that every once in a while a situation arises, with which the Regents are not familiar, and it is found that it was approved several years previously, by approving everything that was in the list of personnel actions. He stated that he was speaking specifically of remission of out-of-state fees, which he indicated he would probably want to discuss later.

Regent Kahl suggested the possibility of developing a summary sheet that might indicate the number of positions at certain frequencies of the salary scale and at certain classifications, and including dollar amounts for the various categories. Vice President Clodius indicated that it would be possible to pull this together in something of an annual report with indications of monthly changes. President Nellen indicated that he felt that that would be of some significance and value.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted.

President Harrington announced that the next item for consideration was a report on the Madison Campus Teaching Assistants Association strike. President Harrington noted that the strike was over, and that the strikers did not get their way. He pointed out that the central question in the strike, the key question of control of educational planning, was settled with the faculty having the decision-making power as before. He also pointed out that the efforts of the strikers to eliminate a no-strike clause in the contract were unsuccessful. He stated that the strike was now over, but that actions connected with it were not over, and that there were other actions pending, such as cases associated with the failure of some persons to respect the injunction which Judge Sachtjen gave at the request of the University and at the request of the Attorney General. He expressed appreciation for the very close cooperation provided by the Attorney General and his staff in advising the University at every point in this matter.

He also stated that he wanted to indicate his satisfaction with the work of the University people, notably Chancellor Young, who had an extraordinarily difficult position and had handled it extremely well. He also commended the faculty of the Madison Campus, which had certainly measured up to the troubles before it as indicated in the votes of the faculty on Monday and Tuesday of this week. He also expressed appreciation for the faculty negotiating people, to Arlen Christenson, Mr. Stern, and Neil Bucklew, noting that the latter was present and could discuss matters connected with the Teaching Assistants Association contract. He also commended the civil service people on the campus, who belong to the non-academic union, who did not support the strike and continued their university work during the period of the strike. He also noted that there had been very substantial support for the position of the University from a large part of the student body, and that, in the end, the bulk of the Teaching Assistants in the Teaching Assistants Association wished to end the strike and reach conclusions that are satisfactory to the University.

President Harrington stated that a contract with the Teaching Assistants Association was before the Regents for consideration, noting that copies had been given to the Regents in advance of the meeting (a copy of the "Agreement Between the University of Wisconsin: Madison Campus and The Teaching Assistants Association, A Proposal By: The University of Wisconsin Negotiating Team, dated April 9, 1970," which had been distributed to the Regents in advance of the meeting, as well as a copy of said agreement in the form subsequently distributed on the campus are filed with the papers of this meeting, there being no substantive changes between the two documents). President Harrington stated that the administration would be pleased to have the Regents choose to approve this agreement, or at least not disapprove it, noting that, if the Regents choose to disapprove it, it obviously would be void. He suggested there be discussion of the agreement, and noted that there were persons present who could answer questions by the Regents. He asked Chancellor Young to begin the discussion.

Chancellor Young explained that the Madison Campus Administration had agreed in good faith to deal with the Teaching Assistants Association on the basis of the Statutes that cover other employees. He noted that the Teaching Assistants Association recruited members on the basis that they would not be striking, and that the leadership then led them to strike on the basis that the strike would shortly be over. He stated he had a great sympathy for the many Teaching Assistants that joined that organization and were misled and misguided. He stated that, if the agreement is carried out, we will be putting into effect things we ought to have done before, and would be building a new relationship with the Teaching Assistants if they continue to support this union. Under the agreement, he noted that procedures will be much more formal, with there being much less discrimination. He explained that each professor has tried to find some way to help his own Teaching Assistants and that that informal relationship will now be reduced. He stressed the importance of improving the relationships between the professors and the Teaching Assistants.

With reference to the matter of educational planning, he stated that it was his intention to see that the commitment made by the faculty and by the administration to involve Teaching Assistants and students in educational planning was carried out, not in the terms of collective bargaining but in terms of people who have common goals working together to obtain them. He suggested that the position taken by the faculty in this matter, in part, reflects some of the direction given by this Board some time ago in the resolution proposed by Regent Dahlstrom, suggesting experimenting with the involvement of students and reporting back to the Regents on the results of such experimenting.

Regent Pelisek noted that the morning paper indicated that the Teaching Assistants, in their meeting on the preceding day, referred to this as the first annual Teaching Assistants strike, and he wondered if this was something that we were going to face as an annual rite of spring here at the University. Chancellor Young stated that he did not believe so. He expressed the opinion that the impact of the strike in a number of ways will lead any reasonable person, which he believed most of our Teaching Assistants are, to the conclusion that the strike action gained nothing in terms of contract or other benefits. He also stated that they lost their pay for this period. He stated the people

who gained by the strike are those who want confrontation for its own sake, which for them is an exercise. He doubted that most of our Teaching Assistants would follow leaders of that kind. He expressed the opinion that the contempt citations will be very sobering indeed.

Regent Pelisek inquired whether Chancellor Young placed any reliance on the no strike provision in the agreement. Chancellor Young stated he had great reliance on it. He noted that the no strike clause means that we can go into court immediately, and do not have to prove that the strike was illegal to get enforcement. He stated that, if anyone goes on strike in violation of this provision, the University can immediately fire him. Regent Pelisek, however, pointed out that the University had a contractual commitment with the Teaching Assistants before that they would not strike, but that they had breached it. Chancellor Young explained that they are now subject to being fired if they strike under the present agreement, noting that the new no strike clause is a standard one which many private employers have. President Nellen also pointed out that the Teaching Assistants had struck in spite of their previous agreement not to, and that the University negotiated later with them, which he felt means that we can accept the fact that there will be strikes in the future. Chancellor Young stated that he did not believe so. There was further discussion of the possibility of future strikes. Chancellor Young stated that he believed the best thing was to have the strike over on terms which indicate that the striking didn't pay for them and that we have them back teaching.

President Nellen inquired what steps the University would be taking in addition to reduction of pay for the time that the Teaching Assistants did not teach, which might be taken to show that we feel the strike was illegal. He inquired of Chancellor Young whether he contemplated any dismissals, to which Chancellor Young responded in the negative. President Nellen inquired whether Chancellor Young contemplated a proportionate reduction in the out-of-state tuition remission that these people receive. Chancellor Young explained that many of them may not receive any of their out-of-state tuition remissions, because, under our regulations, they have to earn a certain amount to obtain the remission. Noting that some of the Teaching Assistants were away from their duties, President Nellen stated that, if it was not an impossible accounting feat, he believed that the out-of-state fee remission should be reduced in proportion to the reduction in their teaching income. President Harrington stated he did not believe it was an accounting question, but one of whether they should receive any remission or not, since the remission is granted on a formula as to how much the Teaching Assistant earns during the semester. He noted that the agreement does in fact propose a method by which there will be less in the way of out-of-state remissions in the future by reason of the concentration on appointments of 1/3 and 1/2 as against 1/4 time. There was further discussion of the basis on which non-resident tuition is remitted to Teaching Assistants.

Chancellor Young suggested that some time in the future the Regents might take up the whole issue of compensation and might choose to make some relationship between remission of fees and percentage of work. He explained that for some years, based on Regent action, the rule has been that a person earning a certain number of dollars in a semester is entitled to the full fee remission, and, if he earns less than that amount, he receives no remission. He

suggested that, in the future, the amount of earnings required to obtain non-resident tuition remission could be set high enough so that, if anyone did go on strike, he would automatically lose some of his remission of fees or all of it.

President Nellen inquired why, if a strike is illegal, there couldn't be some provision in the agreement to penalize them if they do go on strike. Chancellor Young explained that for the future there is a penalty, in that the University can discipline them in anyway it wants to if they strike individually or collectively, and that the penalty could be to discharge them in both salary and fees.

Regent Pasch noted there were some matters in the package originally requested by the Teaching Assistants Association that are not now in the new agreement. He inquired whether any commitment was made to the representatives of the Teaching Assistants Association in the negotiations of these matters which might be taken up at some future consideration of the contract when the present one expires, as some of them are quoted as saying. Chancellor Young stated that they can bring in anything they want to, but he believed that we have in the contract itself a commitment to review the percentage of limited-term employees and to decide the work loads, but that there is no commitment that there will be things in the next discussion that are not in this one. He noted that the agreement provides that we will work with them on a health program, which the Regents might be asked to approve to submit to the Legislature to provide Teaching Assistants with a health program comparable to what we do for our other employees.

Regent Walker inquired, if one or more of the Teaching Assistants in the future strikes and the decision is to terminate their employment, what can that person do in disputing or challenging the administrative decision. Mr. Bucklew explained that the no strike clause has the statement in it that this clause is not subject to the arbitration provisions of this agreement. He explained that, if a person were discharged for striking, he would have whatever appeals and hearings are available to any of the staff in the University, but would have no special ones.

Regent Gelatt stated that he could not find a clear statement that arbitration could not go beyond the terms of the agreement. He noted that there have been many cases where arbitrators have broadened the grievance, and that a standard clause for the protection of management has come to be a very clear statement as to what the arbitration can cover. He noted that the agreement said the one thing that could not be covered by arbitration, but he did not see that arbitration must be within the terms of the contract and could not be expanded. Chancellor Young agreed that that was correct, and stated that, having been an arbitrator, he believed that, if any arbitrator tried to go beyond the agreement, our protection against that would be to go to court. Mr. Bucklew further explained the revisions in the agreement enumerating the matters which were subject to arbitration.

Noting that there had been reports that Teaching Assistants, prior to the strike, misused their classes for purposes of propaganda, Regent Gelatt stated that the agreement provides for an academic and performance guide, but contains no clear standard of professional performance. Chancellor Young

explained that there was provision for that, in that the University may make work rules; and he stated that he would regard one of the work rules in the future to be that no one uses his classroom for purposes of propagandizing for a strike of any kind or in support of any concerted action against the University. He stated that he did not feel he had to negotiate with them on that matter.

President Nellen inquired whether Chancellor Young had discussed with the Teaching Assistants the undesirability of the Teaching Assistants taking punitive action against students that did not participate in the strike. Chancellor Young stated that, if any case of that kind of discrimination comes up, he would not feel he had to discuss it with the Teaching Assistants, but would just instruct the Dean and Department Chairman to discipline the person involved. He doubted, however, that there was going to be very much of that. President Nellen noted that there was reference in the press to a statement that the Teaching Assistants advised the students that they would give tutorial service to those students that participated in the strike, which sounded to him like coercion. Chancellor Young expressed the opinion that it would be very improper for them to discriminate between students, and, if they showed discrimination after they come back, they have violated the spirit in which they have come back on their jobs. President Nellen inquired whether the student body would be advised in this regard so that, if a student has evidence of discrimination, he has someone that he can turn to. Chancellor Young responded in the affirmative, and explained the procedures that the students could follow in such cases. Chancellor Young explained, however, that it was his belief that the great bulk of the Teaching Assistants are eager to get back and eager to get on to teaching students, because one of the reasons the strike was ended was that it was collapsing, and that the ones who wanted to continue it were the power people, for whom the strike is an end in itself.

Regent Ziegler asked for an explanation of the provision that no Teaching Assistant shall suffer any reprisals as a teaching assistant because of his involvement in the TA dispute. Chancellor Young explained that it meant those Teaching Assistants that come back will not be discriminated against in terms of their appointment when they come back because they were on strike. However, he noted it did not mean that, if they were cited for contempt of court, that procedure will be interfered with, because the cases in the courts will go forward to trial. He also noted that it doesn't mean that they will get back the pay they lost while on strike, but it meant that, if a Teaching Assistant comes back, we will not, in making next year's appointment, discriminate against him because he was on strike.

Regent Ziegler inquired whether this precluded marking the records of all Teaching Assistants that violated the previous no strike agreement, since there must have been several hundred Teaching Assistants in violation of the gentleman's agreement not to strike; and he stated that he would like to see their records marked so you could know who they are. In addition to having their personnel records marked, Regent Ziegler suggested that a letter of reprimand might be sent to them. Chancellor Young stated that their records have been marked in the sense that their pay checks have been drastically reduced, so that the administrators know who they are, unless there was a mistake made one way or the other. Chancellor Young also stated that it would be proper to send



a letter to all Teaching Assistants, commending those who did not go on strike and who were responsible individuals, and also pointing out that some had violated their agreement not to strike. However, he stated that, in good conscience, he could not let such a letter be the basis for any discrimination in their funding if we accept this contract, because he had agreed to recommend to the Regents that the Teaching Assistants will not be discriminated against.

President Nellen turned to the subject of appointments of Teaching Assistants, which he stated was of more concern to him than anything else. He noted the provision that provided for a one-year probation period, followed by a contract for four years, with the provision that a Teaching Assistant must be notified by February. He expressed the opinion that this meant that all present Teaching Assistants, for all practical purposes, have now completed their probationary period, and that anyone who is a Teaching Assistant at this time, whether or not he participated in this strike, now has his service committed by the University for a minimum time of three years. Chancellor Young explained that that depended upon the situation. He explained that, if the Teaching Assistant already has had three years, it may result in a commitment for one more year. He also noted that it applies to others, such as Research Assistants, Fellows, etc. He explained that the maximum University guarantee is four years employment, but that, during that period, the Teaching Assistant must perform well as a teacher by an evaluation system which is written into the agreement. He must also perform to the satisfactory standards of the department as a student, because, in order to be a Teaching Assistant, he must make satisfactory progress toward a degree. He also noted that some of the Teaching Assistants would not be reappointed because of the pressure from the Teaching Assistants to reduce the number of Teaching Assistants and give larger appointments to individuals. However, he explained that such reduction in the numbers would not be on the basis of whether certain Teaching Assistants had been involved in the strike or not. President Nellen stated that it appeared that, if a Teaching Assistant completed his probationary period, he would stay on in that position for an additional period of three years whether or not he was competent. Chancellor Young stated that, if he was incompetent, he would be discharged. President Nellen expressed the opinion that it would have been better to have gone into this agreement starting next September.

Regent Dahlstrom noted that the Madison Faculty Document relating to Chapter 10D, (Teaching Assistants)(Laws and Regulations of the University of Wisconsin), which was before this Board in July, 1969, covered almost all the elements in this agreement except they are now being laid before a bargaining committee rather than being the responsibility of the respective departments and faculty. He inquired whether this agreement with the Teaching Assistants would now abrogate Chapter 10D entirely, and whether we have taken the genuine faculty involvement, which he felt is so necessary in its relationship with the Teaching Assistants, away from the faculty and put it on the bargaining table. He also stated that it was his understanding that now the Teaching Assistant appointments cannot be made for less than one year, whereas originally we could appoint a Teaching Assistant for a semester. Chancellor Young explained that 25% of the appointments may be made for a semester.

Regent Dahlstrom again inquired what now becomes of Chapter 10D, because he was worried that we would be taking a step in removing the rights of the faculty and administration with respect to the conduct of Teaching Assistants and putting it on the bargaining table. Chancellor Young explained that the faculty and the departments would still be selecting the Teaching Assistants in the first place, evaluating them regularly, and passing upon their satisfactory performance, so that role is still theirs. He also noted that the professor supervises them in the same way that he always did. He explained that the principal difference between Chapter 10D and the agreement with the Teaching Assistants Association is the replacement regarding the grievance procedure. He stated that there will be nothing to interfere with the faculty carrying on in exactly the same way, except that, if a Teaching Assistant is discharged, rather than going through the Chapter 10D procedure, he could go through a third-party procedure, if this recommendation is accepted.

Regent Dahlstrom pointed out that there now may be a union steward in each department, so that the grievance will go to the union steward, rather than to the particular faculty member. Chancellor Young explained that that would be up to the individual Teaching Assistant, since he could either go through the union steward or continue to deal directly with the professor and handle his own grievance. He noted that some will choose one method and some the other. Regent Dahlstrom expressed the opinion that, in practice, in order to get any muscle into this Teaching Assistants organization, they are going to operate through their union steward and try to bypass the faculty as much as possible, bringing their grievances right up to the Chancellor and the bargaining table. Chancellor Young stated that he did not believe that that would be followed, because they cannot bargain about grievances. He agreed that some Teaching Assistants might look upon the grievance machinery as a device for bringing charges and so on, but that most unions get over it after they discover that it makes ill will with their own members.

Regent Renk stated that he had read that some classes were not held during the Teaching Assistants strike and inquired whether these classes were never held, or was someone else in charge. Chancellor Young explained that some classes were not held, and that, in some cases, the department had found other people to teach them. He noted that there was a great deal of activity in making replacements for many of the classes. Regent Renk inquired whether the students who were not given a chance to attend classes were going to be given a chance to make up the work. Chancellor Young noted that many of the Teaching Assistants and union people had promised the students that they would provide opportunities for the work to be made up. He noted that the departments were presently working out this matter. Chancellor Young stated that he wanted to make it very clear that one thing he did not want to even suggest would be to pay the striking Teaching Assistants for making up work.

President Nellen again raised the question whether there would be any reduction in tuition remission to striking Teaching Assistants. Chancellor Young again explained that the question of remission depended on the amount earned by the Teaching Assistant, and stated that the few Teaching Assistants, who had small enough appointments to result in losing their non-resident fee remissions, would not have a chance to work overtime to make up that money.

Regent Renk stated that he was concerned because there appeared to be discrimination among the Teaching Assistants who had taken part in the strike, since a certain number of them are going to be indicted, but many who took part in the strike will not. He expressed the opinion that in some way, on some record, it must be indicated that they participated in an illegal strike. He stated that, if he wanted to hire one of these people, he would want to know that, and that it should be in the records and not in a letter. Chancellor Young again indicated that the records would show that their pay had been reduced. Regent Renk stated that that did not show the reason for the pay reduction. Regent Renk referred to a letter, dated April 9, 1970, addressed to the Regents and the President of the University from State Senator James D. Swan, which he noted gives an indication of the attitude of some of the Legislators regarding this matter (copy filed with the papers of this meeting). He noted that the letter advised that "there are responsible members of the Legislature who will strongly disapprove:

- 1) of any continued employment of TA's engaged in the current illegal strike against the University of Wisconsin and
- 2) will resist supplying further public monies to fund the proposed illegal salary increases for the striking TA's and
- 3) may quite likely resist the proposed illegal extension of 'tenure' to Teaching Assistants."

He inquired whether this attitude of the Legislators was taken into consideration before the administration agreed to enter into the Teaching Assistants Association agreement. Chancellor Young noted that Senator Swan's letter had only been received five minutes previously. Regent Renk stated that he felt that it expressed quite a firm attitude on the part of the Legislature. Regent Pelisek expressed the opinion that Senator Swan was really not talking about the contract, because he made reference to matters that are not covered by the contract. He expressed the opinion that Senator Swan was making reference to the situation in general without any specific reference to the agreement that was now before the Regents. Regent Renk stated that he thought it indicated that punitive action should be taken against the Teaching Assistants who had been on strike.

Regent Dahlstrom asked for clarification of some of the matters in the agreement relating to seniority and as to the basis for paying Teaching Assistants, who, for example, have had three years of teaching experience compared to those who have had one or two years of experience. Mr. Bucklew explained that there are two pay rates for teaching assistants, one for the inexperienced and one for the experienced, in accordance with the practices that have existed for some time. Information was supplied as to the differences in the stipends for experienced and inexperienced Teaching Assistants. Regent Sandin noted that the tuition remission does not apply to Wisconsin students who are Teaching Assistants, so that the out-of-state Teaching Assistants get a great deal more in compensation.

Again referring to Senator Swan's letter, Regent Renk expressed the opinion that the Legislature will strongly disapprove any continued employment of Teaching Assistants engaged in the recent strike.

President Nellen suggested that the Regents would have to meet the situation by two actions. First, by approving the agreement, and secondly, considering the matter of disciplining the Teaching Assistants who participated in the illegal strike. Regent Gelatt pointed out that, if the contract which is proposed is approved, there would be no sense considering any other action, because one of the clauses of the contract provides for no reprisals. Regent Ziegler inquired whether, if the Regents took punitive action first, and then took action on the contract, the former action would be null and void. Regent Gelatt expressed the opinion that, as a practical matter, they could not do that. Regent Walker inquired what the Legislature could do, assuming the approval of the agreement by the Regents, whether it could declare the agreement null and void or whether it could institute punitive action other than financial action. Regent Pelisek expressed the opinion that the Legislature could do anything, but it first would have to get back into session, which he did not think it would do. He expressed the opinion that the comments in Senator Swan's letter are long-range type comments, having reference to attitudes toward the University in the upcoming Legislative session.

Regent Ziegler, referring to the provision in the agreement regarding sick leave, inquired what precautions have been taken to avoid Teaching Assistants hiding behind sick leave to, in effect, strike illegally, as the air control tower operators have done recently. Mr. Bucklew explained that there are various sick leave systems for different types of University employees, with a highly structured system for civil service employees based on a particular number of days and hours with a very detailed record being kept, and with the instructional staff operating on a traditional basis with each person assuming a continuing responsibility for keeping classes met and having their colleagues carry out their responsibilities when they are absent on sick leave. He explained that this system has worked well with the faculty and has not been abused by the Teaching Assistants.

Regent Kahl expressed the opinion that this was a new experience in administration and management, and that there is developing here some direction that no one is quite sure where it might go. He stated a great deal depends on the parties having good faith in one another, and that he assumed that this was the basis on which the agreement was built. He noted that, when good faith leaves the negotiated structure, it is that time when management comes in with some rigidity. He suggested that this would apply to the matter of sick leave, as an example. Noting that the agreement had been negotiated for one year, he suggested that some matters included in the agreement might reasonably be negotiated for longer periods, with those that operate well being included on a continuing basis. He stated that in those areas where good faith is not put forth, they should be tightened up in a continuing contract, and he would be the first one to do so in such cases. Regent Ziegler suggested that good faith did not exist at the present time and on the part of the Teaching Assistants, because they had been recognized in return for their promise not to strike, with the result that their good faith went right out the window on the first contract that we had with them.

Regarding monthly payroll deductions for union dues, Regent Ziegler inquired whether this was a common thing in the public school system. Regent Kahl stated that it was not common, but that he felt that this was a negotiable item and one which management could agree was one of the methods by which they could do it. Chancellor Young pointed out that payroll deductions for union dues are common in State employment.

