

Preservation and survival of E. coli in well water samples submitted for routine analyses. [DNR-167a] 2002

Sonzogni, William C.; Standridge, Jon H.; Bussen, Michelle Madison, Wisconsin: Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 2002

https://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/AROHISENRO36L9C

http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/

For information on re-use see: http://digital.library.wisc.edu/1711.dl/Copyright

The libraries provide public access to a wide range of material, including online exhibits, digitized collections, archival finding aids, our catalog, online articles, and a growing range of materials in many media.

When possible, we provide rights information in catalog records, finding aids, and other metadata that accompanies collections or items. However, it is always the user's obligation to evaluate copyright and rights issues in light of their own use.

Preservation and Survival of *E. coli* in Well Water Samples Submitted for Routine Analyses

Principal Investigator: William C. Sonzogni, Ph.D Director, Environmental Health Division Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene, University of Wisconsin-Madison 2601 Agriculture Dr., P.O. Box 7996, Madison, WI 53707-7996 Phone 608-224-6200 FAX _608-224-6201 E-mail: wcs@mail.slh.wisc.edu

Co-principal Investigator: Jonathan Standridge Managing Microbiologist, Environmental Health Division Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene, University of Wisconsin-Madison Address: 2601 Agriculture Dr., P.O. Box 7996, Madison, WI 53707-7996 Phone 608-224-6209 FAX _608-224-6213 E-mail: **ihs@mail.slh.wisc.edu**

Co-principal Investigator: Michelle Bussen Microbiologist, Environmental Health Division Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene, University of Wisconsin-Madison Address: 2601 Agriculture Dr., P.O. Box 7996, Madison, WI 53707-7996 Phone: 608-224-6262 FAX: 608-224-6213 E-mail: <u>humke@mail.slh.wisc.edu</u>

Project Period: 4/15/01-4/15/02 (one year)

Background

Total coliform testing to determine potability of drinking water has been performed routinely on Wisconsin well waters since the beginning of the 20th century. The data derived from this testing has driven the development and continuous improvement of the administrative codes that regulate well construction and maintenance. The guality assurance program associated with laboratory testing for coliforms establishes maximum sample holding times (APHA, 1998)¹. For public water supply testing, these holding times are established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 1989)². The agency uses published data and expert opinion as the basis for setting the required holding times. Currently the holding time is set at 30 hours. In Wisconsin, the Laboratory of Hygiene (WSLH) did an extensive study that demonstrated coliforms actually survive quite well for up to 48 hours at ambient temperatures in typical Wisconsin well water samples (Standridge, 1983)³. Subsequent to this study, the USEPA granted an exception for the WSLH to allow compliance testing for total coliforms up to 48 hours after collection. This exception has permitted a high compliance rate for required testing of drinking waters, even from remote areas of the state where delivery to the laboratory can often take two days.

During the mid nineties, changes in available technology moved the testing of drinking water ahead by a quantum leap. The introduction of enzyme based assays allowed for low cost, rapid testing for E. coli simultaneously with total coliform analysis. (Edberg, 1989, McCarty, 1992). The advent of this new technology has allowed public health officials to rethink how they look at indicator testing of drinking water aimed at reducing the incidence of waterborne disease. While total coliform testing has been the cornerstone of lab based water supply protection activities, the reality is that the test often sounds false alarms. (Standridge, 1996). The intent of coliform testing is to detect situations where fecal material has compromised a water source. Since coliforms can exist in many non-fecal environments, a large percentage of the positive tests occur in situations where no fecal contamination is present. This false positivity often results in poor expenditures of resources to deal with problems that are not a real public health threat. On the other hand the detection of *E. coli* provides direct unequivocal evidence of fecal contamination and does warrant a strong response from public health experts. The ability to easily detect E. coli has precipitated actual changes and proposed changes in how officials respond to coliform/E. coli testing results. For regulated public water supplies, the USEPA has removed total coliform positivity as a trigger for emergency (boil water) or acute violations where "boil water" advisories are issued. The only way a total coliform result can trigger a boil water order is if it is coupled with another test from the same time period that was E. coli positive. For privately owned water wells the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources has discussed a move to a two level interpretation of bacteriological water tests. Wells testing positive for total coliforms only could be classified as "at risk" and wells with both total coliform and E. coli present would be classified as "unsafe".

This general move towards decision making based on *E. coli* test results, creates a need for understanding whether or not *E. coli* survives as well as the total coliform group while samples are in transit to the laboratory. Previously published work in this area is incomplete since it focused primarily on surface waters and studied a limited array of temperatures and storage times. The work described in this report provides information to more completely understand the issue of *E. coli* survival in water samples. The topic of establishing holding times for water samples submitted for *E. coli* testing is extremely important and timely. If *E. coli* dies off during transit to the laboratory, unsafe water supplies could be classified as safe. Decisions aimed at increasing the emphasis placed on *E. coli* positivity are close at hand. The data presented in this study provides information for making data based decisions regarding sample preservation requirements.

Experimental Design

The purpose of this study was to provide data on the survival of *E. coli* in water samples, to be used in setting storage a handling requirements. The ability of a bacterium to survive in a water environment is dependent on temperature, time, available nutrients, competing microbial flora and the presence of toxicants. (Le Chevallier, 1990, Smith, 1989).

Temperature

Most drinking water samples submitted as a part of a monitoring program are collected and shipped to the laboratory with no attempt to control transit temperatures. In Wisconsin, an attempt is made to hold ambient temperature by shipping samples in individual styrofoamTM mailers. While a properly collected groundwater sample may begin its trip to the lab at 10-15°C, it often arrives at the laboratory as warm as 30°C. At the other end of standard practice, there are some laboratories that require samples be cooled during transit, where proper cooling of the sample is defined as a final transit temperature below 10^oC. This is usually accomplished by submitting the sample in some sort of insulated "cooler" with pre-frozen ice packs. There is ample evidence showing that the enzymatic activities that may lead to the death of a bacterium essentially stop at temperatures less than 4°C. (Standridge, 1983 and 1987). To achieve this temperature, samples must be submitted in an insulated cooler in direct contact with wet ice where the ice mass must exceed the mass of the sample. With any attempts to cool samples, care must be taken to assure that the samples do not freeze, as freezing of bacterial cells will often lyse the cell wall. Given this variety of temperatures routinely used by laboratories, the researchers chose to include four different temperature variables: 4°C, 10°C, 20°C and 30°C.

Concerns were raised between using strictly controlled temperatures, with refrigerators and incubators, verses use of coolers and ice as is used in actual practice. The researchers decided that to more clearly understand the effects of specific temperatures and to produce consistent and reliable data it would be advantageous to use precise temperature control achieved with thermostatically controlled refrigerators and incubators.

Time

Opinions and practice on the maximum allowable storage time for water samples prior to bacteriological analysis varies substantially. Some scientists feel that testing must be done immediately after collection, as is the practice for larger utilities that have their own laboratories. At the WSLH, 90% of all samples are tested within 30 hours of collection, with the remaining samples tested within 48 hours. Other Wisconsin laboratories testing private water supplies accept samples up to 72 hours after collection. The range of holding times selected for this study was based on actual practice for Wisconsin labs; immediately after collection/preparation, eight hours, 30 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours after collection.

Chemical make-up and microbial flora

Another critical factor that affects survival of a bacterium in water is the overall chemical make-up of the water. This is a complex issue that includes many factors such as pH,

buffering capacity, carbon nitrogen and phosphorous content, heavy metal toxicants, and organic toxicants. (Standridge, 1983, Le Chevallier, 1990, Smith 1989).

Due to the difficulty in preparing waters that truly represent naturally occurring chemical conditions, the researchers chose to use a variety of natural Wisconsin waters, collected across the seasons, that truly represented the actual conditions seen in Wisconsin groundwater's. This approach also allowed testing on a variety of microbial floras that might affect coliform survival.

Experimental Protocol

Sample collection/preparation:

Fifteen water samples were collected from southern Wisconsin to provide a variety of chemically and microbiologically diverse waters representing different geological formations, well depths and types of well construction. An attempt was made to collect samples from naturally contaminated wells. Contaminated wells were chosen based on previously determined positive results from groundwaters submitted to the WSLH for routine testing. Phone calls were made to these locations to acquire permission to take water samples. Unfortunately, only two naturally contaminated samples were successfully collected and tested. Most of the samples were not contaminated and required spiking with laboratory cultures. Additionally, samples were collected from polluted surface water sources to provide "worst case" scenario water types. Characterizations of the samples are provided in Table 1.

Site ID	County	Site Description	Date Collected
GW	Dane	Private well in heavily farmed area	4/23/2001
КW	Dane	18 foot sand point well 50 feet from the	7/09/2001
		Yahara river in a residential area	
ww	Dane	Agricultural area dog fecal material	7/16/2001
		observed near well	
St W	Waupaca	Shallow sand point well 150 feet from Bear	7/31/2001
		Lake in a residential/lightly farmed area.	

Table 1

Site ID	County	Site Description	Date Collected
So W	Dane	6 inch, cased 100 foot drilled well in	10/31/2001
		residential area	
EM	lowa	Convenience store in heavy agricultural area	12/12/2001
Oetf W	Waushara	Machine operators facility in a non-farmed	2/5/2002
		rural area near Coloma	
Cp W	Sauk	Sand point well, gas station/convenience	2/05/2002
		store in light commercial	
		development/agriculture area	
NW	Clark	Motel in non-ag rural area. Very old well	3/29/2002
		construction in disrepair.	
VW	Dane		3/29/2002
Blcw W	Waukesha	church located in village of Wales near light	4/15/2002
		commercial land uses	
Bumc W	Sauk	Church in a rural heavily farmed area	4/23/2002
OI W	Dane	Drilled well in agricultural area	4/26/2002
Lwdp	Dane	Spring fed pond source water to	6/22/01
		Lake Wingra	
IM	Dane	Urban/rural eutrophic lake	5/01/2002

Sampling sites were chosen to be within two hours driving distance of Madison. On the day before each experiment, a sample was collected and an initial determination was made to estimate background levels of *E. coli*. On the day of the experiment, a driver was dispatched to the sampling site to collect a 20-liter sample, which was iced and returned to the laboratory. Within 2 hours of collection aliquots of the well mixed sample were placed in sterile plastic sample bottles. The uncontaminated samples were immediately spiked with two known concentrations of E. coli. The two concentrations of organism used were 10 to 15 E. coli bacteria/100 ml and 100 to 150 E. coli bacteria/100 ml. These numbers were chosen to represent organism concentration levels normally seen in contaminated drinking water samples. A single passage master culture of an environmental isolate of E. coli was aliquoted to freezing media vials and frozen at -70° C for use as the spiking organism. The strain was verified as *E. coli* using the API 20E enteric bacteria identification system and conventional biochemicals. For each water sample tested, one frozen vial was thawed and grown in trypticase soy broth and incubated at 35°C overnight. This master culture was then serially diluted. To reach the desired number of organisms, the McFarland Equivalence Turbidity Standard was used. A McFarland 0.5 turbidity standard represents a solution with approximately 1.5 X 10⁸ organisms per milliliter. Using a manual spectrophotometer, the master E. coli culture was diluted to reach that of the 0.5 McFarland standard using phosphate buffer as a diluent. From the McFarland 0.5 standard dilution, three more successive dilutions of 1:1000, 1:1000 and 1:10 were made. The second 1:1000 (~1.5 X 10² E. coli /ml) and the 1:10 (~1.5 X 10 E. coli/ml) were used to spike the water samples. For the unspiked samples, 60 bottles were prepared (3 replicates x 4 holding temperatures x 5 time intervals). For the spiked samples this number was doubled due to the two spiking concentrations.

Sample holding condition:

Appropriate numbers of samples from each group were placed in refrigerators or incubators designed to hold closely to the predetermined temperatures. Temperatures were monitored using Thermochron iButtons[™]. The Thermochron iButton[™] integrates a thermometer, a clock/calendar, a thermal history log and 512 bytes of additional memory into a small stainless steel case the size of approximately 5 dimes. The thermometer measures temperature from -40°C to +85°C in 0.5 increments, while the clock measures seconds to years accurately to ±1 minute per month from 0°C to 45°C. (Thermochron, 2000)⁷. Temperature monitoring with the iButton was done by using a separate bottle filled with 100 ml of sterile water and placing the iButton in the bottle. The iButtons[™] were programmed to collect temperature data every 15 minutes. This bottle was then refrigerated or incubated along with the rest of the bottles for each of the four temperatures. After 72 hours the iButton was removed from the bottle and the data was downloaded to a personal computer which archived the data and created a thermograph of sample temperature versus time.

E. coli testing:

All testing for E. coli was done using the MMO-MUG defined substrate (Colilert[™]) methodology with Quantitray 2000[™] most probable number enumeration following Standard Methods procedures. This technique resulted in a numeric value for E. coli

levels. (Edberg, 1989, APHA, 1998)⁸. Due to the low precision of the most probable number enumeration, all tests were done in triplicate to increase the robustness of the database thus reducing the standard error around the data points.

Quality assurance/ Quality control:

A Quality Assurance (QA) plan was designed for this study to ensure that all data are of known quality. The Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene Water Microbiology unit is certified by both the USEPA and the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture and Consumer Protection for all the analyses performed for this study. All aspects of the project were managed with a team approach using tools such as timed agendas, flow charting, brainstorming, time lines, "plan do check act" action plans, "equal air time" meeting processes, cause and effect diagrams, extensive use of data in decision processes and continuous focus on the customer's (Ground water Coordinating Council) needs and expectations. The team included a quality assurance data check as part of each team meeting. All experiments stayed within control limits except where noted. Temperature holding refrigerators and incubators were occasionally adjusted.

Results:

Note: In order to facilitate any possible future uses of the data created from this study, all of the raw data from each sampling event are presented in appendices 1-15.

With 1,620 *E. coli* measurements, the data set from this study is fairly large. One way to begin understanding the data is to look at it in summary form. To begin with we have combined all of the data from the 12 samples that were spiked with *E. coli*. All of the counts from all of the holding temperatures from each sample run have been averaged and are then plotted against each time interval. This four line summary graph is presented below as Figure 1. This graph shows two general trends. First, *E. coli* concentrations in a sample decrease over time, and secondly, *E. coli* concentrations decrease more rapidly at warmer temperatures.

Fig. 1.

Combined Data From All Spiked Samples



While the elucidation of these general trends is helpful, it does not really answer the question of "how long, and at what temperature can water samples be held prior to analysis for *E. coli?*". For the same group of spiked samples summarized above, we can look at each one of the holding temperatures separately and apply some basic statistical analysis. It should be noted that for one of the sampling sites rapid die-off occurred. The well had been batch chlorinated on the day before the sample was collected. Since no attempt was made to test the sample for the presence of chlorine or to de-chlorinate the sample, it is likely that some chlorine was present. For this sample even testing the water at 8 hours would have resulted in a negative test. This data set was considered an outlier, and was not used in subsequent analysis.

Once again the data can be presented graphically with the average *E. coli* counts for the remaining 11 spiked samples represented as a separate bar for each holding time. Additionally the 95% confidence interval can be calculated for each of these averages and represented as error whiskers on the bars. When comparing one time frame against another, if the average value, represented as the top of the bar, falls within the error whiskers range of the other average, you can be 95% assured that the two averages are statistically the same. The bar graphs for the four holding temperatures are presented in Figures 2-5 below.

The current standard for holding of water samples prior to E. coli analysis is the USEPA maximum allowable time of eight hours with a suggestion that samples should be iced or refrigerated. Consequently it makes sense to compare the effects of holding time periods to the currently acceptable eight hours. Looking at the combined 4 degree data for the 11 runs spiked with high levels of organisms, (Fig. 2) it is clear that while the counts go down slightly over time, there is no statistical difference between numbers of E. coli detected even with the samples held for 72 hours. For samples held at 10 degrees (Fig. 3), there is no statistically significant difference between 8 hours and 30 or 48 hours. E. coli levels in samples held at 10 degrees for 72 hours decrease to the point where they are statistically lower than the eight hour numbers. For samples held at 20 degrees (Fig. 4), all of the levels in samples stored longer than 8 hours are significantly lowered. Looking further at the raw data used to create figure 4, it can be noted that the die-off occurred in three of the eleven samples. Interestingly, the samples stored at 30 degrees (Fig. 5.) while generally showing numbers lower than storage at cooler temperatures, demonstrate no statistical difference between samples stored 8 hours, 30 hours.









While the high level spikes provide numbers of organisms in a range usable for statistical analysis, samples spiked with low numbers of organisms more closely represent the real world. These data can be examined from a presence/absence view point. That is, the public health significance of a sample test result for *E. coli* is based solely on whether or not the organism can be detected. Therefore a holding time and temperature combination would be unacceptable only if it resulted in a test result of no *E. coli* detected. This data is presented in Table 2.

Table 2.

Temperature	0 Time	8 hours	30 hours	48 hours	72 hours
4 degrees	11	11	11	11	11
10 degrees	11	11	11	10	10
20 degrees	11	11	10	8	8
30 degrees	11	10	6	6	5

Number of Samples Out of Eleven Runs Testing Positive for *E. coli* On Samples Spiked with @ 10 Organisms/100mL

The most striking observation from this data is that *E. coli* in all the samples held at 4 degrees, and the majority of samples held at 10 degrees is still detectable up to 72 hours. Even at room temperature, the *E. coli* is still detectable in 10 of the 11 samples at 30 hours. At the 30 degree temperature, significant die-off occurs after eight hours.

Since laboratory grown *E. coli* spikes may behave differently than wild type strains, attempts were made to sample sites that were naturally contaminated. Our original hope was that we could find a least 10 naturally contaminated wells. In reality we were only able to find two wells, both of which had very low levels of contamination. The results are presented below in Table 3. All *E. coli* counts are presented as the average of the three replicates described above. Although this data set is small, it is consistent with the spiked sample data, and suggests that Wild type *E. coli* also tolerate storage over time particularly when the samples are kept cold.

Table 3.