President Nellen inquired whether there was anything in this document that would preclude the University from deciding, in the future, to replace Teaching Assistants with instructors. Chancellor Young stated the only thing would be the time limit. He estimated that, if we continue at the same level of Teaching Assistants, a third of the number would be reduced each year. He cited an example of one department where the workload is being cut down so that no new Teaching Assistants will be hired from the outside next year, although nobody who has been there this year will be laid off, since there is enough work for them. He explained that, if we were going to go to instructors, we would do it in that fashion, or we would negotiate with the union and say that we would like to make some of the third-year people instructors. Noting that there are several ways of doing it, he stated that there was nothing precluding the University in three years from wiping out the whole Teaching Assistant system force. President Harrington pointed out this could not be done any faster than that anyway in terms of the realities of the appointment of junior staff.

Regent Kahl pointed out that the principle of full-time employment has really been recommended to a degree by the Teaching Assistant by saying that they don't want less than 1/3 time appointments, which would mean that full-time appointments would be better than 1/3 time appointments. Chancellor Young explained that their argument was for 1/2 time appointments, since going beyond 1/2 time appointments would prevent them from being graduate students, noting that part of the purpose of the Teaching Assistantship is to provide support for graduate students. He explained that this was the way the Teaching Assistantships grew up, and he pointed out that it is not cheap labor as has often been charged, but is a way of supporting graduate students and training future professors. He noted, with expansion, it also gives us flexibility in the work force, but the total cost is not much less than having instructors, if one counts fee remissions.

Regent Kahl stated that it should also be recognized that over the past there has been some concern over the ratio in the freshman and sophomore classes of Teaching Assistants to the total staff; and that, although increasing the percentage of teaching time for the Teaching Assistants will improve the situation somewhat, he questioned whether it would result in a favorable ratio of full-time instructors for the freshman and sophomore classes. Chancellor Young suggested that a better answer to that would be for the administration to push for senior staff to do more undergraduate teaching rather than bringing in more instructors to do it. Regent Kahl suggested that, in the face of the market, he believed we get into the economics of it rather than the supply, although the supply function is a factor in the availability of instructors at whatever level of education. He expressed the opinion that, at some point, this Board would have to come to some decision and address itself to the economics of whether or not it can be done and to what degree. He felt that it would be

worth assessing the financial impact of reducing the percentage of teaching time by Teaching Assistants to that of full-time instructors.

Regent Renk expressed the opinion that the Regents had discussed this agreement quite thoroughly, and he stated that he realized that, in any agreement, there has to be give and take, and that he knew that there were somethings in the agreement that he did not like, and that he was sure that the administration realized that this Board did not approve all of the contract at this time. However, he believed it was important, at this time, that the Board stand behind the administration and behind the negotiating team, and show that the Board feels they have done a reasonably good job in a difficult situation. Regent Renk made the following motion, which was seconded by Regents Kahl, Sandin, and Walker:

That the "Agreement Between The University of Wisconsin: Madison Campus And The Teaching Assistants Association, A Proposal By: The University of Wisconsin Negotiating Team, dated April 9, 1970," be approved.

Regent Ziegler inquired whether the health plan in the agreement went beyond the health plan that the faculty has. Chancellor Young replied in the negative; and he explained that the administration had agreed that we would join with the Teaching Assistants in working out a proposal to the Legislature which would give them as much as the faculty and other employees have. Noting that they have nothing in that area now, he stated that the proposal, presently, on an interim basis, is to provide that the student health fee cover the non-student spouse of a Teaching Assistant. He explained that to do next year what is agreed upon will cost about \$50,000, and to provide the health plan that the faculty has will cost about \$500,000. He stated that the cost for the next year would be taken out of the Teaching Assistant budget by reducing the number of Teaching Assistants.

President Nellen requested figures relating to the number of Teaching Assistants. Vice Chancellor Atwell reported that there were 1,915 Teaching Assistants on the October payroll, which would be equal to 786 on a full-time equivalent basis, noting that the average Teaching Assistant works about 40% of what would be a full-time load. Chancellor Young explained that a full-time equivalent would be someone working 40 hours a week. He noted that the average Teaching Assistant works 16 hours a week. President Nellen inquired as to the average time in classroom instruction; and Chancellor Young stated that the typical half-time Teaching Assistant would have six hours of classroom instruction. There was further discussion between President Nellen and Chancellor Young as to the amount of classroom teaching hours for various percentages of full-time Teaching Assistant appointments. President Nellen inquired how many of the 1,915 Teaching Assistants were in the College of Letters and Science; and Vice Chancellor Atwell replied there were 1,528. Regent Dahlstrom inquired whether this related only to Teaching Assistants; and Vice Chancellor Atwell replied in the affirmative, noting that there is similar data regarding Research Assistants and Project Assistants.

Regent Walker stated that he would be interested to know, and wished that it would come as a report to the Regents, how many of the Teaching Assistants, who struck, were from each one of the several colleges. He stated that it appeared that they were predominately in the College of Letters and Science. Chancellor Young said he understood there was one Teaching Assistant on strike in the College of Engineering. Regent Walker stated that was a compliment to that college.

Regent Dahlstrom moved to offer an amendement to Regent Renk's motion to include that any difference between the proposed agreement and Chapter 10D, Teaching Assistants, (of the Laws and Regulations of the University of Wisconsin, adopted by the Madison Campus faculty on December 2, 1968), be resolved after faculty review and action. He felt the Regents should include the University Committee and faculty members in a very thorough investigation and examination of this agreement and Chapter 10D.

Regent Dahlstrom's motion to amend the original motion was seconded by Regent Pasch.

President Nellen inquired whether, without the amendement, the normal course of action would be for the faculty to approve the agreement anyway. Regent Dahlstrom expressed the opinion that it did not, as far as he understood it. Chancellor Young stated that the faculty would be concerned with only those parts where it conflicts with faculty action. He noted that many of these matters are never acted upon by the faculty. He noted there was a conflict with the faculty action in Chapter 10D regarding the grievance procedures, but that the work load, and the health care, are things on which the faculty does not act. Regent Renk inquired whether a faculty committee had approved this agreement. Chancellor Young explained that a committee of ten faculty members had approved it, but they had not been authorized by the faculty to do so. He stated that the faculty, as a whole had approved the educational planning part of it. He explained the procedures that were followed in starting the negotiations. He stated that first they had a meeting with representatives of every department, and then asked the thirty departments with the largest number of Teaching Assistants to send a representative to advise the negotiating team. He explained that this group thought that that would be using up too much faculty time and suggested a committee of ten faculty members, as an advisory group, which was the committee of ten referred to, but that the faculty as a whole did not formally vote that these ten should represent them.

Regent Renk inquired whether it was the intent of Regent Dahlstrom's amendement that the agreement would go back to the faculty for approval. Chancellor Young stated that they would try to get the faculty to agree to those parts that are in conflict with Chapter 10D. Regent Renk inquired whether the agreement would come back to the Regents again, if the faculty disapproved some part of it. Chancellor Young expressed the opinion that it would have to or else we would have to re-open negotiations with the Teaching Assistants, which he would be reluctant to do.

Regent Pasch inquired whether the provision relating to the evaluation of Teaching Assistants resulted from input by our negotiating team or by the Teaching Assistants. Mr. Bucklew explained that it originally came on the table

from the Teaching Assistants Association in the negative sense that they were concerned with the fact that they were being evaluated, but that they were not, at times, sure in what manner, and they felt that evaluation should be structured to provide input from both the faculty and the students. Referring to the provision for twenty-four hour notice prior to a faculty visitation for the purpose of evaluating a Teaching Assistant's performance, Regent Pasch inquired whether you would obtain a proper evaluation when the Teaching Assistant was being put on notice. Mr. Bucklew explained that the twenty-four hour notice did not refer to a particular date that the visitation might take place, but that the faculty member, for instance, might say to the five teaching assistants in his group, that in two or three weeks he planned to try to make the rounds.

Regent Pasch stated that, since this was a new experience for our administration, the members of the negotiating team that represented the University should be commended for their diligence in working out this document, which was worked out by them in a manner that took place over a period of twenty-four days without permitting it to get out of hand and without resulting in violence on this campus.

Referring to the provisions in the agreement prohibiting discrimination, Regent Pelisek pointed out that we discriminate on this campus, by the very system that we have, because of their State of origin. He explained that we are not providing a system which grants equal pay for equal work, because of the tuition remission arrangement, with the result that we are in violation of the spirit of the anti-discrimination clause when we approve the agreement, and are discriminating substantially in favor of the non-resident Teaching Assistant as compared with a Wisconsin Teaching Assistant. Chancellor Young stated that he did not think that that was what the Teaching Assistants had in mind regarding discrimination.

Regent Pelisek stated that, as he understood it, the heart of this strike as far as the Teaching Assistants who were truly concerned with the educational process on this campus, as opposed to those who were concerned more with the confrontation itself, was the educational planning process. He noted that the educational planning clause in the agreement indicated that the Teaching Assistants will have a meaningful participation in the educational planning, whereas the last sentence indicates that the mechanisms, as they are referred to, shall not infringe upon the ultimate responsibility of the faculty for curriculum and course conduct. He inquired why the general phrase "educational planning" was used in talking about participation and later the specific words "curriculum and course conduct" were used, with reference to the faculty's ultimate responsibility. Chancellor Young suggested that perhaps it was the result of a large meeting where the first part of the document had been carefully drafted in advance and the last sentence added as an amendment to make sure that the intent of the first part was carried out and was not giving away the responsibility of the faculty.

With reference to Regent Pelisek's previous comments regarding discrimination, Regent Renk noted that his housing committee had called the attention of the Board to the same discrepancies that existed with respect to housefellows. He expressed the opinion that a lot of Wisconsin students would be very happy if



they could raise their fee remission up in line with out-of-state students. He suggested that if the remission of out-of-state tuition could not be eliminated, perhaps the cash stipends should be raised for in-state students. President Nellen suggested raising the stipends for all Teaching Assistants and forgetting about the out-of-state tuition remissions. Chancellor Young stated that to do so would cost us much more than to do it the present way. Regent Pelisek stated that the point was one of proportion, since there are more out-of-state Teaching Assistants receiving total compensation including their fee remissions at a higher level. Chancellor Young also pointed out that there was a tax matter, since, in order for the Teaching Assistants to have the same amount of take home pay, we would have to pay them more. President Harrington pointed out that we are also in a competitive position with reference to similar institutions, and that a good many institutions go further than we do, since they also remit in-state fees for Teaching Assistants. He pointed out that we are uncommon among our competitors because we charge more for the out-of-state students.

President Nellen stated that he was concerned whether, in the future, the Regents wanted to make some changes they could do so under this kind of contract. Regent Pelisek stated that, in the matter of compensation, the Regents could, because this contract does not in any way cover compensation. He expressed the opinion that this is something that the Regents should seriously look at, at the time the Teaching Assistants stipends are established next year. He felt that the Regents must do something to rectify this rank discrimination against Wisconsin Teaching Assistants.

President Nellen inquired of Dr. Philip Cohen, Chairman of the Madison Campus University Committee, whether he perceived any trouble with respect to the faculty not approving the Teaching Assistants Agreement. Dr. Cohen explained that the only official position that the faculty has taken is that set forth in Chapter 10D. He recalled that consideration of the latter by the Regents was in relation to certain terminology which he would consider to involve minor changes. He stated that he did think it was important to recognize that the Teaching Assistants ultimately have the most intimate relationship with the faculty, and that the resolution of an effective instrument for making successful changes has got to have faculty consideration and input, if it is to have a chance to succeed. He stated that he felt there would be great merit in having the faculty review the contract, since there are apparently now some obvious discrepancies between the two documents, such as the guarantee of four years of tenure. Chancellor Young noted that the Teaching Assistants Agreement provided for the possibility of re-appointment for up to four years.

Dr. Cohen stated, secondly, that the important thing is to get an effective grievance review, whether it comes from one device or another. He expressed the opinion that, in setting up a grievance procedure, it is very important that the faculty have a chance to think about it, for the reason that, if there is an extra faculty device for reviewing, the relative forces that come to bear on the result have to be considered and the faculty should have an opportunity to review this and take a position on it. He stated that they certainly would try to get the faculty to go along with this proposal. He noted that the faculty had had a meeting previously, but no official action had been taken on this, but he wanted to make it clear that at a committee of the whole meeting,

the faculty passed a resolution that was alluded to here. He explained that this is not, in effect, faculty business. He stated that it could be taken for granted that, should this resolution appear on subsequent faculty agendas, he would anticipate that it would be approved.

There was discussion with Dr. Cohen as to when a faculty meeting could be convened for the purpose of considering this document. Dr. Cohen stated it could be done within forty-eight hours, although he felt that proper notice and documentation for the agenda should be provided. Chancellor Young announced that the next faculty meeting was scheduled for May 4. Regent Pelisek, noting that this agreement had only been handed to the Regents on the previous day, stated that the Regents had been told that there was some cut-off date of April 15, on a national basis, for extending Teaching Assistant commitments. He stated the Regents were also informed that there is presently a moratorium on the hiring of Teaching Assistants and the extension of Teaching Assistant contracts. He pointed out that the Regents were now asked to pass on this document with less than twenty-four hours notice, and that the proposed amendment suggests that whatever the Regents do under this type of pressure can be voided by the action of the faculty group. Stating that he had no objection to the University Committee or the faculty participating in this, Regent Pelisek was of the opinion that the Regents are being put under substantial time pressure to act on this contract, and that the circumstances were such that they could not do anything else but act one way or the other, instead of referring it to the faculty for approval before it can become effective.

President Harrington suggested the possibility of re-stating the document so that the agreement could be approved at this time, but leaving the question of possible conflict with Chapter 10D to be explored. The Secretary read Regent Dahlstrom's amendment. Regent Dahlstrom stated that he did not see that this would void the agreement in any way, shape, or form, since there are some differences that have to be resolved, and he felt, at this point, that it should be up to the University Committee and the faculty. Regent Pelisek inquired whether the intention was to resolve the questions in Chapter 10D to make it conform to the agreement, or vice versa. He stated that, if the agreement was to be changed to conform to Chapter 10D, then the agreement would be a nullity and could not be executed at this point. There was further discussion between President Harrington and Regent Pelisek as to whether the agreement would be clearly binding, if there were any conflicts, or whether it would replace Chapter 10D. Vice President Clodius pointed out that Chapter 10D had not been accepted and approved by the Regents, but had been referred back to the Madison Campus faculty by the Educational Committee for redrafting. Regent Pelisek stated that that seemed to indicate that Chapter 10D should be modified to conform to the provisions of the agreement, and he did not believe that was what Dr. Cohen had in mind. President Harrington stated that that was not necessarily so, since this agreement might be later changed, and it would now be legal and in effect, and could be renegotiated to some degree later, if the conflicts could be worked out. Regent Pelisek expressed the opinion that this would be putting the Chancellor in a difficult position.

President Nellen asked Mr. Bucklew what the next step would be if this agreement were approved. Mr. Bucklew explained that the negotiating team had

indicated all along that the University is not a usual business organization, and matters require consideration by various groups. He noted that they had indicated that one of the things they were attempting to do was to communicate with the faculty through the departments. He stated that he did not envision any problems, since he believed that the utmost effort had been made to work with the sense of Chapter 10D, and that the negotiating team tried to be sensitive to what the guidelines were in Chapter 10D. He stated he had no specific advice as to how this should now be presented before the faculty. However, he believed it was necessary to have the faculty review it, but he believed that it was consistent with the guidelines in Chapter 10D. Regent Walker indicated that he would prefer to have a faculty review and report back to the Regents before the Regents take a position, rather than acting on it and advising the faculty that it had been approved.

President Nellen again pointed out that this agreement had only been presented to the Regents on the previous day with the request that it be acted on at this meeting. He noted that the Regents and the administration had always had a kind of gentleman's agreement not to surprise anyone with a sudden document. Agreeing that the negotiating team had suddenly come to a meeting of the minds, just a day or so previously, he was still of the opinion that it would be a legitimate request on the part of the Regents to defer this matter for a month. Dr. Cohen stated that it was his understanding that the agreement itself was entered into with the idea that its ultimate approval would depend on faculty action.

Chancellor Young explained that all along the view had been taken that they were to work out a solution that they could, in good conscience, recommend to the appropriate authorities, some of the items being faculty matters, some being Regent matters, and some of them at the discretion of the administration, so that the whole document, in his opinion, was not subject to faculty review. He noted that they had agreed to recommend those items to the faculty that were in conflict with faculty legislation. He emphasized the importance of going ahead with Teaching Assistant appointments for next year, and stated that, unless the Regents today approved this document, he would take it upon himself to go ahead on the basis of this agreement in the terms of appointments for next year. He reiterated that the only conflict with Chapter 10D was the grievance procedure, and he stated that he felt convinced that, because they had faculty representatives present at the time of the negotiations, that a reasonable faculty could be persuaded that this was a good way of doing business. He stated that they had not committed themselves to the Teaching Assistants that it would be absolutely this way, but they were committed to recommend it in good faith and try to persuade the faculty on this particular point. President Harrington stated that, in view of all the difficulties connected with this strike and in the relationships with the Teaching Assistants, it would be correct to say that Regent approval at this time would be very helpful in terms of restoring peace to the Madison Campus. He also stated that he felt that the matter of some of the language being somewhat unclear as to what the faculty is entitled to do could be taken care of later. Regent Walker stated the Regents should have assurance that there is no reasonable doubt as to the faculty support of this agreement; and President Harrington stated that he thought they could have that.

Dr. Cohen pointed out that there was also the possibility that two grievance structures would exist and the Teaching Assistants could follow either one. He felt that the faculty would not insist that it would have to be one rather than the other. Regarding the differences between Chapter 10D and the Teaching Assistants Association agreement regarding appointments, he expressed the opinion that it was primarily a matter of the use of language. Although the language in the agreement implies that there may be an agreement after a probational year for a commitment of three years, the appointment would still be on a year-to-year basis. He also discussed the fact that some consideration be given to whether the agreement might have more than Madison Campus interest. He stated that this agreement developed a structure that relates to the situation at Madison, but he believed that the agreement might go to the Faculty Assembly for broadening to cover other campuses. He emphasized that he felt it was important that the faculty felt it has a hand, other than the Committee of Ten, which was selected for certain purposes, in deciding whether it is an instrument that they can deal with. He stated that, if the faculty does not think it can deal with it, then no matter what the Regents do here it is going to be a troublesome problem. He expressed the opinion that the faculty will recognize this as an important step toward the implementation and improving the situation. He stated that, if he had any one reservation, it would be that we urgently need to have a very critical review to follow up on the Mulvihill Report on the Teaching Assistants, since he was not convinced that it should be perpetuated as a structure, there being an incompatibility between the Teaching Assistant structure and the degree seeking arrangement of the graduate student. He stated that, if the existence of the Union serves to minimize the opportunity for improving that situation, then it would be a burden and he hoped that we would not find that to be the case.

Regent Ziegler inquired whether it could be spread on the record for the sake of hiring Teaching Assistants, that it was the consensus of the Regents that the agreement would be approved after the faculty had had an opportunity for their input and a chance to review it. Chancellor Young indicated he would want the Regents to understand that, if they did not disapprove the agreement, he would go ahead and act upon it in making rules for next year, and would urge the faculty to find a way of making conflicts between the agreement and Chapter 10D compatible. He noted the faculty is not concerned about some of the issues in the agreement at all, and had had a chance to be deeply involved in the educational planning issue.

President Harrington noted that, in the beginning, he had said that the Regents could approve the agreement, take no action, or disapprove it, and that, by taking no action, he meant taking no action now or at anytime. He stated that it would be quite proper to have these agreements without the Regents participating, noting that we have a number of examples of that, such as the labor agreements with respect to non-academic personnel on the Madison and Milwaukee campuses and the various agreements we have with the construction trades, which are, by the choice of this Board, approved at the administrative level without close review by the Regents. He pointed out that it would be proper for this Board to say that it did not choose to act on this agreement with the Teaching Assistants, but preferred that it be made on the administrative level. He recommended that the Regents act favorably on it at this meeting.

Regent Renk stated he did not see how the Chancellor could go ahead to contract with Teaching Assistants without a legal document, although it might have a few minor changes that should be made, but he believed the Regents should stand behind the administration and approve what it has done. He stated that, if the Regents did not act today, it would create an impression that they are not quite satisfied with what has been going on. President Nellen stated that it was safe to say that not everyone is satisfied. Regent Renk agreed that he was not satisfied 100%, but believed we have to give these people something to go on.

President Nellen stated that what bothered him was that, by approving the terms of the negotiations, the Regents would be approving an illegal strike and they could never reverse their action on that again. He stated that we still do not concede that the strike was legal and we have the privilege of breaking off negotiations if the Teaching Assistants strike again. He suggested that the agreement be approved with that limitation. Regent Sandin inquired whether it was correct that we can break off negotiations any time they go into a strike, without having to put that into the contract; and Chancellor Young responded in the affirmative. President Nellen again pointed out that that was not done in the past, because, when they were on strike, we had a legal, valid reason for breaking off negotiations, which we did not do. Chancellor Young explained that negotiations were stopped when they went on strike, and that, although they asked for a mediator, we never really negotiated with them in the narrow sense of negotiating, but that what was done was to offer them what we had been offering them before. He stated they obtained no concessions in this agreement by their strike.

Regent Gelatt stated that he believed the amendment was not necessary, and that he would vote against it, because the action that it contemplates will occur in any event, and he did not believe that action by the faculty need come before action by the Regents on the original motion. He stated that he was persuaded that the bargaining team for the administration of the University had done a good job, but he was not convinced that the final result was in the best long run interest of the University, and that, therefore, he probably would vote against the motion, as well as against the amendment.

There was discussion as to whether the amendment was redundant, since the Regents had referred Chapter 10D back to the faculty for redrafting last July. President Nellen urged the adoption of the amendment so that the faculty would recognize that the Regents felt that the involvement and responsibility of the faculty in this thing is of paramount importance. He felt that it was necessary to go on record to let the faculty know that the Regents want that involvement.

A called vote was taken on the above amendment, which had been moved by Regent Dahlstrom, and it was voted, with Regents Dahlstrom, Kahl, Nellen, Pasch, Sandin, Walker and Ziegler voting "Aye", and Regents Gelatt, Pelisek and Renk voting "No."

Regent Ziegler stated that, in light of the threat of the Teaching Assistants leadership to intensify their struggle next year and their demonstrated lack of concern for contractual agreements, he had an amendment to

propose. He moved that any strike by the Teaching Assistants Association, in the future, will mean automatic withdrawal of the University of Wisconsin recognition of their organization and the end of collective bargaining between the University and the Teaching Assistants.

Regent Pelisek expressed the opinion that Regent Ziegler's amendment was not germane to the question of whether or not to approve the agreement, but would be an appropriate subject for a separate resolution. He also thought it was, to some extent, in conflict with the amendment which he had just voted against, which is an amendment to provide some spirit of cooperation between this Board and the faculty and Teaching Assistants.

President Nellen ruled that the amendment by Regent Ziegler was proper, since it is perfectly proper to put a stipulation on the approval.

The motion on Regent Ziegler's amendment was seconded by Regent Walker.

There was discussion of whether or not Regent Ziegler's amendment, in fact, changed the negotiated agreement. Chancellor Young was of the opinion that the Teaching Assistants would not regard this as a change in the agreement, but as an indication of how we would act if they went on strike. He noted that, if they go on strike, the contract is void. Regent Walker stated he favored the sense of Regent Ziegler's amendment, but he felt the timing was poor, since such an action could be held in abeyance until such time as it became appropriate. He stated that, at this time, we are trying to reassemble the orderly procedure of the campus, and inasmuch as the Regents have the power to do what the amendment proposes, there is no present need to exercise it.

Regent Kahl agreed it would be more appropriate to take such an action at the appropriate time, because otherwise they would be building some rigidity in the management process that is unnecessary at this point. President Nellen stated that the advantage of this amendment is that it states what the policy is, what we can do and what we are going to do. He believed that such information could well be disseminated to the Teaching Assistants. Regent Sandin agreed with Regent Pelisek in that the amendment was redundant and unnecessary and would be tying the hands of the administrators and the negotiating team as well.

A called vote was taken on the amendment, which had been moved by Regent Ziegler, with Regents Nellen, Pasch and Ziegler voting "Aye", and Regents Dahlstrom, Gelatt, Kahl, Pelisek, Renk, Sandin and Walker voting "No". The motion on the amendment was declared lost.

A roll call vote was taken on the original motion as amended to read as follows:

That the "Agreement Between The University of Wisconsin: Madison Campus And The Teaching Assistants Association, A Proposal By: The University of Wisconsin Negotiating Team", dated April 9, 1970, be approved, subject to any differences between the proposed agreement and Chapter 10D, Teaching Assistants (of the Laws and Regulations of the University of Wisconsin, adopted by the Madison Faculty on December 2, 1968), being resolved after faculty review and action.

On the called vote Regents Dahlstrom, Kahl, Nellen, Pasch, Renk, Sandin, Walker, and Ziegler voted "Aye", and Regents Gelatt and Pelisek voted "No." The motion as amended was declared carried.

Regent Ziegler inquired for the record how many votes were cast by the Teaching Assistants Association on this agreement. Mr. Bucklew reported that 534 votes were cast for the agreement and 348 against, but he explained that the Teaching Assistants Association had a policy that anyone not voting on any formal action would be counted as casting a negative vote, so that, actually, all that we know really is that 534 voted for it. Regent Ziegler stated, therefore, we do not know that 348 voted against it, because of the procedure in counting the votes.

Regent Ziegler stated that, without introducing a motion, he would like to instruct the administration to mark the records that are reviewed by future employers of the Teaching Assistants that went on strike to show that they violated the no strike agreement that had been made. Regent Pelisek stated that he believed that would be a reprisal, and that he did not think the Regents could do it. Regent Ziegler stated he thought that the Chancellor had said that that could be done. Chancellor Young stated he believed that it could be indicated on the files of the individuals, but he did not believe the University could furnish that to employers, but that it could be put in the file and the student could do with it what he wanted. He explained that the University does not show the files to employers unless the person involved specifically asks for this.

Regent Walker inquired what information was furnished if the employer requested information; and Chancellor Young stated that there would be no comment. Regent Gelatt pointed out that student transcripts that are sent out has anything to do with discipline blocked out on the transcripts, and carry only academic information, and are only furnished on authorization of the student himself. President Harrington pointed out that this does not mean that a prospective employer would not know something about the behavior of the graduate student when he comes to the Ph.D. level and is out looking for a job, because the prospective employer will contact the professors in the department and ask a number of personal questions about the individual, which if not answered, will result in the individual not getting the job.

The meeting recessed for committee meetings at 11:16 A.M.

---

The meeting reconvened at 2:25 P.M. with President Nellen presiding.

PRESENT: Regents Dahlstrom, Gelatt, Nellen, Pasch, Pelisek, Renk, Sandin, Walker, and Ziegler.

ABSENT: Regent Kahl.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That the final plans and specifications be approved and authority be granted to advertise for bids for the Madison Educational Sciences - Unit I Building.

University Architect Donald Sites explained this recommendation, noting that this project had twice been before the Regents, when they approved the concept in July of 1965, and when they approved the preliminary plans and specifications in October 1969. He showed a model of the project, and stated that the plans were essentially the same as previously shown to the Regents. He reported that the only major change was the reduction of \$152,000 in the project cost from \$6,450,000 to \$6,298,000, which was made by the State Building Commission, and will be adjusted by reducing the equipment allowance for the project. He explained that funds for this project would be \$3,269,000 from State funds and \$3,029,000 from federal funds.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That the final plans and specifications be approved and authority be granted to advertise for bids for the Parkside Library-Learning Center.

Chancellor Wyllie stated that he had the plans and specifications for this project in case the Regents desired to see them. He explained that it was a hold-over project from the last biennium, involving a total cost of \$7,827,000, of which \$7,127,000 comes from State funds and \$700,000 from Title I Federal funds. He stated that the plans and specifications are now being reviewed by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, with final approval from them expected within the next two weeks. He showed a picture of the model, and showed its relationship to the existing Greenquist Hall and the Science Classroom Library Building.

Regent Walker moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regents Gelatt and Renk, and it was voted.

President Harrington noted that the next item was consideration of the creation of a Regent committee on new construction and improvements. He noted that this had been discussed previously, with the Regents feeling that, as building questions become increasingly complicated, more searching examination is desirable by a group that can spend more time than can the total Board on building matters. He pointed out that the value of Regent Renk's committee on the operating budget indicates that the Regents can do a great deal more if they have a committee that can give special attention to a subject. He said it would be appropriate to set up such a committee on buildings at this time, because we



have the biennial building requests ahead of us. He also noted that there are also questions relating to auxiliary facilities, such as earlier approved dormitories, that will certainly need to be looked over again. He noted that various Regents had suggested that such a committee be a standing committee, or that perhaps the Business and Finance Committee could give additional time to the building questions, although the members of the Business and Finance Committee seemed to feel somewhat to the contrary. He recommended that the Regents consider a Special Regent Committee which would handle building matters, just as the Special Regent Committee on the Budget considers matters relating to the operating budget. He noted the difficulties of providing for meetings of such a committee if it is a standing committee requiring a meeting every time the Board meets.

Regent Pelisek noted that he had been a strong supporter of this proposal, and stated that the difference between it being a standing committee or a special committee was of little importance as long as the subject matter is adequately covered by a committee. He noted that the only objection to forming such a committee had been received from Regent Dahlstrom, who had indicated that the committee was not necessary and felt this could be handled by the Business and Finance Committee. Regent Dahlstrom said he was not in favor of setting up additional committees, since most of the information comes before the whole Board for review. He also noted that each Regent is already on two or three committees, and that adding an additional committee at this time did not seem to be necessary to him.

President Nellen inquired whether setting up such a committee required Board action; and the Secretary explained that the President of the Board could create such a committee on his own volition, or the Board might take an action requesting that the President set it up as a special committee. President Harrington stated that an alternative, if a committee is not set up, would be to have the whole Board plan on several substantial meetings to consider building matters. The Secretary suggested that, whether or not such a committee is set up, it would be desirable to have periodic sessions, with the entire membership of the Board, to permit going over all the background data on building projects on which questions are always raised. He suggested that such a conference by the Board might be held on Thursday afternoons before Regent meetings, at least every three or four months.

Regent Pelisek moved that the President appoint a Special Regent Committee of the Regents to review all building matters, to consist of not less than three members of the Board, with the President of the Board as ex officio. The motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt.

Regent Ziegler stated that the committee idea has some merit, and, although the Business and Finance Committee does not spend a lot of time on new construction, this would permit more time to be spent by the Business and Finance Committee on our investment portfolio. He stated he was in favor of going into building matters more in depth, because of the attitude of the Legislature toward our spending money on brick and mortar, now that we are building up to a peak and leveling off in our enrollment. He expressed the opinion that we have to be careful of how we build and what we build, and be sensitive to the Legislature in this respect.

President Nellen raised the question whether this special committee should be set up now or beginning July 1, at which time there will be a change of officers on the Board with a complete realignment of committees. Regent Pelisek pointed out that we are entering the process of building the biennial building budget for the next biennium, and that it seemed to him that such a committee could be helpful in beginning the preparations for those requests. President Harrington noted that there were some matters, such as the dormitory questions, which it would be desirable to have such a committee consider in the near future. In answer to a question by Regent Sandin, President Harrington explained that such a Regent committee would have to work with the various campus planning committees, just as the Regent Committee on the Budget meets with the budget staff.

The question was put on the above motion and it was voted, with Regent Dahlstrom voting "No."

Vice President Taylor reported on the use of sound-amplifying equipment, stating that there were no requests for use of sound-amplifying equipment, and no violations, on any of the campuses since the last report to the Regents. Regent Gelatt commented that, on several occasions, during the recent Teaching Assistant strike on the Madison Campus, he was pleased to note that crowds of more than 200 people were satisfactorily being addressed with the human voice and they were able to hear without the use of sound-amplifying equipment, and those who did not wish to hear did not have to. He stated that this resulted in less noise pollution.

President Harrington presented the following recommendation:

That the Order of the Regents of the University of Wisconsin Amending and Creating Rules, which is attached as Exhibit D to the minutes of the Regent meeting held on March 6, 1970, and on which a public hearing is to be held on May 8, 1970, and is to be considered by the Regents for adoption on that date, be revised to delete Section UW 2.02, and to substitute a revised Section UW 1.07(9), as set forth in EXHIBIT B attached.

The Secretary recalled that, at the previous meeting of the Regents, they had adopted proposed revisions in the Wisconsin Administrative Code as emergency rules and authorized a public hearing to be held on May 8 on adoption of the revisions as permanent rules, after which public hearing the Regents would take formal action. He explained that, since the last meeting, there have been several further revisions suggested in these rules, one being the deletion of Section 2.02 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code, which includes the provisions of Chapter V of the By-Laws by reference. He explained that, since the last meeting of the Regents, an opinion of the Attorney General had been received indicating that it is not proper to include such rules by reference. He stated that this deletion would not affect the effectiveness of the balance of the

rules. He also noted that, in the proposed revisions, the reference to Section 36.49, Wisconsin Statutes, was because the Federal Court has questioned its validity. The Secretary explained that the proposed revision of Section UW 1.07(9), relating to the use of sound-amplifying equipment, was attached as an exhibit to this recommendation. He noted that the provision therein, relating to the designation of the administrative head of the various campuses, had been revised so that it would be the Chancellor, or the Dean of any of the Center System campuses, or the person acting for him in his absence. The Secretary explained that the principal change in this section of the UW Administrative Code was to establish certain hours during which sound-amplifying equipment could not be used and also prohibit its use within 175 feet of classroom buildings, residence halls or other buildings used for study purposes.

Approval of the above recommendation was moved by Regent Pasch, the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted.

President Harrington announced that the next item was discussion of the WHA-TV program "Six 30". Noting that a member of the Board had asked that we have a brief presentation with respect to this program, he asked Chancellor Ahlgren to make a report. Chancellor Ahlgren explained that this was an experimental program, supported by Ford Foundation Funds, and was a training program for disadvantaged individuals in news reporting on television. He stated that he had become aware of this program sometime ago as a result of a strongly worded letter, in which there was expressed rather violent objections to this program. He noted he had also seen copies of similar letters sent to the Regents and members of the Legislature, in addition to which he had received a very large number of phone calls. He stated that the various communications had indicated that people who had written and called had described their feelings regarding the program in such terms as being angry, disappointed, offensive, ill advised, and poor judgment. He stated that, as a result, the University Extension felt the best procedure was to have the University Extension University Committee evaluate this program and report back to the Chancellor's Office. He reported that the University Extension University Committee had spent a large amount of time on this assignment, and that Professor Orrin Berge, Chairman of the University Extension University Committee, could make a report on that evaluation of the program by members of the University Extension faculty.

Professor Berge read from the report of the University Extension University Committee, dated April 6, 1970, addressed to Chancellor Henry L. Ahlgren (copy filed with the papers of this meeting). The report indicated that the University Extension University Committee had interviewed Richard Lutz, the Manager of WHA-TV, as well as Jim Robertson, Coordinator of Radio and Television, in order to ascertain the objectives of the program. They had also visited the station on March 26 and were given an opportunity to review video tapes of several of the controversial programs and to hear audio tapes of others, and were given transcripts of about fifteen of the programs, which allowed them to study them in more detail. They had also received copies of all correspondence received and the replies relating to communications sent by the writers to the station manager. The Committee felt that the basic objective of the program was to give representatives of the disadvantaged segment of the community an

opportunity to use the powerful tool of mass communications to get their viewpoints to the public. The Committee noted that the inexperience and idiosyncracies of the reporters and the bias of their coverage all stem from this objective. The Committee noted that the program was controversial and would probably continue to be so, although to a lesser degree, since the reporters have obviously responded to criticism, as judged from recent programs. However, the committee was of the opinion that the program was accomplishing its objectives, although it offends certain elements of the community who would prefer a different approach to this aspect of community life. Noting that the reporters' points of view, although sincere, are obviously biased, the Committee reported that the topics covered largely represented the interests of the trainee reporters. The report noted that a summary of letter comments has been made and favorable comments far out run criticisms; and that an extensive survey had been conducted among the viewing audience. The Committee concluded that it would in no way recommend a curtailment of the opportunity for free expression by this group, which presents the problems of society as it sees them and offers the opportunity for other elements of society to hear first hand some of the viewpoints to which they are not often exposed. The Committee recommended that the administration give full support to the WHA-TV staff for a bold and imaginative approach to these problems.

President Nellen inquired whether the Ford Foundation makes an evaluation of the program. Mr. Lutz explained that the Ford Foundation had visited the station, discussed the project with the station manager, the staff involved, and with the trainees on the project at great length, as a result of which the Ford Foundation had expressed an interest in continuing the program.

There followed a lengthy discussion between the Regents, Mr. Lutz and to some extent with Chancellor Ahlgren, regarding the WHA-TV "Six 30" program, covering the method of selection of the trainees, the purposes of the program, the manner in which the programs were developed, the fact that the trainees will be seeking employment in the television industry below the college degree level, the financing of the programs, the relationship of WHA-TV and the Federal Communication Commission regarding such programs, the relationship with the State Educational Communications Board, the intention to request funds from the Ford Foundation for the continuation of this program, and discussion of the viewpoint of Regent Gelatt that so-called educational television is failing to make the progress it would like to make in Wisconsin because it has been far less educational and far more special purpose oriented than it ought to be. During the discussion, Mr. Lutz indicated that reactions received by the station were largely favorable rather than critical of the program. Some of the Regents indicated that the opposite was true as to the many communications they had received. In this regard, Mr. Lutz agreed that persons in favor of a program would be much more apt to communicate their feelings to the station, whereas those that were critical of it would be more apt to communicate with Regents, Legislators, or others whom they would feel might be able to do something to discontinue the program.

There was no action taken by the Regents in the above matter.

President Nellen announced that Senator Gordon Roseleip had requested the opportunity to appear before the Regents, and that Senator Roseleip was present at this time. Senator Roseleip distributed a packet of materials to the Regents (copies filed with the papers of this meeting), and read a statement expressing his concern regarding what has been happening and what could happen on the UW campus relating to the student disorders. (A copy of Senator Roseleip's statement is filed with the papers of this meeting.)

- - -

President Nellen presented the report of the Executive Committee.

Upon motion by Regent Ziegler, seconded by Regent Dahlstrom, it was VOTED, That the resolutions adopted by the Executive Committee since the March 6, 1970, regular meeting of the Board, as set forth in EXHIBIT C attached, be included in the record as official actions of the Executive Committee.

- - -

Regent Pasch presented the report of the Educational Committee.

Regent Pasch presented the following recommendation of the Educational Committee:

That the Regents authorize the transfer of \$5,000 from the University Contingency Fund to the CCHE to be used, along with an equal amount provided by the State Universities, to finance a study of the needs for Veterinary Medicine education in Wisconsin and the alternative methods of meeting those needs as requested in the April 2, 1970 letter from Associate Director William E. White (EXHIBIT D attached).

Regent Pasch reported that the above recommendation was approved by the Educational Committee after some discussion, with Regent Dahlstrom voting against the recommendation.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the above recommendation and the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin.

Regent Renk requested Regent Dahlstrom's reason for dissenting. In the temporary absence of Regent Dahlstrom from the room, Regent Pasch explained that Regent Dahlstrom felt we had had enough studies on this matter, and that, when we were through with another study, the conclusion would be the same as we now have. Regent Pasch stated that the Educational Committee felt the University did not have much of an alternative, since the Coordinating Council for Higher Education had made the request, and the State Universities had provided their \$5,000. Regent Dahlstrom returned to the meeting at this point, and Regent Renk stated the answer to his question by Regent Pasch was adequate.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Pasch presented and explained the following recommendation of the Educational Committee:

That the amendments to Chapter 6, University Faculty - Milwaukee and Its Senate, as set forth in UWM Faculty Document 541, dated February 12, 1970, (EXHIBIT E attached), be approved.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the above recommendation, the motion was seconded by Regent Pelisek, and it was voted.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted:

1. That Edwin Traisman be appointed Program Administrator, Food Research Institute, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Madison Campus, beginning April 13, 1970, at an annual salary of \$15,000.
2. That Elmer Hamann be appointed Director of Housing, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, effective April 15, 1970, at an annual salary of \$15,000.
3. That John T. Losik be appointed Specialist in the Department of Planning and Development, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, beginning May 1, 1970, at an annual salary of \$14,000.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendations of the Educational Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Sandin, and it was voted:

1. That the resignation of Wayne H. Herhold, Associate Director, Medical Center, Madison Campus, be accepted, effective March 31, 1970.
2. That the resignation of Donald R. Makuen, Assistant Chancellor for Student Affairs and Director of Athletics (Faculty Status), University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, be accepted, effective August 31, 1970.

Regent Pasch moved approval of the following recommendation of the Educational Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Dahlstrom and Pelisek:

That the following persons be awarded Honorary Degrees to be conferred at Commencement exercises in June 1970 at Madison and Milwaukee as indicated below:

Madison:

William Oscar Beers	Doctor of Laws
C. M. Jansky, Jr.	Doctor of Science
Jay L. Lush	Doctor of Science
Russell Thackrey	Doctor of Laws
Lewis G. Weeks	Doctor of Science
Harry Richard Wellman	Doctor of Laws

Milwaukee:

John Leighly	Doctor of Science
Elmer Winter	Doctor of Laws

Regent Renk stated that he had always been one who objected to the large number of degrees that are awarded at one time, recalling that at one time there were five or six granted in Milwaukee, where they have since been cut down. He stated that, although he was sure that all these people were deserving, he would like to have consideration given to granting not more than five at one time in the future at Madison.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

- - -

Regent Renk presented the report of the Business and Finance Committee.

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to Authority for Executive Committee to Act for the Board in the Award of Contracts and Approval of the Schedules of Costs for Construction Projects (EXHIBIT F attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Walker, and it was voted.

-

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to Lease of Relocatable Buildings for Madison Medical Center (EXHIBIT G attached), and the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt. Regent Pelisek inquired whether these were metal buildings; and Associate Vice President Lorenz explained that they were of fibre panel construction.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to Contract Awards and Cost Schedule for the Eagle Heights Community Building at Madison (EXHIBIT H attached). The motion was seconded by Regents Gelatt and Walker, and it was voted.

-

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to Temporary Student Activities Building, University of Wisconsin-Parkside Contract Awards and Cost Schedule (EXHIBIT I attached), the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted.

-

Regent Renk moved approval of the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted:

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, the contract for Steam Generating Units for the Central Power Plant, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, Project No. 6901-2, be awarded to the low bidder in each division of the work on the basis of the base bids, as follows; and that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contract:

Steam Generating Units with Keeler-Faber  
Gas-Oil Burners and Hays Econo-O<sub>2</sub>-Trol Controls

K. Keeler Company	Base Bid No. 1	\$156,500.00
Williamsport, Pennsylvania	Base Bid No. 2	29,000.00
	Base Bid No. 6	<u>112,800.00</u>
	Total Contract	\$298,300.00

Source of Funds: State Borrowing

-

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to Renewal of Space Rentals - Center System and Madison Campus (EXHIBIT J attached), and the motion was seconded by Regent Walker.

Regent Gelatt stated that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, he had no interest in any of these rental properties in this recommendation.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.



Regent Renk moved approval of the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt, and it was voted:

Resolved, by The Regents of the University of Wisconsin, that the Attorney General be and he is hereby requested to institute legal action for the purpose of recovering 1969 taxes paid under protest on that portion of the "Charmany Farms" known as the "Charmany Research Center," which includes 14.87 acres of land together with the improvements thereon, identified for real estate tax purposes as Parcel 0709-302-0099-7, City of Madison. (Amount of tax levied on exempt property for 1969 totals \$4,740.94.)

Regent Renk moved approval of the recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee relating to University Avenue Expressway Right-of-Way Conveyance (EXHIBIT K attached). Regent Renk explained that this was a small parcel of land, which we were now using only for temporary storage of garbage collections, which was being sold to the State Highway Commission through the City of Madison in connection with the University Avenue Freeway development.

The above motion was seconded by Regent Ziegler, and it was voted.

Regent Renk moved approval of the following recommendation of the Business and Finance Committee, and the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt:

That the offer of the American Dairy Association of Wisconsin to provide a new four-sided scoreboard, including cables, remote controls, new hoist, and installation, be accepted for the Madison Campus Field House. (Estimated value of gift - \$12,000.00. A sponsor panel will be allowed on the scoreboard for a ten-year period which reads: "Drink Milk - American Dairy Association of Wisconsin.")

Regent Pelisek stated that he was going to vote against the resolution, because it represents the use of University facilities for commercial purposes. He stated that, although he had nothing in opposition to milk, it seemed to him that we would be establishing a rather bad precedent, no matter how worthy the association or its product might be. He did not believe that University facilities should be used for commercial advertising. Regent Gelatt explained that he had voted for the recommendation in the committee meeting, because the Regents were shown a picture of the University of Minnesota's new Field House scoreboard, which had some advertising on it relating to a savings and loan association.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted, with Regent Pelisek voting "No."