Clark County well					
	E. coli	E. coli	E. coli	E. coli	E. coli
Storage	per 100mL	per 100mL	per 100mL	per 100mL	per 100mL
Temperature	0 time	8 hours	30 hours	48 hours	
4	0.6	1.3	0.3	0	0.6
10	0.6	1	0	0	0.3
20	0.6	0	0	0.3	0
30	0.6	0.6	0	0	0
		· · · · · ·			
Dane County well					
	E. coli	E. coli	E. coli	E. coli	E. coli
Storage	per 100mL	per 100mL	per 100mL	per 100mL	per 100mL
Temperature	0 time	8 hours	30 hours	48 hours	72 hours
4	6.7	4.1	1.7	2.4	0
10	6.7	3.1	2.4	2	1.7
20	6.7	1.7	0.33	1	1
30	6.7	2.6	0.33	1.4	1

Preliminary analysis of the work funded by this study precipitated a USEPA study to further evaluate holding times and temperatures for *E. coli* in surface water samples. The protocols for the EPA study were the same as those employed for the GWCC study with the exception that the storage temperatures and holding times were altered somewhat. The work performed for this aspect of the study is presented separately in Table 4. below. The results from these tests are very similar to the GWCC results. Little change in *E. coli* concentrations were observed for samples held at both 4 and 10 degrees for up to 48 hours. Some die-off did occur at higher storage temperatures.

Table 4.

Temp	0 time	8 hours	24 hours	30 hours	48 hours
4 ⁰	79	65	81	61	38
10 ⁰	79	53	65	52	32
20 ⁰	79	57	32	21	10
35 ⁰	79	59	27	7	4

USEPA study site 1, Wisconsin River below the Dells dam.*

* Data taken from Quantitray method only

USEPA study site 2, Rainbow Lake-Wisconsin Veterans Home.*

Temp	0 time	8 hours	24 hours	30 hours	48 hours
4 ⁰	76	77	72	86	81
10 ⁰	76	78	74	75	59
20 ⁰	76	93	45	41	15
35 ⁰	76	18	6	5	0.33

* Data taken from Quantitray method only

USEPA study site 3, Lincoln Creek.*

Temp	0 time	8 hours	24 hours	30 hours	48 hours
4 ⁰	264	255	240	331	281
10 ⁰	264	271	212	207	153
20 ⁰	264	283	223	167	120
35 ⁰	264	261	171	96	40

* Data taken from Quantitray method only

USEPA study site 4, Lake Winnebago Oshkosh water intake.*

Temp	0 time	8 hours	24 hours	30 hours	48 hours
4 ⁰	214	211	220	227	183
10 ⁰	214	238	161	162	206
20 ⁰	214	244	167	133	131
35 ⁰	214	211	151	183	135

* Data taken from Quantitray method only

Conclusions: While sample holding time criteria is in place for drinking water samples submitted for total coliform analysis, currently the USEPA has no guidelines for sample holding times and shipping temperatures for drinking water samples submitted for *E. coli* testing. For surface water samples the guidelines state that samples must be refrigerated in transit and tested within eight hours of collection. The general move in the regulation of drinking water towards decision making based on *E. coli* test results, creates a need for understanding whether or not *E. coli* survives as well as the total coliform group while samples are in transit to the laboratory. The work described in this report provides much of this information. The data provides a strong basis for a decision to expand the allowable storage time of water samples submitted for *E. coli* analysis beyond the current eight hour limit as well as the basis for supporting only one

recommended preservation protocol for both surface waters and drinking water samples.

All samples including those with very low levels of bacteria can safely be preserved for at least 48 hours if held at 4 degrees C, the temperature usually achieved by shipping samples packed in wet ice. 48 hour package delivery to Madison can easily be achieved from all areas of Wisconsin. Thus, water samples shipped in coolers packed with wet ice could be accurately analyzed up to 48 hours after collection. The data also shows that in all the trials except one, *E. coli* can be preserved for 48 hours when held at 10 degrees C, and all samples can be preserved at 10 degrees C for 30 hours. 10 degree shipping temperatures can be achieved with the use of "blue ice" freezer packs in coolers, which simplifies the shipping process as compared to dealing with wet ice. The current practice of shipping drinking water samples in Styrofoam boxes to hold the temperature at approximately 20 degrees C would be valid for almost all samples for up to 30 hours. The data clearly shows that samples held at 30 degrees C are unsuitable for *E. coli* testing. This finding suggests that samples submitted during the summer months, with no attempt at preservation through cooling would not be suitable for *E. coli* testing.

This data suggests that the USEPA established holding time of eight hours for surface water samples submitted for *E. coli* testing, is overly stringent. A change to a maximum holding time of chilled samples for up to 30 hours could easily be supported by the data presented in this study. The data also suggests that the current practice of allowing up to 48 hours for drinking water samples submitted with no attempt to cool the samples may be too lax. A reduction in the time period to 30 hours, or a requirement to ship the samples at less than 10 degrees C, could be supported by the data.

Literature Cited

- 1. APHA. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20th Ed.:9-111-112(1998)
- 2. Craun, G.F., Berger, P.S., Calderon, R.L., 1997. Coliform bacteria and waterborne disease outbreaks. J. AWWA 89(3): 96-104.
- 3. Edberg, S.C., M.J. Allen and D.B. Smith. National field evaluation of a defined substrate method for detection and enumeration of total coliforms. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 55:2443-2447. 1989.
- 4. Le Chevallier, M.W. 1989. Treatment to meet the microbiological MCL in the face of a coliform regrowth problem. Proc. AWWA Water Quality Tech. Conf., Philadelphia, PA. American Waterworks Association Denver, CO.
- 5. Le Chevallier, M.W., W.H. Schulz and R.G. Lee. 1990. Bacterial nutrients in drinking water. IN: Assessing and Controlling Bacterial Regrowth in

Distribution Systems. American Water Works Association and American Water Works Association Research Foundation, Denver, CO.

- McCarty, S.C., Standridge, J.H. and Stasiak, M.C.1992. Evaluating a commercially available defined substrate test for recovery of chlorine treated Escherichia coli. JAWWA.84(5):91-97.
- Rice, E.W., M.J. Allen, T.C., Covert, J. Langewis, and J.H. Standridge. 1993. Identifying Escherichia Species With Biochemical Test Kits and Standard Bacteriological Tests. *JAWWA*. 85:74-76.
- 8. Smith, D.B., AF Hess, and D. Opheim. 1989. Control of distribution system coliform regrowth. Proc. AWWA Water Quality Tech. Conf., Philadelphia, PA. American Water Works Association, Denver, CO.
- Standridge, J.H., Barman, M. and W.C. Sonzogni. 1996. Characterization of *E. coli* and Total Coliform Organisms Isolated from Wisconsin Waters and Reassessment of Their Public Health Significance. *Proc. AWWA 1995 Water Quality Technology Conference*. 773-788.
- 10. Standridge, J.H., and D.J. Lesar. 1977. Comparison of Four Hour and Twenty-four Hour Refrigerated Storage of Nonpotable Water for Fecal Coliform Analysis. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 34:398-402.
- 11. Standridge, J.H., and J.J. Delfino. 1982. Underestimation of Total Coliform Counts By the Membrane Filter Verification Procedure. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 44:1001-1003.
- 12. Standridge, J.H., and J.J. Delfino. 1983. Effect of Ambient Temperature Storage On Potable Water Coliform Population Estimations. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 46:1113-1117.
- 13. Thermocron, 2000. http://www.ibutton.com/ibuttons/thermochron.html
- 14. USEPA.40 CFR Parts 141 and 142. Final Rule. *Fed. Reg.* 54:27543-27568. 1989.

Appendix 1. Water source	e GW						
	4/20/01		Sample ba	ckaround F	E. coli leve	ls (zero	
			time)	onground i		10 (2010	
Date/time TSB inoc.	4/22 3:30pm		Rep 1	0			
Organism used	E coli		Rep 2	0			
Date/time tests	4/23		Rep 3	0			
inoc.	2:00pm		•				
Low	•		average	0.0			
Concentration			U				
Zero time							
Rep 1	5.2						
Rep 2	6.3						
Rep 3	14.5						
average	e 8.7						
High Conc.							
Zero time							
Rep 1	95.9						
Rep 2	83.6						
Rep 3	98.7			,			
average	e 92.7						
4 degrees low			•				
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours M	//PN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml	r	nl	I	ml
Rep 1	15.6	Rep 1	12.2	Rep 1	5.2	Rep 1	5.2
Rep 2	13.2	Rep 2	7.4	Rep 2	9.6	Rep 2	3.1
Rep 3	12	Rep 3	8.6	Rep 3	5.2	Rep 3	5.2
average	ə 13.6	average	9.4	average	6.67	average	4.5
4 degrees high							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours N	//PN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
·	ml		ml	r	nl	l	ml
Rep 1	101.4	Rep 1	110.6	Rep 1	93.3	Rep 1	87.8
Rep 2	79.8	Rep 2	114.5	Rep 2	81.6	Rep 2	108.1
Rep 3	141.4	Rep 3	146.7	Rep 3	77.1	Rep 3	104.3
average	ə 108	average	124	average	84	average	100