Regent Ziegler, reporting for the Special Regent Committee on UWM Campus Development, stated there was no report to be made unless Regent Pelisek or Chancellor Klotsche had something to report. Regent Pelisek reported that the planning consultants had been on the UWM Campus and were starting their preliminary work. He reported that the Advisory Committee had been formally organized with a chairman, that he had met with the consultants on two occasions, and with the Advisory Committee on several occasions; and that, on the previous week, he had met with the Executive Board of the East Side Community Council, which is the group most actively in opposition to any form of expansion at UWM.

- - -

Regent Renk presented the Report of the Special Regent Committee on the Budget. He stated that the Committee had met during the noon hour with all the Regents present, except Regent Kahl, so that he believed it was not necessary to review the material in detail. He reported that the Committee had handled the following items:

1. Established guidelines for developing 1971-73 biennial operating budget including:
  - (a) A suggested faculty compensation program of
    - 4% merit each year
    - 4% retirement cost pick-up in 1st year (1971-72)
    - 3% additional retirement cost pick-up 2nd year (1972-73)
  - Estimated biennial cost = \$21.5 million
  - (b) A suggested biennial program improvement package limited to MSN, UWM and Extension totaling \$3.5 million additional each year or \$10.5 million for the biennium.
2. Reviewed a preliminary 1970-71 fee and tuition schedule which can be used in preparing the budget.

- - -

Regent Gelatt presented the report of the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Procedures. Regent Gelatt noted that, at the meeting in Milwaukee in March, he had promised to have something for the May meeting in more specific form concerning an additional attorney for University discipline, but that events involving the State Universities and the Attorney General's Office prevented him from doing that. He explained that the State Universities and the Attorney General had requested funds, with the concurrence of Regent Pelisek and himself, for additional staff in the Attorney General's Office. He reported that these funds were approved by the Board on Government Operations. Regent Gelatt stated that with the concurrence of members of the Attorney General's staff, he had a Proposal For The Attorney For University Disciplinary Matters, which he presented, and moved its approval as a preliminary document (EXHIBIT L attached). He stated that the Attorney General was in the process of recruiting two attorneys, one to be assigned to the University of Wisconsin system and the other to be assigned to the State University system, after which, in concert with members of the State Universities Board of Regents, there would be a more specific document to be considered. Regent Pelisek seconded the motion to approve the

Proposal For The Attorney For University Discipline Matters (EXHIBIT L attached). Regent Renk inquired whether this attorney would be a District Attorney for the University of Wisconsin. Regent Gelatt explained that it was an outgrowth of that idea, except that he would be in the Attorney General's Office, and the underlying thought behind that is to maintain a consistent posture and to build up a consistent method and case law on student discipline as between the two systems. In response to a question by Regent Renk, Regent Gelatt explained that this attorney would, in effect, be responsible both to the Regents and to the Attorney General, who is responsible to the Regents for discipline, responsible for maintaining the enforcement of the code, and carrying out the enforcement of the cases that are brought to him. There was discussion as to the extent of time that this attorney would devote to work for the University.

President Harrington noted that the University administration had worked closely with the Special Regent Committee on Disciplinary Matters, and endorsed this proposal. Regent Dahlstrom inquired as to the type of auxiliary staff that will have to be maintained. Regent Pelisek explained that the approval of funding provided for two staff attorneys in the Attorney General's Office, two investigators and attendant secretarial help through the Attorney General's Office, although it might be necessary to have a part-time secretary here for the time he is on the campus.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Gelatt reported that he had one further matter that had to do with discipline. He recalled that, at the Milwaukee meeting, he had stated that at the May meeting he would bring forth a resolution concerning symposia. He stated that it was the sense of the resolution, a copy of which was being distributed to the Regents, not to adopt the proposed Section 8.06(3) referred to therein, but to refer it to the University Faculty Council for study and recommendation. He read the resolution (EXHIBIT M attached).

Regent Gelatt moved adoption of the resolution, and the motion was seconded by Regent Walker. Regent Gelatt stated that he was moving the approval of the total resolution, which recommends the action to the Faculty Council. President Harrington noted that this proposed regulation would not be in effect until the University Faculty Council has an opportunity to review it and come back with a response.

President Nellen announced that there were several students who had asked to appear on this subject and were present at this meeting; and he suggested that they be permitted to appear at this time rather than have them come back at a later meeting.

Mr. Berkovic, President of the Student Organization at University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, appeared before the Regents, reported that this resolution had been considered by the students at great length, and that he had talked to representatives of the student governments at Madison and Milwaukee, with all of them being very concerned if this resolution were to be adopted. First, he

stated that it was objectionable because cutting classes to attend a symposium might be a greater educational experience than is received in the classroom, and because it would not be permitted if it were a student-sponsored affair. He also objected to the proposal because it would have a very bad effect on the commuter institutions such as Green Bay and Parkside, where most of the student-sponsored events take place during the day, and where it is difficult to get the students back to the institutions in the evening. He also noted that UWGB is a four-campus institution, with students often traveling from one campus to the other during the day, which would make it very difficult to have such types of events during the evening. He also pointed out that many of the students are employed during the hours that this proposed resolution would prohibit such events. He also objected on the basis that this would stifle and restrict student participation in the discussion of many important issues, and would force the student organization to move their meetings away from the campus, which he did not want to see happen. He stated that we have had a great history at the University of Wisconsin of student participation and student involvement, because University facilities are available to student groups, and he believed this should be maintained as a steady school policy.

Mr. Mark Meissner, President of the Student Government at UWM, appeared before the Regents and read a statement (EXHIBIT N attached), which he reported had been initially approved by the Executive Board of the UWM Student Government, and by the Student Senate on the previous evening, and finally by the Milwaukee Campus Committee of Student Life, all unanimously. He noted that this resolution closely paralleled Regent Gelatt's resolution to a point, because he felt that the intent of Regent Gelatt's resolution was good and well meant, but resulted in the problem of adopting policies that are directed at the Madison Campus which cannot appropriately be applied to other campuses. He explained that the Milwaukee Campus operates from morning straight through evening hours without letting up, whereas, at Madison, there are not many classes in the evening. He also noted the problem of having commuter students at Milwaukee; and he explained that students who have classes in the evening are usually not interested in the things that the students attending during the day are interested in, because of the differences in their average age levels. He stated that they were concerned that this might stop part of the educational process at Milwaukee, since the students are denied the ability to hear speakers during the day and there are not many professors who will suspend their classes for such purposes.

President Nellen extended an invitation to Mr. David Schaefer, President of the Madison Wisconsin Student Association to appear before the Regents, if he wished. Mr. Schaefer also appeared in opposition to the proposal, and, although he noted that there might be some reason why activities should not occur during the day before 4:30, he didn't understand the reason for stopping them at 10:30 at night. He also stated he wondered as to the reasons for this proposal on the Madison Campus, whether it was directed at a symposium program or any kind of specific activity, which he felt should be mentioned specifically, if that were the case. He also noted that, while other campuses of the University may have a greater proportion of commuters, there are many people who live beyond a mile radius of the University of Wisconsin-Madison Campus and make only one trip to campus and back a day. He also noted that, on a campus of over

35,000 people, there is the large problem regarding the use of facilities, there being many students who are not taking courses at certain times of the day, and there being difficulty of finding suitable facilities for student organization sponsored speakers during the limited hours that would be provided under this proposal. He stated that this was not merely a question of students skipping classes, because there were many students on the campus with time when they are not attending classes, and permitting them to hear speakers would give them something to do rather than playing revolutionists in the Rathskeller. He expressed the opinion that the repercussions of the resolution were much broader than just saying that someone may think the best time to hold student-run programs is in the evening hours when they might not conflict with classes. He suggested that, if the Regents recommended this resolution, or sent it to the University Faculty Council, they would be sending it there for a purpose, and would be substantially supporting it and not merely using the University Faculty Council as a subcommittee to study it.

Regent Gelatt stated that his intent regarding this resolution goes rather deep, going to the idea of what education is. He stated that, if the primary purpose of a university education is to become aware of things you are not otherwise aware of, that is, rather than course content, which is most important, that was what he would like to get settled. He stated that, if the position that the students and many faculty take that outside activities of the non-classroom type are more important, then we should not waste more money on classroom building or professors. Noting that the people of this state are making personal sacrifices to build buildings and to staff them with professors, he stated the students did not seem to be able to sacrifice any of their personal time to broaden their education outside of the classroom, since the only time they seem to have for these activities is during classroom time. He stated they did not want to give up their evenings and do not want to give up their weekends. He stated that, consequently, he could not really believe that they are as interested in the outside absorbing type of education as they pretend to be. Regent Gelatt stated that he did not pretend that the hours set up here are necessarily the best ones or the correct ones, but he did want to emphasize, by some means, that classroom work is the primary function of this University insofar as education of the undergraduate is concerned.

President Harrington stated that he saw a good deal of point in Regent Gelatt's arguments in setting forth his resolution, and believed it is quite proper that the University Faculty Council should have an opportunity to look it over and perhaps suggest modifications. He pointed out, however, that Regent Gelatt's latter comments opened up an entirely different field, and, while there is a relationship, he would take issue with a great many of his statements. He stated that, after all, students are citizens of the State also, and he did not believe it could properly be said that either our students or our faculty neglect course work, nor did he feel that the attending of lectures and participation in extra-curricular activities is a violation of the position of the citizens of the State, the University, or anything else. He expressed the opinion that this area could be discussed in connection with this resolution, but thought that it might be better to adopt something of this sort without reference to all the statements made as to the deterioration of the student life. Regent Gelatt stated that his question was as to which was the first priority; and President

Harrington agreed that the first priority, of course, is getting an education, and that the University is primarily interested in the formal side, but he stated there is no reason to reject the rest, and one can open up such questions as whether day-time affairs interfere with education. He noted the great many extra-curricular activities which take place during the regular class time, such as intercollegiate athletics, and dramatics, and stated that he felt that these fit in well together. He stated that the limited question which Regent Gelatt raised in his resolution, and the broader question which was raised in his philosophical presentation later, were worthy of debate, and he stated that he would hope that particularly the latter could be discussed sometime this year.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

- - -

Regent Gelatt reported on behalf of the Regent Study Committee on the Practices and Policies of the University of Wisconsin Admissions Office. Regent Gelatt referred to a letter dated April 9, 1970, addressed to him by Dr. Philip Cohen, Chairman of the Madison Campus University Committee, to which was attached a memorandum from the Admissions Policy Committee to the University Committee relating to the non-resident enrollment quota, copies of which had been distributed to all Regents. (Copy filed with the papers of this meeting.) He noted that the memorandum posed the present 15% goal for the undergraduate non-resident enrollment quota, and emphasized the opinion poll taken among the various departments, in stating the reasons, which he believed most of the Regents had heard before on this question, namely that the higher quota of non-resident undergraduate students would add to diversity and breadth and also that it would provide students needed in programs, often professional preparation, to meet critical needs in fields where the Madison Campus offers particularly strong or unique programs.

Regent Gelatt stated that he personally was still persuaded that the reasons behind the resolution, approved by the Regents on March 14, 1969, are still effective, namely that the State of Wisconsin higher education in general will be facing an enrollment peak about 1980, and that, in the normal course of events by 1985, there will be some kind of normalized non-resident enrollment percentage here. He stated that the past history of the 1940's and 1950's indicated that the best percentage is likely to be about 15%, so that, if we take more than that between now and 1983, we will have expanded both buildings and staff to the level which will greatly raise the cost to the State thereafter. Regent Gelatt moved adoption of the following resolution, and the motion was seconded by Regent Renk:

Recent CCHE enrollment projections again indicate that enrollments will reach a peak about 1980 and then decline. In order to avoid having an abnormal bulge of non-resident students increase this enrollment peak for Wisconsin, we recommend that the action taken by the Regents on March 14, 1969, concerning non-resident enrollment, be reconfirmed.

At the request of Regent Ziegler, the Secretary read the resolution adopted by the Regents on March 14, 1969, which reads as follows:

That, beginning with the Fall semester of 1969-70, the admission of out-of-state freshmen and other new admissions of out-of-state transferring undergraduate students be limited to 25% of the total of freshmen and new transfer admissions for each campus of the University of Wisconsin; Furthermore, there shall be an additional reduction of 5% per year of out-of-state freshmen and other new admissions of out-of-state transferring undergraduate students for each succeeding year until the limit of 15% has been reached; Furthermore, there shall be a goal of a total non-resident enrollment of 15% of the total undergraduate enrollment for each campus of the University of Wisconsin by the Fall semester of 1975-76.

Regent Walker inquired what the limit would be for 1970-71; and Regent Gelatt explained that it would be 20%, with the percentage being reduced 5% a year. Regent Ziegler noting that, at the time the March 14, 1969, resolution was adopted, the Regents felt it ought to be reviewed a year from then, and that, although he was in favor of this resolution, he would like to take another look at this matter a year from now. He stated he did not want to reconfirm the 1969 resolution without reviewing it later. President Nellen pointed out the Regents could review it at anytime. Regent Ziegler suggested amending the resolution to provide for considering reconfirmation a year from now. Regent Gelatt pointed out that a review would be more appropriate in December or January, and that, if a change was to be made, it should be made by February 15, 1971.

President Nellen suggested the curtailment in non-resident enrollment probably resulted from the increased tuition, and probably did not have much to do with the Regent resolution at all. Regent Gelatt pointed out that there were two effects. He stated the actual effect this year is likely to be slight compared with last Fall, because of the order to conform to our resolution of March 14, 1969, and the admissions office restricted on the basis of acceptances to a level which would have brought them to our quota if they had the same percentages of acceptances, but, because of the increase in the fees, the percentage of acceptances was less than had been expected, so that last Fall there was only 20.8% non-resident entering freshmen. President Harrington agreed that it is true that the large increase in fees had some effect, but stated that it should also be noted that the states providing the largest number of out-of-state students on the Madison Campus have done something about providing facilities in their own states which has accounted for some of the difference. Nevertheless, he stated that a reduction to 15% is a sharp reduction from the past year, and stated that the administration might want now or later to suggest some modifications of this including not counting children of alumni in the 15%.

Agreeing with Regent Ziegler's suggestion for a review of this matter, Regent Dahlstrom stated that he believed one thing the Regents would have to look at is the change in the income picture that occurs from student fees and tuition as we decrease our total out-of-state enrollment. He pointed out that, by projecting according to CCHE enrollment into 1980 and 1981 and reducing our out-of-state students to 15%, assuming a campus of 40,000 students, we would at that time have to go to the Legislature and ask for almost \$9,500,000 more. He

suggested the administration should come back to the Regents with a complete financial picture of what impact this will make on our financial standing, and with recommendations concerning the children of UW alumni, which we feel should be given priority, and perhaps should not be included in the out-of-state student enrollment in the first place. Regent Gelatt questioned Regent Dahlstrom's reference to the application of the 15% to the overall enrollment of 40,000, since this non-resident enrollment quota applies only to undergraduate students. He noted that graduate enrollment has no restrictions, and that the most recent figures are that 67% of the graduate enrollment is nonresident, with the result that the 15% should be applied to a total undergraduate enrollment of approximately 24,000.

President Nallen stated that he wanted to bring up a point regarding the amount of money the State and the University get through out-of-state tuition. He noted that many people assume that we get out-of-state tuition from all out-of-state students; and he noted that it was quite revealing to find out that all of the out-of-state tuition, if it had been collected for the preceding year on the Madison Campus, would have amounted to something over \$10,000,000, but it was rather startling to learn that over \$3,000,000 of that was remitted, which means that we remit about one-third of out-of-state tuition at this University. He stated he believed that was a figure that should be kept in mind when people are bantying around the idea that we are losing money by cutting down on our out-of-state students. He referred to a letter he had received, dated April 9, 1970, from Assistant Vice President Robert Gentry, copies of which had been distributed to each Regent, relating to remission of non-resident tuition, particularly under the statute which permits the Regents to remit non-resident tuition to needy and worthy students, up to 8% of the non-resident student enrollment. He pointed out that this information had not been readily available to the Regents, because the fee remissions are included in the personnel actions by the President and are confirmed by the Regents without their knowing about them in detail. He pointed out that the report indicated that 1,264 out-of-state students had had their fees remitted, and, after eliminating 260 foreign students, there remained 1,058 non-resident American students that had their fees remitted, of which 427 had come from two states. He expressed the opinion that the Regents should again review limitations of non-resident students on the basis of geographical distribution.

Regent Renk stated: it should also be kept in mind that the non-resident enrollment limitation applies to any one campus, so that actually no reduction would have to be made in out-of-state students on the Milwaukee, Green Bay and Parkside campuses.

Regent Gelatt moved that the motion be amended by adding after the word "reconfirmed" the words, "and further that the Regents again review the March 14, 1969 action by February 15, 1971." President Harrington stated that, if the administration is requested to bring in some material on this, as Regent Dahlstrom had requested, the Fall would be a very good time to review this material to provide time to discuss all the questions prior to decision time on admissions for the following year. He noted that that could all be done preliminary to the February time for action on the resolution.



Regent Renk stated he saw no reason for the amendment since the Regents can review this matter at any time. There was discussion of the need for review of this material from year to year until the 15% limitation is reached. There was discussion of whether the motion to amend suggested by Regent Ziegler, or the motion to amend made by Regent Gelatt was before the Board. It was ordered that the motion to amend by Regent Gelatt was in order, and Regent Renk seconded the motion to amend the original motion.

Dr. Cohen reported that it had seemed important to get a study going and get some data basis which would reveal the impact, as judged by the different departments in the University, and the consequences of the tuition increase and the out-of-state enrollment restrictions. He explained that a committee made up of faculty and students at the survey office conducted such a study summary. Dr. Cohen pointed out that the more serious problem was that, unless a decision is reached by this Fall, the role of the Admissions Committee, with respect to next year's admissions, is going to be compromised. He pointed out that, in the material distributed to the Regents, there are some rather clear and compelling reasons which support the judgment of the University Committee as to why it is not necessary to get down to a percentage as low as 15%. He expressed the opinion that other factors that operate will result in a non-resident enrollment of around 20%, and that, if we get below that, there is evidence that certain programs on the Madison Campus will suffer from disadvantage. He respectfully urged that this matter be considered, noting that Mr. Lee Wilcox, of the Admissions Office, was present, and could explain how the survey was conducted and information gathered, and how the conclusions were reached. President Nellen suggested that the Regents could not intelligently ask any questions on this matter at this time since they had just received the memorandum.

Regent Gelatt stated that, as a practical matter, the enrollment quota for this fall is wrapped up, and that any change in the policy would not be effective until it affects those entering here in the Fall of 1971. Regarding the memorandum submitted by the Madison University Committee, he expressed the opinion that the Regents were aware of the viewpoints of the professors who are always interested in the enrollments as such, and who make quite a point of diversity. He stated that he still would like to point out that there is 30% non-resident enrollment today in the College of Letters and Science, and that, with respect to exposure to diversity, a large portion of the teaching is being done by Teaching Assistants of whom over 80% are non-resident, so that certainly no one can say that anyone in this University, at least in the College of Letters and Science, goes through it without exposure to people from different geographical areas.

Regent Pasch stated that it should be quite obvious to the members of this Board that he could not in good conscience leave this Board without speaking out on this resolution, since his position, with respect to the curtailment of out-of-state students, has already been documented, and his arguments remain the same. He stated that, in his opinion, the implications and consequences on limitation of non-resident enrollment are far reaching insofar as they affect our out-of-state students who, in his opinion, have made a contribution to this University and continue to do so. He expressed the opinion that people who supported the resolution objecting to curtailment of out-of-state enrollment

have not changed their minds, and that includes the faculty, the Alumni Association, central administration and the student body organizations, as well as the Coordinating Council for Higher Education, upon whose enrollment projections Regent Gelatt had made this resolution. Noting that he did not know whether the people in the Coordinating Council had changed their mind with respect to this matter, he pointed out, that, if you are going to proceed in a matter that involves projections for 1980, a lot depends on things that can change in the time that is still ahead. He stated that he found it difficult to be able to determine the meaning of an abnormal bulge of non-resident students. Regent Pasch stated that he could not and would not support this resolution, because he felt strongly that the position that has been taken and which still remains the position of the people who are concerned with the University and the students that come here remains the same. Until there is some indication that they feel that any CCHE enrollment restrictions make a difference with respect to their position on out-of-state enrollment, he would have to maintain that there is no need for a restriction that this resolution would impose on the March 14, 1969 resolution.

At the request of President Nellen, the Secretary stated the resolution before the Board as follows:

Recent CCHE enrollment projections again indicate that enrollments will reach a peak about 1980 and then decline. In order to avoid having an abnormal bulge of non-resident students increase this enrollment peak for Wisconsin, we recommend that the action taken by the Regents on March 14, 1969, concerning non-resident enrollment, be reconfirmed, and further that the Regents again review the March 14, 1969 action by February 15, 1971.

President Nellen raised the question of whether it had been indicated that February would be unsatisfactory; and President Harrington replied that it was all right for the resolution, but that it would be reviewed the month before.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

- - -

Regent Ziegler presented the Report of the Regent Study Committee on Student Newspapers. He reported the receipt of a letter from the Badger Herald requesting that the Badger Herald be printed on the University presses. He noted this request was not on the agenda, and that the Committee did not have a proposal for any formal recommendation at this time. He reported that a letter had also been received from the Daily Cardinal requesting permission to appear before the Regents if action was to be taken on this matter at this meeting. He stated that the attitude of Regent Dahlstrom and himself was that they would like to have the full Board give them its consensus on this matter as to whether the Committee should try to work out an arrangement regarding this request. He recalled that the Campus Publishing Company, which owned the presses and printed the student newspaper many years ago, in 1938 gave the capital goods and supplies to the University, through the University of Wisconsin Foundation, with the stipulation that those presses and facilities be used to print the student

newspaper, which was then known as The Daily Cardinal. He stated that it has been interpreted that that does not mean it is restricted to a single newspaper. He explained that Vice President Taylor had advised that, in order to have the Badger Herald printed on the Madison Campus, as requested, the approval of the Regents should be received, as well as that of the State Printing Division, so that there will be no question about the right to print it on the campus. Regent Ziegler noted there are several things that have to be resolved before the Committee can make a recommendation, one being that the Badger Herald would have to reveal its financial statement, the same as the Cardinal does, and with the stipulation that they would be working through Professor Hawkes, who is the faculty advisor. He stated the Committee would like to have an expression from the full Board as to whether it wanted the Committee to try to work out something on this. He stated, if the Committee could have the consensus of the Board, it would proceed to meet with the Badger Herald, the Daily Cardinal, and Professor Hawkes and try to work out a procedure.