10 degrees low							•
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100) 48 hours	s MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1	7.4	Rep 1	1	2 Rep 1	7.4	Rep 1	13.2
Rep 2 Rep 3	4.1	Rep 2 Rep 3	9 10	0 Rep 2	13.4	Pop 3	0.3
average	5.57	average	10	9 average	9.0 10.1	average	8.6
10 degrees high	0.07	avorago	10	uvolugi		avolugo	0.0
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml) 48 hours	s MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1	73.3	Rep 1	88	2 Rep 1	88.6	Rep 1	67.7
Rep 2	64.4	Rep 2	69	7 Rep 2	101.7	Rep 2	76.6
Rep 3	125	Rep 3	56	3 Rep 3	60.5	Rep 3	71.7
average	87.6	average	71	4 average	e 83.6	average	72
20 degrees low concentration 8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/10) 48 hours	s MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	13.4	Rep 1	7	4 Rep 1	2	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	3.1	Rep 2	14	6 Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2	6.3
Rep 3	9.0		0	4 Kep 3	ا . ا م 2 1	Rep 3	10.8
20 degrees high concentration	0.0	average	9	o average	5 J. I	average	5.7
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/10) 48 hours	s MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	115.3	Rep 1	68	.3 Rep 1	75.4	Rep 1	78.9
Rep 2	139.6	Rep 2	93	.2 Rep 2	88.4	Rep 2	63.8
Rep 3	123.6	Rep 3	3	6 Rep 3	48	Rep 3	66.9
average	126	average	82	.5 average	e 70.6	average	69.9
30 degrees low		. • .					
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/10) 48 hours	s MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	13.2	Rep 1	6	.3 Rep 1	. 3	Rep 1	1
Rep 2	16.8	Rep 2	12	.2 Rep 2	C	Rep 2	1
Rep 3	9.8	Rep 3	5	.2 Rep 3	3.1	Rep 3	4.1
average	13.3	averade	7	9 average	e 2.03	averade	2.03

30 degrees high concentration

8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	98.4	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	5.2
Rep 2	98.7	Rep 2	13.4	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	17.3
Rep 3	96	Rep 3	37.9	Rep 3	21.8	Rep 3	8.6
average	e 97.7	average	9 17.4	average	8.27	average	10.4

Appendix 2.

ΚW								
Date Collected	7/9/01							
			Sample ba time)	ckground	E. coli leve	ls (zero		
Date/time TSB	7/8 12		Rep 1	0				
inoc.	noon							
Organism used	E coli		Rep 2	0				
Date/time tests	7/9/01		Rep 3	Ō				
inoc.	9:30			-				
Low Conc.			average	0				
Zero time			-					
Rep 1	21.6							
Rep 2	11							
Rep 3	12.2							
average	14.9							
High Conc.								
Zero time								
Rep 1	116.2							
Rep 2	172.5							
Rep 3	186							
average	158.2							
A degrees low								
concentration								
8 hours		30 hours		18 hours		72 hours		າດ
0 110013	ml	SU HOUIS	ml	40 110013	ml	12 110015	mi	0
Rep 1	13.4	Rep 1	10.9	Rep 1	13.4	Rep 1	1	7.5
Rep 2	9.8	Rep 2	14.5	Rep 2	12.1	Rep 2	•	16
Rep 3	6.3	Rep 3	7.3	Rep 3	12.1	Rep 3	14	4.6
average	9.8	average	10.9	average	12.5	average	10	6.0

4 degrees high

CO	nce	ntra	itio	n
ω	1166	;i iu o	แบ	

8 hours	MPN	/100	30 hours		N/100	48 hours	MPN/1	00	72 hours	MP	N/100
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average)	178.5 218.7 135.4 177.5	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average		178.2 118.7 133.3 143.4	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	11" 15 13 12	33.9 55.3 31.3 23.5	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average		161.6 160.7 135.4 152.5
10 degrees low concentration 8 hours	MPN	/100	30 hours	MPI	N/100	48 hours	MPN/1	00	72 hours	MPI	N/100
	ml			ml			ml			ml	
Rep 1		16	Rep 1		12.1	Rep 1	2	21.8	Rep 1		8.5
Rep 2		14.6	Rep 2		14.3	Rep 2	1	17.5	Rep 2		9.8
Rep 3		21.6	Rep 3		14.3	Rep 3		13.2	Rep 3		12.1
average	;	17.4	average		13.6	average		17.5	average		10.1
10 degrees high	ר ו								-		
concentration											
8 hours	MPN	/100	30 hours	MPI	N/100	48 hours	MPN/1	00	72 hours	MP	\ /100
	ml			ml			ml			ml	
Rep 1		129.6	Rep 1		156.5	Rep 1	12	20.1	Rep 1		118.7
Rep 2		151.5	Rep 2		148.3	Rep 2	14	16.7	Rep 2		90.5
Rep 3		122.3	Rep 3		157.6	Rep 3	13	35.4	Rep 3		129.6
average)	134.5	average		154.1	average	e 13	34.1	average		112.9
									-		
20 degrees low concentration							·				
8 hours	MPN	/100	30 hours	MP	V/100	48 hours	MPN/1	00	72 hours	MPN	V/100
	ml			ml			ml			ml	
Rep 1		16	Rep 1		18.7	Rep 1		18.7	Rep 1		17.1
Rep 2		5.2	Rep 2		11	Rep 2	2	20.1	Rep 2		18.3
Rep 3		14.6	Rep 3		14.5	Rep 3		8.6	Rep 3		16.1
average	;	11.9	average		14.7	average) 1	15.8	average		17.2
20 degrees high	ו		•			•	/		Ū		
concentration											
8 hours	MPN	/100	30 hours	MP	V/100	48 hours	MPN/1	00	72 hours	MPN	V/100
	ml			ml			ml			ml	
Rep 1		118.7	Rep 1		140.1	Rep 1	17	72.3	Rep 1		155.3
Rep 2		185	Rep 2		156.5	Rep 2	16	62.4	Rep 2		131.3
Rep 3		146.7	Rep 3		148.3	Rep 3	11	8.7	Rep 3		127.4
average	•	150.1	average		148.3	average	1 1	51 1	averane		138

30 degrees low

concentration	·						
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml .		ml		ml
Rep 1	12.1	Rep 1	6.3	Rep 1	9.8	Rep 1	15.8
Rep 2	7.4	Rep 2	24.3	Rep 2	11	Rep 2	7.4
Rep 3	20.3	Rep 3	12.1	Rep 3	9.8	Rep 3	6.3
average	13.2	average	14.2	average	10.2	average	9.8
30 degrees high	I					-	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		mi		ml
Rep 1	133.4	Rep 1	172.3	Rep 1	148.3	Rep 1	111.2
Rep 2	172.2	Rep 2	143	Rep 2	272.3	Rep 2	148.3
Rep 3	186	Rep 3	119.8	Rep 3	142.1	Rep 3	127.4
average	163.9	average	145	average	187.6	average	129

Appendix 3.

Water source W W Date Collected 7/16/01

		Sample background E. coli levels (zer time)					
Date/time TSB NA inoc.	Λ	Rep ¹	3.1				
Organism used NA	V	Rep 2	6.3				
Date/time tests inoc.		Rep 3	10.8				
		average	6.7				
Zero time							
Rep 1	3.1						

 Rep 2
 6.3

 Rep 3
 10.8

 average
 6.73

4 Degrees

8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1	4.1	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	3.1	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	5.2	Rep 2	3.1	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	0
кер з	ۍ ۱۹	Rep 3	1	Rep 3	2	Rep 3	0
average	. 4.1	average	9 1.7	average	2.300000	average	0
10 Degrees					07		
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	2	Rep 1	3.1	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	2
Rep 2	3.1	Rep 2	1	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	2
Rep 3	4.1	Rep 3	3.1	Rep 3	3.1	Rep 3	1
average	e 3.0	average	2.4	average	2.0	average	1.7
20 Degrees							
20 Degrees 8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
20 Degrees 8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 3	30 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 0	48 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 1	72 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 1
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 3 1	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 0 0	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 1 1	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 1 0
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 3 1	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 0 1	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 1 1	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 0 2
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1 1.7	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 0 0 1 0.3	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 1 2 1
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 Degrees	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1 1.7	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 0 0 1 0.3	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	MPN/100 ml 0 2 1
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 Degrees 8 hours	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1 5 1.7 MPN/100	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 hours	MPN/100 ml 0 0 1 0.3 MPN/100	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 48 hours	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1 1 MPN/100	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 72 hours	MPN/100 ml 1 0 2 1 MPN/100
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 Degrees 8 hours	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1 1.7 MPN/100 ml	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 hours	MPN/100 ml 0 0 1 0.3 MPN/100 ml	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 48 hours	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1 1 MPN/100 ml	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 72 hours	MPN/100 ml 1 0 2 1 MPN/100 ml
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1 5 1.7 MPN/100 ml 0	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 0 0 1 0.3 MPN/100 ml 1	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 48 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1 1 MPN/100 ml 3.1	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 72 hours Rep 1	MPN/100 ml 2 1 MPN/100 ml 1
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1 1.7 MPN/100 ml 0 3.1	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 0 1 0.3 MPN/100 ml 1 0	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1 MPN/100 ml 3.1 1	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	MPN/100 ml 1 0 2 1 MPN/100 ml 1 2
20 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 Degrees 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 3 1 1.7 MPN/100 ml 0 3.1 4.7	30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 0 0 1 0.3 MPN/100 ml 1 0 0	48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 1 1 1 1 1 1 MPN/100 ml 3.1 1 0	72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	MPN/100 ml 1 0 2 1 MPN/100 ml 1 2 0

Appendix 4.

Water source St W		
Date Collected 7/31/01		
	Sample bac	kground E. coli levels (zero
	time)	
Date/time TSB inoc.	Rep 1	0
Organism used	Rep 2	0
Date/time tests inoc.	Rep 3	0

Low			average	C)		
Concentration							
Zero time							
Rep 1	12.2						
Rep 2	11.8						
Rep 3	9.7						
average	11.23333						
	33						
High	·						
Concentration							
Zero time							
Rep 1	118.7						
Rep 2	151.5						
Rep 3	131.7						
average	133.9666						
	67						
4 degrees low							
concentration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	17.1	Rep 1	12.1	I Rep 1	8.6	Rep 1	9.7
Rep 2	12.1	Rep 2	7.4	Rep 2	13.4	Rep 2	7.4
Rep 3	13.5	Rep 3	14.3	B Rep 3	8.5	Rep 3	10.7
average	14.2	average	11.3	3 average	10.2	average	9.3
4 degrees high		1 A					
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml	_	ml
Rep 1	161.6	Rep 1	93.3	B Rep 1	93.3	Rep 1	66.3
Rep 2	108.1	Rep 2	118.	Kep 2	117.8	Rep 2	72.7
кер 3	137.4	Rep 3	116.9	Rep 3	93.3	Rep 3	68.9
average	135.7	average	109.6	o average	101.5	average	69.3
10 de mare a laur							
TO degrees low							
		00 h		40.1		70.1	
8 nours	MPN/100	30 nours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
Den 1	mi o 7		mi		mi		ml
Rep 1	9.7	Rep 1	3.11		7.3	Kep 1	8.6
Rep 2	12.1	Rep 2	14.		12.1	Kep 2	6.2
кер з	9.5	кер з	13.4	кер 3	13.4	кер З	8.6
average	10.433	average	13.23	average	10.933	average	7.8

10 degrees high

concentration									
8 hours	MPN ml	V/100	30 hours	MPN ml	V/100	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1		149.7	Rep 1		108.6	Rep 1	93.3	Rep 1	70.3
Rep 2		98.4	Rep 2		78.5	Rep 2	81.6	Rep 2	81.6
Rep 3		108.6	Rep 3		135.4	Rep 3	108.6	Rep 3	64.4
average		118.9	average	}	107.5	average	94.5	average	72.1
20 degrees low concentration									
8 hours	MPN	I/100	30 hours	MPN	V /100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml			ml			ml		ml
Rep 1		14.6	Rep 1		12	Rep 1	21.8	Rep 1	1
Rep 2		8.5	Rep 2		9.8	Rep 2	10.8	Rep 2	0
Rep 3		12.2	Rep 3		10.9	Rep 3	4.1	Rep 3	2
average		11.8	average		10.9	average	e 12.2	average	1
20 degrees high concentration	l								
8 hours	MPN	I /100	30 hours	MPN	V/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml			ml			ml		ml
Rep 1		135.4	Rep 1		53	Rep 1	68.3	Rep 1	29.9
Rep 2		114.5	Rep 2		83.6	Rep 2	54.6	Rep 2	26.2
Rep 3		145	Rep 3		71.7	Rep 3	81.3	Rep 3	28.8
average		131.6	average		69.4	average	68.1	average	28.3
30 degrees low									
		1/100	20 hours		1/100	10 hours		70 hauna	
0 110015	ml	100	SU HOURS	ml	N/ TUU	40 10015	ml	12 nours	ml
Rep 1		9.8	Rep 1		5.2	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0
Rep 2		8.6	Rep 2		3	Rep 2	Ó	Rep 2	0
Rep 3		9.8	Rep 3		11	Rep 3	Ő	Rep 3	0
average		9.4	average		6.4	average	. 1.3	average	0
30 degrees high concentration	I				÷				
8 hours	MPN	I /100	30 hours	MPN	\ /100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml			m			ml		ml

nours		SU HOURS		40 nours		12 nours	
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	85.5	Rep 1		Rep 1	4.1	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	74.9	Rep 2	16	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	1
Rep 3	110.6	Rep 3	7.3	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	90.33333	average	11.65	average	1.366666	average	0.333333
-	33	,		C C	67	U	3

Appendix 5.

Water source	So W						
Date Collected	10/31/01						
			Sample ba time)	ackground	E. coli leve	els (zero	
Date/time TSB	10/30/01		Rep ¹	0			
Organiem usod	E coli		Pop 2	0			
Data/time tests			Rep 2	0			
inoc	10/31/01		IVeb 2	0			
Low Conc			avorado	0			
			average	0			
Zero time							
Rep 1	8.6						
Rep 2	8.6						
Rep 3	10.9						
average	9.4						
High Conc.							
Zero time							
Rep 1	67.6						
Rep 2	84.4						
Rep 3	99.0						
average	83.7	-					
4 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	7.4	Rep 1	5.2	Rep 1	6.3	Rep 1	4.1
Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2	8.6	Rep 2	5.2	Rep 2	3.1
Rep 3	3	Rep 3	7.4	Rep 3	9.8	Rep 3	6.3
average	4.8	average	7.1	average	7.1	average	4.5
4 degrees high							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	68.3	Rep 1	101.7	Rep 1	56.5	Rep 1	47.2
Rep 2	65.7	Rep 2	76.6	Rep 2	63.8	Rep 2	73.3
Rep 3	55.6	Rep 3	61.7	Rep 3	64.4	Rep 3	69.7
average	63.2	average	80	average	61.6	average	63.4

10 degrees low concentration								
8 hours	MPN/10 ml	0 30 hc	urs MPN ml	/100	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1	11	I.0 Rep	1	1	Rep 1	3.1	Rep 1	2
Rep 2	ç	9.7 Rep	2	7.4	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	7.4
Rep 3	8	3.5 Rep	3	3	Rep 3	4.1	Rep 3	1
average		9.7 aver	age	3.8	average	3.07	average	3.5
roncentration	1							
8 hours	MPN/10	0 30 hc	urs MPN	1/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
0 110010	ml		ml			ml		ml
Rep 1	110).0 Rep	1	82.3	Rep 1	35.4	Rep 1	19.7
Rep 2	76	6.3 Rep	2	74.9	Rep 2	34.1	Rep 2	22.6
Rep 3	66	6.3 Rep	3	71.7	Rep 3	60.1	Rep 3	29.4
average	e 84	1.2 aver	age	76.3	average	43.2	average	23.9
20 degrees low concentration								
8 hours	MPN/10	0 30 hc	urs MPN ml	I/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1	····	5.2 Rep	1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	7	7.4 Rep	2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	5	5.2 Rep	3	1	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	9 5	5.9 aver	age	0.3	average	0	average	0
20 degrees high	า							
8 hours		0 30 hc	ure MDN	1/100	18 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	
0 110013	ml	0 00110	ml	1/100		ml	12 110013	ml
Rep 1	72	2.2 Rep	1	2	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	57	7.1 Rep	2	10.8	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	52	2.0 Rep	3	3.1	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	e 60	0.4 aver	age	5.3	average	0.3	average	0
30 degrees low								
concentration		0 20 ha		1/400			70 hauna	
onours	ml	0 30 nc	nis ivirin ml	1/100	40 nours	ml	12 nours	ml
Rep 1		3.1 Ren	1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	2	1.1 Rep	2	Õ	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0 0
Rep 3	2	2.0 Rep	3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	e 3	3.1 aver	age	0	average	0	average	0

30 degrees high

concentration						
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours MF	N/100_48 hours	s MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml	ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	55.6	Rep 1	0 Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	52.1	Rep 2	0 Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	39.9	Rep 3	0 Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	e 49.2	average	0 average	e 0	average	0

Appendix 6

Water source	Em W						
Date Collected	12/12/01		Sample ba	ckground	E. coli leve	els (zero	
Date/time TSB	12/11 12pm		Rep 1	0	I		
Organism used	E. coli		Rep 2	0	I		
Date/time tests	12/12 10am		Rep 3	0	I		
Low Conc.	- Culli		average	0	і		
Zero time							
Rep 1	7.4						
Rep 2	8.5						
Rep 3	4.1						
average	6.7						
High							
Concentration							
Zero time							
Rep 1	45.7						
Rep 2	49.6						
Rep 3	66.3						
average	53.9						
4 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	9.8	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	3.1	Rep 1	8.6
Rep 2	9.8	Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	5.1
Rep 3	9.7	Rep 3	4.1	Rep 3	3	Rep 3	4.1
average	9.8	average	3.1	average	2.7	average	5.9