Regent Sandin stated that she would like the Committee to proceed along these lines since she believed the Badger Herald should have just as much right to print on University facilities as The Daily Cardinal. Regent Pasch stated that, if the Badger Herald feels that some of the problems that Regent Ziegler referred to could be worked out, and, if the facilities are such that they present no mechanical problems, he would certainly support a recommendation to permit the Badger Herald to be printed on University presses. Regent Renk stated that he had never seen the financial statement from the Daily Cardinal. Regent Ziegler explained that apparently the full Board had not received it, but that Professor Hawkes had been very cooperative in providing a complete audit report.

There was discussion as to what The Daily Cardinal pays for the use of University facilities, and it was explained that they pay \$3.75 per square foot of space they use, which amounts to around \$500 a month, and pay \$26 a page for having the Daily Cardinal printed. Vice President Taylor reported that, on the basis of information he had received from the Badger Herald, he believed they would be saving money by printing it in the University Typographical Laboratory. President Nellen stated that, since no one seemed to have any objections, it could be assumed that the Committee could go forward with investigation of this request.

- - -

Regent Pelisek presented the report of the Regent Study Committee on Student Government at the University of Wisconsin, stating that he had two matters to present.

Regent Pelisek recalled that, at the meeting of the Regents on March 6, 1970, the Regents approved the allotment of additional funds from the Student Court Fine Fund, for the continuation of the Wisconsin Student Association course evaluation program on the Madison Campus. He noted that the Student Court Fine Fund has now been frozen by Legislative action, which requires that, in the future, such funds are to be transferred to the local authorities. He explained that a condition to that allocation of funds by the Regents on March 6, was for a review of the Wisconsin Student Association course evaluation

program by the Madison Campus University Committee. Regent Pelisek distributed to the Regents a copy of a letter, dated April 9, 1970, addressed to him by Dr. Philip Cohen, Chairman of the Madison Campus University Committee (copy filed with the papers of this meeting), reporting on the Committee's review of the Wisconsin Student Association course evaluation program and which indicated the Committee's approval of the release of the funds appropriated. He noted that the communication indicated that, if the program is to continue, there should be continual review and upgrading of the course evaluation program.

Regent Pelisek moved approval of the following recommendation, and the motion was seconded by Regent Gelatt:

That, upon recommendation of the Chancellor of the Madison Campus, transfer of \$12,500 of Student Court fine receipts, accumulated prior to the recent statutory change, to the Martin Luther King Memorial Scholarship fund, to be administered by the Madison Campus Financial Aids office, be approved.

Regent Pelisek explained that these funds are presently in the Student Court Fine Fund, which, because of Legislative action, will not be further increased. He explained the basic purpose for the use of these funds had been for scholarship purposes, and stated that it would seem appropriate that what remains in that fund, or a substantial portion of it, be used for these purposes. He reported that there is a balance of approximately \$25,000 in that fund at present, after having made the appropriation of \$5,200 at the last meeting, and that this recommendation would reduce the balance by approximately one-half. Regent Dahlstrom inquired how many students we are able to support with these scholarships; and Chancellor Young replied that that varies a great deal, depending on the type of aid given; and he explained that they are used in a combination of grants, loans and work study programs, with the result that, when a student first comes to the University, the aid consists heavily of grant money, and later on becomes less and less grant and more and more work and loan assistance. He estimated this additional amount might make the difference for as many as ten students.

The Secretary inquired whether any consideration had been given to whether the balance of funds in the Student Court Fine Fund should be retained to operate the Student Court as long as possible, since, if adverse opinions are received from the Attorney General as to the future use of the Student Court Fine Fund monies, it can probably be anticipated that the Student Court will not continue functioning. Regent Pelisek indicated that he did not believe that any particular consideration had been given to that question as to whether the Student Court could continue to operate, and he noted that there are some side issues involved that are not involved in this particular issue, such as the educational value of the Court, what the Court's full power is, and whether the fine levy is an appropriate problem. In response to a question, he indicated that the Madison Campus Student Court was still functioning. Chancellor Young pointed out that the Student Court is still functioning at the expense of this fund. Regent Pelisek stated that, after the determination one way or the other

is received from the Attorney General's Office, a final determination can be made on the existence of the Madison Campus Student Court.

The question was put on the above motion, and it was voted.

Regent Renk stated that he would like the Board to know that he did not approve of the appropriation by the Regents at the March 6, 1970 meeting of the \$5,200 to the WSA Course Evaluation Program. Regent Pelisek explained that that appropriation was made on the condition that the Course Evaluation Program be reviewed and then approved by the Madison Campus University Committee, which had been done, as indicated in the correspondence he had distributed to the Regents. He noted that some guidance was to be provided by the University Committee.

- - -

Regent Dahlstrom presented the report of the Regent Study Committee on Faculty Government at the University of Wisconsin. He stated that he had distributed to each of the Regents a tabulation of the Districts and Apportionment, for the academic year 1970-71, for the new University of Wisconsin Faculty Campus Senate (Madison Campus Faculty Document 308, dated April 6, 1970, copy of which is filed with the papers of this meeting).

- - -

Regent Pasch reported on behalf of the Regent Study Committee on Conflicting Activities. Regent Pasch reported that this Committee had met during the day with members of the University Faculty Council, since the latter had requested to appear before this Committee to discuss the subject matter that this Committee is now considering. Regent Pasch noted that the Committee had thought it might present a recommendation to the Regents at this meeting, but that, since Regent Kahl was not present at this time, he believed that the recommendation of the Committee should be deferred until the next meeting.

- - -

Regent Walker reported on behalf of the Regent Study Committee on Drug Matters on the Madison Campus. Regent Walker announced that the initial meeting of this committee would be held at 9:00 A.M., Friday, April 24, 1970, in Room 1820 Van Hise Hall, at which a knowledgeable group of the Madison Campus staff would be present to participate. He distributed a list of this group for the information of the Regents. He explained that this group consisted of six members of the Madison staff who spent a great deal of time in learning more about and planning more effectively in the solving of this problem. He explained that, at the initial meeting, the committee would not be considering any campus other than the campus in Madison, and would not be inviting participants who are not affiliated with the University. He noted that the meeting would be open to the public, and that he hoped that there would be members of the administration, the faculty, and students present.

- - -

-44-

Regent Gelatt stated that this was Regent Pasch's last official meeting here, that he had known Maury for a long time and had enjoyed working with him, and that it was his sad duty, and also his privilege, to present the following resolution to the Board:

#### RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Maurice Pasch is completing his nine-year term as a member of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin including service as one of the University representatives on the Coordinating Council for Higher Education, and six years of service as Chairman of the Education Committee of the Board;

WHEREAS, he has discharged his responsibilities as a Regent of the University of Wisconsin in a most conscientious and dedicated spirit and has demonstrated concern for the University's service to all of the people of the State of Wisconsin; and

WHEREAS, Maurice Pasch has enhanced the University's basic instructional mission through his service as Chairman of the Education Committee of the Board; and

WHEREAS, in a highly professional manner he has unselfishly contributed to the deliberations of the Board in a period of great crisis for the University and for all of higher education.

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It

RESOLVED, that the Regents of the University of Wisconsin place on record this resolution of appreciation and extend to Maurice Pasch their best wishes for his future success with the sincere hope that he will retain his deep interest in higher education.

Regent Gelatt moved the adoption of the above resolution. President Nellen stated that all of the Regents certainly share this opinion, and that he believed that all the Regents would like to give a testimonial to Maury as he is leaving, but, rather than for all the Regents to do it, he would request Regent Walker to say something.

Regent Walker stated that he would not say anything formally, but would say one or two words which he felt would be appropriate and shared by other members of the Board. He stated that, with the departure of Maury Pasch, our Board loses a gentleman and a sympathetic and understanding student on the many phases of our University, and that his guidance of our Educational Committee and his thoughtful and articulate participations added much to all contributions to building a stronger University. Regent Walker stated that he, personally, would want to say that the two years that he had worked with Maury had given him the friendship of a man that he respected and admired, and that he was sorry to have him leave.

President Harrington stated, as President of the University, that he would like to second the statements made by the Regents, and would like to speak, not only for himself, but for the faculty and students of this University, who have found Maury Pasch most helpful in formal and informal ways, and that we are forever grateful for what he has done for us.

President Nellen ruled a unanimous second to the motion to adopt the resolution and a unanimous adoption.

Regent Pasch responded to the resolution and the remarks that had followed. He stated that he would be less than candid if he were to say that he did not regret leaving this Board, as it had been one of the most exciting and most rewarding experiences of his life, and that few people in the State of Wisconsin are chosen and have the honor and the privilege of being a member of this governing Board of this great University. He stated that this was a time for saying a temporary farewell to a lot of people that he had had the privilege and honor and pleasure of working with in the last nine years that he had served on this Board. He recounted statistics as to the tremendous increases that had taken place, since he came on the Board in 1961, in the amount of the University budget, in the funds spent for University buildings, in the increase in the number of faculty members, in the increase in student enrollment, and in the increases in the number of campuses under the jurisdiction of this Board. He also noted the large increases in the administrative staff at the University during that period. He pointed out that all these were indications that there has been real progress and a real challenge, and a real privilege of having had a part in it, in watching the University, his University, the one that he loved, grow until it attained the stature that it now has.

Regent Pasch noted the many people, including his colleagues on this Board, whom he was now taking leave of with regret, and who he commended for their cooperativeness and helpfulness to him since he had been on this Board. He stated that the President of our University, who he had a part in selecting and electing, did not need any evaluation of his leadership and his ability and his contribution to this great University. He also referred to the various Chancellors of the University and to their leadership in developing their units of this great University. He commented on the others who were dedicated to this University, including the members of a dedicated faculty, the student body, many men and women who are citizens of this State, the members of the Board of Visitors, and the people in the Wisconsin Alumni Association. He stated that it was with some regret that he had to take leave, which he hoped would be only temporary, of these people, noting that he was fortunate to live in the community in which they reside. He stated that he would always be available to do what he might be called upon to do as a private citizen and an alumnus of this University, in the work that is still to be done as this University continues to grow in the 1970's. Regent Pasch spoke at length as to his hopes for the future of the University; and expressed his appreciation for the rich experience he had had as a member of this Board.

President Nellen stated that Regent Pasch was a fine tribute to the University of Wisconsin, and he stated that he was sure that Regent Pasch would continue to serve the University.

The Secretary reported that each Regent had received a copy of a letter addressed to the President of the Board from the Madison City Attorney, Edward Conrad, commending Regent Pasch for his service on the Board, and requesting that the communication be included in the records of this meeting.

- - -

President Nellen announced that the Regents would recess for an Executive Session, from which there probably would be an announcement.

The meeting recessed for Executive Session at 5:42 P.M.

-

The Regents went into Executive Session at 5:52 P.M.

---

The Regents arose from Executive Session at 7:32 P.M.

President Nellen announced that the Regents, in Executive Session, had taken the following action:

That the status of Irving Shain, Professor of Chemistry, College of Letters and Science, Madison Campus, be changed to Professor of Chemistry and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Madison Campus, effective immediately on a half-time basis as Vice Chancellor, with no change in salary, and on a full-time basis beginning July 1, 1970, at an annual salary of \$32,500.

-

President Nellen announced that the Regents, in Executive Session, by a vote of seven to two, had taken the following action:

That Albert E. Negratti be appointed Director of Athletics, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, effective July 1, 1970 at an annual salary of \$24,000.

The meeting adjourned at 7:34 P.M.

Clarke Smith, Secretary



Instruction

1. 750.00 - The ~~Firestone~~ Tire & Rubber Company, Akron, Ohio, for the support of the "Firestone Lectures in Organometallic Chemistry". (133-5934)
2. 3,850.00 - Wisconsin State University of Stevens Point;  
200.00 - The University of Wisconsin-Green Bay;  
4,050.00 - for operation of a central office for the Wisconsin Improvement Program in accordance with terms previously approved - School of ~~Education~~. (133-7082)
3. 84.70 - Various donors, to be added to the ~~Chemistry~~ Department Educational Fund. (133-1082)

✓  
1

Instruction

4. \$ 5,300.00 - Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C. Conference on Nuclear Power and the Environment to be held April 3-4, 1970. Period of contract is March 20, 1970 through July 1, 1970. Contract AT(49-12)-3183. MSN, ENGR, Nuclear Engineering (144-A728)
5. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 5,400.00 - "Bacteriological Sanitation Course for FDA Inspectors" for the period March 9, 1970 through April 17, 1970 at a total cost of \$11,664. Contract CPF 70-16. MSN, AG&LSC, Food Science (144-A614)
- 2) 11,988.00 - "A Course in Gastrocamera Photography" for the period January 1, 1970 through December 31, 1970. Grant 57967-04-70. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A723)
6. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 45,551.00 - Graduate Training Program in Animal Pathology for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Grant 5-T01-GM-00817-09. MSN, AG&LSC, Veterinary Science (144-A713)
- 2) 48,194.00 - Clinical Training Program in Medical Cardiology for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Grant 5-T12-HE-05738-05. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A690)
- 3) 98,008.00 - Graduate Training Program in Pathology for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Grant 5-T01-GM-00130-13. MSN, MC-MED, Pathology (144-A700)
7. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 6,091.00 - "American History Institute for Teachers and Principals" for the period December 6, 1968 through July 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$90,082. Grant OEG-0-9-590920-2070-725. MSN, EDUC, Educational Administration (144-9493)
- 2) 13,500.00 - "Summer Intensive Language Program in Western Languages (Portuguese)" for the period April 1, 1970 through September 30, 1970. Contract OEC-0-70-2613. MSN, L&S, Spanish and Portuguese (144-A681)
8. National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 66,492.00 - 1970 Graduate Traineeship Program. Provides for 12 nine-or twelve-month traineeships with a \$2,500 Cost-of-Education Allowance per traineeship and 4 Summer Traineeships for Graduate Teaching Assistants. Grant GZ-1755. MIL, GRAD, Various (144-A703, Stipends and Dependency Allowances \$35,152; 144-A704, Tuition \$20,240; 144-A705, Cost-of-Education Allowances \$11,100)

Instruction

8. (cont'd) National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C.
- 2) 550,883.00 - 1970 Graduate Traineeship Program. Provides for 101 nine-or twelve-month traineeships with a \$2,500 Cost-of-Education Allowance per traineeship and 17 Summer Traineeships for Graduate Teaching Assistants. Grant GZ-1754. MSN, GRAD, Various (144-A701, Stipends and Dependency Allowances \$298,383; 144-A702, Cost-of-Education Allowances \$252,500)
9. 1,250.00 - Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Handicapped Children Division, Madison, Wisconsin. Cost-of-Education Allowance for a fellowship awarded by the donor in connection with the 1969-70 Program for Preparation of Professional Personnel in the Education of Handicapped Children sponsored by the U. S. Office of Education. None. MSN, EDUC, Studies in Behavioral Disabilities (144-9126)

Student Aid

1. \$ 4,874.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, representing gifts from donors listed below for support of programs at the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay:
- \$2,000 - S. W. Frankenthal, Green Bay, Wis., to be added to the "Adolf Frankenthal Memorial Scholarship" to be administered in accordance with terms approved July 25, 1969 (133-6985) S
  - \$1,000 - ShopKo Discount Stores, Green Bay, Wis., to establish the "William Goldberg - ShopKo Scholarship Fund" to be awarded to students selected by the Financial Aids Office, with preference to be given to students in Business Administration courses or in courses in fields with some relevance to business administration. (133-7363) S
  - \$1,674 - Various donors, to establish the L. G. Wood Memorial Student Loan Fund, to be administered by the Student Financial Aids Office and for the present to be restricted to loans of a short-term, emergency nature to worthy students (Loan)
  - \$ 150 - University League, UWGB, to be used for student loans at the Green Bay Campus. (Loan) S
  - \$ 50 - Various donors, to be used as a source of financial aid payments to student athletes within the guidelines prescribed by the National Collegiate Athletic Association (133-7213)
2. University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison:
- (1) 100.00 - To establish the Christian John Otjen Fund in accordance with the terms of the trust, which provide that the scholarships shall be awarded to "outstanding young persons who will attend the Law School of the University of Wisconsin, who have a financial need for assistance and who maintain a scholastic average sufficient to place them in the top 25% of their class. It is desired that emphasis be given, in granting these scholarships, to students who are engaged in attaining their initial law degree rather than to students pursuing additional or higher degrees in law". (133-7354) S
  - (2) 1,000.00 - A contribution made by Fertilizing Engineering and Equipment Co., Green Bay, Wis., to be used as a source of financial aid payments to student athletes at the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay within the guidelines prescribed by the National Collegiate Athletic Association. (133-7213) S
  - (3) 200.00 - A contribution made by Mrs. Edgar S. Gordon, Madison, Wis., to be added to the Edgar B. Gordon Memorial Scholarship Trust to be awarded to a deserving student preparing to teach music in the schools of Wisconsin. (Trust) S
  - (4) 200.00 - For the Max M. Shapiro Scholarship for Law students selected by four members of the Law School faculty on the basis of need (133-6826) S
3. 50.00 - William Randolph Hearst Foundation, New York City, to be added to the Willard G. Bleyer Memorial Fund. (Trust)
4. 100.00 - Mrs. S. E. Gavin, Fond du Lac, Wis., an additional contribution to the Robert Gavin Medical Student Loan Fund. (Loan)

Student Aid

5. \$ 1,000.00 - Chevron Oil Company, The California Company Division, New Orleans, La., to continue a scholarship in geology for the 1970-71 academic year, consisting of a \$500 cash stipend to the student and a \$500 unrestricted grant to the Department of Geology. (133-4766) S
6. 1,400.00 - Harry J. Grant Foundation, Milwaukee, Wis., a gift for scholarship aid to Journalism students at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-6890) S
7. 2,655.97 - Various donors, to be added to the Human Resources Development Fund for the benefit of undergraduate and graduate students from impoverished backgrounds - UWM. (133-7114)
8. 328.75 - Humorology-1969, for continuation of the Humorology Scholarship Fund in accordance with terms previously approved. (133-3553) S
9. 1,452.40 - The National Fund for Graduate Nursing Education, New York City, for the support of the Graduate Nursing Programs in the Medical School. (133-6800)
10. 1,500.00 - The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, Wis., for continuation of a scholarship (\$500) and a graduate fellowship (\$1,000) in the School of Business for 1969-70, in accordance with terms approved April 10, 1954. (133-1085) S
11. 25.00 - University of Wisconsin Dames Club, Madison, Wis., an additional contribution to be added to the Mabel Tatum Loan Fund. (Loan)
12. 1,175.65 - University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Women's League, Milwaukee, to defray maintenance and driving expenses of the bus to be used for transporting program participants in the School of Education, UWM. (133-7359)
13. 794.26 - Wisconsin Student Association, Madison, to be used by the Office of Student Financial Aids for the personal emergencies of University of Wisconsin students. The program shall be known as the "Special Program Emergency Grant Fund". (Trust)
14. 6,000.00 - Estate of the late Helen Hardenbergh, San Jose, California, to be added to the Carns, Cronin, Glassow Trust Fund, the terms of which are revised to read as follows:
  1. The selection of the recipient shall be made by the Chairman of the Department of Physical Education for Women upon recommendation of the Board of the University of Wisconsin Physical Education Alumnae Association.
  2. Awards shall be made to graduate or undergraduate physical education women who have given evidence of professional promise.
  3. The Alumnae Board reserves the right to recommend changes in the terms of the fund.
  4. The award for 1970-71 shall be \$450, and in subsequent years the award shall be the income earned. (Trust)

Student Aid

15. \$ 5,500.00 - Cummins Engine Foundation, Columbus, Indiana, to continue the Cummins Engine Foundation Mechanical Engineering Fellowship under terms previously approved. (133-3204) J
16. 500.00 - Charles E. and Dorothy Watkins Inbusch Foundation, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis., a gift to be added to the Dorothy and Charles Inbusch Award for Meritorious Work in Medical Research. (133-4176)
17. 350.00 - Wisconsin Graduate Chapter-Kappa Psi Pharmaceutical Fraternity, Madison, to continue their award to be given in whole or in part to a qualified prepharmacy or pharmacy student on the Madison Campus - School of Pharmacy. (133-6079)
18. 1,200.00 - Society of Real Estate Appraisers Foundation, Chicago, Ill., for continued support of their fellowship in the School of Business. (133-4544) J
19. 2,600.00 - Uniproval, Inc., Wayne, N. J., for continued support of graduate training in basic research in the Department of Chemistry during 1970-71. (133-725)
20. 561.00 - Various donors, to be added to the Frances H. Cunningham Scholarship in Nursing Trust Fund in accordance with terms approved July 25, 1969. (Trust) S
21. 350.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, a gift from Mrs. Mark Lansing Hooper, Manitowoc, Wis., for continued support of a fellowship in the Department of Art - School of Education. (133-4824) J
22. 108.00 - Various donors, given in memory of the late Earline Ingram, to be added to the Earline Ingram Social Welfare Fund, UWM, as approved February 6, 1970. (133-7287) S
23. 400.00 - Minneapolis Star and Tribune Company, Minneapolis, Minn., to be added to the Minneapolis Star Journalism Scholarship, for award to an outstanding Journalism student. (133-5381) S
24. 300.00 - Red Cross Drug Stores, Racine, Wis., for continued support of students interested in seeking a career in Pharmacy by attending the School of Pharmacy. (133-3614)
25. 350.00 - Dane County Pharmaceutical Society, Inc., Madison, Wis., to be added to the School of Pharmacy Grant and Scholarship Fund. (133-1078) S
26. 100.00 - Dr. Thomas J. and Mrs. Carol L. Rice, Marshfield, Wis., to be added to the Dr. Ralph Waters Trust Fund. (Trust) S
27. 379.00 - Various donors, to be added to the Human Resources Educational Fund in accordance with terms approved July 25, 1969. (Trust)