4 degrees high

		4	
nnr	n - n	tro	
1 3 11			

concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	6 MPN/100	48 hours	5 MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	60.	1 Rep 1	54.7	7 Rep 1	50.4	Rep 1	55.6
Rep 2	52.	5 Rep 2	66.9	Rep 2	52.8	Rep 2	58.3
Rep 3	8	2 Rep 3	54.6	B Rep 3	48.7	Rep 3	56.6
average	64	average	58	/ average	50.6	averane	56.8
avolugo	01.	average		average	, 00.0	average	50.0
10 degrees low							
concentration							
		20 hours		10 h a		70 .	
onours		30 nours		48 nours	MPN/100	12 nours	MPN/100
– (mi	/	mi		ml	_	ml
Rep 1	10.9	9 Rep 1	3.1	Rep 1	3	Rep 1	3.1
Rep 2	6.3	3 Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2	1	Rep 2	7.4
Rep 3	16.1	1 Rep 3	5.2	2 Rep 3	6.3	Rep 3	0
average	11.	1 average	e 4.1	average	9 3.4	average	3.5
10 degrees high	1	-		Ū		Ŭ	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml	re neare	ml		ml
Ren 1	101	5 Ren 1	54 7	Pop 1	16 /	Don 1	110
Rep 7	90. 82 (B Pen 2	50 4	Bop 2	40.4 50.4	Dep 1	41.3
Dop 2	62.		59.		50.4		42.5
кер з	02.4	керз	68.8	керз	57.3	Rep 3	42.2
average	64.	average	60.9	average	51.4	average	42
20 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	8.6	Rep 1	3.1	Rep 1	2	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	8.6	Rep 2	-	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	Ō
Rep 3	12.1	Rep 3	5.2	Rep 3	ō	Rep 3	0 0
average	9.8	3 average	3 1	average	, 13	averane	0
20 degrees high	0.0	avorago	0.	avoluge	1.0	average	U
concentration							
8 hours		20 hours		10 hours		70 hours	
0 110015		30 hours		40 nours		12 nours	MPN/100
Den 4			mi		mi	-	mi
Kep 1	62.2	Kep 1	48	Rep 1	13.2	Rep 1	2
Rep 2	48.7	Rep 2	35.4	Rep 2	10.8	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	65.1	Rep 3	58.8	Rep 3	13.2	Rep 3	1
average	58.7	average	47.4	average	12.4	average	1

30 degrees low concentration

8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		mi
Rep 1	2	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	7.4	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	9.8	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	6.4	average	0	average	0	average	0
30 degrees high concentration				. –		·	
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
•	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	47.1	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	52.1	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	43.2	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	47.5	average	0	average	0	average	0

Appendix 7.

Water source Oetf W Date Collected 2/5/02

		Sample bac time)	kground E. coli levels	s (zero
Date/time TSB	2/4	Rep 1	0	
inoc.	1:30pm			
Organism used	E coli	Rep 2	0	
Date/time tests	2/5/02	Rep 3	0	
inoc.	10am			
Low Conc.		average	0	
Zero time				
Rep 1	10.9			
Rep 2	9.8			
Rep 3	5.1			
average	8.6			
High Conc.				
Zara times				

average

90.7

4 degrees low							
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	s MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1		Rep 1	10.	9 Rep 1	2	Rep 1	4.1
Rep 2	15.8	Rep 2		1 Rep 2	. 11	Rep 2	2
Rep 3	13.1	Rep 3	5.	2 Rep 3	6.3	Rep 3	2
average	13.6	average	5.	average	e 6.4	average	2.7
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml	io noure	ml		ml
Rep 1	129.6	Rep 1	166.	4 Rep 1	88.2	Rep 1	59.1
Rep 2	101.4	Rep 2	101.	7 Rep 2	73.3	Rep 2	51.2
Rep 3	150	Rep 3	11	3 Rep 3	78.5	Rep 3	39.3
average	9 127	average	127.) average	e 80	average	49.9
10 dearees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	6.2	Rep 1	4.	1 Rep 1	4.1	Rep 1	1
Rep 2	13.1	Rep 2	7.	4 Rep 2	5.2	Rep 2	2
Rep 3	5.2	Rep 3	11.	P Rep 3	5.2	Rep 3	8.6
10 degrees high	° 0.2	average		s average	9 4.8	average	3.9
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	104.3	Rep 1	59.	1 Rep 1	54.7	Rep 1	8.6
Rep 2	98.7	Rep 2	45.	7 Rep 2	24.3	Rep 2	16
Rep 3	59.4	Rep 3	67.	7 Rep 3	33.5	Rep 3	18.3
average	87.5	average	57.	b average	e 37.5	average	14.3
20 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	16.1	Rep 1		2 Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2		I Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	10	Rep 3	4		, U	Kep 3	0
average	12.1	average	1.0	, average	; U	average	0

20 degrees high

\sim	ncon	tra	tinn
		ua	แบบเ

concontration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	82.3	Rep 1	13.2	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	107.6	Rep 2	29.8	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	101.9	Rep 3	13.5	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	97.3	average	18.8	average	0	average	0
30 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	11	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	5.2	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	16.9	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	11.0	average	0	average	0	average	0
30 degrees high	۱ ^۲						
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	59.4	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	60.5	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	61.3	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	60.4	average	0	average	0	average	0

Appendix 8.

Water source Date Collected	Cp W 2/5/02		
		Sample back time)	ground E. coli levels (zero
Date/time TSB inoc.	2/4 12pm	Rep 1	0
Organism used	E coli	Rep 2	0
Date/time tests inoc.	2/5 10am	Rep 3	0
Low Conc.		average	0
Zero time			
Rep 1	10.9		
Rep 2	9.8		
Rep 3	5.1		
average	8.6		

High Conc.

Zero time	
Rep 1	90.7
Rep 2	90.7
Rep 3	81.3
average	87.6

4 degrees low concentration

8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	11	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	6.3	Rep 2	5.2	Rep 2	3.1	Rep 2	3.1
Rep 3	5.2	Rep 3	5.2	Rep 3	3.1	Rep 3	3
average	7.5	average	3.8	average	2.4	average	2.0
4 degrees high							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	101.7	'Rep 1	56.5	Rep 1	21.6	Rep 1	16
Rep 2	103.9	Rep 2	38.4	Rep 2	23.1	Rep 2	7.4
Rep 3	93.3	Rep 3	51.2	Rep 3	21.6	Rep 3	14.5
average	99.6	average	48.7	average	22.1	average	12.6
10 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
o nouro	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	11	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	10.8	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	6.3	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	9.4	average	0.333333	average	• 0	average	0
•		-	3			-	
10 degrees high	า						
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	75.9	Rep 1	.11	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	60.1	Rep 2	9.8	Rep 2	3.1	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	65	5 Rep 3	9.8	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	na
average	67	' average	10.2	average	e 1.4	average	0

20 degrees low									
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml)	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100	
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 20 degrees high	3.1 0 10 4.4	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average		0 0 0 0	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	с с с с	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	() () ()	0 0 0
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100)	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100	
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	41.3 41.3 18.9 31.7 30.6	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	mi	0 0 0 0	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average) Rep 1) Rep 2) Rep 3) average	mi ((0 0 0
30 degrees low concentration 8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100)	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100	I
Rep 1	ml 0	Rep 1	ml	0	Rep 1	ml C	Rep 1	ml (0
Rep 2	0	Rep 2		0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2		0
Rep 3	, O	Rep 3		0	Rep 3	() Rep 3		ິ ດ
30 degrees high concentration	י ו	avolugo		U	average		, average		U
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100)	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100 ml	1
Rep 1	0	Rep 1		0	Rep 1	···	Rep 1	(0
Rep 2	0	Rep 2		0	Rep 2	(Rep 2	(0
average	e 0	Rep 3 average	- · · ·	0	Rep 3 average	() Rep 3) average	na 0	
Appendix 9.									
Water source Date Collected	N W 3/29/02		Sample b	ba	ckground	E. coli lev	els (zero		
Date/time TSR	na		time) Rep 1		2				
inoc			TOP 1		2				

inoc. Organism used na Rep 2 0

Date/time tests inoc.	3/29/02 1pm	Re	ep 3	0			
		av	erage	0.66666 7			
4 degrees							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours MF	PN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml	ml			ml		ml
Rep 1	2	Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	2
Rep 2	2	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	1.3	average	0.	average	0	average	0.7

10 degrees

8 hours	MPN/100 2	24 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	1
Rep 2	1	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	1	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	1	average	0	average	• 0	average	0.3

20 degrees 8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	C	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	1	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	C	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	0	average	0	average	.0.3	average	0

30 degrees

8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours M	IPN/100	48 hours MP	N/100	72 hours M	/IPN/100
	ml	m	าไ	ml		'n	nl
Rep 1	1	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0
Rep 2	1	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0
average	0.7	average	0	average	0	average	0

Appendix 10.

Water source	VW		
Date Collected	3/29/02		
		Sample back	ground E. coli levels (zero
Date/time TSB inoc.	na	Rep 1	0
Organism used	na	Rep 2	0
Date/time tests inoc.	3/29/02 1pm	Rep 3	0
		average	0

Stopped test due to no results at 48 hours

4 c	egrees
-----	--------

8 hours	MPN/100 24 hours	MPN/100 4	8 hours	MPN/100 72 hours	s MPN/100
	ml	ml		ml	ml
Rep 1	0 Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0 Rep 1	
Rep 2	0 Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0 Rep 2	
Rep 3	0 Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0 Rep 3	
average	0 average	e 0 a	average	0 average	e 0

10 degrees

8 hours	MPN/100 24 hou	rs MPN/100	48 hours MPN/100	72 hours MPN/100
	mi	ml	ml	ml
Rep 1	0 Rep 1	1 0	Rep 1 () Rep 1
Rep 2	0 Rep 2	2 0	Rep 2 () Rep 2
Rep 3	0 Rep 3	3 O ⁻	Rep 3 () Rep 3
average	0 averaç	ge Ö	average () average 0

20 d	legrees	

8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	
Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	
Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	
average	0	average	0	average	• 0	average	0

30 degrees							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		mi		ml
Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	
Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	
Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	0	Rep 3	
average	0	average	0	average	0	average	0

Appendix 11.