Student Aid

28. \$ 1,000.00 - ASARCO Foundation, New York City, for continued support for the academic year 1970-71 of their scholarship program in the Department of Minerals and Metals Engineering. (133-2985) S
29. 1,000.00 - Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation, Oakland, California, to support a scholarship to be awarded to a student majoring in Metallurgical Engineering. (133-6869) S
30. 500.00 - Professor Augustus J. Rogers, III, Milwaukee, Wis., to be added to the Robert F. Kennedy Fund for aiding disadvantaged students - UWM. (133-6620)
31. 3,823.00 - Various donors, to be added to the Martin Luther King Memorial Scholarship Fund, to be administered in accordance with terms approved May 17, 1968. (Trust) S
32. 1,100.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, an additional gift made by The Pelton Foundation, Milwaukee, Wis., for the renewal of the Pelton scholarships for undergraduate students in metallurgy, College of Engineering, in accordance with terms previously approved. (133-2987) S
33. - That at the request of the donors, the terms of the Wray Strowig Memorial Loan Fund accepted on October 17, 1969, be rescinded, and that the following terms be approved:
- The funds shall be placed in the Wray Strowig Memorial Award (Trust) with the income to be awarded annually to a recipient selected by the faculty of the Department of Counseling and Guidance in recognition for (a) academic excellence, (b) excellence in practice, (c) devotion to professional aims and objectives. (Trust)
34. 25.00 - Robert G. Lane, Oshkosh, Wis., to be added to the Wray Strowig Memorial Award. (Trust)
35. 4,000.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison;  
       325.00 - Various donors; to be used as a source of financial aid pay-  
       4,325.00 - ments to student athletes at the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay within the guidelines prescribed by the National Collegiate Athletic Association. (133-7213) S
36. 113.00 - Friends of the late Professor Glenn Koehler, Madison, Wis., given in his memory, to be added to the Engineering Student Loan Fund. (Loan)
37. 2,000.00 - The Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, Madison, to continue the William J. Hagenah Scholarship in the Law School. (135-0012) S
38. 1,700.00 - Charles E. and Dorothy Watkins Inbusch Foundation, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis., an additional contribution to be added to the John Orton Watkins Fellowship in Pediatrics. (Trust) S
39. 21,000.00 - Leukemia Society of America, Inc., New York City, for the support of a Leukemia Society of America Special Fellow during a two-year period beginning July 1, 1970 - Department of Veterinary Science. (133-7382) S

Student Aid

40.     \$                   Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1)           151.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period April 26, 1969 through April 25, 1970 at a total cost of \$5,751. Grant 3-F01-MH-42720-01A1S1. MSN, GRAD, Zoology (144-9880)
  - 2)           5,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period January 29, 1970 through January 28, 1971. Grant 1-F01-MH-46930-01. MSN, GRAD, Psychology (144-A711)
  - 3)           5,300.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 5-F01-MH-40574-02. MSN, GRAD, Psychology (144-A718)
41.                       Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1)           5,300.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 5-F01-GM-39327-03. MSN, GRAD, Biochemistry (144-A682)
  - 2)           5,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 27, 1970 through February 26, 1971. Grant 5-F01-GM-42503-02. MSN, GRAD, Entomology (144-A685)
  - 3)           292.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$5,392. Grant 1-F01-GM-44736-01, Revised. MSN, GRAD, Laboratory of Molecular Biology (144-A397)
  - 4)           5,600.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period March 10, 1970 through March 9, 1971. Grant 5-F01-GM-42460-02. MSN, GRAD, Chemistry (144-A708)
  - 5)           6,100.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period March 10, 1970 through March 9, 1971. Grant 5-F01-GM-40085-02. MSN, GRAD, Chemistry (144-A712)
  - 6)           4,133.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period March 1, 1970 through September 30, 1970. Grant 5-R01-GM-38869-03. MSN, Grad, Laboratory of Molecular Biology (144-A725)
  - 7)           1,000.00 - Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period October 27, 1969 through October 26, 1970. Grant 1-F02-AM-45269-01. MSN, GRAD, Institute for Enzyme Research (144-A722)
  - 8)           5,300.00 - Predoctoral Fellowship Award for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 5-F01-MH-32973-04. MSN, GRAD, Psychology (144-A655)
- 8



Student Aid

41. (cont'd) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- 9) 1,000.00 - Postdoctoral Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period February 15, 1970 through February 14, 1971. Grant 1-F02-NS-36595-01. MSN, MC-MED, Laboratory of Neurophysiology (144-A716)
- 10) 1,000.00 - Special Fellowship Supply Allowance for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 1-F03-HD-43501-01. MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (144-A691)
42. 184,792.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. National Defense Foreign Language Graduate Fellowship Program under Title VI of the NDEA of 1958 for the second semester of the 1969-70 academic year (Total awarded under this program to date is \$1,549,965). MSN, GRAD, (144-9847)

Research

1. \$ 3,600.00 - ~~Abbott Laboratories~~, North Chicago, Ill., for the support of a study of nitrofurans and related compounds - Division of Clinical Oncology. (133-7361)
2. 10,000.00 - ~~David Dubinsky Foundation~~, New York City, for the support of a Center for the Study of Unions and Collective Bargaining within the Industrial Relations Institute - College of Letters and Science. (133-7360)
3. 5,250.00 - ~~Joint Council on Economic Education~~, New York City, a gift to support a materials evaluation project in the Center for Economic Education, UWM, during a three-year period. (133-7365)
4. 500.00 - ~~University of Wisconsin Foundation~~, Madison, representing a gift from the ~~Kohler Foundation~~ to be used for research under the direction of the Medical School administration. (133-7355)
5. 6,000.00 - ~~The Lilly Research Laboratories~~, Indianapolis, Indiana, for the support of research in the Department of Biochemistry during a one-year period entitled "The mode of action of aminoglycoside antibiotics and related problems". (133-6602)
6. 3,500.00 - ~~Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company~~, St. Paul, Minn., for the support of the programs of the Department of Chemical Engineering during 1970-71. (133-7364)
7. 5,000.00 - ~~The Procter & Gamble Co.~~, Cincinnati, Ohio, for continued support of research in the Department of Biochemistry during 1970-71. (133-3678)
8. 260.00 - ~~Jos. Schlitz Brewing Company~~, Milwaukee, Wis., for the support of research in the Department of Dairy Science relating to the ensiling of brewers' grains for dairy cattle feeding. (133-7362)
9. 3,330.00 - ~~Wisconsin Association for Retarded Children, Inc.~~, Madison, for the support of a research and demonstration grant entitled "The development of evaluation procedures for remediation training programming in blind mentally retarded institutionalized clients" - University of Wisconsin Center on Mental Retardation - Graduate School. (133-7353)
10. 100.00 - Louis A. Peccarelli, Racine, Wis.;  
 18.00 - Mrs. Harold Beth, Rock Springs, Wis., given in memory of the late Harold Beth;  
 20.00 - Friends of the late Mrs. Warren Cooley, West Bend, Wis., given in her memory;  
 15.00 - Friends of the late Mrs. Vivian Hovland, given in her memory;  
 205.00 - Family and friends of the late George McFarlane, Milton, Wis., given in his memory;  
12.00 - Friends of the late Clyde W. Shaw, given in his memory;  
 370.00 - to support research in the cause and cure of cancer - Division of Clinical Oncology. (133-1038)
11. 1,000.00 - ~~Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities~~, Champaign, Ill., supplemental support of research from July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970 - International Studies and Programs. (C-13) (133-7099)

Research

12. \$ 500.00 - ~~American Cancer Society, Inc.~~, New York City, a grant made in connection with a fellowship supported directly by the donor - Department of Biochemistry. (PF-433) (133-6961)
13. 200.00 - ~~American Personnel and Guidance Association, Inc.~~, Washington, D. C., to be used for the support of a research project at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee entitled "Survey of ARCA Membership". (133-6868)
14. 7,215.50 - ~~American Can Company, Neenah, Wis.~~, for continued support from January 1 through December 1, 1970, of "Study of the growth and development of horticultural plants in synthetic media (BR-8)" - Department of Horticulture. (133-5002)
15. 2,420.00 - ~~Atwood Vacuum Machine Company, Rockford, Ill.~~, for the support of research in the Department of Mechanical Engineering from January 27, 1970 to August 31, 1970, entitled "Mathematical Models of Auto-Recreational Vehicles". (\$2,200, 133-7366; \$220, 133-4385)
16. 35,000.00 - ~~The Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, Madison~~, for the support of research in the Department of Meteorology, having for its purpose a study of new methods of utilizing and disseminating meteorological data, including photographs available from weather satellites. (135-65)
17. 1,000.00 - ~~World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland~~, for the support of bacteriological studies on brucellosis - Department of Veterinary Science. (133-6292)
18. ~~University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison~~, gifts for multiple sclerosis research in the Medical School:  
 (1) 6,000.00 - ~~Hamilton Roddis Foundation, Marshfield, Wis.~~  
 (2) 100.00 - ~~Mr. and Mrs. Gordon Connor~~  
 (133-6133)
19. 1,100.00 - ~~R. L. Gaither, Oshkosh, Wis.~~, given in memory of the late Steven Gaither, to be used for research and care in the area of brain tumors - Department of Neurosurgery. (133-7339)
20. 270.00 - ~~Winneconne Area United Fund, Inc., Winneconne, Wis.~~, for research in the Medical School:  
 \$135 - Cancer research (133-3651)  
 135 - Heart research (133-3832)
21. Gifts for research in the Department of Medicine:  
 (1) 600.00 - ~~Olympus Corporation of America, New Hyde Park, N. Y.~~ - gastro-camera research (133-4595)  
 (2) 5.00 - ~~Sally J. Fehrman, Madison, Wis.~~, given in memory of the late Clarence Lampman - ~~emphysema~~ research (133-2889)
22. Gifts for use in the Medical School:  
 (1) 180.00 - ~~Various donors - unrestricted fund~~ (133-5671)  
 (2) 5.00 - ~~Mr. and Mrs. Charles G. Strand, West Bend, Wis.~~, given in memory of the late Mrs. Lyla Rose Cooley, West Bend, Wis. - cancer research (133-3651)

Research

23. \$ 2,000.00 - ~~American~~ Potato Company, Blackfoot, Idaho, for support of potato research - Department of Horticulture and Hancock Experimental Farm. (133-7371)
24. 1,800.00 - ~~Atomic~~ Basic Chemicals Corporation, Eighty Four, Pa., for additional support of research on phenothiazines in the Department of Veterinary Science. (133-4862)
25. 1,500.00 - ~~Monsanto~~ Company, St. Louis, Mo., for support of research on weed control:  
 \$500 - in alfalfa and soybeans - Department of Agronomy (133-5014)  
 \$500 - in canning peas - Department of Agronomy (133-7369)  
 \$500 - in selected vegetable crops - Department of Horticulture (133-7370)
26. 200.00 - ~~Owens~~-Illinois, Tomahawk, Wis., for support of a CFI data analysis project in the Department of Forestry. (133-7373)
27. 2,000.00 - The State of Wisconsin, Council on Criminal Justice and Law Enforcement, Madison;  
 50.00 - Deputy Sheriffs Protective and Relief Association of Milwaukee County, Milwaukee, Wis.;
- 2,050.00 - for the support of a Law Library project to gather and disseminate published material relating to the field of criminal justice administration. (133-6825)
28. 45.00 - ~~University~~ of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, representing gifts from various donors, given in memory of the late Verlyn F. Sears, Mazomanie, Wis., to be used for research in pancreatitis and related diseases in the field of gastroenterology - Department of Medicine. (133-7291)
29. 100.00 - ~~Sauk~~ Prairie United Fund, Sauk City, Wis.;
- 250.00 - Wild Rose "One Fund" Drive, Wild Rose, Wis.;
- 350.00 - to support research in the cause and cure of cancer - McArdle Memorial Laboratory. (133-327)
30. Gifts for research in the Medical School:
- (1) 27.00 - Friends of the late David Waisman, Madison, Wis., given in his memory - heart research (133-3832)
- (2) 70.00 - Various donors - unrestricted (133-5671)
- (3) 5.00 - Mr. and Mrs. Ron Fox, Madison, Wis. - research (133-6852)
- (4) 15.00 - Friends of the late Mrs. Percy (Ruth) Malloy, Madison, Wis., given in her memory - research in Parkinson's disease (133-7251)
- (5) 600.00 - ~~Olympus~~ Corporation of America - gastrocamera research - Department of Medicine (133-4595)
- (6) 1,800.00 - ~~Shawano~~ Area United Fund, Shawano, Wis. - \$900 - cancer research (133-3651); \$900 - heart research (133-3832)
- (7) 10.00 - Mrs. James Hamilton, Franksville, Wis., given in memory of the late Mrs. Dorna Persen - cancer research (133-3651)
- (8) 25.00 - Friends of the late Mrs. Warren Cooley, West Bend, Wis., given in her memory - cancer research (133-3651)
- (9) 5.00 - Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Bouril, Manitowoc, Wis., given in memory of the late Mrs. T. H. Rees, Manitowoc, Wis. - general medical research (133-4152)<sub>12</sub>

Research

31. \$ 20,000.00 - American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C., for the support of research in the Department of Chemistry during the period September 1, 1970, through August 31, 1972, entitled "Synthesis, Structure, and Bonding of Metal Nitrosyl Complexes . . ." (PRF #4906-AC3) (133-7376)
32. 1,000.00 - ASARCO Foundation, New York City, to be used for the purchase of equipment for the Department of Minerals and Metals Engineering. (133-6710)
33. 100.00 - Professor John R. Cameron, Middleton, Wis., a gift to support the Bio-Medical Engineering Program in the Medical School. Additional contributions may be added to the fund. (133-7377)
34. 1,596.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, a gift from Mrs. Daisy D. Mason, Pasadena, California, to defray costs incurred in preparing a history of the Law School. (133-6616)
- N.T.E.
35. 15,900.00 - Wisconsin Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, Madison, for the support of a program and performance evaluation system project to be conducted by the Regional Rehabilitation Research Institute, School of Education, during the period ending May 30, 1970. (133-7375)
36. 1,000.00 - United States Steel Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa., for support of the Food Research Institute in the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences. (133-5328)
37. 1,093.00 - Various donors, additional contributions to assist in the work of the Regional Rehabilitation Research Institute, School of Education. (133-4866)
38. Gifts to support research in the cause and cure of cancer:
- (1) 152.00 - Friends of the late Mrs. Lillian Sessler, Madison, Wis., given in her memory;
- 10.00 - Mr. and Mrs. James Hamilton, Jr., Racine, Wis., given in memory of the late Mrs. Dorna Persen;
- 51.00 - Friends of the late Mrs. Warren Cooley, given in her memory;
- 7.00 - M. L. Cargill, Wheeling, Illinois, given in memory of the late Roger Rockenbach;
- 220.00 - Division of Clinical Oncology (133-1038)
- (2) 111.00 - Friends of the late Riddell Dickens, given in his memory;
- 50.00 - Mrs. Louise D. Mead, Lake Wales, Florida, given in memory of the late Mrs. Thomas J. Royden;
- 25.00 - Mr. and Mrs. Arthur W. Hall, Bayard, Iowa, given in memory of the late Cora Hall;
- 186.00 - - McArdle Memorial Laboratory (133-327)
39. 20.00 - Madison Tuberculosis Association, Madison, Wis., for the support of emphysema research in the Department of Medicine. (133-2889)
40. 500.00 - Mr. and Mrs. Raymond Gronski, Green Bay, Wis., given in memory of their late son Christopher, to be used for leukemia research in the Department of Pediatrics. (133-3535)

Research

41. \$ 201.55 - Family and friends of the late Clayton Felland, Monona, Wis., given in his memory, for the support of emphysema research in the Department of Medicine. (133-2889)
42. 300.00 - American Bakeries Company, Chicago, Ill.;  
 400.00 - Lamb-Weston, Inc., Portland, Oregon;  
 2,000.00 - M&M/Mars, Inc., Hackettstown, N. J.;  
 750.00 - McCormick & Company, Baltimore, Md.;  
 500.00 - Henningsen Foods, Inc., White Plains, N. Y.;  
 2,500.00 - The Standard Brands Charitable, Scientific and Educational Foundation, New York City;  
6,450.00 - to support the Food Research Institute in the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences. (133-5328)
43. 500.00 - American Potash Institute, Inc., St. Paul, Minn., for the support of research in the Department of Soils during the period July 1, 1970 to June 30, 1971, relating to alfalfa productivity and winter hardiness as influenced by levels of exchangeable potassium. (133-7383)
44. 10,000.00 - Dairy Research, Inc., Chicago, Ill., for the support of research in the Department of Food Science during the period November 15, 1969 to November 14, 1970, having for its purpose the development of special butter and high fat products with intensified flavor for use in the baking industry. (133-7381)
45. 2,000.00 - U. S. Borax & Chemical Corporation, Los Angeles, California, for continued support of research in the Department of Soils on an evaluation of the effect of boron fertilizer on yield of corn and soybeans. (133-6865)
46. Wisconsin Division of Highways, Department of Transportation, Madison, for the support of research in the Department of Engineering Mechanics, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee:  
 (1) 26,059.00 - Research on "Landslide Research, Superior District, Wisconsin" during the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1972 (133-7378)  
 (2) 20,400.00 - Research on "Determination of Mineralogical and Physico-chemical Properties of Wisconsin Soils" during the period June 1, 1970 through May 30, 1971 (133-7379)
47. 5,000.00 - Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., Nutley, N. J.;  
 100.00 - Professor and Mrs. Lee G. Pondrom, Madison, Wis.;  
5,100.00 - to support research in Parkinsonism in the Department of Neurology. (133-6719)

Research

48. \$ 46,000.00 - Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington, D. C. "Investigational Work in Connection with Crops Research" for the period July 1, 1970 through June 30, 1971. Agreement 12-14-100-2070(34), Letter Dated March 2, 1970. MSN, AG&LSC, Agronomy, MSN, AG&LSC, Horticulture, MSN, AG&LSC, Plant Pathology (144-2491)
49. 90,861.00 - Department of the Air Force, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Arlington, Virginia. "Ecological and Aerospace Medical Aspects of Virus Diseases" for the period December 1, 1967 through January 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$243,596. Grant AFOSR 68-1455, Amendment D. MSN, AG&LSC, Veterinary Science (144-8469)
50. 15,000.00 - Atomic Energy Commission, Argonne, Illinois. "Studies of Ion Exchange Membranes and Flow-Through Electrodes Applied to Continuous Analysis" for the period March 1, 1970 through February 28, 1971. Contract AT(11-1)-1082, Mod. No. 7. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-A683)
51. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 63,280.00 - "Cooperative Project on Interpersonal Equity" for the period April 1, 1970 through March 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-MH-16661-02. MSN, L&S, Sociology (144-A676)
  - 2) 51,877.00 - "Adaptive and Emotional Response to Health Dangers" for the period January 1, 1970 through December 31, 1970. Grant 8-R01-HS-00436-03 (formerly CH-00371). MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A591)
  - 3) 217,558.00 - "Comprehensive Behavioral Studies" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-MH-11894-05. MSN, L&S, Psychology and Primate Research Center (144-A641)
52. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland in support of the following:
- 1) 201,556.00 - "Research Program in the Center on Mental Retardation" for the period March 1, 1970 through February 28, 1971. Grant 5-P01-HD-03352-03. MSN, GRAD, Mental Retardation Center (144-A674)
  - 2) (555.00)- Reduces award due to Report of Expenditures for previous year. "Physiology of Insect Photoperiodism" for the period October 1, 1969 through September 30, 1970 at a total cost of \$34,791. Grant 5-R01-GM-07557-10, Revised. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (144-A406)
  - 3) (2,371.00)- Reduces award due to Report of Expenditures for previous year. "Function of Ribosomes in Protein Synthesis" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$31,115. Grant 5-R01-GM-12411-06, Revised. MSN, L&S, Zoology (144-A303)

Research

52. (cont'd) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- 4) 40,901.00 - "Factors Influencing Bladder Carcinogenesis" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-CA-10017-14. MSN, MC-MED, Clinical Oncology (144-A643)
  - 5) 300,930.00 - "Molecular Biology of Membrane Systems" for the period January 1, 1970 through December 31, 1970. Grant 5-P01-GM-12847-06. MSN, GRAD, Institute for Enzyme Research (144-A537)
  - 6) 36,224.00 - "Structure and Function of Bone in Growth and Aging" for the period March 1, 1970 through February 28, 1971. Grant 5-R01-HD-02033-05. MIL, L&S, Social Sciences, Anthropology (144-A678)
  - 7) 19,610.00 - "Intestinal Mucosal Function in Diabetes" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 1-R01-AM-13927-01. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A684)
  - 8) 26,048.00 - "Correlation of Structure & Function of Immunoglobulins" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970. Grant 7-R01-AI-09667-01 (formerly 5-R01-AI-07726-03). MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (144-A299)
  - 9) 62,723.00 - "Development of AER in Infants and Young Children" for the period January 1, 1970 through December 31, 1970. Grant 1-R01-NS-09355-01. MSN, MC-MED, Rehabilitation Medicine (144-A677)
  - 10) (6,907.00)- Decreases award due to indirect costs correction and prior balance adjustments. "Nucleic Acids and the Biological Code" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$168,000. Grant 5-R01-CA-05178-10, Revised. MSN, GRAD, Institute for Enzyme Research (144-A278)
  - 11) 2,000.00 - Research Career Development Award in connection with research entitled "Anatom and Embryol Studies of Malformations" for the period July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970 at a total cost of \$21,000. Grant 3-K04-HD-18982-01S1. MSN, MC-MED, Pediatrics (144-9990)
  - 12) (3,171.00)- Decreases award due to Report of Expenditures for previous year. "Photochemistry of Carbonyl Group Containing Molecules" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$36,120. Grant 5-R01-GM-07487-10, Revised. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-A253)
  - 13) 33,034.00 - "Growth and Development in Cellular Slime Molds" for the period April 1, 1970 through March 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-AI-04915-16. MSN, AG&LSC, Bacteriology (144-A695)
  - 14) 1,773.00 - "Control of RNA Synthesis in Animal Cells" for the period February 1, 1969 through April 30, 1970 at a total cost of \$23,487. Grant 3-R01-CA-08959-03S1. MSN, MC-MED, Surgery (144-9544)