Water source	Blcw W			Note: wro	ong times ar	nd temps	
Date Collected	4/15/02			- un			
			Sample ba	ickground	E. coli leve	ls (zero	
Date/time TSB	4/14		Rep 1	0			
inoc.	12pm		•				
Organism used	E. coli		Rep 2	0			
Date/time tests	4/15		Rep 3	0			
inoc.	11am						
Low Conc.			average	0			
Zero time							
Rep 1	7.4						
Rep 2	8.5						
Rep 3	5.2						
average	7.0						
High Conc.							
Zero time							
Rep 1	83.3						
Rep 2	139.6						
Rep 3	101.4						
average	108.1						
4 degrees low		·					
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	13.2	Rep 1	12.1	Rep 1	8.6	Rep 1	4.1
Rep 2	9.8	Rep 2	6.3	Rep 2	9.7	Rep 2	9.4
Rep 3	12.1	Rep 3	6.3	Rep 3	6.3	Rep 3	5.2
average	11.7	average	11.21	average	8.2	average	6.2
4 degrees high		Ū		Ŭ		•	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	105	Rep 1	133.4	Rep 1	98.7	Rep 1	73.8
Rep 2	78.9	Rep 2	62.4	Rep 2	75.2	Rep 2	75.4
Rep 3	66.9	Rep 3	75.4	Rep 3	101.7	Rep 3	88.9
average	83.6	average	90.4	average	91.9	average	79.4

10	degrees	low
----	---------	-----

rar	ncar	trai	nn
COL		iua	liui

8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 10 degrees high	mi 8.5 7.4 6.3 9 7.4	5 Rep 1 Rep 2 3 Rep 3 average	9.7 5.2 13.5 9.5	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	mi 7.3 3.1 8.6 6.3	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	mi 9.7 3 6.3 6.3
concentration 8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	93.3 81.3 71.2 8 81.9	3 Rep 1 3 Rep 2 2 Rep 3 9 average	103.9 93.2 85.5 94.2	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	110 83.3 77.6 90.3	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	78.5 78.8 75.4 77.6
20 degrees low concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	24 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	7.4 5.2 1 ⁻ 2 7.9	A Rep 1 2 Rep 2 I Rep 3 9 average	11 12.2 11 11.4	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	7.4 5.2 5.2 5.9	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	3.1 3.1 6.3 4.2
20 degrees high concentration	ו	0		U		Ũ	
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	24 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	46.5 86 67.6 66.7	5 Rep 1 6 Rep 2 6 Rep 3 7 average	90.7 68.4 63.7 74.3	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	82 60.1 77.6 73.2	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	61.3 51.2 40.4 51.0
35 degrees low concentration				•			
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average		I Rep 1) Rep 2) Rep 3 3 average		Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	0 0 3.1	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	000000

35 degrees high concentration

8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	1	Rep 1	2	Rep 1	0	Rep 1	1
Rep 2	1	Rep 2	0	Rep 2	2	Rep 2	0
Rep 3	2	Rep 3	7.4	Rep 3	2	Rep 3	2
average	e 1.3	average	3.13	average	1.3	average	1

Appendix 12.

Water source	Bumc W 4/23/02						
Bate Concolor	-1/20/02		Sample ba	ackground	E. coli lev	els (zero	
Date/time TSB	4/22		Rep 1	0			
inoc.	12pm			Ŭ			
Organism used	E. coli		Rep 2	0			
Date/time tests	4/23		Rep 3	0			
inoc	11am			0			
Low Conc.	riam		average	0			
Zero time			U				
Ren 1	63	-					
Ren 2	14.8						
Rep 2	24						
	15.0						
High Conc	10.0						
Zero time	405.0						
Rep 1	125.9						
Rep 2	131.3						
Rep 3	95.9						
average	117.7						
4 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MDN/100	18 hours	
o nouis	ml	24 110013	ml	50 110015	ml	40 110015	ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Ren 1	
Ren 2		Ren 2	•	Rep 2		Ren 2	
Rep 3		Ren 3		Ren 3		Ron 2	
average	0	average	0		r	i average	0
average	0	average	Ū	average	L L	, average	0

4 degrees high concentration		24 hours		20 hours		19 haura	
onours		24 nours	ml	30 nours	ml	48 nours	MPN/100
Ren 1		Ren 1	[]]]	Pon 1		Pop 1	rru
Rep 1		Rep 1		Don 2		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Ren 3		Rep 2		Dop 3	
averade		averade	c c) averade			0
average	, U	average	L L	average		average	0
10 degrees low concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2	
Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3	
average	0	average	C) average	. () average	0
10 degrees high	1						
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	24 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2	
Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3	
average	C	average	C) average	• () average	0
20 degrees low							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2	
Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3	
average	0	average	C) average	. () average	0
20 degrees high	1	Ŭ		U		0	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2	
Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3	
average	0	average	ſ) average	() average	0

35 degrees low concentration

8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2	
Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3	
average	C	average	(0 average	() average	0
35 degrees high	1						
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	24 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1		Rep 1	
Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2		Rep 2	
Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3		Rep 3	
average	· C) average		0 average	() average	0

Appendix 13.

Water source O W Date Collected 4/26/02

		Sample back time)	ground E. coli levels (zerc)
Date/time TSB inoc.	4/25 12PM	Rep 1	0	
Organism used	E coli	Rep 2	0	
Date/time tests inoc.	4/26 8AM	Rep 3	0	
Low Conc.		average	0	
Zero time Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	12 4.1 9.5		·	

High Conc. Zero time

Rep 1	54.7
Rep 2	90.6
Rep 3	71.7
average	72.3

8.533

average

4 degrees low concentration 8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 4 degrees high	6.3 5.2 11 7.5	8 Rep 1 2 Rep 2 Rep 3 5 average	7.3 10.8 13.5 10.5	8 Rep 1 8 Rep 2 5 Rep 3 5 average	14.8 10.9 9.7 11.8	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	4.1 5.1 8.6 5.9
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	63.1 65 73.3 67.1	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	66.9 98.7 69.7 78.4	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	83.9 58.1 81.3 74.4	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	77.6 70.3 69.1 72.3
10 degrees low concentration 8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1 Rep 2	10.9 4.1	Rep 1 Rep 2	12.2 8.6	Rep 1 Rep 2	5.2 9.7	Rep 1 Rep 2	7.4 9.8
Rep 3 average	13.5 9.5	5 Rep 3 5 average	6.3 9.0	Rep 3 average	6.3 7.1	Rep 3 average	4.1 7.1
10 degrees high concentration	ו			-			
8 hours	MPN/100 ml	30 hours	MPN/100 ml	48 hours	MPN/100 ml	72 hours	MPN/100 ml
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	79.8 64.4 83 75.7	 Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 	111.9 95.9 76.6 94.8	 Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average 	59.1 65 68.9 64.3	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	81.3 74.9 79.8 78.7
20 degrees low concentration 8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	mi 8.5 12.2 8.6 9.8	5 Rep 1 2 Rep 2 3 Rep 3 3 average	mi 21.1 24 21.3 22.1	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	mi 6.3 3.1 7.4 5.6	Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3 average	mi 5.2 4.1 3.8

20 degrees high

		4.1
conc	ontra	nnite
	ເມີຍ	

concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	129.6	Rep 1	75.4	Rep 1	62.4	Rep 1	25.9
Rep 2	110.6	Rep 2	101.2	Rep 2	70.6	Rep 2	35.9
Rep 3	83.3	Rep 3	56.5	Rep 3	65	Rep 3	27.2
average	108	average	77.7	average	66	average	30
30 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	6.3	Rep 1	14.6	Rep 1	9.5	Rep 1	5.2
Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2	17.3	Rep 2	4.1	Rep 2	2
Rep 3	17.3	Rep 3	17.5	Rep 3	7.4	Rep 3	0
average	9.2	average	16.57	average	7	average	2.4
30 degrees high	1					-	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	90.6	Rep 1	75.4	Rep 1	31.3	Rep 1	23.8
Rep 2	102.2	Rep 2	59.4	Rep 2	60.9	Rep 2	22.8
Rep 3	84.2	Rep 3	88.4	Rep 3	52.9	Rep 3	19.4
average	92.3	average	74.4	average	48.4	average	22
				-		-	

Appendix 14.

Water source Ly	wdp			
Date Collected	6/22/01			
		Sample back time)	ground E. coli levels (ze	P
Date/time TSB	6/21/01	Rep ¹	2	
inoc.	15:00			
Organism used E	coli	Rep 2	0	
Date/time tests 6/	22 8:30am	Rep 3	1	
inoc.		•		
Low Conc.		average	1	
Zero time				
Rep 1	8.6			
Rep 2	9.8			
Rep 3	12.2			
average	10.2			

High Conc.