Research

52. (cont'd) Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland
- 15) 26,950.00 - "Bio-Interconversion of Clinically Used Antibiotics" for the period March 1, 1970 through February 28, 1971. Grant 1-R01-AI-09320-01. MSN, School of Pharmacy (144-A679)
- 16) 45,451.00 - "Reactions of Biochemical Interest" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-AM-08064-07. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-A606)
- 17) 16,500.00 - "To continue bioautographic studies of cytotoxic samples from various plant materials" for the period May 1, 1967 through February 28, 1971 at a total cost of \$75,553. Contract PH-43-67-1126, Modification No. 3. MSN, School of Pharmacy (144-7738)
- 18) 28,807.00 - "Enzymatic Degradation of Cyclic Antibiotic Peptides" for the period April 1, 1970 through March 31, 1971. Grant 5-R01-AI-08237-03. MSN, School of Pharmacy (144-A687)
- 19) (1,563.00)- Reduces award due to Report of Expenditures for previous year. "Analytical Procedures for Isozymes" for the period September 1, 1969 through August 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$38,840. Grant 5-R01-GM-10978-06. MSN, MC-MED, Medicine (144-A249)
- 20) 26,754.00 - "Hepatocellular Metabolism of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 1-R01-ES-00472-01. MSN, MC-MED, Pathology (144-A689)
53. 31,832.00 - Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education Washington, D. C. "Statistical Techniques for the Study of Causality in the Social Sciences" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Contract OEC-0-7-2580(508). MSN, EDUC, Curriculum and Instruction (144-A667)
54. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 82,415.00 - University of Wisconsin Regional Research Institute for the period March 1, 1970 through February 28, 1971. Grant RD-1311-G-70-C6. MSN, EDUC, Studies in Behavioral Disabilities (144-A719)
- 2) 13,300.00 - "Hybrid Computer Feedback Analysis of Body Motions" for the period March 1, 1970 through February 28, 1971. Grant RD-2355-P-70-C3. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A710)
55. 4,780.00 - Department of the Interior, Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, Washington, D. C. "Artificial Substrate Samplers as Indicators of Water Quality" for the period February 1, 1970 through January 31, 1971. Grant 16020 FDH. MSN, AG&LSC, Entomology (144-A693)

Research

56. \$ National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 25,000.00 - "Cardiovascular System Study with Computer Modeling" for the period September 1, 1967 through September 30, 1970 at a total cost of \$100,787. Grant NGR 50-002-083, Supplement No. 2. MSN, ENGR, Electrical Engineering (144-8339)
  - 2) 61,085.00 - "OSO-I Wheel Experiment Design Study" for the period March 2, 1970 through September 1, 1970. Contract NAS5-11282. MSN, GRAD, Space Science and Engineering Center (144-A696)
57. National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 317,600.00 - "Microwave Troposcatter Probing of the Atmosphere" for the period February 15, 1970 through August 14, 1972. Grant GA-16658. MSN, ENGR, Electrical Engineering, MSN, L&S, Meteorology (144-A601, \$282,462; 144-A602, \$35,138)
  - 2) 39,200.00 - "Reduction of Radiation Data from Bomex" for the period February 1, 1970 through July 31, 1971. Grant GA-19235. MSN, GRAD, Space Science and Engineering Center (144-A680)
  - 3) 43,200.00 - "Learning and Conditioning" for the period March 1, 1970 through August 31, 1972. Grant GB-17394. MSN, L&S, Psychology (144-A686)
  - 4) 38,100.00 - "Topics in Electromagnetic Fields" for the period March 1, 1970 through August 31, 1972. Grant GK-16203. MSN, ENGR, Electrical Engineering (144-A698)
  - 5) 83,000.00 - "Interpersonal Attraction" for the period March 1, 1970 through August 31, 1971. Grant GS-2932. MSN, L&S, Sociology (144-A699)
  - 6) 43,300.00 - "Studies in Organometallic Chemistry" for the period April 1, 1970 through September 30, 1972. Grant GP-17207. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-A707)
  - 7) 8,900.00 - "Transition Metal Organometallics" for the period June 1, 1967 through August 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$36,300. Grant GP-7443, Amendment No. 1. MSN, L&S, Chemistry (144-7886)

Libraries

1. \$ 8.98 - Drs. Betty J. Bamforth and Karl L. Siebecker, Madison, Wis., a gift of royalties from the sale of a revised edition of the Noel A. Gillespie book ENDOTRACHEAL ANESTHESIA, to be used for the purchase of books for the Medical Library at the discretion of the Medical Librarian - preferably in the area of anesthesia or medical history. (133-4545)
2. 50.00 - University of Wisconsin Foundation, Madison, a gift from Mrs. Mildred F. Berry, Rockford, Ill., to be used for the purchase of books and/or journals for the Department of Communicative Disorders - College of Letters and Science. (133-6175)
3. 125.00 - Various donors, given in memory of the late David L. Bobroff, to be added to the David L. Bobroff Memorial Fund for support of the Physics Department Library. (133-7165)
4. 3,000.00 - The National Cash Register Company, Dayton, Ohio, to be added to the Friends of the University Library Fund. (133-822)
5. 30.00 - Anonymous donor, to be added to the Friends of the University Library Fund. (133-822)

Physical Plant

1. (9,349.00) - National Institutes of Health, Division of Research Facilities and Resources, Health Research Facilities Branch, Bethesda, Md., reduction in amount of grant to defray a portion of the cost of remodeling of Service Memorial Institute, Madison Campus, from \$180,900 to \$171,551. (1001-FR-03456-01) (144-8711)

Extension and Public Service

1. 100.00 - Madison Chapter of the Association for Systems Management, Madison, Wis., to support program promotion and planning of institutes, conferences and classes in Management Development - Department of Commerce, University Extension. (133-4539)
2. 74.65 - Wisconsin Idea Theatre Foundation, Madison, for the support of the theatrical activities of the Wisconsin Idea Theatre - University Extension. (133-6804)
3. 6.38 - Anonymous donor, to support nursing programs under the direction of the Nursing Department of University Extension, to be disbursed without regard to State regulations. (133-6204)

Extension and Public Service

4. \$ 5,305.52 - Department of the Army, Office of Civil Defense, Washington, D. C. Professional Advisory Service Center for the period June 30, 1966 through May 31, 1969 at a total cost of \$135,948.52. Contract OCD-PS-66-130, Modification P397-6. UEX, LPE, Engineering (144-7030)
5. 4,950.00 - American Society for Engineering Education, Washington, D. C. (Prime contractor with the Department of the Army, Office of Civil Defense). A Short Topical Conference on Tornado Phenomenology and Protective Design Measures for Faculty and Practitioners in Architecture and Engineering for the period January 1, 1970 through June 30, 1970. Subcontract OCD-PS-66-145-ASEE-25. UEX, LPE, Engineering (144-A692)
6. 4,681.00 - North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University, Greensboro, North Carolina (prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education). A Cooperative North-South Student Exchange Program for five students under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the second semester of 1969-70. Subgrant. UEX, HRD, Committee on Cooperation with Predominantly Negro Universities (144-A314)
7. 6,325.75 - North Carolina Central University, Durham, North Carolina (prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education). A Cooperative North-South Student Exchange Program for seven students under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the second semester of 1969-70. Subgrant, UEX, HRD, Committee on Cooperation with Predominantly Negro Universities (144-A583)
8. 4,357.50 - Texas Southern University, Houston, Texas (prime contractor with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education). A Cooperative North-South Student Exchange Program for three students under Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 for the second semester of 1969-70. Subgrant. UEX, HRD, Committee on Cooperation with Predominantly Negro Universities (144-A313)

Miscellaneous

1. \$ 1,000.00 - ~~General~~ Mills Foundation, Minneapolis, Minn., an unrestricted grant-in-aid for the Chemical Engineering Department. (133-6740)
2. 4,000.00 - ~~Gynecology-Obstetrics~~ Associates, Madison, Wis., to support their research in accordance with terms previously approved. (133-6296)
3. 9,000.00 - ~~Milwaukee~~ County Department of Public Welfare, Milwaukee, Wis., to defray secretarial costs of their intern program - School of Social Welfare, UWM. (133-5760)
4. 32,000.00 - The ~~Soap & Detergent~~ Association, New York City, for the support of an Eutrophication Information Center in the Water Resources Center - Graduate School during the period December 1, 1969 to November 30, 1970. (133-6728)
5. 100.00 - Estate of Herman O. ~~Zander~~, Wauwatosa, Wis., to be used to purchase a suitable exhibition case for the Montreal Mine Mineral Collection previously accepted by the Regents - University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-7358)
6. 10.00 - Dr. Samuel Behr, Rockford, Illinois, to be added to the Dr. Vincent Russo Memorial Fund. (Trust)
7. 1,500.00 - The ~~Johnson~~ Foundation, Inc., Racine, Wis., for the support of an Environmental Art Exhibit in April 1970 under the direction of the Center for Environmental Communications and Education Studies - Summer Sessions Inter-College Programs. (133-7367)
8. 1,250.00 - ~~National~~ Multiple Sclerosis Society, Central Wisconsin Chapter, Madison, Wis., for the diagnosis and treatment of multiple sclerosis - Department of Neurology. (133-5382)
9. 1,250.00 - ~~Oak Ridge~~ Associated Universities, Inc., Oak Ridge, Tenn., given in connection with fellowships in nuclear science and engineering supported directly by the donor - Engineering Experiment Station. (133-3803)
10. 100.00 - ~~EA~~ Systems, Inc., Hinsdale, Ill., to be added to an unrestricted fund to be used at the discretion of the Chairman of the Department of Medicine without regard to the limitations imposed by State fiscal or purchasing procedures or regulations. (133-4868)
11. 350.00 - ~~Wisconsin Dells~~ Regional Chamber of Commerce, Wisconsin Dells, Wis., for the support of a study to be conducted by the Department of Landscape Architecture of the Wisconsin Dells area. (133-6126)
12. 5.00 - Elsie Krollman Wetzal, Santa Clara, California, to be added to the "Alumni Fund, unrestricted" to be used at the discretion of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. (133-6884)
13. 100.00 - Mrs. H. M. Coon, Milwaukee, Wis., to be added to the Harold M. Coon, M. D., Memorial Award for Excellence in Hospital Administration. (Trust)

Miscellaneous

14. \$ 300.00 - ~~Freeman~~ Chemical Corporation, Port Washington, Wis., to be used for teaching, research or public service activities without regard to State financial purchasing procedures or regulations - Department of Chemistry, UWM. (133-7372)
15. 20.00 - Dr. Sergio Paes-Leme, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, to be added to the O. Sidney Orth Memorial Trust Fund (for Memorial Room), as approved April 9, 1965. (Trust)
16. 25.00 - Mrs. Carole Stein, Colgate, Wis., to be used for the purchase of music for the Washington County Campus Symphony Orchestra - University Center System. (133-7259)
17. 200.00 - ~~University of Wisconsin~~ Foundation, Madison, a gift made by John C. Van Camp, Rockford, Ill., to be used for the purchase of woody plants selected by Dr. E. R. Hasselkus of the Department of Horticulture. (133-6777)
18. 10,000.00 - R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, Winston-Salem, N. C.;
- 1,000.00 - Union Oil Company of California Foundation, Los Angeles, Cal.;
- 500.00 - South-Western Publishing Company, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio;
- 11,500.00 - the principal and income to be used in support of the program of the Consortium for Graduate Study in Business for Disadvantaged - School of Business. (Trust)
19. 990.00 - Dr. Joseph J. Lalich, Middleton, Wis.
- 200.00 - Dane County, Madison, Wis.;
- 27.00 - Dr. and Mrs. Carlos A. Jaramillo, Monroe, Wis.;
- 1,217.00 - to be used at the discretion of the Chairman of Pathology for any purpose in regard to the programs of the department without regard to State fiscal and purchasing procedures and regulations - Medical School. (133-6647)

Miscellaneous

20. \$ Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C. in support of the following:
- 1) 365,438.00 - Program of agricultural assistance to the University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, for the period December 27, 1963 through December 31, 1970 at a total cost of \$2,547,405. Contract AID/1a-147, Mod. No. 12. MSN, AG&LSC, International Agricultural Programs (144-5144)
  - 2) 235,733.00 - A program to render technical advice and assistance to the Federation of Nigeria by providing developmental, advisory, and teaching services for the Ibadan and Akure Schools of Agriculture for the period October 1, 1966 through September 30, 1970 at a total cost of \$945,112. Total estimated cost through September 30, 1971 is \$1,281,638. Contract AID/afr-350, Amendment No. 7. MSN, AG&LSC, International Agricultural Programs (144-6837)
  - 3) 652,584.00 - A program of technical assistance to the Federation of Nigeria in developing a land grant type agricultural college at the University of Ife for the period December 15, 1964 through December 31, 1970 for a funded total of \$2,902,886. Total estimated cost through December 31, 1971 is \$3,621,708. Contract AID/afr-262, Amendment No. 10. MSN, AG&LSC, International Agricultural Programs (144-5695)
  - 4) 351,859.00 - Program of technical advice and assistance to the Government of Kenya in the establishment of a Radio/Correspondence Education project for the period April 1, 1967 through March 31, 1971 at a total cost of \$635,000. Contract AID/afr-482, Amendment No. 5. University Extension (144-7565)
21. 60,281.00 - Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D. C. Eric Clearinghouse on Educational Facilities for the period June 30, 1967 through June 6, 1970 at a total cost of \$444,899. Contract OEC-1-7-070883-5095, Project No. 7-0883, Mod. No. 3. MSN, EDUC, Educational Administration-Research and Services. MSN, L&S, Environmental Design Center (144-7981, \$40,013; 144-8133, \$20,268)
22. 14,844.00 - Peace Corps, Washington, D. C. The Training of Interns for Service as Peace Corps Volunteers for the period February 1, 1970 through June 30, 1970. Contract PC-42-0920. MSN, AG&LSC, International Agricultural Programs. (144-A694)
23. 12,000.00 - State of Wisconsin Legislative Council - Insurance Laws Revision Project, Madison, Wisconsin. Technical Services to be provided to the Legislative Council in connection with the Insurance Laws Revision Project for the period January 1, 1970 through December 31, 1970. Memorandum of Understanding Dated March 5, 1970. MSN, Law School (no acct. no.)

Gifts-in-Kind

1. Professor David Baerreis, Madison, Wis., a gift to the Memorial Library of 15 issues of the Enciclopied Mexican de Arte valued at approximately \$60.
2. Caterpillar Tractor Co., Peoria, Ill., a gift to the College of Applied Science and Engineering, UWM, of a tool kit designed especially for a previously donated gas turbine. The material has been evaluated by the donor at \$3,000.
3. Robert E. Elsas, Madison, Wis., a gift of an antique Japanese kimono valued at approximately \$100 for use by the Wisconsin Players in University Theatre productions.
4. David Feiges, Sioux City, Iowa, a gift of a double deck sailboat trailer valued at approximately \$700 to be used by the Wisconsin Hoofers Sailing Club - The Wisconsin Union.
5. Milwaukee Wire Products, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis., a gift to the College of Applied Science and Engineering, UWM, of a Leitz Metallograph and accessories for use in the Metallograph Laboratory. The material has been evaluated at \$650.
6. Gifts of library materials to the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Library evaluated by the Director at the amounts shown:
  - (1) Professor Harold Abigren, Milwaukee, Wis. - 97 volumes emphasizing literature and current events - \$145.50
  - (2) Professor James B. MacDonald, Milwaukee, Wis. - 151 volumes in the field of education and related areas - \$226.50
  - (3) William Murphy, Milwaukee, Wis. - 24 volumes of architectural titles - \$154.50
  - (4) Professor David Sheldon, Phoenix, Arizona - 1,147 volumes relating to American and English literature and history - \$1,852.25
  - (5) Miss Diane Wirth, Greenfield, Wis. - 15 volumes of new books with emphasis on literature and current Broadway plays - \$30
  - (6) Mrs. Joseph L. Baron, Milwaukee, Wis. - 11 titles in Hebrew - \$33
7. Dr. Carl F. Barnes, Jr., Milwaukee, Wis., a gift to the Department of Art History, UWM, of 57 books and 325 photographs covering various periods and aspects of the history of art. The material has been evaluated at \$300.
8. Climatrol Industries, Inc., Milwaukee, Wis., a gift to the Department of Energetics, UWM, of a three-ton refrigeration capacity #935 model air conditioning unit for use in the Senior Energy Conversion Laboratory Demonstration and/or Experiment, evaluated at \$388.
9. Cuca Record Corporation, Sauk City, Wis., a gift to the Baraboo-Sauk County Campus of the University Center System of electronic equipment and an extensive collection of phonograph records valued at \$1,651.72.
10. Gifts to the Department of Electrical Engineering:
  - (1) Sylvania Electric Products, Inc., Waltham, Mass. - solid state integrated circuit devices evaluated at approximately \$4,000
  - (2) Texas Instruments Foundation, Dallas, Texas - kit of solid state devices evaluated at \$4,298.38



Gifts-in-Kind

11. Mr. and Mrs. John Hall, Algoma, Wis., and Mr. and Mrs. Herbert Liebmann, Jr., Green Bay, Wis., a gift of a gas-fired kiln and piping for use at the Marinette Campus of the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, evaluated by the donors at approximately \$2,100.

GIFTS, GRANTS, AND U. S. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

10 April 1970

	Items Processed 2/24/70 through 3/30/70 to be <u>Accepted 4/10/70</u>	Items Processed 3/4/69 through 3/31/69 Accepted <u>4/11/69</u>
Unrestricted	\$ --	\$ 3,702.62
Instruction	857,541.70	1,509,606.77
Student Aid	302,308.03	38,734.94
Research	2,347,170.05	3,066,931.56
Libraries	3,213.98	9,214.46
Physical Plant	(9,349.00)	1,704,138.00
Extension and Public Service	25,800.80	--
Miscellaneous	1,756,666.00	417,254.66
Gifts-in-Kind	<u>19,689.85</u>	<u>20,362.00</u>
Totals - April	\$ 5,303,041.41 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 6,769,945.01 <sup>(2)</sup>
Previously Reported	<u>54,016,134.68</u>	<u>53,517,515.61</u>
GRAND TOTALS	\$ 59,319,176.09	\$ 60,287,460.62

(1) Includes \$4,920,165.77 from Federal Agencies

(2) Included \$4,816,319.05 from Federal Agencies

REPORT OF NON-PERSONNEL ACTIONS BY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

to the

BOARD OF REGENTS

AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS REPORTED FOR THE REGENT RECORD

April 10, 1970

I. Report of Actions Taken by Wisconsin State Building Commission on September 15, 1969 Affecting the University

1. Authorized the allotment of State Building Trust Funds to prepare preliminary plans for campus site development at UW-Parkside (\$17,500) and UW-Green Bay (\$25,000), subject to final approval of the authorization analysis by the Subcommittee. (Release 2469 - partial - expenses through 12/69)

2. Authorized the transfer of \$29,860 of State Building Trust Funds to the budget of the Engineering Research Building, Madison, and authorized bidding and construction of the air compressor installation at a cost of \$91,500, to be financed from the budget of the Engineering Research Building project. (A-638) (Release dated 9/26/69, transferring funds between projects)

3. Authorized an increase of \$55,000 of Residence Halls Funds in the project budget for the Eagle Heights Community Building, Madison, for a revised budget of \$300,000. (A-638)

4. Authorized bidding and construction of a new boiler for the Madison Campus Heating Plant, at a project budget of \$1,200,000, with the provision that whatever type of boiler is built will be easily convertible to gas-fired if found desirable in the future. (A-638)

5. Authorized the allotment of \$2,500 of State Building Trust Funds to obtain appraisals of available properties within approved expansion limits of the Madison Campus. (Release 2395)

6. Authorized construction of a desilting pond and flumes in the Arboretum, Madison, to be financed by \$32,000 of Arboretum Trust Funds. (A-638)

# # #

The minutes of the October 13, November 10, and December 8, 1969 meetings of the State Building Commission have not as yet been received. A report of the actions taken at these meetings will be made at a later date.

# # #

EXHIBIT A

II. Report of Actions Taken by Wisconsin State Building Commission on January 26, 1970 Affecting the University

1. Authorized as increases to the budgets of the selected major building projects amounts not to exceed 2% of the project budgets--these increases to be added to the project budgets only after bidding and only if the bidding results require the additional 2%.

2. Authorized an advance of \$110,000 of State Building Trust Funds to prepare final plans, bid, and construct the Animal Science Building on the Madison Campus, at an estimated project cost of \$5,275,000, to be financed from Gift Funds. (A-659) (Release 2463)

3. Authorized an advance of \$165,000 of State Building Trust Funds for the preparation of final plans and specifications for the Physics-Astronomy Building, Madison, and bidding and construction of the project at an estimated cost of \$6,165,000 with parking facilities eliminated. Alternate bids for parking are to be obtained and submitted to the Building Commission. (A-660) (Release 2463)

4. Deferred action on the following requests:

- a. To plan, bid, and construct six tennis courts, to be financed by \$100,000 of Nielsen Tennis Stadium Funds.
- b. For an allotment of \$648,000 of State Building Trust Funds to replace transmitter, tower, and antenna for WHA-TV.

5. Authorized an advance of \$265,000 of State Building Trust Funds to plan, bid, and construct the Mental Retardation Center on the Madison Campus, at a project cost of \$7,092,731. (A-654) (Release 2463)

6. Authorized the allotment of \$331,000 of State Building Trust Funds to complete the master plan and prepare the budget and concept report for Phase I of the Medical Center on the Madison Campus. (Release 2463)

7. Authorized an advance of \$3,000 of State Building Trust Funds for the appraisal of properties in the Green Bay Campus area. (Release 2463)

8. Authorized an advance of \$96,000 of State Building Trust Funds to prepare final plans, bid, and construct the Central Heating-Chilling Plant on the Green Bay Campus at a project cost of \$4,735,000. (A-655) (Release 2463)

9. Authorized an advance of \$85,000 of State Building Trust Funds to prepare final plans, bid, and construct the Central Heating-Chilling Plant on the Parkside Campus at a project cost of \$3,920,000. (A-655) (Release 2463)

II. Report of Building Commission Actions - 1/26/70 - (Contd.)

10. Authorized the allotment of \$12,885 of State Building Trust Funds to construct sewer and water mains for the southeast area of the Madison Campus, at a project cost of \$31,885. (Release 2463)

11. Received a report that the Subcommittee for University Affairs had voted to deny the request for funds for obsolescence studies of older buildings on the Milwaukee Campus, and that therefore the request would not be brought to the full Commission for consideration.

12. Authorized planning, bidding, and construction of a temporary student activities building on the Parkside Campus, to be financed by not to exceed \$93,000 of Student Activity Fees. (A-661)

13. Authorized allotment of State Building Trust Funds to purchase equipment for three (sic) (four) County campuses: (Baraboo-Sauk \$58,900; Marathon \$90,000; Washington \$79,700; Marinette \$195,800); all subject to final action of the Subcommittee at its meeting called for February 12 to consider this matter. (Note: The first three campuses are attached to the Center System; while the fourth is attached to the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay.)