Zer	<u>'O 1</u>	ım	e

Rep 1	118.7
Rep 2	107.6
Rep 3	110.6
average	112.3

4 degrees low concentration

8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
			ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	8.6	Rep 1	10.9	Rep 1	15.8	Rep 1	13.5
Rep 2	6.3	Rep 2	12.1	Rep 2	17.3	Rep 2	10.9
Rep 3	12.1	Rep 3	14.5	Rep 3	13.5	Rep 3	12.2
average	9	average	12.5	average	15.5	average	12.2
4 degrees high							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
			ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	115.3	Rep 1	95.9	Rep 1	113	Rep 1	122.2
Rep 2	122.3	Rep 2	107.6	Rep 2	128.1	Rep 2	86.2
Rep 3	88.2	Rep 3	125	Rep 3	107.6	Rep 3	135.4
average	108.6	average	109.5	average	116.2	average	114.6
						,	
10 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
			ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	13.5	Rep 1	13.4	Rep 1	9.6	Rep 1	11
Rep 2	13.4	Rep 2	7.4	Rep 2	6.3	Rep 2	5.2
Rep 3					0.0		
	14.6	Rep 3	16.1	Rep 3	16	Rep 3	13.4
average	14.6 13.8	Rep 3 average	16.1 12.3	Rep 3 average	16 10.6	Rep 3 average	13.4 9.87
average 10 degrees high	14.6 13.8	Rep 3 average	16.1 12.3	Rep 3 average	16 10.6	Rep 3 average	13.4 9.87
average 10 degrees high concentration	14.6 13.8	Rep 3 average	16.1 12.3	Rep 3 average	16 10.6	Rep 3 average	13.4 9.87
average 10 degrees high concentration 8 hours	14.6 13.8 MPN/100ml	Rep 3 average 30 hours	16.1 12.3 MPN/100	Rep 3 average 48 hours	16 10.6 MPN/100	Rep 3 average 72 hours	13.4 9.87 MPN/100
average 10 degrees high concentration 8 hours	14.6 13.8 MPN/100ml	Rep 3 average 30 hours	16.1 12.3 MPN/100 ml	Rep 3 average 48 hours	16 10.6 MPN/100 ml	Rep 3 average	13.4 9.87 MPN/100 ml
average 10 degrees high concentration 8 hours Rep 1	14.6 13.8 MPN/100ml 123.6	Rep 3 average 30 hours Rep 1	16.1 12.3 MPN/100 ml 101.4	Rep 3 average 48 hours Rep 1	16 10.6 MPN/100 ml 98.5	Rep 3 average 72 hours Rep 1	13.4 9.87 MPN/100 ml 90.9
average 10 degrees high concentration 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	14.6 13.8 MPN/100ml 123.6 98.7	Rep 3 average 30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	16.1 12.3 MPN/100 ml 101.4 119.8	Rep 3 average 48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	16 10.6 MPN/100 ml 98.5 131.3	Rep 3 average 72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2	13.4 9.87 MPN/100 ml 90.9 115.3
average 10 degrees high concentration 8 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	14.6 13.8 MPN/100ml 123.6 98.7 98.5	Rep 3 average 30 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	16.1 12.3 MPN/100 ml 101.4 119.8 86.7	Rep 3 average 48 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	16 10.6 MPN/100 ml 98.5 131.3 118.7	Rep 3 average 72 hours Rep 1 Rep 2 Rep 3	13.4 9.87 MPN/100 ml 90.9 115.3 88.6

20 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
			ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	14.5	Rep 1	16	Rep 1	18.7	Rep 1	13.2
Rep 2	9.8	Rep 2	13.4	Rep 2	19.7	Rep 2	9.8
Rep 3	14.5	Rep 3	13.4	Rep 3	12.1	Rep 3	7.3
average	12.9	average	14.3	average	16.8	average	10.1
20 degrees high							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
· · ·			ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	113.7	Rep 1	113.4	Rep 1	116.9	Rep 1	111.2
Rep 2	156.5	Rep 2	93.2	Rep 2	119.8	Rep 2	112.4
Rep 3	106.3	Rep 3	87.6	Rep 3	71.2	Rep 3	98.8
average	125.5	average	98.1	average	102.6	average	107
30 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
			ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	18.5	Rep 1	13.4	Rep 1	13.2	Rep 1	10.9
Rep 2	17.1	Rep 2	14.8	Rep 2	16	Rep 2	9.8
Rep 3	21.3	Rep 3	25.6	Rep 3	16.1	Rep 3	17.1
average	19.0	average	17.9	average	15.1	average	12.6
30 degrees high				0		0	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100ml	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
onouro			ml		ml		ml
Ren 1	119.8	Rep 1	139.6	Rep 1	111.9	Rep 1	104.6
Ren 2	83.3	Rep 2	118 7	Rep 2	79.8	Rep 2	123.6
Rep 3	129.6	Ren 3	113 7	' Ren 3	129 1	Rep 3	88.2
averane	110.9	average	124	average	107	average	105
Rep 2 Rep 3	129.6	Rep 3	113.7	Rep 3	129.1	Rep 2	88.2

Appendix 15.

Water source Lm Date Collected 5/15/01

			Sample ba	ckground	E. coli leve	ls (zero	
Date/time TSB	5/14/01 2 p	o.m.	Rep 1	1			
Organism used	E. coli		Rep 2	0			
Date/time tests	5/15/01 10	a.m.	Rep 3	1			
inoc.							
Low Conc.			average	0.66666			
7				7			
Zero time	44.0						
Rep 1	14.3						
Rep 2	18.9						
Rep 3							
High Conc	15.1						
Zero time	4.40						
Rep 1	143						
Rep 2	129.6						
Кер 3	133.4						
average	135.						
4 degrees low							
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	7.4	Rep 1	17.3	Rep 1	11	Rep 1	14.6
Rep 2	15.6	Rep 2	17.3	Rep 2	13.5	Rep 2	13.5
Rep 3	9.8	Rep 3	12.2	Rep 3	11	Rep 3	8.5
average	10.9	average	15.6	average	11.8	average	12.2
4 degrees high						-	
concentration							
8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	93.2	Rep 1	122.3	Rep 1	116.2	Rep 1	95.9
Rep 2	101.4	Rep 2	160.7	Rep 2	115.3	Rep 2	191.8
Rep 3	101.7	Rep 3	81.3	Rep 3	156.5	Rep 3	101.4
average	98.8	average	121.4	average	129	average	130

10 degrees low	
8 hours MPN/100 30 hours MPN/100 48 hours MPN/100 72 hours M	PN/100
mi m	97
Rep 2 8.6 Rep 2 8.6 Rep 2 17.1 Rep 2	17.1
Rep 3 15.6 Rep 3 9.8 Rep 3 10.9 Rep 3	11
average 12.9 average 9.0 average 14.5 average	12.6
10 degrees high	
concentration	
8 hours MPN/100 30 hours MPN/100 48 hours MPN/100 72 hours M	PN/100
mi mi m	l
Rep 1 146.7 Rep 1 120.1 Rep 1 65 Rep 1	123.6
Rep 2 145 Rep 2 123.6 Rep 2 172.3 Rep 2	125.9
Rep 3 111.9 Rep 3 0 Rep 3 131.3 Rep 3	98.7
average 135 average 81 average 123 average	116
20 degrees low	
concentration	
8 hours MPN/100 30 hours MPN/100 48 hours MPN/100 72 hours M	PN/100
mi mi mi m	
Rep 1 17.9 Rep 1 9.8 Rep 1 8.6 Rep 1	6.3
Rep 2 9.7 Rep 2 13.4 Rep 2 7.4 Rep 2	5.2
Rep 3 14.6 Rep 3 4.1 Rep 3 16 Rep 3	5.2
average 14.1 average 9.1 average 11 average	5.6
20 degrees high	
concentration	
8 hours MPN/100 30 hours MPN/100 48 hours MPN/100 72 hours M	PN/100
mi mi mi m	
Rep 1 159.7 Rep 1 133.4 Rep 1 101 Rep 1	46.4
Rep 2 101.4 Rep 2 110.6 Rep 2 93.2 Rep 2	41.3
Rep 3 101.7 Rep 3 96 Rep 3 83.3 Rep 3	48.7
average 121 average 113 average 92.5 average	45.5
30 degrees low	
concentration	
8 bours MPN/100 30 bours MPN/100 48 bours MPN/100 72 bours M	DN/100
ml ml ml ml ml	
Ren 1 161 Ren 1 63 Ren 1 122 Ren 1	41
Ren 2 17 1 Ren 2 11 Ren 2 16 Ren 2	۰.ד 1
Ren 3 20 1 Ren 3 18 3 Ren 3 7 4 Ren 3	1
average 17.8 average 11.9 average 11.9 average	2.0

30 degrees high concentration

8 hours	MPN/100	30 hours	MPN/100	48 hours	MPN/100	72 hours	MPN/100
	ml		ml		ml		ml
Rep 1	135.4	Rep 1	152.9	Rep 1	64.5	Rep 1	2419.2
Rep 2	101.4	Rep 2	116.9	Rep 2	115.3	Rep 2	27.2
Rep 3	96	Rep 3	156.5	Rep 3	101.7	Rep 3	14.6
average	110.9	average	142.1	average	93.8	average	820.