III. Report of Action Taken - Property Purchase

Pursuant to authority granted by the Regents, and subject to the approval of the Governor and clearance of title by the Attorney General, the following purchase of property by the Regents, within the approved campus expansion area, Milwaukee, has been agreed upon (purchase approved by the State Building Commission on March 9, 1970):

3267 North Maryland Avenue  
South 10 feet of Lot 3, and  
North 35 feet of Lot 4  
Block 2, Campus Heights,  
City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin  
From Mrs. Yetta Slavick

\$23,025.00.

PROPOSED REVISED SECTION UW 1.07(9) OF THE WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO BE SUBSTITUTED FOR THE SECTION UW 1.07(9) IN THE ORDER OF THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AMENDING AND CREATING RULES ON WHICH A PUBLIC HEARING IS TO BE HELD ON MAY 8, 1970, AND WHICH WILL THEN BE CONSIDERED BY THE REGENTS FOR ADOPTION ON THAT DATE.

Section UW 1.07(9) Sound Amplifying Equipment. (a) In order to permit the use of sound-amplifying equipment on university campuses, if needed for the dissemination of ideas to large audiences, but to prevent its use from interfering with university functions which inherently require quiet, the following provisions shall apply:

1. No person may use sound-amplifying equipment on any campus without the permission of the administrative head of that campus except as provided in subsection (c) of this section. For the purpose of this section, the "administrative head" shall mean the chancellor or the dean of any campus, or, in the case of arboretums, experimental stations or other areas not part of a campus under the supervision of a chancellor or dean, the university official in charge, or, in the absence of the "administrative head" as heretofore defined, it shall mean the person authorized to act on behalf of such "administrative head" in his absence.

2. In granting or denying such permission, the following principles shall govern:

a. Except in extraordinary circumstances, specified in advance by the administrative head of the campus, permission may be granted to use such equipment only during the hours of 4:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Monday through Friday, and noon to 10:00 p.m. on Saturday and Sunday, and only when the equipment is more than 175 feet from and directed away from any classroom, building, residence halls, library or facility being used as a study hall. These are the times and places which will result in the least interference with other activities on the campus.

b. The applicant for permission shall have the burden of establishing the need for amplification to communicate with the anticipated audience. In particular, he must show that the audience can reasonably be anticipated to include at least 250 people.

c. The applicant for permission shall have the burden of establishing that the volume and direction of the sound from the equipment will be such as to reduce interference to other activities on the campus to a minimum.

3. Any request for the permission required by this section must be submitted in writing to the person specified in subsection 1. of this section at least 24 hours prior to the intended use of the sound-amplifying equipment, and must be signed by a student or employee of the university on the campus where the equipment is to be used. Such request shall contain:

a. The proposed hours, date and location where the equipment is to be used.

EXHIBIT B

b. The size of the anticipated audience and the reasons why the equipment is needed.

c. A description of the proposed equipment which includes the manufacturer, model number, and wattage.

d. The names of the owner of the equipment and of any person or persons, in addition to the person signing the application, who will be responsible for seeing that the equipment is operated in compliance with the terms of the permit and the provisions of this rule (the administrative head of the campus may require such additional persons if he believes this necessary to assure compliance).

(b) If permission is granted by the administrative head the applicant shall, notwithstanding the provisions of Section UW 1.07(6), of the Wisconsin Administrative Code, post a sign visible to the audience stating: "Permission to use sound-amplification equipment at this meeting (sporting event) has been granted."

(c) Permits issued by the administrative head shall not be required for the use of university sound-amplifying equipment to be used with the permission of the university employee having control of the equipment for authorized university classes, for authorized university research, for meetings of faculty or administrative staff, for other authorized meetings in university buildings, for university-sponsored academic, recreational or athletic activities, or for crowd control by authorized university officials.

(d) For the purposes of this section, "sound-amplifying equipment" means any device or machine which is capable of amplifying sound and capable of delivering an electrical input of one or more watts to the loudspeaker.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

to the

BOARD OF REGENTS

April 10, 1970

The attached resolutions have been adopted by the Executive committee since the March 6, 1970 regular meeting of the Board, and are presented for the record as official actions of the Executive Committee:

1. Resolution awarding contracts and approving the schedule of costs for construction of Condenser Water Transmission Line, Pumping Station, and Lake Piping, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (Central Power Plant), Project No. 6512-38. (See Schedule I, attached.)

(Mail vote initiated March 23, 1970.)

2. Resolution awarding contracts and approving the schedule of costs for Remodeling of the Emergency Facility at Wisconsin General Hospital, Madison, Project No. 6706-17. (See Schedule II, attached.)

(Mail vote initiated March 26, 1970.)

P E S

C



Condenser Water Transmission Line,  
Pumping Station, and Lake Piping  
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
RECOMMENDATION:

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, contracts for construction of Condenser Water Transmission Line, Pumping Station, and Lake Piping, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (Central Power Plant), Project No. 6512-38, be awarded to the low bidder in each division of the work on the basis of the base bids; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

General Construction		
The Druml Company, Inc. Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 1	\$162,670.00
Mechanical Construction		
R. W. Construction, Inc. Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 2	455,000.00
Marine Work		
Janke Construction Co. Athens, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 3	\$377,950.00
	Base Bid No. 3A	<u>72,500.00</u>
	Total Contract Amount	450,450.00
Electrical		
Good Electric Co. Milwaukee, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 4	<u>13,613.00</u>
	Total Construction Contracts	\$1,081,733.00

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contracts Listed Above	\$1,081,733.00
Construction Contracts Previously Awarded Related to Central Power Plant (See Regent Minutes of 9/6/68, 2/14/69, and 5/9/69)	3,718,250.00
Change Orders Written to February 1, 1970	76,568.54
Design and Supervision	415,000.00
Contingencies	58,448.46
Future Work to be Bid	<u>250,000.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$5,600,000.00
Source of Funds:	
Bond Issue	\$4,500,000.00
1965-67 State Building Trust Funds (Heating Plant Planning Funds Released 12/14/65)	200,000.00
1967-69 State Building Trust Funds (Utilities)	<u>900,000.00</u>
Total Funds	\$5,600,000.00

Remodeling Emergency Facility  
Wisconsin General Hospital - Madison

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
RECOMMENDATION:

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, contracts for Remodeling of the Emergency Facility at Wisconsin General Hospital, Madison, Project No. 6706-17, be awarded to the low bidder in each division of the work, on the basis of the base bids, as follows; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

General Construction		
Bachmann Construction Co.		
Sun Prairie, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 1	\$ 57,480.00
Plumbing		
Pharo Heating Co., Inc.		
Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 2	10,200.00
Heating, Ventilating, & Air Conditioning		
C. A. Hooper Co.		
Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 3	14,541.00
Electrical		
Electric Construction, Inc.		
Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 4	<u>10,320.00</u>
	Total Construction Contracts	\$ 92,541.00

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contracts	\$ 92,541.00
Design and Supervision	10,550.00
Contingencies	<u>23,509.00</u>

Total Schedule \$126,600.00

Source of Funds: State Building Trust Funds		
Release 1974 - 6/12/67	\$60,000.00	
Hospitals Revolving Funds	<u>66,600.00</u>	
	Total Funds	\$126,600.00

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

April 2, 1970

Mr. Robert Clodius, Vice Pres.  
The University of Wisconsin  
1730 Van Hise Hall  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Dear Bob:

In light of the legislative mandate that the Council prepare definitive recommendations on the future of veterinary medicine in Wisconsin, the staff is faced with what appears to be an important and time-consuming study. It is the Council's view, as expressed in a series of papers on veterinary medicine, that the required comprehensive study should involve some consultative assistance during both stages of the study as outlined in CCHE #70-8.

As you well know, the CCHE is not provided with sufficient funds to retain consultants to conduct needed studies in several fields. We believe that the study in veterinary medicine is important and could well involve the expenditure of approximately \$10,000 to carry it to a successful conclusion. The Board of Regents of State Universities has offered financial support for the study up to \$5,000. We would hope that the UW could provide similar assistance so that the results of the study could resolve this pressing and difficult issue.

Cordially,

William E. White

wew jb

EXHIBIT D

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MILWAUKEE FACULTY DOCUMENT 541,  
FEBRUARY 12, 1970

Recommendations for amendment of Chapter 6, The University Faculty - Milwaukee  
and Its Senate

6.03 (Existing Legislation)

- (2) Special meetings of the University Faculty - Milwaukee are held at the call of the Chancellor, at the request of any two deans, or on the written petition to the Secretary of the Faculty of any five members of the University Faculty - Milwaukee.

(As Amended)

- (2) Special meetings of the University Faculty - Milwaukee are held at the call of the Chancellor, at the request of any two deans, or on the written petition to the Secretary of the Faculty of any twenty-five members of the University Faculty - Milwaukee. A quorum of 15% of the faculty members recorded on the first day of class instruction, with the figure rounded off to within five of a workable number, is required for any special meeting.

6.05 UWM Faculty Senate (Existing Legislation)

There shall be a UWM Faculty Senate representative of the UWM Faculty. The Senate holds the jurisdiction and powers of the Faculty between meetings of the Faculty. Unless otherwise specified by the Faculty, the Senate operates under the same rules and procedures prescribed for meetings of the University Faculty - Milwaukee. Changes in the provisions relating to the Senate must be approved by the University Faculty - Milwaukee.

6.05 UWM Faculty Senate (As Amended)

There shall be a UWM Faculty Senate representative of the UWM Faculty. The Senate holds jurisdiction and powers of the Faculty between meetings of the Faculty except that the UWM Faculty Senate may not amend any portion of Chapter 6. Unless otherwise specified by the Faculty, the Senate operates under the same rules and procedures prescribed for meetings of the University Faculty - Milwaukee. Changes in the provisions relating to the Senate must be approved by the University Faculty - Milwaukee.

Authority for Executive Committee to  
Act for the Board in the Award of  
Contracts and Approval of the  
Schedules of Costs for Construction Projects

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That the Executive Committee be authorized to act for the Board in the award of contracts and approval of the schedules of costs for the following construction projects:

1. Centrifugal Water Chiller, Surface ~~Condenser~~, and Turbine for Central Power Plant - University of Wisconsin-Green Bay  
Project No. 6901-2  
Bids 3/25/70  
(State Borrowing - \$200,000)
  
2. Children's ~~Hospital~~ Remodeling - Madison  
Project No. 6706-18  
Bids 4/15/70  
(State Building Trust Funds - \$45,000;  
Hospitals Revolving Funds - \$30,000  
Total - \$75,000)
  
3. Laboratory Equipment for Chemistry-~~Pharmacy~~ - West Wing - Madison  
Project No. 6711-18  
Bids 4/15/70  
(State Building Funds - \$38,663)
  
4. Central Heating - Chilling Plant  
Electrical Substation & Distribution Systems  
University of Wisconsin-~~Parkside~~ - Kenosha  
Project No. 6901-1  
Bids 4/22/70  
(State Borrowing - \$1,000,000)

Item II, 2, a

4/10/70

EXHIBIT F

✓ P 30

Lease of Relocatable Buildings for  
Madison Medical Center

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, as recommended by the Chancellor of the Madison Campus and concurred in by Central Administration, authority be granted to lease the following relocatable buildings:

Two story 40' x 100' building of approximately  
8,000 square feet.  
To be erected at 1954 East Washington Avenue,  
Madison  
Speedspace Corporation, Lessor  
August 1, 1970 to July 31, 1977  
Renewal to be negotiated \$2,789 per month

One story 48' x 276' building of approximately  
13,000 square feet.  
To be erected on Marsh Lane on the West Madison  
Campus  
Speedspace Corporation, Lessor  
August 1, 1970 to July 31, 1977  
Renewal to be negotiated \$4,649 per month

(The two story building is to be erected on the grounds of the Neurological and Rehabilitation Center for use by that Center, and the one story building is to be used as an Animal Care Facility for the Medical Center. The cost of the leasing is to be financed from Medical School Consultation practice plan fund.)

EXHIBIT G

Community Building - Eagle Heights - Madison  
Contract Awards & Cost Schedule

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, contracts for construction of the Community Building at Eagle Heights, Madison Campus, Project No. 6904-17, be awarded to the low bidder in each division of the work, on the basis of the base bids; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

General Construction		
Dyson Construction, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 1	\$130,838.00
Plumbing		
Rollie Johnson Plumbing and Heating Service, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 2	20,044.00
Heating, Ventilating		
Rollie Johnson Plumbing and Heating Service, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 3	42,442.00
Electrical		
Electric Construction, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin	Base Bid No. 4	<u>18,690.00</u>
	Total Construction Contracts	\$212,014.00

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contracts	\$212,014.00
Utilities Extensions - Electric & Gas Service	2,950.00
Design and Supervision	25,000.00
Contingencies	33,186.00
Site Development, Roads, Walks, and Landscaping	<u>26,850.00</u>
Total Schedule	\$300,000.00
Source of Funds: Residence Halls Revolving Funds	\$300,000.00

EXHIBIT H

p 31

Temporary Student Activities Building  
University of Wisconsin-Parkside  
Contract Awards and Cost Schedule

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, subject to the approval of the Governor and the State Director of Engineering, contracts for construction of the Temporary Student Activities Building, University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Project No. 7001-17, be awarded to the low bidder in each division of the work, on the basis of the base bids; that any Vice President or Associate Vice President of the University be authorized to sign the contracts; and that the following schedule of costs be approved:

General Construction

Anderson-Ashton, Inc.

New Berlin, Wisconsin Base Bid No. 1 \$56,300.00

Plumbing

Advance Plumbing Company

Racine, Wisconsin Base Bid No. 2 5,681.00

Heating & Ventilating

Watring Bros., Inc.

Kenosha, Wisconsin Base Bid No. 3 15,736.00

Electrical

Neal's Electric Co.

Kenosha, Wisconsin Base Bid No. 4 5,900.00

Total Construction Contracts \$83,617.00

Schedule of Costs

Total Construction Contracts \$83,617.00

Design and Supervision 3,383.00

Contingencies 6,000.00

Total Schedule \$93,000.00

Source of Funds: Segregated Portion of Student Fee -  
Appropriation No. 20.285(1) (hd)

Item II, 2, c-2

4/10/70

EXHIBIT I

p 31



Renewal of Space Rentals - Center System and  
Madison Campus

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, as recommended by the Chancellor of the University Center System and concurred in by Central Administration, authority be granted to renew the lease of the following property:

Approximately 17,000 square feet of space at  
311 State Street, Madison  
A. J. McCause, Lessor  
July 1, 1970 to January 30, 1972 \$2,400 per month

(For continued use of the central library service of inter-library loan, temporary storage, acquisition, and processing of books for all units of the University Center System, the Planning and Construction and Institutional Studies Department of the Center System - Rent chargeable to University Operating Budget)

###

That, as recommended by the Chancellor of the Madison Campus and concurred in by Central Administration, authority be granted to renew the lease of the following property:

Approximately 6,000 square feet of space at  
525 University Avenue, Madison  
S & A Corporation, Lessor  
July 1, 1970 to June 30, 1971 \$ 920 per month  
With option to renew for one period  
of one year.

(For continued use of the Geology Department -  
Rent chargeable to University Operating Budget)

Item II, 2, d

4/10/70

EXHIBIT J

p 31

University Avenue Expressway  
Right-of-Way Conveyance  
Project SU 1114(14)-Parcel 49

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That the President or Vice President and the Secretary or Assistant Secretary be authorized to sign an Option To Purchase to the State of Wisconsin in the amount of \$7,475.00 for the following described land:

Fee title in and to that part of Lots 9 & 10, Quarry Town, a recorded plat in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 21, T7N, R9E, City of Madison, Dane County, State of Wisconsin, located from a highway reference line described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the north-south quarter line of said Section 21, 628.77 feet south of the north one-quarter corner of said Section 21;  
Thence N 69°34' W, 994.65 feet;  
Thence N 72°40' W, 641.86 feet;  
Thence southwesterly normal to the last described course, 18 feet;  
Thence N 72°40' W, 41.29 feet to a point of curve;  
Thence westerly on a curve to the left, radius 1637.02 feet, 37.66 feet to point of beginning;  
Thence continuing westerly on said curve to the left, 48 feet.  
Said parcel includes all that land of the owner lying between a northerly property line of the owner and a line located 47 feet southerly of and parallel to the above described reference line: Said parcel contains 1725 square feet, more or less.

and the President or Vice President and the Secretary or Assistant Secretary are authorized to sign the deed conveying said property upon receipt of the consideration which shall be returned to the Residence Halls Revolving Fund.

Item II, 2, f

4/10/70

EXHIBIT K

3 2

Report of Special Regent Committee on  
Disciplinary Procedures  
April 10, 1970

PROPOSAL FOR THE ATTORNEY FOR UNIVERSITY DISCIPLINARY MATTERS

The Board of Regents will continue to call upon the Attorney General for legal assistance in improving the structure and procedure for prosecuting charges brought under the disciplinary code.

The Attorney General will assign as a full-time responsibility an experienced trial attorney to work with the Board of Regents on disciplinary matters. This attorney will report regularly to the Board of Regents at their monthly meetings and to other Regent meetings and committees as requested. He will be in constant touch with disciplinary matters, disciplinary personnel, and protection and security forces on all campuses.

The attorney will be primarily located in the Attorney General's office where he will have access to the facilities of that office and the expertise and assistance of other assistant attorneys general. As circumstances necessitate, other assistant attorneys general will be assigned to help the attorney for university disciplinary matters. The Attorney General will also provide this attorney with limited investigative assistance in case preparation and as a liaison with campus security forces, although primary investigation responsibility in all disciplinary matters will lie with the campus security force on the respective campuses. The Board of Regents, through the President of the University, will also provide adequate office facility and secretarial service for the attorney for university disciplinary matters on the various campuses as his needs require. The principal responsibilities of the attorney for university disciplinary matters are as follows:

- (1) He will review complaints and investigative reports concerning serious misconduct prepared by campus security forces, law enforcement officers, administrators, faculty members, students, and others. In this connection he would work directly with protection and security forces and with other personnel on each campus having enforcement and investigative responsibilities with the purpose of achieving effective investigation of charges and effective enforcement of misconduct, rules, bylaws, and other regulations concerning students, faculty, and staff.

He and the chancellor of the campus in question will jointly make the decision whether the evidence in any particular case is sufficient to justify making formal charges for campus disciplinary proceedings, whether immediate suspension is justified, and the nature of the punishment to be sought. He will keep the President of the University informed on such occasions and on all important matters relating to discipline so that the President of the University can involve himself in such decisions if he feels such action warranted. He will keep the Regents informed on a current basis as instructed by the President of the Board.

The attorney for university disciplinary matters will also work closely with the district attorneys of the counties in which various campuses are located in order, through the information he provides, to: (a) achieve effective enforcement of the Regent rules; and (b) provide full cooperation to the district attorneys for the enforcement of State statutes which are applicable to students, faculty, and the campuses.

(2) When formal charges leading to campus disciplinary proceedings are brought against students or faculty, the attorney for university disciplinary matters will serve as prosecuting attorney except where he is assisted by other assistant attorneys general or other attorneys properly appointed by law.

(3) The attorney for university disciplinary matters will review all rules and bylaws defining misconduct and prescribing the procedure for deciding misconduct cases, and he will make recommendations to the President of the University and to the Board of Regents for changes in such rules with the purpose of improving effective rule and law enforcement. To this end he will work closely with the protection and security forces on those campuses which have them, with administrators on each campus responsible for rule enforcement, with faculty-student committees on student conduct policy, with faculty committees on faculty conduct policy, and with the Attorney General's office.

It is the intent of the Board of Regents to cooperate with the Board of Regents of State Universities and the Attorney General to assure that effective university disciplinary systems exist on the various university campuses in Wisconsin and that such disciplinary systems be consistent with the orderly administration of the university campuses and the rights and privileges of students, faculty, and staff.

4/10/70

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS The Regents of the University, in a statement of policy regarding the use of University of Wisconsin facilities, recommended by the faculty and approved by the Board April 17, 1948, declared that while "all such facilities... have been conceived and are operated for the express and sole purpose of assisting the University in effectively performing its general educational function... when available (their use) may be extended to faculty, student, and employee organizations," though "the use of facilities by student groups, however, will be contingent upon the satisfaction by the groups of all requirements of the Committee on Student Life and Interests, and that the event is primarily for students and faculty..." and "it is further understood that the use of facilities for such purposes shall be granted only when it does not interfere with regular university activities";

AND, WHEREAS there has been an increasing scheduling of student sponsored programs of broad university community interest at times when they conflict with heavy classroom schedules and reports that these conflicts often affect attendance at classes;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Regents of the University propose to adopt the following addition to 8.06 of the Laws and Regulations of the University of Wisconsin and submit it to the University Faculty Council for study and recommendation at its earliest convenience:

- 8.06 (3) To minimize conflict with scheduled University classes and academic exercises, meetings sponsored by student organizations which include guest speakers and are open to members of the University community shall be scheduled only from 4:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. Mondays through Fridays, noon to 10:30 p.m. on Saturdays, or without time restrictions before 10:30 p.m. Sundays, except that no such meeting shall be scheduled during closed periods.

EXHIBIT M

p 3-1

RESOLUTION BY MARK A. MEISSNER, PRESIDENT  
UNIVERSITY STUDENT GOVERNMENT  
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE

concerning

FREEDOM OF SPEAKERS AND THE CLASSROOM

Approved, 25 March 1970  
Executive Board, University Student Government  
University of Wisconsin Milwaukee

WHEREAS the University Student Government, in past standing policy, has affirmed the freedom of educational thought both within and without the classroom, and

WHEREAS the Regents of the University of Wisconsin, in a statement of policy regarding the use of facilities as recommended by the faculty, declared that all such facilities...have been conceived and are operated for the express and sole purpose of assisting the University in effectively performing its general educational function..., and

WHEREAS there has been an increasing scheduling of both student and faculty, as well as department and administration sponsored programs of university community interest at all times of the day, fulfilling a major purpose of a great Urban University, and

WHEREAS many professors find it necessary and beneficial to suspend classes for a session to take advantage of such an educational activity as may not be offered within the limited classroom framework, and

WHEREAS classes at the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee extend continuously from 7:30 A.M. to 10:30 P.M. on Monday thru Friday and 7:30 A.M. to 12:00 Noon on Saturday,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the students of the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee reaffirm that meetings, lectures, and other educational functions sponsored by students, faculty, departments, or administrators which include guest speakers and are open to members of the University community shall continue to be scheduled without time restrictions, except that no meeting of an extracurricular nature shall be scheduled during closed periods.

EXHIBIT N